



P. Russotto¹ on behalf of the ASY-EOS collaboration

¹INFN-LNS, Catania, Italy



EOS for nuclear matter

The nuclear EOS describes the relation between energy, pressure, density, temperature and isospin asymmetry. It is an essential ingredient in nuclear physics and astrophysics.

Question: how E/A depends on the density ϱ and isospin asymmetry $\delta = \frac{\varrho_n - \varrho_p}{\varrho_n + \varrho_p}$ $E/A(\varrho, \delta) = ????$



Paolo Russotto - Zimanyi2021

In this talk we will review some observable used with Heavy Ions reactions in order to obtain information on the EOS above the nuclear saturation density ϱ_0

Probing the EOS of symmetric matter with Kaon production Energy per nucleon



Flows

 $\frac{dN}{d(\phi - \phi_R)}(y, p_t) = \frac{N_0}{2\pi} \left(1 + 2\sum_{n \ge 1} v_n \cos n(\phi - \phi_R) \right) \qquad y = \text{rapidity} \\ p_t = \text{transverse momentum}$

 $v_1(y, p_t) = \left\langle \frac{p_x}{p_t} \right\rangle$

Transverse flow: it provides information on the angular distribution in the reaction plane

$$v_2(y, p_t) = \left\langle \frac{p_x^2 - p_y^2}{p_t^2} \right\rangle$$

Elliptic flow: competition between in plane ($v_2 > 0$) and out-ofplane ejection ($v_2 < 0$)



Paolo Russotto - Zimanyi2021

EoS and symmetry energy experimental studies at GSI/FAIR energies



Paolo Russotto - Zimanyi2021

Probing the EOS of symmetric matter with flow



Paolo Russotto - Zimanyi2021

6

Parametrization of elliptic flow



 $u_{t0} = u_t/u_p$ with $u_p = \beta_p \gamma_p$ in c.m.r.s. (scaled transverse momentum)

Paolo Russotto - Zimanyi2021

Some comparisons

- consistent to former results *P. Danielewicz et al. Science 298, 1592* (2002)
- elliptic flow is less sensitive to stiffness of EOS at higher energies



Symmetry energy



Symmetry energy above ρ_0







LIGO/VIRGO



NICER@ISS



NS mass/Shapiro Delay



Paolo Russotto - Zimanyi2021

Several constraints quite consistent among them below (or toward) ϱ_0 but few constraints above ϱ_0 !





Symmetry energy at supra-normal densities



 \geq

 \succ

 \geq

 \geq

 \triangleright

ASY-EOS S394 experiment @ GSI Darmstadt (May 2011)



<u>uBall</u>: 4 rings 50 CsI(Tl), Θ >60°. Discriminate target vs. reactions with air. Multiplicity and reaction plane measurements.



<u>KraTTA</u>: 35 (5x7) triple telescopes (Si-CsI-CsI) placed at 21°<0<60° with digital readout . Light particles and IMFs emitted at midrapidity



in LAND

Shadow bar: evaluation

of background neutrons

Vacuum p-Ball CHIMERA Start detector target

KRATTA



<u>TOFWALL</u>: 96 plastic bars; ToF, ΔE, X-Y position. Trigger, impact parameter and reaction plane determination



<u>CHIMERA</u>: 8 (2x4) rings, high granularity CsI(TI), 352 detectors 7°<θ<20° + 16x2 pads silicon detectors. Light charged particle identification by PSD. Multiplicity, Z, A, Energy: impact parameter and reaction plane determination



LAND: Large Area Neutron Detector . Plastic scintillators sandwiched with Fe 2x2x1 m³ plus plastic veto wall. New Taquila front-end electronics. Neutrons and Hydrogen detection. Flow measurements

P.Russotto et al., PRC 034608 (2016)

ASY-EOS S394 experiment results



PREX, PRL 126, 172502 (2021)

stiff γ=1.5, **soft γ=0.5**

Paolo Russotto - Zimanyi2021

Comparison to results from neutron star merger event GW 170817



Combining HIC and astrophysical results in the same Bayesian analysis to constrain neutron matter EOS

« HIC » = FOPI+ASY-EOS+AGS - « Astro » = GW, NICER (pulsar X-ray hot spots)

Combining information from HICs and astrophysical informations

- HIC data favors larger pressures at 1-1.5 ρ_0 , where sensitivity is highest
- similar observations with NICER data
- low densities, HICs have clear impact on total posteriors
- EOS at higher densities (>2p₀) mostly determined by astrophysical observations

Conclusion

- advancing HIC experiments to higher densities
- investigating transport models



Constraining Neutron-Star Matter with Microscopic and Macroscopic Collisions, S. Huth et al., arXiv:2107.06229 (2021)[nucl-th] Paolo Russotto - Zimanyi2021

Symmetry energy (L & Ksym) @ higher density



ASY-EOS II

proposed reactions: Au+Au @ 250,400,600, 1000 AMeV



Paolo Russotto - Zimanyi2021

Conclusions

- Heavy ion collisions are a powerful tool to constrain the nuclear matter EOS
 - Studied a wide range of energies and systems at SIS18
- Combination of FOPI and ASY-EOS results allows to predict a density dependence of the pressure in a neutron star between 0.5 to about 1.5 ρ₀, with similar accuracy than astrophysical data
- To (better) access higher densities a new experiment ASY-EOS II is planned at GSI
- If interested take a look at recent pion results (Sπirit) at RIKEN
- Beyond about 2.5 to 4 ρ_0 new observables are needed to constraint NS EOS
- Beam energy scan BES at RHIC and new experimental set-ups will be available at Nuclotron at JINR and at FAIR
- Benchmarking transport models for the energy regime between 1 5 GeV/u

Thanks



FIG. 2. Marginalized posterior (green bands) and prior (purple dashed) for the pressure p as a function of the rest-mass density ρ of the NS interior using the spectral EOS parametrization and imposing a lower limit on the maximum NS mass supported by the EOS of 1.97 M_☉. The dark (light) shaded region corresponds to the 50% (90%) posterior credible level and the purple dashed lines show the 90% prior credible interval. Vertical lines correspond to once, twice, and six times the nuclear saturation density. Overplotted in gray are representative EOS models [121,122,124], using data taken from [19]; from top to bottom at $2\rho_{nuc}$ we show H4, APR4, and WFF1. The corner plots show cumulative posteriors of central densities ρ_c (top) and central pressures p_c (right) for the two NSs (blue and orange), as well as for the heaviest NS that the EOS supports (black). The 90% credible intervals for ρ_c and p_c are denoted by vertical and horizontal lines respectively for the heavier (blue dashed) and lighter (orange dot-dashed) NS.

ASY-EOS S394 experiment results

Au+Au @ 400 AMeV

Main observable neutron-proton (charged particles) elliptic flow ratio: robust and effective in exploring high density behaviour of E_{sym}



R_{1.4}= 12.6±0.7 km (ASY-EOS) R_{1.4}= 12.7±1,1 km (NICER)

P.Russotto et al., PRC 034608 (2016)

Paolo Russotto - Zimanyi2021

EOS of symmetric nuclear matter



Paolo Russotto - Zimanyi2021

Symmetry energy and neutron stars



GW from the BNS merger GW170817 from LIGO:



NASA's Neutron star Interior Composition Explorer NICER on the ISS from June 2017

Paolo Russotto - Zimanyi2021



NP needs to explore high density behaviuor:

- Huge divergences in models
- * "Direct" astrophysical interest
- Compare with astrophysical observation

Constraints for L and K_{sym}

Free of systematical uncertainties (cMDI2) neutron-proton V_2^n/V_2^p

 $L = 84 \pm 30(\exp) \pm 18(\text{th}) \text{ MeV}$ $K_{sym} = 30 \pm 142(\exp) \pm 85(\text{th}) \text{ MeV}.$

Full MDI2 freedom neutron-proton v_2^n/v_2^p +neutron-charged part. v_2^n/v_2^{ch}

$$L = 85 \pm 22(\exp) \pm 20(\th) \pm 12(\text{sys}) \text{ MeV}$$

 $K_{sym} = 96 \pm 315(\exp) \pm 170(\th) \pm 166(\text{sys}) \text{ MeV}$

Isovector compressibility:

$$K_{\tau} = K_{sym} - 6L - \frac{J_0}{K_0}L$$

 $K_{\tau} = -354 \pm 228 \, \text{MeV(cMDI2)}$ $K_{\tau} = -290 \pm 421 \, \text{MeV(MDI2)}$.

Literature: ISGMR: -500±100 MeV Gogny interaction: -370±100 MeV

200



M.D. Cozma @ ASY-EOS 2017, Dec. 2017, Catania

arXiv:1706.01300 [nucl-th]

3

ASY-EOS: TuQMD predictions

L and KSym sensitivities $S(\rho) = S_0 + \frac{L}{3} \left(\frac{\rho - \rho_o}{\rho_o} \right) + \frac{K_{\text{sym}}}{18} \left(\frac{\rho - \rho_o}{\rho_o} \right)^2 + \dots,$



M.D Cozma, EPJA arXiv:1706.01300

Au+Au b<7.5 fm

Probing the EOS of symmetric matter with Kaon production

C. Sturm et al., PRL 86 (01) 39 Ch. Hartnack et al., PRL 96 (2006) 01230 C. Fuchs et al. PRL 86 (2001) 1974





-> soft EOS (K=200)

Isospin dependence of EOS [N/Z(Au) = 1.49]

Constraints for K0 from elliptic flow

 K_0 as from FOPI flow data $IQMD \rightarrow K_0 = 190 \pm 30 \ MeV$ [A. Le Fèvre et al., NPA945(2016)112-133] $UrQMD \rightarrow K_0 = 220 \pm 40 \ MeV$ [Y. Wang et al., PLB-778(2018)207-212]



A. LeFevre et al, NPA 876 (2012) 1

Parametrization of elliptic flow



 $u_{t0} = \frac{y}{y_{proj}}$ (scaled transverse momentum)

Combining HIC and astrophysical results in the same Bayesian analysis to constrain neutron matter EOS

« HIC » = FOPI+ASY-EOS+AGS - « Astro » = GW, NICER (pulsar X-ray hot spots)



Constraining Neutron-Star Matter with Microscopic and Macroscopic Collisions, S. Huth et al., arXiv:2107.06229 (2021)[nucl-th] Paolo Russotto - Zimanyi2021



ASY-EOS II proposed reactions: Au+Au @ 250,400,600, 1000 AMeV and

setu-up



ASY-EOS II LoI (2017) Symmetry energy (L & K_{sym}) @ higher density Which densities can be reached in the early stage of the reaction ? (BUU calculations) ²



UrQMD predictions

 $E_{sym} = 22 \text{ MeV} \cdot (\rho/\rho_0)^{\gamma} + 12 \text{ MeV} \cdot (\rho/\rho_0)^{2/3}$

Stiff γ =1.5, Soft γ =0.5

Sensitivity of Elliptic Flow Ratio to density



- raise the beam energy
- use n-p observable

P. Russotto et al.,PRC 94, (2016) M.D. Cozma TuQMD calculations

Beam	Energy [AMeV]	Shifts	Days	Justification
¹⁹⁷ Au	250	10	3.33	highest sensitivity on K _{sym}
¹⁹⁷ Au	400	10	3.33	maximum squeeze-out, reference to ASY-EOS I
¹⁹⁷ Au	600	10	3.33	highest sensitivity on L
¹⁹⁷ Au	1000	10	3.33	highest densities probed with still significant sensitivity (~15%)
heavy	~400	5	1.66	commissioning of KRAB, FARCOS of the setup and DAQ, can be parasitic
		40+5	15	Measurement of all energies will allow to discriminate between the soft and stiff assumptions from the observed trends (see left panel)

Flows I

$$\frac{dN}{d(\phi - \phi_R)}(y, p_t) = \frac{N_0}{2\pi} \left(1 + 2\sum_{n \ge 1} v_n \cos n(\phi - \phi_R) \right) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Y = rapidity} \\ \text{p_t = transverse momentum} \end{array}$$

$$V_1(y, p_t) = \left\langle \frac{p_x}{p_t} \right\rangle$$

Transverse flow: it provides information on the angular distribution in the reaction plane

$$V_2(y, p_t) = \left\langle \frac{p_x^2 - p_y^2}{p_t^2} \right\rangle$$
 Elliptic flow: competition between in plane (V₂×0) and out-of-plane ejection (V₂<0)



Flows II





Neutron/hydrogen

FP1: $\gamma = 1.01 \pm 0.21$ FP2: $\gamma = 0.98 \pm 0.35$ **neutron/proton** FP1: $\gamma = 0.99 \pm 0.28$ FP2: $\gamma = 0.85 \pm 0.47$ **adopted:**

 L_{Sym} =106±46

High densities observable: flows



y = rapidity, pt = transverse momentum ϕ_{R} = reaction plane orientation

UrQMD : Au+Au @ 400 AMeV 5.5<b<7.5 fm



Ра

FOPI/LAND experiment on neutron squeeze out (1991)





Comparison of HIC results to recent astrophysical findings

How can we combine FOPI, AsyEOS and ALADiN results to deduce the pressure in a neutron star?

- Have $(P_{NN}^{sym}(K_0) + P_{asy}(L))\delta$ $\delta = 0.9(5\% protons + degenerate e^{-})$
- L as from AsyEOS at 1-2ρ₀
- K₀ as from FOPI flow data



S. Huth, P.T.H. Pang et al., arXiv:2107.06229 (2021)[nucl-th]

High densities observable: flows



FOPI/LAND experiment on neutron squeeze out (1991)



UrQMD: momentum dep. of isoscalar field momentum dep. of NNECS momentum independent power-law parameterization of the symmetry energy

> $\gamma = 0.9 \pm 0.4$ L=83±26

Y. Leifels et al., PRL 71, 963 (1993) Padro. Russottonyet2:al., PLB 697 (2011)



UrQMD: momentum independent power-law parameterization of the symmetry energy $\gamma = 0.9 \pm 0.4$, L=83±26 P.Russotto et al., PLB 697 (2011)

Tübingen-QMD:momentum dependent (Gogny inspired) parameterization of the symmetry energy M.D. Cozma et al. (P.R.), PRC88 044912 (2013)

ASY-EOS 5394 experiment @ GSI Darmstadt (May 2011)

After re-analysis of Au+Au FOPI-LAND data (1991) P. Russotto et al., PLB 697 (2011)



<u>**µBall</u>: 4 rings 50 CsI(Tl), O>60°.</u> Discriminate target vs. reactions with air. Multiplicity and reaction plane measurements.</u>**



<u>KraTTA</u>: 35 (5x7) triple telescopes (Si-CsI-CsI) placed at 21°<Ø<60° with digital readout . Light particles and IMFs emitted at midrapidity



Shadow bar: evaluation of background neutrons in LAND





<u>TOFWALL</u>: 96 plastic bars; ToF, ΔE, X-Y position. Trigger, impact parameter and reaction plane determination



<u>CHIMERA</u>: 8 (2x4) rings, high granularity CsI(Tl), 352 detectors 7°<θ<20° + 16x2 pads silicon detectors. Light charged particle identification by PSD. Multiplicity, Z, A, Energy: impact parameter and reaction plane determination



LAND: Large Area Neutron Detector . Plastic scintillators sandwiched with Fe 2x2x1 m³ plus plastic veto wall. New Taquila front-end electronics. Neutrons and Hydrogen detection. Flow measurements

Paolo Russotto - Zimanyi2021