

Fundamentals of the Pulsed-Laser Technique for Single-Event Effects Testing

Dale McMORROW,¹ Stephen Buchner,¹ Ani Khachatrian,¹ Joel Hales,¹ Adrian Ildefonso,¹ Vincent Pouget,² and Pascal Fouillet³ ¹ US Naval Research Laboratory ² Université Montpellier 2 ³ Université de Bordeaux



Distribution Statement A. Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited



Goals:

- 1. Basic understanding of PL SEE approach
- Understanding of the various uses and applications
 of PL SEE approach
- 3. Current topics



PL SEE is <u>complementary</u> to heavy-ion-induced SEE

TPA and SPA are additional tools in our "SEE Toolbox"



For SEE studies, the pulsed laser is a tool for *injecting charge* in a well-defined manner into semiconductor microelectronic and nanoelectronic structures



Pulsed-laser SEE is used for:

- Sensitive node identification/characterization
- SEU mapping of sensitive areas
- Digital single-event transient characterization and mitigation
- Laser-induced latch-up screening/mitigation
- Analog single-event transient screening (ASETs)
- Hardened circuit (RHBD and RHBP) verification
- Dynamic SEE testing
- Experimental test setup verification
- Complex circuit evaluation/error signature identification
- Basic mechanisms studies

Key Feature of PL SEE: Spatial Selectivity



Loveless, et al., IEEE TNS 57, 2933 (2010) Loveless, et al., NSREC 2019, Paper PB-2

U.S.NAVAL

LABORATORY



Key Feature of PL SEE: Spatial Selectivity



- The shapes of the transients provide insights into the nature and density of detects and traps
- Hotspots appear to arise from regions of high trap density, presumably associated with threading dislocations

Khachatrian, et al., IEEE TNS 63, 1995 (2016)



Global Laser SEE Testing Facilities



SERESSA 2022 – 5-9 December 2022, CERN, Geneva, Swizerland



Global Laser SEE Testing Facilities



SERESSA 2022 – 5-9 December 2022, CERN, Geneva, Swizerland



Global Laser SEE Testing Facilities



SERESSA 2022 – 5-9 December 2022, CERN, Geneva, Swizerland



Single-Photon Absorption (SPA)

• Excitation above the semiconductor bandgap

Can inject:

- a well-characterized quantity of charge
- in a well-defined <u>x-y location</u>
- with a well-defined chargedeposition profile
- at a well-defined time

Two-Photon Absorption (TPA, 2PA)

• Excitation (typically) below the semiconductor bandgap

Can inject charge:

- a well-characterized quantity of charge
- in a well-defined <u>x-y-z location</u>
- with a well-defined charge-deposition profile
- at a well-defined time
- and can propagate through silicon wafers
- more difficult to quantify



Optical Excitation of Carriers in Silicon





Linear and Nonlinear Optical Absorption in Si



U.S. NAVAL

_ABORATORY

SERESSA 2022 – 5-9 December 2022, CERN, Geneva, Swizerland





45 nm RHBD PLL test

SERESSA 2022 – 5-9 December 2022, CERN, Geneva, Swizerland





U.S.NAVAL

LABORATORY





Pulsed Laser Single-Event Effects Nonlinear Beam Propagation

Optical beam propagation, optically thin media:

Carrier generation equation:

$$\frac{dN(r,z,t)}{dt} = \frac{\alpha I(r,z,t)}{(hc/\lambda)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta_2 I(r,z,t)^2}{(hc/\lambda)}$$

Irradiance equation:
$$\frac{dI(r,z,t)}{dz} = -\alpha I(r,z,t) - \beta_2 I(r,z,t)^2 - \sigma_{FCA} N(r,z,t) I(r,z,t)$$

Phase equation:

$$\frac{d\Phi(r,z,t)}{dz} = k_0 n_0 + k_0 n_2 I(r,z,t) - \sigma_{FCR} N(r,z,t)$$

α	linear absorption coefficient	
β_2	two-photon absorption coefficient	
n _o	index of refraction	
n ₂	nonlinear index of refraction	
σ_{FCA}	free carrier absorption cross section	
σ_{FCR}	free carrier refraction cross section	



Pulsed Laser Single-Event Effects Nonlinear Beam Propagation

Two-photon absorption (TPA), β



Free-carrier absorption (FCA), σ_{FCA}



Nonlinear refraction (NLR), n₂ Free-carrier refraction (FCR),





Modeling TPA Charge Deposition: More Rigorous Approach Required

Table I Comprehensive Approaches for Simulating Laser-Generated CDs

	NLOBPM	Gaussian Matrix	Lumerical
Developed	NRL, 2014	U. Montpellier, 2013	Vanderbilt, 2018
Algorithm	FDTD	ABCD Matrix Method	FDTD
Strengths	 Comprehensive NLO Comprehensive focusing Validated 	 Comprehensive NLO Computation speed Facile implementation 	 Comprehensive NLO Comprehensive focusing Nanophotonic effects
Limitations	 Cylindrical symmetry Inaccessible source code 	 Only Gaussian beam Paraxial approximation 	 Computation resources/time Commercial cost
References	• [44, 48, 55, 59]	• [60]	• [61-63]







Optical Excitation of Carriers

Carrier generation equation:

$$\frac{dN(r,z)}{dt} = \frac{\alpha I(r,z)}{\hbar\omega} + \frac{\beta_2 I^2(r,z)}{2\hbar\omega}$$

Carrier Generation:

$$N_{1P}(z_m) = \frac{\alpha}{\hbar\omega} \exp(-\alpha z_m) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} I(t) dt, z_m \ge 0$$

Beer-Lambert Law



Carrier Density Distribution Above-Band-Gap Single Photon Absorption

 $I(r,z) = I_o e^{-\alpha z}; N(r,z) \propto I(r,z)$





Optical Excitation of Carriers

Carrier generation equation:

$$\frac{dN(r,z)}{dt} = \frac{\alpha I(r,z)}{\hbar\omega} + \frac{\beta_2 I^2(r,z)}{2\hbar\omega}$$

Carrier Generation:

$$N_{1P}(z_m) = \frac{\alpha}{\hbar\omega} \exp(-\alpha z_m) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} I(t) dt, z_m \ge 0 \quad \text{Beer-Lambert Law}$$



Charge Generation by Two-Photon Absorption



- Most efficient in the highirradiance region near the focus of the beam
 - Because of *I*² dependence
 - Lack of exponential attenuation
- Carriers can be *injected at* any depth in the material
- Optimizing TPA generation:
 - Tight focus
 - Short pulse (~150 fs)
 - High pulse energy





Three-Dimensional Mapping of SEE Sensitivity (LM124 Q20: General Characteristics)







McMorrow, et al., IEEE TNS, <u>50</u>, 2199 (2003).





McMorrow, et al., IEEE TNS, <u>50</u>, 2199 (2003).





McMorrow, et al., IEEE TNS, <u>50</u>, 2199 (2003).





McMorrow, et al., IEEE TNS, <u>50</u>, 2199 (2003).





McMorrow, et al., IEEE TNS, <u>50</u>, 2199 (2003).





McMorrow, et al., IEEE TNS, <u>50</u>, 2199 (2003).





McMorrow, et al., IEEE TNS, <u>50</u>, 2199 (2003).





McMorrow, et al., IEEE TNS, <u>50</u>, 2199 (2003).





McMorrow, et al., IEEE TNS, <u>50</u>, 2199 (2003).






"Z" Dependence: LM124 Q20 TPA: C1-epi Junction (Inverting Configuration; gain of 20)





Front Side vs. Backside Illumination



Lewis, et al., IEEE TNS 48, 2193 (2001)

 $2w_0$



Front Side vs. Backside Illumination SPA ASET Mapping – LM124



- Plots illustrate the spatial distribution of the SET amplitude
- Sensitive areas clearly identified
- Effects of metalization evident

Lewis, et al., IEEE TNS 48, 2193 (2001)



Laser SEE Testing, Technology and Packaging

Primary experimental constraint:

• Optical access to silicon required

Modern process technologies

- Many interconnections layers
- Metal lines totally absorb light
- Dummy cells: metal fill for process planarization

Packaging

- Ceramic or plastic opening
- Lead frame masking => repackaging
- Flip-chip
- 2.5-D, 3-D







<u>Solution</u>: Backside, throughwafer testing



Additional Experimental Considerations for Laser SEE Testing

- Test setup requires considerations different from heavy ion tests
 - SEU tests: real time error feedback advantageous
 - Scanning: synchronization to xyz stage and oscilloscope
- Sample preparation:
 - Optical access to silicon
 - Top: package delidded
 - Back: backside polished; thinned if necessary
 - Board: no major obstructions (capacitors, etc.)
- Angle of incidence typically (almost always) set at zero degrees
 - Pulse energy adjusted to tune effective LET
 - Pulse energy (LET) can be varied continuously
 - Angular effect limited by high index of refraction (Snells's Law)
 - Spot size effects
- Mechanical stability essential
- Optical calibration and validation essential for many studies
 SERESSA 2022 5-9 December 2022, CERN, Geneva, Swizerland



Single-Event Upset Mapping in an SRAM Cell



Single-Event Upset Mapping in an SRAM Cell

Custom 6T SRAM cell

- 0.8 µm AMS BiCMOS technology
- Iow density of metal tracks (SPA technique and frontside testing)



Pouget, *et al.* Microelectronics Reliability, 40, 1371 (2000) 23µm



Scanning Automation : Basic Principle







SEU Mapping

Pouget, et al. Microelectronics Reliability, 40, 1371 (2000)





SEU Mapping : Sensitivity of the NMOS Drain





Pouget, *et al.* Microelectronics Reliability, 40, 1371 (2000)



From SEU Mapping to Cross-Section analysis

Pouget, et al. Microelectronics Reliability, 40, 1371 (2000)





Spatial Selectivity *Example*:

Laser-Induced Latchup Evaluation and Mitigation in CMOS Devices



Latch-Up Mitigation of RH Parts for Space Missions

- LVDS Quad differential line driver (DS90C031) designed into (~2000) GPS II upgrade program
- Unanticipated latchup sensitivity observed in HI testing (NASA)
- Unacceptable for mission requirements; threatened to delay launch date (big \$\$\$)
- Pulsed laser SPA SEL evaluation (*NRL*) revealed sensitivity localized to a small region \rightarrow redesign possible
- Redesigned (*Boeing*) \rightarrow refabricated (*NS*) \rightarrow retested (*NASA*)
- No Latchup observed in redesigned part
- Launch on schedule



National Semiconductor DS90C031 LVDS Original Design

Drive Transistor



Fab: National Semi

Rad test: NASA Goddard

Also involved: Sandia



National Semiconductor DS90C031 LVDS Comparison of Two Designs

Original Design Redesigned Part 4 В С C 6000

Design: Boeing Fab: National Semi Rad test: NASA Goddard Also involved: Sandia

U.S.NAVAL

LABORATORY

McMorrow, et al., IEEE TNS 53, 1819 (2006).



Part Screening Using PL SEE

- Screening to reject
- Screening to accept





- This example: two Resolver-to-Digital Converters were screened for latchup for a NASA mission
- The pulsed laser permits the rapid and accurate location of SEU and SEL sensitive regions of COTS parts with sub-micron precision

DDC RDC19220





- The latch-up sensitive areas for one of the parts is shown here
- Based solely on these laser results, this part was eliminated from consideration for this and future NASA missions

SEL sensitive areas in COTS RDC (DDC RDC19220)

Buchner, et al., TNS, **46**, 1445 (1999)





- The latch-up sensitive areas for one of the parts is here
- Based solely on these laser results, this part was eliminated from consideration for this and future NASA missions
- The other part was latch-up free and, following heavy-ion testing, was deemed acceptable for the mission in question

SEL sensitive areas in COTS RDC (DDC RDC19220)

Buchner, et al., TNS, 46, 1445 (1999)



Can SEL screening be quantitative?



- This example:
 - 2.8 pJ latchup threshold (590 nm) 1.4 pC deposited charge LET_{th}: 8 MeV·cm²/mg
- HI LET threshold: 5-15 MeV·cm²/mg
- 0.8 μm bulk technology node



- Topic of considerable current interest
 - Can we use laser SEL screening to relieve stress on accelerators?
- SEL screening is *routinely used* in different laboratories *to eliminate parts* from consideration for space missions and to minimize the amount of heavyion testing required
- However, SEL screening is *rarely used for part* acceptance, but that may change as the landscape moves towards constellations of lower-cost, smaller satellites, and as quantitative methods mature



- Good agreement between laser- and ion-induced individual SET pulse shapes and for the VAt representation
- Pulsed laser irradiation can be used to generate the *"worst case" transients* for linear devices
- Pulsed laser irradiation has proven to be an *effective and cost efficient screening method* for linear bipolar parts for space missions
- Such screening has been used in lieu of heavy-ion testing by NRL, NASA Goddard, JPL, and others





- Screening to eliminate parts:
 - Demonstrated for SEL and ASET
 - Commonly utilized by various laboratories
- Screening to accept parts:
 - Work in progress; some examples exist
 - Depends on criticality of the mission
 - Depends on criticality of the part
 - Depends on philosophy of program manager
 - Part by part decision



Laser-Ion Correlation

With proper dosimetry, calibration, and a validated chargedeposition model, we can now attack problems that were not possible previously. TPA laser-ion correlation is one such problem.



Laser/Ion Correlation: Description of the Challenge

Challenges:

- Technology diversity
 - CMOS, HBT, Bulk, Epitaxial, SOI, III-V, Heterojunction, FinFET, etc.
- Incident (TPA) laser pulse parameters
 - Accurate beam-line characterization and calibration
 - Laser pulse energy, spot size, pulse width
- Accurate modeling of the charge deposition





Historical Laser/Ion Correlation Empirical Correlation - SPA

590 nm Top-Side Single-Photon Absorption SEU and SEL



Laser Upset Threshold, pJ

- Empirical correlation by identifying conditions that gave rise to an equivalent device response
- Correlation Factor:

$3 pJ \approx 1 MeV \cdot cm^2/mg$

- Proved valid for a wide range of devices and technology nodes (*down to 0.25 μm bulk CMOS*)
- Specific to a given optical geometry (laser wavelength, pulse width, spot size)

McMorrow, et al., TNS, 47, 559 (2000)

Moss, et al., TNS 42, 1948 (1995)



Laser/Ion Correlation: 90 nm SOI SRAM



Heavy Ion: 0.1 < LETth < 0.46

Laser: LETth ≈ 0.2 MeV·cm²/mg

SERESSA 2022 – 5-9 December 2022, CERN, Geneva, Swizerland



Laser/Ion Correlation: Bulk Si Diode - TPA

Combination of experimental measurements and accurate charge deposition modeling





PL SEE Using Axicon -- Quasi-Bessel Beam

Spherical Lens vs. Axicon TPA Charge Generation in Silicon



PL SEE Using Axicon -- Quasi-Bessel Beam

U.S.NAVAL

LABORATORY





• Acquired QBB data one month before heavy-ion testing







 Two data sets show remarkably good correlation across all four major branches with both the slopes and end points matching well







Randomly selected 500 SETs to reproduce sparsity of heavy-ion data; improves agreement





- Comparison of respective QBB and Heavy Ion SETs
- Good correlation shown for worst-case SETs



SERESSA 2022 – 5-9 December 2022, CERN, Geneva, Swizerland



- Comparison of respective QBB and Heavy Ion SETs
- Good correlation shown for worst-case SETs



SERESSA 2022 – 5-9 December 2022, CERN, Geneva, Swizerland



- Accurate SET prediction in a complex device has been demonstrated
 - Laser-equivalent LET is calculated directly, without fitting or adjustable parameters
 - Complicated SET response in LM124 demonstrated via whole chip response and worse-case transients
- QBB provides a laser testing approach with *predictive capability* that can potentially help alleviate the burden on traditional heavy-ion testing
- Investigation in wider variety of devices being targeted as well as other SEEs



SERESSA 2022 – 5-9 December 2022, CERN, Geneva, Swizerland


Summary and Conclusions

- Brief overview of PL SEE
- Theoretical basis
- Experimental examples
 - Historical
 - Current
- Interesting things moving forward
 - Laser/ion correlation
 - PL SEE Part Screening
 - Axicon-based SEE

SERESSA 2022 – 5-9 December 2022, CERN, Geneva, Swizerland