



Science and Technology Facilities Council

## Photo-assisted Cl<sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup> and I<sup>-</sup> production in cesium sputter ion source

JYVÄSKYLÄN YLIOPISTO UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ

**Akbar Hossain** 

**Doctoral Researcher** 

University of Jyväskylä, Finland

8th International Symposium on Negative Ions, Beams and Sources – NIBS2022 2–7 Oct 2022, Orto Botanico - Padova, Italy

Co-authors: Olli Tarvainen, Mikael Reponen, Risto Kronholm, Jaakko Julin, Ville Toivanen, Taneli Kalvas, Mikko Kivekäs and Mikko Laitinen

### **Outline: SNICS ion source and Lasers**

- Motivation and background
- Previous results: Evidence of non-resonant nature
- Off-line development of the laser setup
- Laser power measurement at the SNICS setup
- Experimental results
- Conclusion



Pelletron







#### 4.0 4.0 Cs<sup>0</sup> ionization potential 3.5 3.5 7d <sup>2</sup>D<sub>3/2</sub> 8p <sup>2</sup>P<sub>3/2</sub> - <sup>8p 2</sup>P<sup>3</sup>/2 Al<sup>-</sup> 8p <sup>2</sup>P<sup>9</sup><sub>1/2</sub> (0.351) ------ 7d <sup>2</sup>D<sub>3/2</sub> (0.086) 8s <sup>2</sup>S<sub>1/2</sub> (0.090) 405 nm 8p <sup>2</sup>P<sub>3/2</sub> 7p<sup>2</sup>P<sub>3/2</sub> (0.133) 3.0 3.0 8s <sup>2</sup>S<sub>1/2</sub> 7p<sup>2</sup>P<sub>1/2</sub> (0.158) 445 nm 450 nm 43.8% 56.1% 2.5 Cs excitation energy (eV) 0.7 2.2 2.2 520 nm 7s <sup>2</sup>S<sub>1/2</sub> (0.048) 19. Kolo 7s<sup>2</sup>S<sub>1/2</sub> 68.00 O-2.0 2.0 - 638 nm 37.200 5d 2D5/2 $\begin{array}{ccc} 5d \ ^2D_{5/2} & (1.282) \\ 5d \ ^2D_{3/2} & (0.909) \end{array}$ 5d <sup>2</sup>D<sub>3/2</sub> 100% 10.5% 6p <sup>2</sup>P<sub>3/2</sub> 6p <sup>2</sup>P<sub>1/2</sub> 89.5% 1.5 6p <sup>2</sup>P<sub>3/2</sub> (0.029) 6p <sup>2</sup>P<sub>1/2</sub> (0.034) 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.5 6s <sup>2</sup>S<sub>1/2</sub> 0.0 (a) (b) 0.0 d σ (arb.) s р

## Motivation and background



#### **SNICS** ion source



Schematic drawing of the SNICS ion source:

- (1) Caesium oven and transfer line, (up to the ionizer in reality)
- (2) Ionisation chamber
- (3) Ionizer
- (4) Cathode,
- (5) Focusing electrode (immersion lens) and
- (6) Extraction channel and electrodes.





Cathode wheel (40 cathode)

SNICS:

- Beams from H to U
- AMS (C14, etc) usage and IBA
- Molecule beams available
- Gaseous targets also possible (CO2)
- Beam currents up to ~400 uA (Carbon)

#### **Motivation and background**

- Vogel: Resonant Ion Pair Production (2018) [1]
- JYFL-ACCLAB and the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council, STFC:
  Negative ions can be provoked by any laser (2020) [2]
- JYFL-ACCLAB and STFC: Beam current enhancement does not depend on the resonant ion pair production, extracted beam current can be enhanced by a factor >2 (2022) [3]
- Experiments with Cl<sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup> and l<sup>-</sup>: All have similar Cs-matrix material + usage in Ion Beam Analysis (IBA)



The effect of (a) 50 s and (b) 100 ms laser pulses at 450 nm / 1.6 W, 520 nm / 1.0 W and 638 nm / 0.7 W wavelengths / powers on the O<sup>-</sup> beam current. The best recorded example of the (c) 445 nm, 6 W laser on the O<sup>-</sup> beam current. [2]

<sup>[2]</sup> O. Tarvainen, R. Kronholm, M. Laitinen, M. Reponen, J. Julin, V. Toivanen, M. Napari, M. Marttinen, D. Faircloth, H. Koivisto, and T. Sajavaara,

<sup>&</sup>quot;Experimental evidence on photo-assisted O<sup>-</sup> ion production from Al2O3 cathode in cesium sputter negative ion source," Journal of Applied Physics 128, 094903 (2020).

<sup>[3]</sup> A. Hossain, O. Tarvainen, M. Reponen, R. Kronholm, J. Julin, T. Kalvas, V. Toivanen, M. Kivekäs and M. Laitinen

Photo-enhanced O-, H- and Br- ion production in caesium sputter negative ion source-no evidence for resonant ion pair production, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. (2022).

#### Previous results: Evidence of non-resonant nature ?



Fig: Multiple probed laser wavelengths and energy levels.

O. Tarvainen, R. Kronholm, M. Laitinen, M. Reponen, J. Julin, V. Toivanen, M. Napari, M. Marttinen, D. Faircloth, H. Koivisto, and T. Sajavaara, "Experimental evidence on photo-assisted O<sup>-</sup> ion production from AI2O3 cathode in cesium sputter negative ion source," <u>Journal of</u> <u>Applied Physics 128, 094903 (2020).</u>

References -

pair production

M Kivekäs<sup>1</sup> and M Laitinen<sup>1</sup>

Published 9 September 2022 • © 2022 IOP Publishing Ltd Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics, Volume 55, Number 44

Citation A Hossain et al 2022 J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 55 445202

+ Article information

sputter negative ion source-no evidence for resonant ion

A Hossain<sup>3,1</sup> (i), O Tarvainen<sup>2</sup> (i), M Reponen<sup>1</sup>, R Kronholm<sup>1</sup> (ii), J Julin<sup>1</sup> (ii), T Kalvas<sup>1</sup>, V Toivanen<sup>1</sup>

## 

### Accelerator Laboratory of the University of Jyväskylä

Exotic

Nuclei

Optical

Spectroscopy

C-Linac Radiation Effect

Irradiation Servic

Nuclear

Astrophysics

**JYFL-ACCLAB** Layout

Radioisotopes

K=130 MeV

Ion Sources /

**Plasma Physics** 

K=30 MeV

Nuclea

Structure

- Large scale nuclear physics-based infrastructure
- Versatile support from ion source, laser, IBA experts available
- Experiments done at Pelletron lab: Using NEC made 40 cathode MC-SNICS source, where Laser input has been through the viewport at the magnet



### **Experimental Setup for non(?)-resonance measurements**



The output power of the two lasers, the power at the cathode and corresponding efficiency

	Toptica DLC DL pro HP			LE-445-6000 diode laser	
Output power [mW]	Power at the cathode [mW]	Efficiency [%]	Output power [W]	Power at the cathode [mW]	Efficiency [%]
0.03	0.01	33.3	0.3	8.8	2.9
0.06	0.02	33.3	0.7	21.1	3.0
4.8	1.6	33.3	1.0	30.3	3.0
12.0	3.6	30.0	1.3	38.8	3.0
19.5	6.3	32.3	1.7	50.3	3.0
26.7	8.2	30.7	2.2	62.2	2.8
34.5	11.4	33.0	2.3	64.4	2.8
41.7	14.8	35.5	3.0	79.0	2.6
49.0	16.0	32.7	3.6	77.2	2.1
56.0	17.4	31.1	4.2	71.3	1.7
62.6	21.6	34.5	4.7	70.7	1.5
70.9	23.2	32.7	5.0	68.5	1.4
79.7	28.0	35.1	5.2	64.2	1.2
85.4	28.8	33.7	-	-	-
94.1	33.2	35.3	-	-	-
100.1	33.7	33.7	-	-	-
111.9	39.7	35.5	-	-	-
116.9	41.3	35.3	-	-	-

Maximum power delivered to the cathode (1 mm):

- Precision laser: 41 mW
- "High" power laser: 79 mW (optics were optimized for precision laser measurements)



#### **Evidence of non-resonant nature**

515

(b) 459,4295 nm





Beam intensity change was similar amount for broad range of wavelengths (>10) scanned over the expected resonance frequencies of the neutral Cs. ->> not a resonance effect (at least for these states)

Wavelength selection for the excitation of the **7p1/2** electronic state of neutral Cs: (a) shows the expected maximum Doppler broadening of the absorption line and the laser wavelengths used for probing the putative ion pair production.

The prompt effect of the laser exposure on the O- beam current with different wavelengths: (b) in-vacuum resonance at 459.4295 nm, (c) 459.4289 nm within the maximum Doppler broadening, and (d) 459.4321 nm outside the maximum Doppler broadening.  $_{9/29/2022}$  10



#### **Evidence of non-resonant nature**

ON

ON

20 30 ON

Time [ms]

Time [ms]

ON

ON

40 50 60 70 80 90 100

ON



Beam intensity change was similar amount for broad range of wavelengths (>10) scanned over the expected resonance frequencies of the neutral Cs. ->> not a resonance effect (at least for these states)

(d) 455.6515 nm ON ON ON ON ON ON 40 50 60 10 20 30 70 80 90 100

Wavelength selection for the excitation of the **7p3/2** electronic state of neutral Cs: (a) shows the expected maximum Doppler broadening of the absorption line and the laser wavelengths used for probing the putative ion pair production.

The prompt effect of the laser exposure on the O- beam current with different wavelengths: (b) in-vacuum resonance at 455.6502 nm, (c) 455.6508 nm within the maximum Doppler broadening, and (d) 455.6515 nm outside the maximum Doppler broadening. 9/29/2022 11



# Off-line development of the laser setup

## How about MORE POWER !?





From previous maximum of <100mW to > 600 mW at the cathode position Ø1 mm



Laser power measurement at the SNICS ion source cathode position





Ion Source as opened, Ionizer (behind the Cs focus electrode) and Cs tube visible

Gate valve for cathode wheel exchange purposes





Quartz window flange, for laser power measurement at the target/cathode position Power Measurement

ThermalQuartz window-Power sensorflange

1 mm diameter collimator @ cathode position







### **Optical Setup**



Vacuum Viewport

#### **Power measurement**

Output Power [W]	Power at the cathode [mW]	Efficiency [%]
1.09	40	3.67
2.64	100	3.79
4.19	150	3.58
5.71	240	4.2
7.22	295	4.09
8.68	390	4.49
10.11	470	4.65
11.50	540	4.70
12.80	605	4.73

Maximum power delivered to the cathode (1 mm)



## **Experimental results**

## Effect of the laser repetition rate on the beam current

#### NOTE: Highly depleted Cs conditions!

- Fresh (cleaned) ion source,
- Cs oven temperature: 140 °C. BUT..
- Tube heater was room temp (heater failure after maintenance).
- Experiments were done with very little of Cs in the source and only fraction of normal beam intensity could be extracted also after these measurements (from non-Cs containing compounds).



#### **Different time scale: Cathode Material: CsCl**



The effect on the  $Cl^-$  beam current with 605 mW laser output power at nominal 445 nm wavelength incident on the cathode. The laser pulse period is (b) 1 s and (c) 10 s with 60% duty factor. **Longer the pulse, more beam seemed to be available.** 



#### **Different time scale: Cathode Material: CsBr**



The effect on the  $Br^-$  beam current with 605 mW laser output power at nominal 445 nm wavelength incident on the cathode. The laser pulse period is (b) 1 s and (c) 10 s with 60% duty factor. **Longer the pulse, more beam seemed to be available.** 

## 

#### **Different time scale: Cathode Material: Csl**



The effect on the  $I^-$  beam current with 295 mW laser output power at nominal 445 nm wavelength incident on the cathode. The laser pulse period is (b) 1 s and (c) 10 s with 60% duty factor. **Longer the pulse, more beam seemed to be available.** 



## **Experimental results**

# Experiments with different laser power

NOTE: Highly depleted Cs conditions!

- Fresh (cleaned) ion source,
- Cs oven temperature: 140 °C. BUT..
- Tube heater was room temp (heater failure after maintenance).
- Experiments were done with very little of Cs in the source and only fraction of normal beam intensity could be extracted also after these measurements (from non-Cs containing compounds).

#### **Different laser power on the CsBr cathode**



200 nA as base beam current before and after for all measurements.

<u>ll</u>

The effect on the  $Br^-$  beam current with (a) 40 mW, (b) 295 mW and (c) 605 mW laser output power at nominal 445 nm wavelength incident on the cathode. The laser pulse period is 2 s with 60% duty factor. Enhancement: (a) 110%, (b) 350% and (c) 550%.



#### NOTE: Highly depleted Cs conditions!

# Experiment with 6 min laser pulse, 60% duty factor



Time

#### **Comparing different target materials**

CsCl cathode material

Ŵ

CsBr cathode material

Csl cathode material



Longer pulses tend to produce more beam, and the intensity is more stable than for short pulses. Stabilization of the Cs surface condition? Are we removing the "extra" Cs or depleting the Cs layer too much ?

The effect on the  $Cl^-$ ,  $Br^-$  and  $I^-$  beam current with 605 mW laser power on the cathode. The laser pulse period is 6 min with 60% duty factor. Enhancement approximately: (a) 170 %, (b) 900% and (c) 400% (based on  $3^{rd}$  pulse).

### **One of the PROPOSED MECHANISM**



Photoelectric emission of electrons, which causes prompt Cs ionization and increased sputtering.

A schematic drawing of the SNICS ion source (a) and the illustration of the proposed photo-assisted negative ion production mechanism (b)-(f).

The applied laser beam (c) induces photoelectron emission from the cathode (d) resulting in volumetric ionization of the Cs vapor (e). The labeling refers to the following:

(1) caesium oven and transfer line, (2) ionization chamber, (3) ionizer, (4) cathode, (5) focusing electrode (immersion lens), (6) extraction channel and electrodes, (7) laser beam, (8) emitted photoelectrons, (9) ionised Cs+ and (10) increased negative ion yield.



## Long laser pulses and measuring the cathode current

# Can we quantify the photoelectrons ?

#### NOTE: Highly depleted Cs conditions!

- Fresh (cleaned) ion source,
- Cs oven temperature: 140 °C. BUT..
- Tube heater was room temp (heater failure after maintenance).
- Experiments were done with very little of Cs in the source and only fraction of normal beam intensity could be extracted also after these measurements (from non-Cs containing compounds).



lle



The effect on the (a)  $I^-$  beam current with 470 mW laser power on the cathode and corresponding (b) cathode current. The laser pulse period is 10 min with 60% duty factor. Enhancement approximately: (a) 700%.



#### **Photoelectrons and the Cs balance?**



The effect on the (a)  $Br^{-}$  beam current with 605 mW laser power on the cathode and corresponding (b) cathode current. The laser pulse period is 60 min with 60% duty factor. Enhancement approximately: (a) 350% (Based on 3<sup>rd</sup> pulse).

#### **Conclusions**

Probability density [a.u.]

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

- Beam current enhancement depends on the \*\*
  - Applied laser power
  - ✤ Wavelength

<u>ll</u>

- Pulse length and
- ✤ The ion source conditions.
- The extracted beam current can • be enhanced by a factor up to 9 or more.
- Resonant ion pair production does not play a role on the beam current enhancement.



- Photoelectron emission
- II. Cs coverage on the cathode surface





#### **Future plan**

- Cathode voltage pulsing with/without the laser \*\*
- "High performance" tests at Helsinki AMS lab \*\*
- Where does the effect relate, does if have a molecule effect? (LiO vs Li2O)
- Ionizer effect tested independently to Cs condition (by the oven)
- Continuation of the measurement through multiple materials \*\* and target
- Photoelectric effect: changing the cathode holder material for \*\* same target material
- ... a lot of possiblities \*\*
- Patent pending...





Martin Martschini, Petra Holzer, Esad Hrnjic, Alfred Priller, Peter Steier, Robin Golser, Pulsed operation of a SNICS ion source - ionization efficiency and ion current output, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, Volume 527, 2022, Pages 7-11



#### Thank you for your attentation



Science and Technology Facilities Council

