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- Frogatt-Nielsen(FN) setup ascribed higgs and quarks some extra charges corresponding to a discrete or continous symmetry.
- Higher-Dimensional terms could instead be written by inserting an appropriate number of "Flavon" scalar field $\mathcal{F}$.
- Here we consider a setup where the flavon charges correspond to an $\mathrm{U}(1)$, but restricted strictly to neutrino sector.
- Can help alter the traditional $Z^{\prime}$ phenomenology.
- The higher-D an be utilized to produce small SM neutrino mass.
- If some of the RHNs are light, they can be viable dark matter.
- If two of the RHNs have similar masses, it can lead to resonant leptogenesis.

| Anomaly | Expression |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathrm{SU}(3)_{c}\right]^{2} \mathrm{U}(1)_{z}$ | $2 z_{Q}=z_{u}+z_{d}$ |
| $[\mathrm{SU}(2)]^{2} \mathrm{U}(1)_{z}$ | $3 z_{Q}+z_{L}=0$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{U}(1)_{Y}\right]^{2} \mathrm{U}(1)_{z}$ | $z_{Q}+3 z_{L}=8 z_{u}+2 z_{d}+6 z_{e}$ |
| $\mathrm{U}(1)_{Y}\left[\mathrm{U}(1)_{z}\right]^{2}$ | $z_{Q}^{2}-z_{L}^{2}=2 z_{u}^{2}-z_{d}^{2}-z_{e}^{2}$ |
| $[\mathrm{U}(1) z]^{3}$ | $6 z_{Q}^{3}+2 z_{L}^{3}=3 z_{u}^{3}+3 z_{d}^{3}+z_{e}^{3}+\sum_{i=1}^{3} z_{i}^{3}$ |

- Existence of mass terms of the fermions require

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{H}=z_{L}-z_{e}=z_{Q}-z_{d}=z_{u}-z_{Q} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- After simplification of all the constraints, the RHNs satisfy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} z_{i}^{3}=3 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- We stick to the most non-trivial rational values of $z_{i}$ s: 4,4 and -5 .
- The other fermion charges can be simplified to:

|  | $\mathrm{SU}(3)_{c}$ | $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{L}$ | $\mathrm{U}(1)_{Y}$ | $\mathrm{U}(1)_{z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $q_{L}$ | 3 | 2 | $1 / 6$ | $z_{Q}$ |
| $u_{R}$ | 3 | 1 | $2 / 3$ | $1+4 z_{Q}$ |
| $d_{R}$ | 3 | 1 | $-1 / 3$ | $-1-2 z_{Q}$ |
| $\ell_{L}$ | 1 | 2 | $-1 / 2$ | $-3 z_{Q}$ |
| $e_{R}$ | 1 | 1 | -1 | $-1-6 z_{Q}$ |
| $H$ | 1 | 2 | $1 / 2$ | $1+3 z_{Q}$ |
| $N_{1 R}, N_{2 R}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| $N_{3 R}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | -5 |
| $\chi_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $z_{\chi_{1}}$ |
| $\chi_{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $z_{\chi_{2}}$ |

Table: The charge assignments for the fermions and scalars of the model.
$\Rightarrow$ Proceeding with the choice $z_{\chi_{1}}=-3 / 4$ and $z_{\chi_{2}}=-4$ for the scalars, the neutrino mass terms can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\nu \mathrm{mass}} & \approx \mathcal{L}^{(5)}+\mathcal{L}^{(8)}+\mathcal{L}^{(12)}+\text { H.c. } \\
\mathcal{L}^{(5)} & \equiv \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{2} s_{\alpha \beta} \overline{N_{\alpha R}^{c}} N_{\beta R} \frac{x_{2}^{2}}{\Lambda}, \\
\mathcal{L}^{(8)} & \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} y_{i \alpha} \bar{L}_{i L} N_{\alpha R} \widetilde{H} \frac{x_{1}^{4}}{\Lambda^{4}}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} s_{\alpha 3} \overline{N_{\alpha R}^{c}} N_{3 R} \frac{x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{*}}{\Lambda^{4}},  \tag{3}\\
\mathcal{L}^{(12)} & \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{3} \tilde{y}_{i} \bar{L}_{i L} N_{3 R} \widetilde{H} \frac{x_{1}^{* 8}}{\Lambda^{8}},
\end{align*}
$$

- The structure of the neutrino mass matrix looks like:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{M}_{\nu}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0_{3 \times 3} & \mathcal{D} \\
D^{T} & M_{N}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{4}\\
\mathcal{D} \approx v \xi^{4}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
y_{13} \xi^{4} & y_{11} & y_{12} \\
y_{23} \xi^{4} & y_{21} & y_{22} \\
y_{33} \xi^{4} & y_{31} & y_{32}
\end{array}\right) M_{N} \sim \frac{x^{2}}{\Lambda}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & s_{31} \xi^{3} & s_{32} \xi^{3} \\
s_{31} \xi^{3} & a_{1} & 0 \\
s_{32} \xi^{3} & 0 & a_{2}
\end{array}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

- The $3 \times 3$ block diagonalised matrix is then given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{3 \times 3}=-\mathcal{D} M_{N}^{-1} \mathcal{D}^{T}+\mathcal{O}\left(M_{N}^{-2}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The matrix explicitely is:

$$
M_{3 \times 3}=\frac{-v^{2} \xi^{6}}{2 \Lambda}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
M_{11} & M_{12} & M_{13}  \tag{7}\\
M_{12} & M_{22} & M_{23} \\
M_{13} & M_{23} & M_{33}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- The mass matrix is diagonalised by the PMNS matrix $U$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{T} M_{3 \times 3} U=\widehat{M}, \quad \widehat{M} \equiv \operatorname{diag}\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, 0\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure: Correlation of Yukawa couplings in the Dirac sector for neutrino masses in normal hierarchy. Allowed points after diagonalization of neutrino mass matrix satisfying the bound on total mass of three neutrino species (in yellow), points with satisfying the bound on $\Delta m_{32}^{2}$ (in purple) and allowed points after another bound of $\Delta m_{12}^{2}$ (in red).


Figure: Correlation of Yukawa couplings in the Dirac sector for an inverted hierarchy of neutrino masses.

- $g_{z}$ can be best investigated at colliders through direct production or by effecting precesion studies.





- Mono-lepton: The mono-lepton signature can arise from the following decay

$$
p p \rightarrow Z^{\prime} \rightarrow N_{1} N_{1}+N_{2} N_{2}\left\{\begin{array}{ll} 
& \rightarrow  \tag{9}\\
& \left(W_{h}^{ \pm} \ell^{\mp}\right)\left(Z_{h} \nu\right) \\
& \rightarrow \\
\left(W_{h}^{ \pm} \ell^{\mp}\right)\left(H_{h} \nu\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

- Di-lepton: The di-lepton signature can arise from the following decay

$$
\begin{equation*}
p p \rightarrow Z^{\prime} \rightarrow N_{1} N_{1}+N_{2} N_{2} \rightarrow\left(W_{h}^{ \pm} \ell^{\mp}\right)\left(W_{h}^{ \pm} \ell^{\mp}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Tri-lepton: The tri-lepton signature can arise from the following decay

$$
p p \rightarrow Z^{\prime} \rightarrow N_{1} N_{1}+N_{2} N_{2}\left\{\begin{array}{ll} 
& \rightarrow  \tag{11}\\
& \left(W_{\ell}^{ \pm} \ell^{\mp}\right)\left(W_{h}^{ \pm} \ell^{\mp}\right) \\
& \rightarrow \\
\left(W_{h}^{ \pm} \ell^{\mp}\right)\left(Z_{\ell} \nu\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

- If the light-heavy neutrino mixing angle is very small, the RHNs become long-lived which can lead to a unique displaced vertex (DV) signature.
- The mass of the lightest RHN is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(\Psi) \sim\left(s_{\alpha 3} \frac{x_{1}^{4} x_{2}}{\Lambda^{4}}\right)^{2} \frac{\Lambda}{x_{2}^{2}} \sim s_{\alpha 3}^{2} \xi^{7} x . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives a mass of few keVs for $s_{\alpha 3}$ of 0.05 and $N_{1,2} 1.2 \mathrm{TeV}$.

- The mixing between the lightest RHN and the three light neutrinos can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi \approx \cos \theta_{i} N_{3}+\sin \theta_{i} \nu_{i}, \quad \nu^{\prime} \approx-\sin \theta_{i} N_{3}+\cos \theta_{i} \nu, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

- This leads to the Z-mediated decay mode:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{i}=\Gamma\left(\Psi \rightarrow \nu_{i} \bar{\nu}_{j} \nu_{j}\right) \sim \frac{G_{F}^{2} M_{N_{3}}^{5}}{192 \pi^{3}} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{i j}}{2}\right), \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Lepton number and CP violation can occur through the out of equilibrium decay of a heavy RHN.

- The CP asymmetry is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{N_{k}}^{l}=-\sum \frac{\Gamma\left(N_{k} \rightarrow L_{l}+H^{+}, \nu_{l}+H^{0}\right)-\Gamma\left(N_{k} \rightarrow L_{l}+H^{-}, \nu_{l}^{c}+H^{0^{*}}\right)}{\Gamma\left(N_{k} \rightarrow L_{l}+H^{+}, \nu_{l}+H^{0}\right)+\Gamma\left(N_{k} \rightarrow L_{l}+H^{-}, \nu_{l}^{c}+H^{0^{*}}\right)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For Resonant Leptogenesis

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{N i}-M_{N j} \sim \frac{\Gamma_{N_{i, j}}}{2}, \quad \frac{\operatorname{Im}\left(Y_{\nu}^{\dagger} Y_{\nu}\right)_{i j}^{2}}{\left(Y_{\nu}^{\dagger} Y_{\nu}\right)_{i i}\left(Y_{\nu}^{\dagger} Y_{\nu}\right)_{j j}} \sim 1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The approximate expression for baryon to photon ratio can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{B} \sim \sum_{l, i} \frac{\epsilon_{i l}}{200 * K_{i}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The approximate baryon asymmetry can be achieved:


Figure: Baryon asymmetry as a function of Yukawa coupling ( $y_{12}$ ) for NH (left panel) and IH (right panel). The violate line indicates the Planck bound reporting the baryon to photon ratio to be $\eta_{B}=(6-6.18) \times 10^{-10}$.

- We introduced Higher-Dimensional effective operators by extending the SM gauge group by an extra $\mathrm{U}(1)$.
- We utilised the power of higher-dimensional operators to arrive at the correct neutrino masses obeying all neutrino constraints and without resorting to ultra-small couplings.
- We showed that this kind of framework leads to a relaxed bound on Z' mass from the dilepton and dijet data.
- We can potentially solve two big shortcomings of SM: Dark Matter and Matter-Antimatter asymmetry.

- The scalar lagrangian is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {scalar }}=\left(D^{\mu} H\right)^{\dagger} D_{\mu} H+\sum_{A}\left(\tilde{D}^{\mu} \chi_{A}\right)^{\dagger} \tilde{D}_{\mu} \chi_{A}-V\left(H^{\dagger} H,\left\{\chi_{A}^{\dagger} \chi_{A}\right\}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The mass matrix and the mixing angles are given by:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{M}^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
g_{y}^{2} v_{h}^{2} & -g_{y} g_{w} v_{h}^{2} & g_{y} g_{z} z_{H} v_{h}^{2} \\
-g_{y} g_{z} v_{h}^{2} & g_{w}^{2} v_{h}^{2} & -g_{w} g_{z} z_{H} v_{h}^{2} \\
g_{y} g_{z} z_{h} v_{h}^{2} & -g_{w} g_{z} z_{H} v_{h}^{2} & g_{z}^{2}\left(z_{H}^{2} v_{h}^{2}+\sum_{A} z_{\chi_{A}}^{2} x_{A}^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]  \tag{19}\\
\cot (2 t) \frac{4 z_{H} g_{z} e}{\sin (2 w)}=\frac{g_{z}^{2}}{v_{h}^{2}}\left(\sum_{A} z_{\chi_{A}}^{2} v_{A}^{2}+z_{H}^{2} v_{h}^{2}\right)-\frac{4 e^{2}}{\sin ^{2}(2 w)} \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

- The heavy neutral gauge boson masses are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{Z}^{2}\left(M_{Z^{\prime}}^{2}\right)=\frac{e^{2} v_{h}^{2} \cos ^{2} t}{\sin ^{2}(2 w)}+\frac{g_{z}^{2}}{4}\left(z_{H}^{2} v_{h}^{2}+\sum_{A} z_{\chi_{A}}^{2} v_{A}^{2}\right) \sin ^{2} t \mp \frac{e g_{z} z_{H} v_{h}^{2}}{2 \sin (2 w)} \sin 2 t \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The potential is constructed out of two complex scalars, with a $U(1) \times U(1)$ global symmetry with the potential:

$$
\begin{gather*}
V\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)=-\mu_{1}^{2} \chi_{1}^{\dagger} \chi_{1}-\mu_{2}^{2} \chi_{2}^{\dagger} \chi_{2}+\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}\left(\chi_{1}^{\dagger} \chi_{1}\right)^{2}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{2}\left(\chi_{2}^{\dagger} \chi_{2}\right)^{2}+\lambda_{12}\left(\chi_{1}^{\dagger} \chi_{1}\right)\left(\chi_{2}^{\dagger} \chi_{2}\right)  \tag{22}\\
\chi_{1,2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x_{1,2}+\xi_{1,2}+i \rho_{1,2}\right) \tag{23}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\xi_{1,2}, \rho_{1,2}$ are real fields and $x_{1,2}$ are the two vevs.
$\rightarrow$ For charges $z_{\chi_{1}}$ and $z_{\chi_{2}}$, one combination is gauged which gives the longitudinal mode of $Z^{\prime}$.

- The massless pseudoscalar is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\rho_{1} \sin \gamma_{A}-\rho_{2} \cos \gamma_{A}, \quad \tan \gamma_{A}=\frac{z_{\chi_{2}} x_{2}}{z_{\chi_{1}} x_{1}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The mixing angle and the masses of the two real scalars and are given by:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tan \left(2 \alpha_{\chi}\right)=\frac{2 \lambda_{12} x_{1} x_{2}}{\lambda_{1} x_{1}^{2}-\lambda_{2} x_{2}^{2}}  \tag{25}\\
m_{1,2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\lambda_{1} x_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2} x_{2}^{2} \pm\left|\lambda_{1} x_{1}^{2}-\lambda_{2} x_{2}^{2}\right| \sec \left(2 \alpha_{\chi}\right)\right] \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

- The Lagrangian for neutrino masses is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\nu \text { mass }} & =\mathcal{L}_{\text {Dirac }}+\mathcal{L}_{\text {Wein. }} ; \\
\mathcal{L}_{\text {Dirac }} & =\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} y_{i \alpha} \bar{L}_{i L} N_{\alpha R} \widetilde{H} \frac{\chi_{1}^{a_{1}} \chi_{2}^{a_{2}}}{\Lambda^{\left|a_{1}\right|+\left|a_{2}\right|}}+\sum_{i=1}^{3} \tilde{y}_{i} \bar{L}_{i L} N_{3 R} \widetilde{H} \frac{\chi_{1}^{a_{3}} \chi_{2}^{a_{4}}}{\Lambda^{\left|a_{3}\right|+\left|a_{4}\right|}}+\text { H.c.; } \\
\mathcal{L}_{\text {Wein. }} & =\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} w_{i j} \overline{L_{i L}^{c}} L_{j L} H H \frac{\chi_{1}^{b_{1}} \chi_{2}^{b_{2}}}{\Lambda^{\left|b_{1}\right|+\left|b_{2}\right|+1}}+\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{2} s_{\alpha \beta} \overline{N_{\alpha R}^{c}} N_{\beta R} \frac{\chi_{1}^{b_{3}} \chi_{2}^{b_{4}}}{\Lambda^{\left|b_{3}\right|+\left|b_{4}\right|-1}} \\
& +\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} s_{\alpha 3} \overline{N_{\alpha R}^{c}} N_{3 R} \frac{\chi_{1}^{b_{5}} \chi_{2}^{b_{6}}}{\Lambda^{\left|b_{5}\right|+\left|b_{6}\right|-1}}+s_{33} \overline{N_{3 R}^{c}} N_{3 R} \frac{\chi_{1}^{b_{7}} \chi_{2}^{b_{8}}}{\Lambda^{\left|b_{7}\right|+\left|b_{8}\right|-1}}+\text { H.c. }, \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

where the couplings $y_{i \alpha}, \tilde{y}_{i}, w_{i j}, s_{\alpha \beta}, s_{\alpha 3}$ and $s_{33}$ are dimensionless and the exponents satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{\chi_{1}} a_{1}+z_{\chi_{2}} a_{2} & =-3 & z_{\chi_{1}} a_{3}+z_{\chi_{2}} a_{4} & =6 \\
z_{\chi_{1}} b_{1}+z_{\chi_{2}} b_{2} & =-2 & z_{\chi_{1}} b_{3}+z_{\chi_{2}} b_{4} & =-8  \tag{28}\\
z_{\chi_{1}} b_{5}+z_{\chi_{2}} b_{6} & =1 & z_{\chi_{1}} b_{7}+z_{\chi_{2}} b_{8} & =10
\end{align*}
$$

