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Machine learning has transformed collider physics

e.g. top tagging

- Think about physics
- Deconstruct jet
- Look for W within top jet
- Look at helicity angle
- Hopkins Top Tagger (2008)

Machine learning methods are much better

- ML requires less “thinking”
- Less physical insight
- Better performance

best jet subsubstructure algorithm (2014)
What about the rest of high energy physics? What field ML make obsolete next?

- So far, most ML in physics is highly **numerical**
  - Collider physics applications involve millions of events
  - Data is real numbers
  - Approximate answers are ok

- Most hep-ph and hep-th papers are **symbolic**
  - Analytic understanding of some simplified system
  - Approximate but exact solutions to some equation
  - Loop calculations
    - Analytical computation of loops
    - Numerical implementation for precision physics

Symbolic ML methods will be **essential** for the future of High Energy Physics
Inspiration 1 (Facebook AI 2019)
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ABSTRACT

Neural networks have a reputation for being better at solving statistical or approximate problems than at performing calculations or working with symbolic data. In this paper, we show that they can be surprisingly good at more elaborated tasks in mathematics, such as symbolic integration and solving differential equations. We propose a syntax for representing mathematical problems, and methods for generating large datasets that can be used to train sequence-to-sequence models. We achieve results that outperform commercial Computer Algebra Systems such as Matlab or Mathematica.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( y' = \frac{16x^3 - 42x^2 + 2x}{(-16x^8 + 112x^7 - 204x^6 + 28x^5 - x^4 + 1)^{1/2}} )</td>
<td>( y = \sin^{-1}(4x^4 - 14x^3 + x^2) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 3xy \cos(x) - \sqrt{9x^2 \sin(x)^2 + 1}y' + 3y \sin(x) = 0 )</td>
<td>( y = c \exp\left( \sinh^{-1}(3x \sin(x)) \right) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 4x^4y'y'' - 8x^4y'' - 8x^3y'y'' - 3x^3y'y'' - 8x^2y'' - 6x^2y'y' - 3x^2y'y'' - 9xyy' - 3y = 0 )</td>
<td>( y = \frac{c_1 + 3x + 3 \log(x)}{x(c_2 + 4x)} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inspiration 2 (Google DeepMind 2019)
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D HIGH-SCHOOL MATHEMATICS QUESTIONS

1. Factorise $x^2 + 7x$
2. Factorise $y^2 - 10y + 16$
3. Factorise $2t^2 + 5t + 2$
4. Simplify $\frac{(x+1)^2}{2} + \frac{(x+3)^3}{3}$
5. Solve $2x^2 + 9x + 7$
6. Solve $\frac{2}{y^2} + \frac{9}{y} - 7 = 0$
7. Expand $3(x + 4) + 2(5x - 1)$
8. Expand $(2x + 1)(x - 4)$
9. Factor $6y^2 - 9xy$

• If this is the state of the art...  
... bar is pretty low
• Let’s try it!
Can we compute Feynman integrals with ML?

Is this crazy?
• I don’t think so. Already possible at 1-loop

Would it revolutionize physics?
• I don’t think so. But it would accelerate progress.
• Kind of like having a better calculator

Baby step: recognize when two forms are equivalent (necessary condition for next steps)
Application to HET: Simplifying amplitudes

e.g. Compton scattering at NLO [Lee, Schwartz, Zhang *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 126 (2021)]

Want to compute some Feynman diagrams

Reduce to iterated integrals

\[ I(s) = \int_1^{s'} \frac{ds'}{\sqrt{(s' - 1)(s' + 3)}} \int_1^{s''} \frac{ds''}{s''} \]

Do integrals

\[ I(s) = \int_1^{r(s)} d\ln r' \int_1^{r''} d\ln \left( \frac{r'' - r'' - 1}{r''} \right) \]

\[ = \int_1^{r(s)} \frac{dr'}{r'} \int_1^{r''} dr'' \left( -\frac{1}{r'' + z_1} + \frac{1}{r'' - z_2} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{2\pi^2}{9} - \frac{\ln^2 r}{2} + \left( \frac{i\pi}{3} + \ln r \right) \ln(r - z_1) + \ln(rz_1) \ln(r - z_2) \]

\[ - \text{Li}_2(1 - z_1) + \text{Li}_2(1 - rz_1) - \text{Li}_2(1 - z_2) + \text{Li}_2(1 - rz_2) \]

\[ z_1 = \frac{1 + i\sqrt{3}}{2} \]

\[ z_2 = \frac{1 - i\sqrt{3}}{2} \]

result is complicated
simplifying result took \(\sim 1\) month

\[ I(s) = -\frac{1}{3} \text{Li}_2(-r^3) + \text{Li}_2(-r) - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 r + \frac{\pi^2}{18} \]

Why is simplification important?

- Removes unphysical singularities
- A lot of physics in analytic structure
- Simple form indicates deeper structure
- Simplification at intermediate steps make full calculation tractable
- In a sense, **all of science is simplification**
How do we simplify polylogarithms?

Logarithm is easy: only one identity

\[ \ln(xy) = \ln x + \ln y \]

Dilogarithms have lots of identities

\begin{align*}
\text{(inversion)} \quad & \text{Li}_2(x) = -\text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{\log^2(-x)}{2} \\
\text{(reflection)} \quad & \text{Li}_2(x) = -\text{Li}_2(1-x) + \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \log(x) \log(1-x) \\
\text{(duplication)} \quad & \text{Li}_2(x) = -\text{Li}_2(-x) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2(x^2) \\
\text{(5-term identity)} \quad & \text{Li}_2(x) + \text{Li}_2(y) + \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1-x}{1-xy}\right) + \text{Li}_2(1-xy) + \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1-y}{1-xy}\right) \\
& = \frac{\pi^2}{2} - \ln(x) \ln(1-x) - \ln(y) \ln(1-y) - \ln \left(\frac{1-x}{1-xy}\right) \ln \left(\frac{1-y}{1-xy}\right)
\end{align*}

- Understood most transparently with the symbol (more later)

\text{Li}_3, \text{Li}_4, \text{etc.} have identities too (complete set not known)

\[ \text{Li}_3(x) = \text{Li}_3 \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - \frac{1}{6} \ln^3(-x) - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \ln(-x) \]
Problem statement:

Given some polylogarithmic expression:

\[
    f(x) = 9 \left( -\text{Li}_3(x) - \text{Li}_3 \left( \frac{2ix}{-i + \sqrt{3}} \right) - \text{Li}_3 \left( \frac{2ix}{i + \sqrt{3}} \right) \right) \\
    + 4 \left( -\text{Li}_3(x) + \text{Li}_3 \left( \frac{x}{x + 1} \right) + \text{Li}_3(x + 1) - \text{Li}_2(-x) \ln(x + 1) \right) \\
    - 4 \left( \text{Li}_2(x + 1) \ln(x + 1) + \frac{1}{6} \ln^3(x + 1) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(-x) \ln^2(x + 1) \right)
\]

1. What is its simplest form?
2. Does it simplify to zero?
3. What identities do we apply in what order to simplify it?

Two approaches

1. Reinforcement learning
2. Transformer networks
Reinforcement learning

- Applying identities can be viewed as moves in a game

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reflection</th>
<th>Inversion</th>
<th>Duplication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$-7L_2(x) \rightarrow 7L_2(1-x)$</td>
<td>$-7L_2(x) \rightarrow 7L_2\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$</td>
<td>$-7L_2(x) \rightarrow 7L_2(-x) - \frac{7}{2}L_2(x^2)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Tries action to take based on state
- Success reinforces good choices
- Learns best move given state
**Reinforcement learning**

Reward: \[ r_t = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } N_t^{\text{dilogs}} < N_{t'}^{\text{dilogs}} \forall t' < t \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \] (# dilogs goes down)

- Simple reward works better than more sophisticated ones (depending on expression length for example)

- Analog of "taking the king" in chess
  - RL learns more sophisticated value function during training

**Dataset:** Linear combinations of dilogarithms that reduce to 0

\[ 0 = 2 \text{Li}(1 - x) - 2 \text{Li}(1 - x) \]

*Scrambled "0"*

Generate 13,500 training expressions + 1,500 testing expressions
Results

Greedy: best guess only

Beam 3: keep 3 best paths in memory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reward</th>
<th>Agents</th>
<th>Greedy</th>
<th>Beam size 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Solved (%)</td>
<td># Unscramble steps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No penalty</td>
<td>One-hot</td>
<td>50 %</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GNN</td>
<td>56 %</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δrₜ penalty</td>
<td>One-hot</td>
<td>59 %</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GNN</td>
<td>53 %</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random</td>
<td></td>
<td>13 %</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classical</td>
<td></td>
<td>21 %</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Does **as well** as classical algorithm with many **fewer steps**

- Has learned something!
An alternative approach to simplifying polylogarithms is to translate them

**Transformer networks (Google brain 2017)**

*Attention is all you need*

*A Vaswani, N Shazeer, N Parmar… - Advances in neural …, 2017 - proceedings.neurips.cc*

The dominant sequence transduction models are based on complex recurrent or convolutional neural networks in an encoder and decoder configuration. The best performing such …

- 13 cites in hep-ph
- 15 cites in hep-th
This Nondisclosure Agreement (the "Agreement") is entered into by and between __________ with its principal offices at __________, ("Disclosing Party") and __________, located at __________ ("Receiving Party") for the purpose of preventing the unauthorized disclosure of Confidential Information as defined below. The parties agree to enter into a confidential relationship concerning the disclosure of certain proprietary and confidential information ("Confidential Information").

1. Definition of Confidential Information. For purposes of this Agreement, "Confidential Information" shall include all information or material that has or could have commercial value or other utility in the business in which Disclosing Party is engaged. If Confidential Information is in written form, the Disclosing Party shall label or stamp the materials with the word "Confidential" or some similar warning. If Confidential Information is transmitted orally, the Disclosing Party shall promptly provide writing indicating that such oral communication constituted Confidential Information.

2. Exclusions from Confidential Information. Receiving Party’s obligations under this Agreement do not extend to information that is: (a) publicly known at the time of disclosure or subsequently becomes publicly known through no fault of the Receiving Party; (b) discovered or created by the Receiving Party before disclosure by Disclosing Party; (c) learned by the Receiving Party through legitimate means other than from the Disclosing Party or Disclosing Party’s representatives; or (d) is disclosed by Disclosing Party with Disclosing Party's prior written approval.

This means that if you tell anyone else something I told you in private, I can sue you and make you pay me lots of money. It also means that if I tell you something in private, I can sue you if you tell anyone else. It also means that if I tell you something in private and then I change my mind and want to tell everyone else about it, I can sue you if you tell anyone else first.
What are 5 key points I should know when studying multiple polylogarithms?

1. Multiple polylogarithms are a generalization of the logarithm function.
2. They can be used to express a wide variety of mathematical functions.
3. Multiple polylogarithms can be defined in terms of power series.
4. They can be evaluated numerically using a variety of methods.
5. Multiple polylogarithms have a wide range of applications in mathematics and physics.

How can you simplify dilogarithms?

There is no general way to simplify dilogarithms. However, there are some special cases where dilogarithms can be simplified. For example, if the argument of the dilogarithm is 1, then the dilogarithm can be simplified to 0.

\[
\text{In[1]}= \text{PolyLog}[2, 1]
\]

\[
\text{Out[1]}= \frac{\pi^2}{6}
\]
Transformer networks

**Key Facts**
- Sequence to sequence model
- Encoder and Decoder structure
- Used in translation tasks
- Uses *attention blocks*

Attention allows it to appreciate **context**

*The animal didn't cross the street because it was too tired.*
*L'animal n'a pas traversé la rue parce qu'il était trop fatigué.*

*The animal didn't cross the street because it was too wide.*
*L'animal n'a pas traversé la rue parce qu'elle était trop large.*
How can we use transformers?

Treat polylogarithmic expressions as sentences

\[ \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln^2(-x) \rightarrow \text{Li}_2(x) \]

Data generation

- Can no longer only consider functions that simplify to zero

\[ f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_g} a_i \text{Li}_2(g_i(x)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_t} [c_j \text{Li}_2(h_j(x)) - c_j \text{Li}_2(h_j(x))] \]

Scramble with dilog identities

e.g.

\[ 6 \text{Li}_2(1 - x) \quad \rightarrow \quad -4 \text{Li}_2(x^2 - x + 1) + 3 \text{Li}_2(x^2 - 2x + 1) - 3 \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{x^2 - 4x + 4}\right) \]

\[ -4 \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{x^2 - x + 1}\right) \quad \rightarrow \quad +\frac{5}{2} \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{x^2 + 2x + 1}{4x^2 + 4x + 1}\right) - 4 \text{Li}_2(-x - 1) - 5 \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{x + 1}{2x + 1}\right) \]

+2 \text{Li}_2\left(x^4 - 2x^3 + 3x^2 - 2x + 1\right) + 6 \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{x - 2}\right) - 4 \text{Li}_2(x + 2)

-3 \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{2x + 1}\right) - 5 \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{x - 1}{2x + 1}\right) - 3 \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{2x}{2x + 1}\right) \]
Transformer vs RL

- For RL, 0 is unique correct answer
  - RL tries to find path to 0
  - Knows when it succeeds
- For transformer, many equivalent simple expressions
  - Path not determined, just result
  - Not guaranteed to be correct

Can be many equally simple translations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Valid?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$-4L_i_2(x^2 - 2x + 2)$</td>
<td>✓*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-4L_i_2\left(-\frac{1}{x^2 - 2x + 1}\right)$</td>
<td>✓*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4L_i_2(-x^2 + 2x - 1)$</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4L_i_2\left(-\frac{1}{x^2 - 2x + 2}\right)$</td>
<td>✓*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-4L_i_2\left(-\frac{1}{x^2 + 2x + 1}\right)$</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Input:** $-L_i_2(-2x^2) + L_i_2\left(-\frac{1}{2x^2}\right) - 7L_i_2(-2x) - 7L_i_2\left(-\frac{1}{2x}\right) + 4L_i_2(x^2 - 2x + 2)$

**Target:** $4L_i_2(-x^2 + 2x - 1)$
Results

Predicts a **correct** simplified answer 91% of the time!
Symbols

An alternative approach to simplifying polylogarithms is with the symbol

Polylogarithms are iterated integrals

\[
\text{Li}_1(s) \equiv \int_0^s \frac{dx}{1 - x} = -\ln(1 - s) \quad \text{Li}_2(s) \equiv \int_0^s \frac{dx}{x} \text{Li}_1(s) \quad \text{etc.}
\]

Symbol is a map that extracts the dlog forms

\[
\mathcal{S} \left[ \int_a^b d \ln R_1 \circ \cdots \circ d \ln R_n \right] = R_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes R_n
\]

\[
\mathcal{S}[\text{Li}_n(x)] = -(1 - x) \otimes x \otimes \cdots \otimes x
\]

\[
\mathcal{S} \left[ \frac{1}{n!} \ln^n x \right] = x \otimes \cdots \otimes x \quad \mathcal{S}[\ln x \ln y] = x \otimes y + y \otimes x
\]

Goncharov et al. [arXiv:1006.5703]
Symbols

Symbol satisfies the **product rule** (and other identities)

\[ \cdots \otimes f(x)g(y) \otimes \cdots = \cdots \otimes f(x) \otimes \cdots + \cdots \otimes g(y) \otimes \cdots \]

- Reduces simplifying \( \text{Li}_n(x, y) \) to simplifying \( \log(xy) \)

**e.g.**

\[
S \left[ \text{Li}_2(x) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln^2(-x) \right] = -[(1 - x) \otimes x] - \left[(1 - \frac{1}{x}) \otimes \frac{1}{x} \right] + [x \otimes x] = 0
\]

**No free lunch:** Integrating the symbol back to polylogarithms not trivial
Example symbol integration

Training data looks like this

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input symbol $S_i$</th>
<th>Simple expression $F_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$- ( -x^2 - x + 1 ) \otimes (1 - x) + ( -x^2 - x + 1 ) \otimes x$</td>
<td>$\text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{(1-x)(x+1)}{x} \right)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$- ( -x^2 - x + 1 ) \otimes (x + 1) + x \otimes (1 - x) - x \otimes x + x \otimes (x + 1)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$- \frac{23}{4} (1 - x) \otimes x - 6 (x^2 + x + 1) \otimes x$</td>
<td>$2 \text{Li}_2 \left( x^3 \right) - \frac{1}{4} \text{Li}_2(x)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-40 (6 - x^2) \otimes (6 - x^2) - 3(1 - x) \otimes (-x^6 - x^2 + 3)$</td>
<td>$3\text{Li}_2 (-x^6 - x^2 + 3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-3(x + 1) \otimes (-x^6 - x^2 + 3) - 3 (x^4 + x^2 + 2) \otimes (-x^6 - x^2 + 3)$</td>
<td>$-\frac{1}{4}\text{Li}_2(2x - 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$+\frac{1}{4}(5 - 2x) \otimes (2 - x)$</td>
<td>$-20 \ln^2 (x^2 - 6)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$8 \frac{x^2 - x - 1}{x - 1} \otimes x - 8 \left( (x + 1) (x^2 - x - 1) \right) \otimes x$</td>
<td>$4\text{Li}_2 \left( x^2 \right)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$+ 8(1 - x) \otimes (-x^3 + x^2 - x - 1) - 8 \frac{1}{x - 1} \otimes x$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-8(1 - x) \otimes (x (x^3 - x^2 + x + 1))$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Can simplify complicated expressions with $\text{Li}_2$, $\text{Li}_3$, $\text{Li}_4$, ...

- Limited by compute (network size, training time)
Final example

1. Scattering amplitude gives some function of GPLs with complex arguments

\[ f(x) = 4\zeta_3 + 9 \left[ G(0, 0, 1, x) + G \left( 0, 0, \frac{-1 - \sqrt{3}i}{2}, x \right) + G \left( 0, 0, \frac{-1 + \sqrt{3}i}{2}, x \right) \right] \]

\[ + 4 \left[ -G(-1, -1, -1, x) + G(-1, 0, -1, x) + G(0, -1, -1, x) + G(0, 0, 1, x) - G \left( 0, 0, 1, \frac{x}{x+1} \right) \right] \]

2. Express in terms of classical polylogs

\[ f(x) = 9 \left( -\text{Li}_3(x) - \text{Li}_3 \left( \frac{2ix}{-i + \sqrt{3}} \right) - \text{Li}_3 \left( \frac{2ix}{i + \sqrt{3}} \right) \right) \]

\[ + 4 \left( -\text{Li}_3(x) + \text{Li}_3 \left( \frac{x}{x+1} \right) + \text{Li}_3(x+1) - \text{Li}_2(-x) \ln(x+1) \right) \]

\[ - 4 \left( \text{Li}_2(x+1) \ln(x+1) + \frac{1}{6} \ln^3(x+1) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(-x) \ln^2(x+1) \right) \]

3. Compute the symbol and simplify

\[ \mathcal{S}[f(x)] = 9 \left( x^2 + x + 1 \right) \otimes x \otimes x + 13(1 - x) \otimes x \otimes x + 4(x + 1) \otimes x \otimes x \]

4. Integrate the symbol with a transformer network

\[ f(x) = -\text{Li}_3(x^3) - \text{Li}_3(x^2) + 4\zeta_3 \]
Symbolic ML methods will be **essential** for the future of High Energy Physics

Why am I so sure of this?

The future is here!

(images from dall-E)

Richard Feynman doing a jig in Prague

Robots taking over the Charles bridge
PaLM and Minerva

- **PaLM (Pathways Language Model)** is a language model by Google [April 2022]

  - Built on PaLM
  - Trained on 60 GB of arXiv papers and mathy webpages
    - (e.g. libretexts, chegg, stackoverflow)
  - 1 month additional training on 1024 TPU chips

  540 billion parameters
  trained for 60 days on 6144 TPU (Tensor Processing Unit) chips
  $23 million to train

  80 billion neurons

Minerva

- **Minerva**
  - Built on PaLM
  - Trained on 60 GB of arXiv papers and mathy webpages
    - (e.g. libretexts, chegg, stackoverflow)
  - 1 month additional training on 1024 TPU chips

Solving Quantitative Reasoning Problems with Language Models

Aitor Lewkowycz*, Anders Andreassen†, David Dohan†, Ethan Dyer†, Henryk Michalewski†,
Vinay Ramasesh†, Ambrose Slone, Cem Anil, Imanol Schlag, Theo Gutman-Solo,
Yuhuai Wu, Behnam Neyshabur*, Guy Gur-Ari*, and Vedant Misra*”

Google Research

arXiv:2206.14858 [June 2022]
QUESTION  Four politicians and three lawyers attend a party. Each politician shakes hands exactly once with everyone, and each lawyer shakes hands exactly once with each politician. How many handshakes take place?

Answer by Minerva (output is in LaTeX)

Let \( P \) be the set of politicians and \( L \) be the set of lawyers. There are \( 4 \cdot 3 = 12 \) handshakes between the politicians and lawyers. There are also \( \binom{4}{2} = 6 \) handshakes among the politicians. Therefore, the total number of handshakes is \( 12 + 6 = 18 \).

Final Answer: The final answer is 18.

EVALUATION RESULT  Correct
Question: A liquid flows at a constant flow rate through a pipe with circular cross-sections of varying diameters. At one point in the pipe, the diameter is 2 cm and the flow speed is 18 m/s. What is the flow speed at another point in this pipe, where the diameter is 3 cm? Which of the following is the right choice? Explain your answer. (A) 4 m/s (B) 6 m/s (C) 8 m/s (D) 12 m/s

Answer by Minerva (output is in \LaTeX)

Let $A_1$ be the cross-sectional area of the pipe at the first point and $A_2$ be the cross-sectional area of the pipe at the second point. Let $v_1$ be the flow speed at the first point and $v_2$ be the flow speed at the second point. Since the flow rate is constant, the product of the cross-sectional area and the flow speed is constant. Hence,

$$A_1 v_1 = A_2 v_2.$$  

The cross-sectional area of the pipe at the first point is $A_1 = \pi r_1^2 = \pi \left(\frac{2}{2}\right)^2 = \pi$, where $r_1$ is the radius of the pipe at the first point. Similarly, $A_2 = \pi r_2^2 = \pi \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{9\pi}{4}$. Therefore,

$$\pi \cdot 18 = \frac{9\pi}{4} v_2 \Rightarrow v_2 = 8 \text{ m/s}.$$  

Final Answer: The final answer is (C).

EVALUATION RESULT: Correct
QUESTION Let $D$ be a matrix representing a dilation with scale factor $k > 0$, and let $R$ be a matrix representing a rotation about the origin by an angle of $\theta$ counter-clockwise. If

$$DR = \begin{pmatrix} -7 & 1 \\ -1 & -7 \end{pmatrix},$$

then find $k$.

MODEL ANSWER We have

$$DR = \begin{pmatrix} -7 & 1 \\ -1 & -7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We also know that

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have that $DR = (DR)^T$, so we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} k \cos \theta & k \sin \theta \\ -k \sin \theta & k \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have that $k \cos \theta = -7$ and $k \sin \theta = 1$. Thus,

$$k^2 \cos^2 \theta + k^2 \sin^2 \theta = 49 + 1 = 50.$$

This gives us that $k^2 = 50$, so $k = \sqrt{50} = 5\sqrt{2}$. Final Answer: The final answer is $5\sqrt{2}$.

EVALUATION RESULT Correct
What’s next?

• 3rd generation model (2020)
  • 175 billion parameters

Google: PaLM/Minerva (2022)
  540 billion parameters

OpenAI GPT-3

Human brain
  80 billion neurons
  150 trillion synapses

...expected soon

100 trillion parameters
What will it do?

2023 ... 2040... 2100? ...3000...?

Cat brain
  0.760 billion neurons
  10 trillion synapses
Summary

We considered the problem of simplifying polylogs with ML

\[- \text{Li}_2(-2x^2) + \text{Li}_2\left(-\frac{1}{2x^2}\right)\]
\[-7\text{Li}_2(-2x) - 7\text{Li}_2\left(-\frac{1}{2x}\right)\]
\[4\text{Li}_2(x^2 - 2x + 2)\]

• Reinforcement learning
  • Applies identities like moves in a game
  • Learns which identity to apply

• Transformer network
  • Guesses the answer like in language translation
  • Can be used to integrate the symbol
  • Powerful method works for high-weight polylogs

• Methods around 90% accurate
• Perform non-trivial task better than any public algorithm
Machine learning has revolutionized data-driven particle physics. Most work in high-energy theory is symbolic. Machine learning can help with that too!