Cancellation in Dark Matter-Nucleon Interactions: the Role of Non-Standard-Model-like Yukawa Couplings (IMEPNP 2022)

### Bibhabasu De

ICFAI University Tripura, India

February 10, 2022

Collaborators: D. Das (IOPB), S. Mitra (IIITH)



<span id="page-0-0"></span>Physics Letters B 815 (2021) 136159

# Outline

- Introduction to Dark Matter
- Theory of Direct Detection
- Present Picture of DD Experiments

2 / 19

 $2990$ 

 $\equiv$ 

イロメ イ御メ イ君メ イ君メー

- A General Approach
- Practical Realization
- Numerical Results
- Isospin Violation
- Summary

## Dark Matter : A Brief Introduction

### What is Dark Matter?



• "Something", which shows gravitational interaction in the same way as usual matter does.

• "Something", which is invisible to us  $\Rightarrow$  **No** EM interaction.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>• "Something", whose nature is still a mystry!

## Signatures of Dark Matter

DISTRIBUTION OF DARK MATTER IN NGC 3198





#### What can be inferred?

<span id="page-3-0"></span>DM is "Something", composed of particles, which may have **weak** interaction along with the gravi[ta](#page-2-0)[ti](#page-4-0)[o](#page-2-0)[na](#page-3-0)[l](#page-4-0) [on](#page-0-0)[e.](#page-18-0)

# Basic Idea of Direct Detection

- Earth is moving through the **DM** halo.
- If DM is a WIMP, there must be DM-quark interaction  $\Rightarrow$ DM-nucleus scattering.
- The measure of this scattering cross section is a **direct** evidence of particle DM.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Figure : A schematic diagram showing the fundamental idea of DD



LZ Collaboration: Phys.Rev.D 101 (2020) 5, 052002

• Null results from the DM-search experiments.

• Detector sensitivity is gradually approaching the neutrino floor.

• WIMP paradigm is losing its miracle!

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$   $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

6 / 19

 $\Omega$ 

# And the Theory Says...



• Several simple extensions of SM (e.g. Z-portal, H-portal,  $Z'$ -portal etc.) have been proposed to explain the DM phenomenology.

• The **Higgs portal** models  $\Rightarrow$ most relevant in SI DD for many favoured BSM scenarios (e.g. SUSY).

• But the continuous null results have put strong constraints on these simple extensions, threatening them to be ruled out.

Are we missing something?

### Some Attempts

• In some parts of the parameter space the DM couplings to Z or h may be highly suppressed or even zero  $\Rightarrow$  Blind spots.  $\vert$ Phys. Rev. D 79 (2009) 023521, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2013) 100

• A much suppressed  $\sigma_{SI}$  can be obtained if the DD proceeds only through the loops.  $[\text{Eu}, \text{Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 6, 471}]$ 

• In a simple H-portal DM model with a complex scalar, a softly broken symmetry might ensure  $\sigma_{SI} \rightarrow 0$ . [Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 (2017) 191801, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 11 (2018) 050]

• Isospin-violating DM is another interesting scenario which assumes non-identical  $f_p$  and  $f_n$ . Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 063503, Phys. Lett. B 703 (2011) 124-127]

<span id="page-7-0"></span>More general approach?

# Probably, Yes!



• Almost all the earlier attempts tried to tune  $\lambda_{\phi}$ .

• But what happens if  $\lambda_N \to 0$ irrespective of  $\lambda_{\phi}$ ?

•  $\lambda_N = 0 \Rightarrow Non-SM-like$  negative  $y_q$ .

• If  $y_c$  and  $y_s$  are allowed to deviate from SM :

$$
y_s = -\frac{m_s}{f_s^{(N)}} \left( f_u^{(N)} \frac{y_u}{m_u} + f_d^{(N)} \frac{y_d}{m_d} \right)
$$
  

$$
y_c = -m_c \left( \frac{y_b}{m_b} + \frac{y_t}{m_t} \right)
$$

But wait... in SM,  $y_a \propto m_a/v$  !!!

### Here is the Path...

Let's have a particular type of effective dim-6 operators at some NP scale  $\Lambda$  in the quark Yukawa interaction Lagrangian,

$$
\mathcal{L} \supset -Y_u \bar{q}_L \tilde{H} u_R - Y_d \bar{q}_L H d_R + \Delta \mathcal{L}_{eff} + H.c.
$$
 (1)

where,

$$
\Delta \mathcal{L}_{eff} = \frac{H^{\dagger} H}{\Lambda^2} \left( Y_H^u \bar{q}_L \tilde{H} u_R + Y_H^d \bar{q}_L H d_R \right). \tag{2}
$$

After EWSB,

$$
m_q = v \left( Y_q - \epsilon Y_H^q \right), \tag{3}
$$

$$
y_q = \left(Y_q - 3\epsilon Y_H^q\right) = \frac{m_q}{v} - 2\epsilon Y_H^q \tag{4}
$$

where,  $\epsilon \equiv (v/\Lambda)^2$  and  $v \simeq 174$  GeV.

And that's it!  $y_q \neq m_q/v$ 

<span id="page-9-1"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span> $(1, 1)$   $(1, 1)$   $(1, 1)$   $(1, 1)$   $(1, 1)$   $(1, 1)$   $(1, 1)$   $(1, 1)$   $(1, 1)$ 

 $\Lambda \sim \textbf{TeV}$  and  $Y_H^q \simeq \mathcal{O}(1)$ 

• The sign of  $y_q$  depends on the sign of the Wilson coefficients  $Y_H^q$ .

• For the first two gen. of quarks  $(u, d, s, c)$ ,  $m_q/v \ll \epsilon Y_H^q \Rightarrow y_q$ may naturally become negative.

• To achieve the **correct**  $m_q$  with  $y_q < 0$ , the necessary condition is:  $Y_H^q$  $\frac{r q}{H}$   $\left(\frac{v}{\Lambda}\right)$  $\left(\frac{v}{\Lambda}\right)^2 > \frac{m_q}{2v}$   $\Rightarrow$  sets an upper bound on  $\Lambda$ (e.g.  $\Lambda \leq 2.9$  TeV for  $m_c = m_c^{\text{SM}}$ ).

- On the contrary,  $y_q > 0$  can only set a lower bound on  $\Lambda$ .
- The choice of **negative** values for  $y_q$  is more **natural** and predictive. K ロ X K @ X K 할 X K 할 X ( 할 X

## Experimental Bounds on  $y_a$

• Projected reach in the absolute  $y_a$  values  $(q = u, d, s, c)$  at the LHC with 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup> of IL : [J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2020) 139]

 $|y_u| < 560 y_u^{\text{SM}}, \quad |y_d| < 260 y_d^{\text{SM}}, \quad |y_s| < 13 y_s^{\text{SM}}, \quad |y_c| < 1.2 y_c^{\text{SM}}.$ 

• Utilizing processes sensitive to the sign of  $y_a$ , the HL-LHC can restrict,

$$
\bullet \ -1550 < y_u/y_u^{\text{SM}} < 700 \ \& \ -800 < y_d/y_d^{\text{SM}} < 300. \\
[\text{arXiv:1608.04376}]
$$

- $y_c/y_c^{\rm SM} \sim [-0.6, 3]$ .  $\left[\rm{Phys.~Rev.~ Lett.~118~(2017)~121801}\right]$
- A huge room is still available for the variation of first two gen. of quark Yukawa couplings.

# Singlet Scalar DM and Negative  $y_a$

Let's consider a specific realization of the dim-6 operators through new heavy VL particles at the NP scale  $\Lambda$ :

 $\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm NP} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm DM}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{NP}}$  : Underlying New Physics

Considering only one gen. of VL quarks,

$SU(2)$	Doublet	$SU(2)$	Singlets
$Q = (C, S)(3, 2, 1/6)$	$C(3, 1, 2/3)$	$\& S(3, 1, -1/3)$	

$$
-\mathcal{L}_{\rm NP} = \left(\lambda_{QC}\,\bar{Q}_L\tilde{H}C_R + \lambda_{QS}\,\bar{Q}_LHS_R\right) + \left(\lambda_{qC}\,\bar{q}_L\tilde{H}C_R + \lambda_{qS}\,\bar{q}_LHS_R\right) + \left(\lambda_{Qc}\,\bar{Q}_L\tilde{H}c_R + \lambda_{Qs}\,\bar{Q}_LHS_R\right) + H.c.
$$
 (5)

## $\mathcal{L}_{NP}$ : Underlying New Physics



• The dim-6 operators in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-9-0) can be obtained after integrating out the heavy VL quarks.

$$
Y_H^c = \lambda_{qC} \lambda_{QC}^* \lambda_{Qc} \quad , \quad \Lambda = \sqrt{M_C M_Q} \; , \tag{6}
$$

$$
Y_H^s = \lambda_{qS} \lambda_{QS}^* \lambda_{Qs} \quad , \quad \Lambda = \sqrt{M_S M_Q} \ . \tag{7}
$$

• Thus, with  $M_{Q,C,S} \sim 2$  TeV and all the  $\lambda_{NP} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ , the  $y_{q=c,s}$  can be considered for modification [Eq. [\(4\)](#page-9-1)]. **K ロ ト K 個 ト K 君 ト K 君 ト** 

# $\mathcal{L}_{DM}$ : DM Phenomenology

• For a real singlet scalar  $\phi$  as the DM particle,

 $V=\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}\mu_{\phi}^2\phi^2 + \lambda_{H\phi}(H^{\dagger}H)$ 

• After EWSB, the  $\phi$ -mass term,  $M_{\phi} = \sqrt{\mu_{\phi}^2 + 2\lambda_{H\phi}v^2}$ .



• This variation is generated using micrOMEGAs.

• The dependence of  $\Omega_{\phi}h^2$  on the variations of  $y_c$  and  $y_s$  is negligible.

### $\sigma_{SI}$  and the Large Cancellation



• These exact cancellation values (i.e.  $y_s = -0.77y_s^{\text{SM}}$  &  $y_c = -1.875y_c^{\text{SM}}$  in 1<sup>st</sup> fig. and  $y_c = -2.91y_c^{\text{SM}}$  in the 2<sup>nd</sup>) have been obtained for a typical set of  $f_q^{(N)}$ : [arXiv:1305.0237]

$$
f_u^p = 0.0153, \t f_d^p = 0.0191, \t f_s^p = 0.0447, f_u^n = 0.0110, \t f_d^n = 0.0273, \t f_s^n = 0.0447 \n\frac{1}{10} \left( \frac{1}{10} \right)^{10} \left( \frac{1}{10} \right)^{
$$

 $\sim$ 

### Isospin Violation



• The fig. shows that  $\sigma_{SI}^{\phi-n}$ SI lies below the proposed DD bounds for  $M_{\phi} > 50$  GeV.

• For the same set of  $y_c$  and  $y_s$  where  $\lambda_p \to 0$ ,  $\lambda_n \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ Isospin Violation

• In this framework  $\lambda_n/\lambda_p \equiv f_n/f_p > 0$  can be easily achieved, but  $|f_n/f_p < 0|$  appears only within a narrow domain of  $y_q/y_q^{\text{SM}}$ .

## Summary

• We considered a **H-portal** DM model and assumed non-SM-like negative values for  $y_a \Rightarrow \sigma_{SI} \rightarrow 0$ .

•  $y_a < 0$  can be realized in presence of a dim-6 effective operator  $\Rightarrow$  an upper bound on the NP scale  $\Lambda$ .

• A model with **new particles** (VL quarks  $\& \phi$ ) has been discussed as a practical realization of this idea.

• The proposed framework is able to accommodate isospin violation.

• Even though the future DM-search experiments are blind to our proposal, it might be tested at the HL-LHC.



メロト メタト メミト メ 一目  $2Q$ œ.