# Observation of VBS production in opposite-sign WW events @ CMS

#### Bianca Sofia Pinolini on behalf of the CMS collaboration

## Standard Model at the LHC 2022



Thu, 12<sup>th</sup> Apr 2022



## Introduction



"First observation of the electroweak production of a leptonically decaying W+W- pair in association with two jets in  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV pp collisions".

<u>CDS</u>

#### Why VBS $\rightarrow$ W+W-?

- A rare ... :
  - ~ fb cross section
- ... and challenging process due to bkgs:
  - ttbar + tW production
  - ο DY events (mostly in ee-μμ)
- Never been observed before

 $\rightarrow$  First observation and first cross section measurement



 $VBS \rightarrow W^*W^-$ 

#### Typical leptonic signature

- 2 highly energetic jets (VBS jets) :
  - Large gap in  $\mathbf{\eta}$  and high  $m_{ii}$
  - No QCD activity between them
- 2 charged leptons and neutrinos p<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup>
   Central with respect to the VBS jets

#### Main backgrounds

- ttbar tW ~  $10^6$  fb
- QCD WW ~  $10^5$  fb -
- Drell-Yan ~ 10<sup>7</sup> fb
- Nonprompt -





W VBS jeta 91 ¥/Z J' tu



## Deep Neural Network



5/12

Variable	Description
m <sub>ii</sub>	Invariant mass of the two VBS jets pair
$\Delta \eta_{ii}$	Pseudorapidity gap between the two VBS jets
$p_{Ti_1}$	$p_{\rm T}$ of the highest- $p_{\rm T}$ jet
$p_{T_{i_2}}$	$p_{\rm T}$ of the second highest $p_{\rm T}$ jet
PTEE	$p_{\rm T}$ of the lepton pair
$\Delta \phi_{\ell\ell}$	Azimuthal angle between the two leptons
$Z_{\ell_1}$	Zeppenfeld variable of the highest- $p_{T}$ lepton
$Z_{\ell_2}$	Zeppenfeld variable of the second highest $p_{T}$ lepton
$m_{\mathrm{TW}_1}$	Transverse mass of the $(p_{T\ell_1}, p_T^{miss})$ system

Deep neural network to disentangle signal from top and QCD-WW background :

- Different flavour final state (**eµ**)
- 2 models implemented:
  - $\circ$  Z<sub>ll</sub> < 1 phase space
  - $Z_{ll} \ge 1$  phase space



# Systematic and statistical uncertainties



#### Systematic uncertainties

- Nuisance parameters with log-normal • distribution in the fit for signal extraction
- Could affect
  - Normalization of signal and Ο backgrounds
  - Shape of the predictions across the Ο distributions of the observables
- Correlations taken into account

Dominated by statistical uncertainty

	Uncertainty source	Impact
Theoretical	QCD-induced $W^+W^-$ normalisation	5.3%
unacriatica	$t\bar{t}$ QCD scale	5.1%
uncertainties	QCD factorisation scale for VBS signal	5.0%
	$t\bar{t}$ normalisation	4.9%
b togging	b tagging	3.5%
blagging	Prefiring corrections	3.3%
uncertainty	DY normalisation	2.9%
,	Jet energy scale $+$ resolution	2.6%
	$p_T^{miss}$ energy scale	2.4%
	$QCD$ -induced $W^+W^-$ QCD scale	2.1%
	Luminosity	2.1%
	Muon efficiency	2.0%
	Pileup	1.8%
	Electron efficiency	1.5%
	Underlying event	1.3%
	Parton shower	1.0%
	Other	< 1%
Dominated by		
Jorninaled by	Total systematic uncertainty	13.1%
statistical	Total statistical uncertainty	14.9%
uncertainty		
anoontainty	Total uncertainty	19.8%

# Signal extraction



All categories are included simultaneously in the fit

- Combined binned maximum likelihood fit of the most discriminating variable distributions with signal and background templates
- Performed simultaneously in all signal region categories  $(z_{p} \ge 1)$ :
  - SF divided into  $4 m_{jj} \Delta \eta_{jj}$  bins:
    - 2.5 <  $\Delta \eta_{jj}$  < 3.5 and  $300 < m_{jj} < 500$
    - 2.5 < Δη<sub>jj</sub> < 3.5 and m<sub>jj</sub> > 500 GeV

Number of events

- $\Delta \eta_{ij} > 3.5$  and 300 GeV <  $m_{ij} < 500$  GeV
- $\Delta \eta_{ij} > 3.5$  and  $m_{ij} > 500$  GeV  $\rightarrow$  purest region  $\rightarrow m_{ij}$  distribution
- DF DNN score
- Control regions: single bin categories  $\rightarrow$  To constraint DY and top normalizations

## Signal regions eµ





## Signal regions ee-µµ





### Control regions





### Results



- Observed (expected) significance w.r.t. the background-only hypothesis is 5.6  $\sigma$  (5.2  $\sigma$ )
- The cross section measurement of the W<sup>+</sup>W<sup>-</sup> EW production is performed in two fiducial volumes:



## Future developments



- Analysis statistically limited  $\rightarrow$  expected to benefit from the larger RUN III dataset
- Global fit of relevant EFT operators of dimensions 6 and dimension 8 @ reco-level, to get a more complete understanding of the SM validity range
  - EFT dim6 study @ lhe-level arXiv: 2108.03199 [hep-ph]
- Polarization studies to investigate the EWSB mechanism and test models of physics BSM



## Backup

#### VBS processes @LHC



The two massive bosons may decay hadronically or leptonically, leading to <u>3</u> possible final states:

1. leptonic  $\forall \forall \rightarrow l \mathbf{v} \ l \mathbf{v}$  (this talk)



- 2. semileptonic VV  $\rightarrow$  l**v** q'q''
- 3. hadronic VV  $\rightarrow$  qq' q''q'''
- Production of a pair of W+W- bosons from a purely electroweak process @LO  $O(\alpha^6_{EWK} \alpha^0_S)$
- Diagrams where an on-shell Higgs boson is exchanged (VBF) are considered as backgrounds and modeled with dedicated MC samples
- The interference with the QCD-induced WW background  $O(\alpha^4_{\rm EWK} \alpha^2_{\rm S})$  is negligible



# Main backgrounds





#### QCD WW

Strong interaction between the initial state quarks

Same final state, but different kinematic.

**Strategy**: VBS selections.

Drell-Yan Mainly affecting the ee-µµ final state.

#### Strategy:

- selections on *m* and  $p_T$  of lepton pair - tighter selections on  $p_T^{miss}$ 

#### Nonprompt

Mainly W+Jet : jet misidentified as lepton (*fake lepton*)



ttbar - tW

analysis.

 $\sigma_{_{\rm H}}$  ~ 1 nb – Main

background of the

Strategy: b jets veto







## $DY \rightarrow ll$ treatment



- In DY CRs 2 different contributions are clearly visible and much sensitive to the  $\Delta \eta_{ii}$  distribution: •
  - Ο
  - "Hard" DY events populate the low  $\Delta \eta_{jj}$  region DY process + at least 1 PU jet peaks around  $\Delta \eta_{jj} \sim 5$ Ο
- 3 contributions in total with different control regions
- Their normalisations are free to float in the fit and mainly driven by dedicated CRs  $\Delta \eta_{ii} \ge 5$



#### Post-fit (pre-fit) yields table



Process	VBS eµ	VBS $ee - \mu\mu$
WWewk	$238.9 \pm 21.9 \ (209.0 \pm 5.4)$	$132.6 \pm 6.9 \ (115.5 \pm 2.2)$
top	$3081.9 \pm 99.7~(2998.0 \pm 189.3)$	$1152.3 \pm 18.3 \ (1073.7 \pm 33.7)$
WW	$736.3 \pm 98.8 \ (1086.8 \pm 89.0)$	$201.1 \pm 22.6 \ (405.6 \pm 22.0)$
DY no PU jets	-	$594.7 \pm 19.9~(417.6 \pm 25.9)$
DY + 1 PU jet	-	$436.1 \pm 43.5 \ (370.4 \pm 120.4)$
$DY \tau \tau$	$171.2 \pm 7.4 \ (195.9 \pm 6.2)$	-
Non-prompt leptons	$216.8 \pm 24.6 \ (242.5 \pm 31.7)$	$51.8 \pm 6.1 \ (58.0 \pm 7.8)$
Multiboson	$143.3 \pm 9.8 \ (141.0 \pm 15.9)$	$96.0 \pm 6.0  (89.2 \pm 7.8)$
Higgs	$46.6 \pm 1.8 \ (43.2 \pm 2.9)$	
Zjj	$1.3 \pm 0.2 \; (1.3 \pm 0.3)$	$59.1 \pm 4.3  (50.4 \pm 6.5)$

#### Selections





#### **Categories selections**

VBS	eμ/μe	$Z_{\ell\ell} < 1$	$m_{ m T} > 60 { m ~GeV}$ $m_{\ell\ell} > 50 { m ~GeV}$	
		$Z_{\ell\ell} \geq 1$	no bjet with $p_{\rm T} > 20 { m ~GeV}$	
	ee	$Z_{\ell\ell} < 1$		
		$Z_{\ell\ell} \ge 1$	$m_{\ell\ell} > 120 \mathrm{GeV}$	
		$Z_{\ell\ell} < 1$	$p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 60 { m ~GeV}$	
	$\mu\mu$	$Z_{\ell\ell} \ge 1$	no bjet with $p_{\rm T} > 20~{\rm GeV}$	
$\ensuremath{\mathrm{t}\bar{\mathrm{t}}}\xspace$ and $\ensuremath{\mathrm{t}W}\xspace$	$e\mu/\mu e$	$m_{\ell\ell} > 50~{\rm GeV}$ no b-jet with $p_{\rm T} > 20~{\rm GeV}$		
	ee	$m_{\ell\ell} > 120 \text{ GeV}$ $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}} > 60 \text{ GeV}$		
	μμ	at least one b-jet with $p_{\rm T} > 20~{\rm GeV}$		
DY		$m^T < 60 \mathrm{GeV}$		
	$e\mu/\mu e$	$50 \text{ GeV} < m_{\ell\ell} < 80 \text{ GeV}$		
		no b-jet with $p_{\rm T} > 20~{\rm GeV}$		
	ee	$\Delta \eta_{\rm jj} < 5$	$ m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z  < 15 \text{ GeV}$	
		$\Delta \eta_{\rm ij} \ge 5$	$p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 60 { m ~GeV}$	
	μμ	$\Delta \eta_{\rm ii} < 5$	no b-jet with $p_{\rm T} > 20 {\rm ~GeV}$	
		$\Delta \eta_{\rm ii} > 5$		