High precision measurement of the W boson mass with the CDF II detector



Chris Hays, Oxford University

SM@LHC '22 13 April 2022

Overview



Muon momentum calibration

Electron momentum calibration



W selection & background



20

Two parameters for the electrostatic deflection of the wire within the chamber constrained using difference 150 200 between fit parameters of incoming and outgoing cosmic-ray tracks

SL3

Muon momentum calibration

Second step is the scale calibration from J/ψ decays to muons

Model lineshape using hit-level simulation and NLO form factor for QED radiation Apply correct the length scale of the tracker with mass measurement as a function of $\Delta \cot \theta$ Correct the amount of upstream material with mass measurement as a function of p_T^{-1}

Muon momentum calibration

Final step is the measurement of the Z boson mass

 $M_Z = 91\ 192.0 \pm 6.4_{stat} \pm 4.0_{sys} \text{ MeV}$

Result blinded with [-50,50] MeV offset until previous steps were complete Then combine all measurements into a final charged-track momentum scale

Electron momentum calibration

First step is the correction for response variations in space and time

Fit ratio of calorimeter energy to track momentum to correct each tower in η Use mean E/p to remove time dependence & response variations in tower

Second step is the calibration of the energy scale using E/p

Custom parameterized GEANT simulation of calorimeter

Use E/p and tail fits to simulate osmall non-linear energy response and variations in calorimeter thickness

AVK & CH, 1308.2025 & NIM A 729, 25 (2013)

Electron momentum calibration

Final step is the measurement of the Z boson mass

 $M_Z = 91\ 194.3 \pm 13.8_{stat} \pm 7.6_{sys}$ MeV

As a consistency check measure mass using only track information

e.g. $M_Z = 91\ 215.2 \pm 22.4$ MeV for non-radiative electrons (E/p<1.1) Same blinding used as for muon channel

 u_{\parallel}

 \vec{u}_T

 \vec{p}_T^l

 \vec{p}_T^{ν}

Triggers with low momentum thresholds (18 GeV) and very loose lepton id

Offline id also loose, efficiencies vary by 2% as hadronic recoil direction changes

No lepton isolation requirement in trigger or offline selection

Largest background is $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ with one unreconstructed muon: 7.4% of data sample $W \rightarrow \tau\nu$ background is ~1% in each channel: largest background in electron sample

Background from hadrons misreconstructed as leptons estimated using data: 0.2-0.3%

W boson production

Boson p_T impacts the p_T distributions of the decay leptons

Resbos used to generate events with non-perturbative parameters and NNLL resummation to model the region of low boson p_T

Z boson p_T used to constrain the non-perturbative parameter g₂ and the perturbative coupling α_s

Resbos models W boson p_T well

uncertainty estimated using DYQT and constrained with data

Recoil calibration

First step is the alignment of the calorimeters

Misalignments relative to the beam axis cause a modulation in the recoil direction Alignment performed separately for each run period using min bias data

Second step is the reconstruction of the recoil

Remove towers traversed by identified leptons Remove corresponding recoil energy in simulation using towers rotated by 90° validate using towers rotated by 180°

Recoil calibration

Recoil validation

W boson recoil distributions validate the model

Most important is the recoil projected along the charged-lepton's momentum $(u_{||})$

$$m_T \approx 2p_T \sqrt{1 + u_{||}/p_T} \approx 2p_T + u_{||}$$

W boson mass measurement

Combination	m_T fit		p_T^ℓ fit		$p_T^{ u}$ fit		Value (MeV)	χ^2/dof	Probability
	Electrons	Muons	Electrons	Muons	Electrons	Muons			(%)
$\overline{m_T}$	\checkmark	\checkmark					$80\ 439.0\pm9.8$	1.2 / 1	28
p_T^ℓ			\checkmark	\checkmark			$80\ 421.2 \pm 11.9$	0.9 / 1	36
$p_T^{ u}$					\checkmark	\checkmark	$80\ 427.7 \pm 13.8$	0.0 / 1	91
$m_T \ \& \ p_T^\ell$	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			80435.4 ± 9.5	4.8 / 3	19
$m_T \ \& \ p_T^{\nu}$	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	80437.9 ± 9.7	2.2 / 3	53
$p_T^\ell \ \& \ p_T^{ u}$			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	$80\ 424.1 \pm 10.1$	1.1 / 3	78
Electrons	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark		$80\ 424.6 \pm 13.2$	3.3 / 2	19
Muons		\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	$80\ 437.9 \pm 11.0$	3.6 / 2	17
All	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	$80\ 433.5 \pm 9.4$	7.4 / 5	20

Fit difference	Muon channel	Electron channel
$M_W(\ell^+) - M_W(\ell^-)$	$-7.8\pm18.5_{\rm stat}\pm12.7_{\rm COT}$	$14.7 \pm 21.3_{\text{stat}} \pm 7.7_{\text{stat}}^{\text{E/p}} (0.4 \pm 21.3_{\text{stat}})$
$M_W(\phi_\ell > 0) - M_W(\phi_\ell < 0)$	$24.4\pm18.5_{\rm stat}$	$9.9 \pm 21.3_{ m stat} \pm 7.5_{ m stat}^{ m E/p} \ (-0.8 \pm 21.3_{ m stat})$
$M_Z(\text{run} > 271100) - M_Z(\text{run} < 271100)$	$5.2 \pm 12.2_{\mathrm{stat}}$	$63.2 \pm 29.9_{\text{stat}} \pm 8.2_{\text{stat}}^{\text{E/p}} (-16.0 \pm 29.9_{\text{stat}})$

Summary

Measurement of W boson mass with <10 MeV precision achieved with complete CDF data set

Result of >20 years of experience with the CDF II detector

Achieved precision required flexibility: all experimental aspects controlled by the analysis team *Reconstruction, alignment, calibration, simulation, analysis*

Analysis procedures approved pre-blinding and frozen

Surprising result motivates expanded study of m_W measurements and procedures

Backup

CDF Components

$$\xi = m(\phi) \left[0.29(1 - |Z|) + (1 - Z^2) \right]$$

 $m(\phi) = a\cos\phi_{\rm wp} + o$

Source of systematic	m_T fit			p_T^ℓ fit			$p_T^{ u}$ fit		
uncertainty	Electrons	Muons	Common	Electrons	Muons	Common	Electrons	Muons	Common
Lepton energy scale	5.8	2.1	1.8	5.8	2.1	1.8	5.8	2.1	1.8
Lepton energy resolution	0.9	0.3	-0.3	0.9	0.3	-0.3	0.9	0.3	-0.3
Recoil energy scale	1.8	1.8	1.8	3.5	3.5	3.5	0.7	0.7	0.7
Recoil energy resolution	1.8	1.8	1.8	3.6	3.6	3.6	5.2	5.2	5.2
Lepton $u_{ }$ efficiency	0.5	0.5	0	1.3	1.0	0	2.6	2.1	0
Lepton removal	1.0	1.7	0	0	0	0	2.0	3.4	0
Backgrounds	2.6	3.9	0	6.6	6.4	0	6.4	6.8	0
p_T^Z model	0.7	0.7	0.7	2.3	2.3	2.3	0.9	0.9	0.9
p_T^W/p_T^Z model	0.8	0.8	0.8	2.3	2.3	2.3	0.9	0.9	0.9
Parton distributions	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9
QED radiation	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7
Statistical	10.3	9.2	0	10.7	9.6	0	14.5	13.1	0
Total	13.5	11.8	5.8	16.0	14.1	7.9	18.8	17.1	7.4

Initial state LO & NLO

W ⁺ initial	Туре	Pythia LO	Madgraph LO	Madgraph NLO
u dbar	V-V	81.7%	82.0%	82.7%
dbar u	S-S	8.9%	9.0%	8.8%
u sbar	V-S	1.6%	1.9%	1.8%
sbar u	S-S	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
c sbar	S-S	2.9%	2.9%	-
sbar c	S-S	2.9%	2.9%	-
c dbar	S-V	0.7%	0.7%	-
dbar c	S-S	0.2%	0.2%	-
u g	v-g		-	3.7%
g dbar	g-v		-	1.8%
g u	g-s		-	0.4%
dbar g	s-g		-	0.5%
g sbar	g-s		-	0.02%
sbar g	s-g		-	0.02%