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$E_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{0}$ production in $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{Pb}$ collisions
- Heavy quarks are
- Sensitive probes to study the Quark-Gluon Plasma in heavy-ion collisions.
- Due to their large masses, they are formed in initial hard scattering of parton before the timescale of QGP formation
- produced early in the collision, live long enough to sample QGP
- Experience the whole system evolution
- Measurements in proton-nucleus collisions is crucial
- For the interpretation of the results in nucleus-nucleus collisions, the measurement in proton-nucleus collisions is also crucial.
- In such a system cold-nuclear-matter (CNM) effects can affect the production of charm hadrons: disentangle them from the effects related to the formation of the QGP (hot-medium effects)


## $\Xi_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{0}$ production in $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{Pb}$ collisions

- Measurement of charmed baryon production could play an important role in studying hadronization process.
- In pQCD calculations, the hadronisation process is modeled via a fragmentation function
- Fragmentation functions are tuned on electron-positron data under the assumption that they are universal
- Among other observables, the relative production of baryons and mesons (baryon/meson ratio) is sensitive to the fragmentation process
- The interaction with the medium constituents could modify the hadronisation:
- a significant fraction of low and intermediate-momentum charm and beauty quarks could hadronise via recombination (coalescence) with other quarks from the medium
- Models including coalescence predict an enhanced baryon-to-meson ratio at low and intermediate $p_{T}$ relative to pp's


## Heavy flavour hadronisation in small systems



- pQCD models based on the factorisation appoach:
- use fragmentation fractions parametrised on e+e- and ep collision data
- assume universality of fragmentation fractions versus collision systems and energies
- Recent measurements of heavy-flavour baryon production challenge this assumption
- Additional mechanisms at play in pp collisions beyond simple string fragmentation?


## Charm Fragmentation Fraction

- Charm fragmentation fraction


$$
f(\mathrm{c} \rightarrow \mathrm{H})=\sigma(\mathrm{H}) / \Sigma_{\mathrm{H}} \sigma(\mathrm{H})
$$

- Measurements in different collision systems and at different energies agree within uncertainties.
- Support the hypothesis:
- pQCD models based on factorisation approach use fragmentation fractions (FF) tuned on e+e- and consider them universal? Independent of collision systems?
- However,
- $\sigma(\Sigma \mathrm{c}), \sigma(=\mathrm{c})$ and $\sigma(\Omega \mathrm{c})$ were not included.
- In 2015, only LHCb $\wedge \mathrm{c}+$ measurement was available.
- Rapidity range : $2.0<\mathrm{y}<4.5$
- Measurements of charm baryons are essential for total charm cross section and FF measurement
- Charm baryon-to-meson \& baryon-to-baryon yield ratio sensitive to hadronization


## Models of HF Baryon Enhancement - PYTHIA8 CR tunes



- Partons created in different MPIs do not interact each other

- CR allowed between partons from different MPIs to minimize the string length.
- Monash tune

- Minimization of string length over all possible configurations
- Enhancement of hadrons
- CR mode $X$ tunes
- PYTHIA 8 with Colour Reconnection (CR) tunes
- Colour reconnection mode with QCD SU(3) algebra + string-length minimization
- Junction connection topologies enhance baryon formation


## Models of HF Baryon Enhancement

- Statistical Hadronisation Model (SHM) + additional baryon states PLB 795 (2019) 117-121
- PDG : $5 \wedge c(l=0), 3 \Sigma c(l=1), 8$ 三c $(l=1 / 2), 2 \Omega c(l=0)$
- RQM (Relativistic Quark Model) : Add 18 ^c, $42 \Sigma \mathrm{c}, 62$ Еc, $34 \Omega \mathrm{c}$ PRD 84 (2011) 014025
- Quark Recombination Mechanism (QCM)
- Combination of charm quarks with co-moving light quarks
- Catania model PLB 821 (2021) 136622
- Blast wave parametrization for light quarks spectra, FONLL calculation for heavy quarks spectra
- Coalescence process of heavy quarks with light quark based on the Wigner formalism + fragmentation process


## Charmed baryon/meson ratio in ALICE


$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{0} / \mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{pp} 5 \mathrm{TeV}$ arXiv:2105.05616
JHEP 10 (2021) 159

$\Xi_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{0} / \mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{pp} 13 \mathrm{TeV}$
arXiv:2105.05187
PRL accepted : Jinjoo Seo

- Baryon-to-meson ratio in pp collisions at 5.02TeV and 13 TeV
- PYTHIA 8 Monash, PYTHIA 8 CR tunes, SHM+RQM and QCM underestimate the ratios significantly.
- Catania shows better descriptions on the ratios in the measured $p_{T}$ range.
- Baryon/Meson ratio comparison with light flavor
- $\Lambda_{c^{+}}$: seems to have similar trend in both collision systems,
- Decreasing $p_{T}>2,3$ in both pp pA, Peak at $2<p_{T}<4$ in both HF,LF


## Multiplicity dependence of $\Lambda_{c}{ }^{+} / D^{0}$



- Smooth increasement from $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{Pb}$ to $\mathrm{Pb}+\mathrm{Pb}$ multiplicities.
- $\Lambda_{c}+/ D^{0}$ at low multiplicity already higher than e+e-
- $\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{+} / \mathrm{D}^{0}$ at high multiplicity reaching to $\mathrm{Pb}+\mathrm{Pb}$
- In qualitative agreement with the hypothesis that recombination saturates already in high multiplicity p+p?
- Related analysis: $\Xi_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{0}$ at pp 13 TeV (Dr. Chong Kim), $\Xi_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{+}$at pp 13 TeV (Jaeyoon Cho)


## Chamrd baryon $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ppb}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{+}\right)$in $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Pb}$

ArXiv: 2011.06079


- POWHEG+PYTHIA6
- EPJC 77 no. 3,(2017) 163, JHEP 09 (2007) 126
- CNM effect + PYTHIA 6 Parton shower + EPPS16 parameterization for PDFs
- POWLANG
- JHEP 03 (2016) 123
- Hot deconfined medium in $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Pb}$ collisions.
- Describe the suppression at low $p_{\text {T }}$.
- Nuclear effects can be investigated measuring a nuclear modification factor Rppb, defined as the ratio of particle yield in $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Pb}$ collisions to those in pp collisions scaled by the average number of binary nucleon-nucleon collisions
- $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{pPb}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{+}\right)$down to $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}=0 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ in $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{Pb}$ collisions
- Above unity in $p_{\mathrm{T}}>2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$
- Below unity in $p_{\mathrm{T}}<2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$
- Possible modification due to radial flow or hadronisation mechanisms


## Analysis procedure


－Select e and 三
－Make Right－Sign（RS）pairs（e－ミ＋，e＋シ－）and Wrong－Sign（WS）pairs（e＋シ＋， e－E－）which are selected to satisfy conditions
－Signal extraction by subtracting the WS spectra from the RS spectra
－Correct for the efficiency caused by the＂prefilter＂of electron candidates．
－Convert $p_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{e} \overline{\mathrm{Z}})$ into $p_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\bar{\Xi}_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{0}\right)$ using unfolding technique．
－Efficiency correction
－Compute do

## Analysis Detail: Electron selection




- Particle identification for electron : TPC
- Cut is optimized for electron
- High purity, low contamination after $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ dependent cut




## Analysis Detail：ミ selection ：ミ mass


－ミ mass distribution．
$\Xi_{c}^{0} \rightarrow e^{+} \Xi^{-} v \rightarrow e^{+}\left(\pi^{-} \Lambda\right) v \rightarrow e(\pi p \pi) v$.
－Other cut variables


| Cuts variables | cuts |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of CrossedRows | $>70$ |
| CrossedRows Over Findable Cluster | $>0.77$ |
| $\Lambda$ Mass tolerance $\left(\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)$ | 7.5 |
| $\Xi$ Mass tolerance $\left(\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)$ | 8 |
| DCA of V0 to PV $(\mathrm{cm})$ | $>0.03$ |
| DCA of V0 daughters to PV $(\mathrm{cm})$ | $>0.073$ |
| V0 cosine of pointing angle to $\Xi$ vertex | $>0.983$ |
| $\Xi$ cosine of pointing angle to PV | $>0.983$ |
| DCA of bachelor track to PV $(\mathrm{cm})$ | $>0.0204$ |
| V0 decay length $(\mathrm{cm})$ | $>2.67$ |
| $\Xi$ decay length $(\mathrm{cm})$ | $>0.38$ |
| $\left\|n \sigma_{T P C}\right\|$（proton） | $<4$ |
| $\left\|n \sigma_{T P C}\right\|$（pion $)$ | $<4$ |

## Analysis Detail: prefilter: e-pair mass cut

- the number of $e \equiv$ pairs having the same-sign for which the electron has a same-sign partner which from a pair with invariant mass lower than 0.05 GeV .
- peak at $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{ee}} \sim 0 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ in the invariant mass distribution of such pairs, which clearly shows the contributions from the $\pi^{0}$ Dalitz decays and $\gamma$




## Analysis Detail：Background subtraction


－Right－Sign（RS）
－e＋シ－，e－
－Wrong－Sign（WS）pairs
－e＋三＋，e－ミ－
－we assume that most of the background sources contribute equally to WS and RS pairs
－Subtract WS same－sign pair from RS opposite－sign pair
－Invariant Mass cut
－ $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{e}$ 三）＜2．5 GeV／c²

## MC production

- MC production is under final QA
- Xic-dedicated MC using PYTHIA8+HIJING
- Much more statistics than previous MC for electron from heavy flavor decay.



## Unfolding

Response matrix



- Measured $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ is $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ of e-三 pair.
- missing momentum of neutrino $\quad \Xi_{c}^{0} \rightarrow e^{+} \Xi^{-} v \rightarrow e^{+}\left(\pi^{-} \Lambda\right) v \rightarrow e(\pi p \pi) v$
- Bayesian unfolding to extract $p_{T}$ of $\bar{\Xi}_{c}{ }^{0}$ from $p_{T}$ of eXi pair
- crosscheck with SVD unfolding, varying the number of iteration


## Systematic uncertainty




- Syst. Included
- e, Xi PID, reco
- Pair mass, opening angle
- Unfolding
- Missing syst.
- ITS-TPC matching
- rapidity range
- prompt fraction


## prompt fraction



- Following Lc analysis
- ArXiv:2011.06079
- assumed that the $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ppb}}$ of prompt and feed-down Lc were equal

$$
0.9<R_{p \mathrm{~Pb}}^{\text {feed-down }} / R_{p \mathrm{~Pb}}^{\mathrm{prompt}}<1.3
$$

- Prompt fraction from pp5TeV
- Referred D-meson paper
- Phys. Rev. C94 no. 5, (2016) 054908
- Additional uncertainty was small
of $D$ mesons from $B$ decays. On the basis of calculations including initial-state effects through the EPS09 nuclear PDF parametrizations [20] or the color glass condensate formalism [27], it was assumed that the $R_{p \mathrm{~Pb}}$ of prompt and feed-down $D$ mesons were equal and their ratio was varied in the range $0.9<R_{p \mathrm{~Pb}}^{\text {feeddown }} / R_{p \mathrm{~Pb}}^{\text {prompt }}<1.3$ to evaluate the systematic uncertainties. The resulting $f_{\text {prompt }}$ values and their


## Cross Section

$\mathrm{B} R \cdot \frac{d \sigma_{\Xi_{c}^{0}}}{d p_{\mathrm{T}} d y}=\frac{N_{\Xi_{c}^{0}}}{2 \cdot \Delta p_{T} \Delta y \cdot\left(A \times \varepsilon \times \varepsilon_{\Xi \operatorname{tag}}\right) \cdot L_{\mathrm{int}}}$

$$
L_{\mathrm{int}}=\frac{N_{\mathrm{evt}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{pPb}}^{\mathrm{MBAND}}}
$$

- $\sigma_{\mathrm{pPb}}{ }^{\text {MBAND }}$ : cross section of MBAND trigger // $2.09 \mathrm{e}+06 \mathrm{ub}$
- $A \times \epsilon \times \epsilon_{\Xi_{\operatorname{tag}}} \quad$ : product of the geometrical accepted $(A)$ and the reconstruction and selection efficiency $(\varepsilon)$ for $\bar{\Xi}_{c}{ }^{0} \rightarrow e \overline{ }$ $v$

(Prompt Xic0) Cross section (syst. not final)



## $R_{\text {ppo }}$

- Nuclear effects can be investigated measuring the nuclear modification factor Rppb, defined as the ratio of the cross section in $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Pb}$ collisions to that in pp interactions scaled by the average number of binary nucleon-nucleon collisions

$$
R_{\mathrm{pPb}}\left(p_{\mathrm{T}}, \text { cent }\right)=\frac{\mathrm{d} N_{\mathrm{cent}}^{\mathrm{pPb}} / \mathrm{d} p_{\mathrm{T}}}{\left\langle N_{\mathrm{coll}}^{\mathrm{cent}}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} N^{\mathrm{pp}} / \mathrm{d} p_{\mathrm{T}}}
$$



Lc result ArXiv: 2011.06079


Stat. err. only

## Rapidity correction for $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ppb}}$



FONLL D* $d \sigma / \mathrm{dp}_{\mathrm{T}} 3<\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}<4 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$


- FONLL rapidity ratio
- Following Lc analysis https://alicenotes.web.cern.ch/node/811
- pPb: $-0.5<y_{\text {Lab }}<0.5$
- $\rightarrow$ ( $\left.\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{cms}}=-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{Lab}}-0.465\right)$
- $\rightarrow-0.965<y_{\text {cms }}<0.035$
- Uncertainty not applied yet. D* Central value only in this slide.

$$
\frac{d \sigma^{-0.965<y<0.035} / d p_{T}}{d \sigma^{-0.5<y<0.5} / d p_{T}}
$$

## Baron/Meson ratio $\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{0} / \mathrm{D}^{0}\right)$



Stat. err. only

pp 5TeV


- Will be compared when the results come.



## Summary and Outlook

- Studying charmed baryon in pPb collisions could play an important role in the investigation of strongly-interacting matter from heavy ion collisions and hadronization process.
- To do list in the analysis
- Systematic uncertainty
- Analysis note
- Expected results in January
- $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{pPb}}$
- Baryon/Meson ratio ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{0} / \mathrm{D}^{0}$ ) comparison with $\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{+}$
- Baryon/Meson ratio with High Multiplicity pp result in terms of multiplicity


## Activity in ALICE - ITS QC (FEE post-processing offline)

Lane Status Flag: ERROR
Run 506606

Lane Status Flag: ERROR Run 506600~506606
Lane Status Flag Error, from_run506600_to_run506606.root



- FEE post-processing offline
- Jeongsu Bok, Jaeyoon Cho
- collecting Lane Status Flags
- ERROR, FAULT, OK, WARNING
- To check the trend quickly and easily

OB, LaneStatusFlag Error trends from_run506600_to_run506606


Projection to Yaxis(Lane). OB

## Backup slides

## Weighting Factor



- Match shape of MC and unfolded (unweighted) spectra in $2<\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}<12$
- Normalize within $2<\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}<12$, fit with exponential, then get the ratio between two functions $\rightarrow$ weighting factor
- Included in the systematic uncertainty.


## Efficiency



- Weighted, unweighted difference is included in syst.
- Rapidity variation( $y<0.5,0.8$ ) is not included yet.


## Multiplicity dependence of $\Lambda_{c}{ }^{+} / D^{0}$



ALI-PREL-336426


ALI-PREL-336434

- Monash tune doesn't reproduce the pattern
- Enhanced CR mechanisms show a good agreement


## $\Omega_{c}{ }^{\prime} D^{0}$ results in ALICE

- $\mathrm{BRx} \Omega_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{0} / \mathrm{D}^{0}$ ratio in pp collisions at 13 TeV
- First measurement of $\Omega_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{0}$ production at LHC
- BR $\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{0} \rightarrow \Omega^{-} \pi^{+}\right)=0.51 \pm 0.07 \%$
- Theoretical calculation: EPJC 80, 1066 (2020)
- Model comparison
- PYTHIA 8 Monash largely underestimate the measurement.
- PYTHIA 8 CR tunes (Mode2) underestimate the measurement.
- Catania and QCM
- underestimate even though coalescence is included

Baryon-to-Baryon ratio: $\Omega_{c}{ }^{0} / \Xi_{c}{ }^{0}$ in ALICE

- $\mathrm{BRx} \Omega_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{0} / \mathrm{D}^{0}$ ratio in pp collisions at 13 TeV


ALI-PREL-486637

- First measurement of $\Omega_{c}{ }^{0}$ production at LHC
- BR $\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{0} \rightarrow \Omega^{-} \pi^{+}\right)=0.51 \pm 0.07 \%$
- Theoretical calculation: EPJC 80, 1066 (2020)
- Model comparison
- Largely underestimate the measurement.
- PYTHIA 8 Monash, PYTHIA 8 CR tunes (Mode2), QCM
- Except the Catania model
- considers coalescence, fragmentation, and additional resonance states

arXiv:2105.05187
PYTHIA 8 Monash (EPJC 74 (2014) 3024) PYTHIA 8 CR Modes (JHEP 08 (2015) 003)
SHM (PLB 795 (2019) 117-121)
RQM (PRD 84 (2011) 014025)
QCM (EPJC 78 no.4, (2018) 344)
Catania (arXiv:2012.12001)
Belle (PRD 97, 072005 (2018))
- $\bar{E}_{\mathrm{c}} 0 / \Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{+}, \bar{\Xi}_{\mathrm{c}} 0 / \Sigma_{\mathrm{c}}$ in $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p} 13 \mathrm{TeV}$
- First measurement of charm baryon-to-baryon ratio yields at the LHC.
- Similar enhancement for $\bar{\Xi}_{c}{ }^{0}, \Sigma_{c}$ with respect to e+e-
- $\bar{E}_{\mathrm{c}} 0 / \Sigma_{\mathrm{c}}$ : Catania describes the magnitude and $p_{T}$ shape, Monash describes the magnitude.
- Both underestimate the ratio

Charm Fragmentation Fractions in pp collisions at LHC


## Total charm cross section



STAR (PRD 86 (2012) 072013)
PHENIX (PRC 84 (2001) 044905)
FONLL (JHEP 10 (2012) 137)
NNLO (PRL 118 (2017) 12, 122001)

- Charm production cross section at the LHC
- First measurement of charm production cross section per unit of rapidity at midrapidity in pp collisions at 5.02 TeV

$$
\mathrm{d} \sigma^{\mathrm{c} \overline{\mathrm{c}}} /\left.\mathrm{dy}\right|_{|\mathrm{y}|<0.5}=1165 \pm 44(\text { stat })_{-101}^{+134}(\text { syst }) \mu \mathrm{b}
$$

- According to new charm fragmentation fractions, updated charm cross section in pp $2.76,7 \mathrm{TeV}$ are about $40 \%$ higher than previous publications
- pp 2.76TeV (JHEP 07 (2012) 191)
- pp 7TeV (EPJC 77 no. 8, (2017) 550)
- All ALICE results with new charm fragmentation fractions are at the upper edge of the pQCD calculations.

