## Development of Cable-In-Conduit Conductors at MIT

Joseph V. Minervini MIT Plasma Fusion Center Cambridge, MA

Workshop on Experience with Testing and Application of Cable-in-Conduit Conductors (CICC) Victoria, B.C. September 24, 1993

## OUTLINE

• History of development of CICC at MIT

• Summary of important results

• Present program for development of ITER magnets

## Original Goals

□ Increase superconducting magnet current densities

- Eliminate instabilities associated with two-phase helium cooling
- □ Incorporate structure in winding packs of high field, high stress magnets

## • Early Work in Cooling with Supercritical Helium

1966 - Kolm, H.H., Leupold, M.J., Hay, R.D., "Heat transfer by the circulation of supercritical helium," Advances in Cryogenic Engineering, 11, 530, Plenum Press (1966).

## • Early Work in Forced-Flow Cooling of Superconducting Magnets

- 1970 Morpurgo, M., "The design of the superconducting magnet for the 'Omega' project," Particle Accelerators, Gordon and Breach, 1, 225 (1970)
- 1975 Vecsey, G., "Forced Cooling of Superconducting Magnets," Proceedings of 5th Int. Conf. on Magnet Tech., (MT-5) Roma (1975)

### CABLE-IN-CONDUIT CONDUCTOR (CICC) aka INTERNALLY COOLED CABLED SUPERCONDUCTOR (ICCS) aka BUNDLE CONDUCTOR aka "ROPE-IN-A-PIPE"

## • Earliest Work in CICC

1975 - M.O. Hoenig, Y. Iwasa, and D.B. Montgomery, "Supercritical helium cooled 'Bundle Conductors' and their application to large superconducting magnets," Proceedings of 5th Int. Conf. on Magnet Tech., (MT-5) Roma (1975)

## • First Large Coils in CICC - DC Coils

□ Westinghouse LCP, Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn, React and Wind □ MIT 12 Tesla Coil, Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn, Wind and React

## • First Pulsed Coils in CICC - DPC Program

□ JAERI/DPC-U1-U2, NbTi

- □ JAERI/DPC-EX, Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn, React and Wind, Rutherford Cable
- US-DPC, Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn, Wind and React

## • Latest Tokamak Fusion Applications

All ITER TF and PF magnets will be CICC
 All TPX/SSAT-S magnets will be CICC based on US-DPC design

## • New Applications for CICC Other then Tokamaks

## Other Fusion

□ Wendelstein VII-X - IPP Garching, Germany

Large Helical Device, Nagoya, Japan

## **Non-Fusion Applications**

□ ENEA 12 Tesla Coil for SULTAN

□ NHMFL/FBNML 40 T Hybrid Coil

**SMES** 

□ High Energy Physics - GEM Detector Magnet for SSC

**MAGLEV** 

□ MHD

□?





J

FLUTED-WIRE CONDUCTOR IN SQUARED-OFF CONDUIT.

486 strands (6×3<sup>4</sup>× 0.7mm φ) stainless steel jacket groundwall insulation · 0.020<sup>+</sup> - 0.838" 0.010 ---121" 1 0.65 .220" £ HIGH FIELD REGION (10.5T-12.0T) CONDUCTOR and STRUCTURE all dimensions are in inches stainless-steel 1.92" - 1.33" -G-10

3]



į

ľ



51







MIT'S TEST COIL-THE "US-DPC" IN PLACE





### **DESCRIPTION OF US-DPC**

)

Field & ramp rate:	$\approx$ 10 T, 10 T/s	
Current:	30 kA	
Stored energy:	≈ 8 MJ	
Superconductor:	Nb <sub>3</sub> Sn (wind and react)	
Conduit:	Incoloy 908	
Cooling	dual flow supercritical helium	

dual flow supercritical helium





#### 1. The US-DPC experiment

- Dates: Nov. 5 Dec. 20, 1990
- Goals: manufacturing process evaluation, AC operation to 10 T at 10 T/s; dual-flow cooling evaluation

#### 2. Experiment statistics

- Two cooldowns, approximately 1 week each
- 305 runs: 73 DC and 232 AC
- 50 quenches
- Protection by bridge circuits and inlet flow reversal
- Maximum helium mass flow approximately 60 g/s
- Nominal operating condition of 4.5 K, 6 atm inlet
- Maximum test temperature 14.8 K; minimum 4 K
- Minimum flow 15 g/s
- Single-coil peak field of 5.7 T at 30 kA; 6.6 T at 35 kA
- Series peak field of 8.0 T at 25.9 kA
- 3. Single-coil DC tests (zero background field)
  - 3.1 System and coil-fabrication checks
    - Leak tightness: ok (vacuum vessel  $\approx 3 \times 10^{-7}$  Torr)
    - Flow/cryogenic system: ok (4.5 K, 6 atm, 60 g/s)
    - Lap joint resistance: ok (=  $5 \times 10^{-10}$  ohms at 30 kA)
    - Protection system: ok (balance circuits; flow reversal)
    - Significance: basic fabrication ok

## **DESCRIPTION OF RAMP-RATE LIMITATION**

#### Single-coil AC tests at JAERI 1.

• Ramps with 3 s flat tops (no quench):

run	ramp rate	peak field	current
139	19 T/s	3.8 T	20 kA
128	4.3	4.3	23
122	2.7	4.7	25
124	0.71	5.7	30
134	0.55	6.0	32

- · Attempts to exceed values listed resulted in quench at or near the transition to flattop
- 2. Series AC tests

• • • •

run	ramp rate	peak field	current
265	6.4 T/s	6.4 T	21 kA
266*	11	9.2	30
271	2.3	6.8	22 ramp+half sine
277	6.8	6.8	22 ramp+rinnle
uench at	transition to f	lattan	

\* quench at transition to flattop

• Run 266 - no quench during ramp! Wire went to 100% of critical current



ITER Magnet Workshop, Naka, Sept 23 - 27, 1991



· ...

Fig. 7. Current versus ramp time in single-coil mode for four pulses: trapezoids (large circles; diamonds for joint quenches), two-step ramps (squares), ramps with rounded edges (small circles; crossed circles for quenches), and ramps with superimposed ripple (triangles). The solid marks indicate quenches. The circled star shows expected operation. The line is a fit to the solid circles (quenches with trapezoidal waveforms).





## US-DPC SINGLE AND SERIES TESTS

FILE : US-DPC FIT dB 14/8-GF



## **Summary of Important Results**

- Induced flow high transient heat transfer, net mass flow required primarily for steady state heat removal, not for stability (Shanfield)
- Effect of conduit material and void fraction on critical current strain effect on J<sub>c</sub> due to different COE of conduit and Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn strand (Steeves)
- Development of strain compatible conduit material Incoloy development leading to INCO Alloy 908 (INCO and MIT (R. Ballinger))
- Wind, react, insulate coil fabrication method MIT 12 T coil and US-DPC coil (Steeves, Olmstead and Hoenig).
- Chrome plating to prevent sintering of strands and reduction of cable AC losses (Montgomery)
- Dual channel cooling Used in US-DPC coil for enhanced heat removal (Hoenig)
- Low resistance joints US-DPC coil, including parametric study of materials, processes and geometry affecting joint resistance (Steeves).
- Stability characterization of Nb3Sn cables experimental and theoretical (Minervini, Steeves, Hoenig, Schultz)
- Ramp-Rate limitation in pulsed coil operation Testing of the US-DPC at JAERI
- Characterization of inter-strand contact resistance under Lorentz force -(Takayasu)
- Calorimetric AC loss for simultaneous full-field and current swings -(Gung, Takayasu)
- Thick walled seamless Incoloy 908 conduit development for ITER (INCO, Steeves and Randall)
   - also material characterization and welding development (Hwang, Morra, Jang, Ballinger)
- *GEM conductor development and manufacturing* Aluminum sheath protection and roll-forming of 18 m diameter turns. (Marston, Smith, Camille, Vieira, Hale, etc.)



ġ





## **Program for ITER Magnet R&D**

Full-size conductor development for TF and CS model coils
 strand development and procurement (w/ LLNL)
 cable production
 conduit production

Model coil design and manufacturing
 General Dynamics/Westinghouse as prime industrial contractor

Full-size conductor and joint testing
 Program run by LLNL in FENIX facility

Supporting laboratory and sub-size conductor R&D program

## Supporting Laboratory and Sub-Size R&D Program

Materials database

Conduit physical, mechanical and processing properties (MIT & NIST) □ Insulation physical, mechanical, radiation and processing properties (R. Reed, CTD, NIST, ...)

Sub-Scale Tests and Measurements

□ Strand characterization (MIT, UW, BNL, NIST, LLNL)

□ Stability and ramp-rate

Quench detector development

Focus is on:

- → simultaneous ramping of both current and field
- → study of current distribution in cable during ramping
  → effect of Lorentz force on contact resistance and AC losses



.



## RAMP RATE LIMITATION EXPERIMENTS USING 27-STRAND CABLE

Experimental Method

a. US-DPC Simulation



b. Ramp-down Field with DC Current



c. Ramp-up Field with DC Current













CONTACT RESISTANCE BETWEEN STRANDS



#### CONTACT CONDUCTANCE

$$G = \frac{1}{R}$$
 (mho/m)

R = Measured resistance R for 1 m cable between "A" and "B".



CONTACT RESISTANCE OF A SINGLE STRAND IN 27-STRAND CABLE



Fig. 1

CONTACT RESISTANCE OF A SINGLE STRAND IN 27-STRAND CABLE

Data from "RAMP #11 RESIST-TB" FILE : RAMP #11 RESIST v I-GF



Fig. 2



# CONTACT RESISTANCE OF A SINGLE STRAND IN 27-STRAND CABLE

Data from "RAMP #11 RESIST-TB" FILE : RAMP #11 RESIST-GF



