

Clues to a mysterious Universe - exploring the interface of
particle, gravity and quantum physics
Humboldt Kolleg 2022, Kitzbühel, June 2022

Unterstützt von / Supported by




Alexander von Humboldt
Stiftung/Foundation


Flavour Physics as a Window for New Physics Searches

Peter Križan

University of Ljubljana and J. Stefan Institute



for the Belle II and Belle Collaborations,
with material from the LHCb collaboration



Contents of the talk

Introduction

Facilities

Studies of anomalies in B meson decays

Searches for new physics in rare decays

Outlook

Motivation

Decays of **B, D, K** mesons and **tau** leptons have been and continue being a very hot topic in searches for new physics.


Physics of these decays has **contributed substantially** to our present understanding of elementary particles and their interactions.

Intriguing phenomena that have been seen in recent years make this research area one of the most interesting in particle physics.

Are we now in a similar situation with present hints of anomalies?

- Anomalies in $B \rightarrow D(^*)\tau\nu$ decays
- Anomalies in $B \rightarrow K(^*)e^+e^-$ and $B \rightarrow K(^*)\mu^+\mu^-$
- Anomaly in $(g-2)_\mu$

Anomalies in $B \rightarrow D(^*)\tau\nu$ decays



$$R(D, D^*, X) = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow D, D^*, X\tau\nu)}{\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow D, D^*, X\ell\nu)}$$

with ℓ a light lepton


Measurements of $R(D)$ and $R(D^*)$ compared to the SM predictions

SM: $R(D^*) = 0.254 \pm 0.005$ and $R(D) = 0.299 \pm 0.003$

Experiment: $R(D^*) = 0.295 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.010$ and $R(D) = 0.339 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.014$

Anomalies in $B \rightarrow K(^*)e^+e^-$ and $B \rightarrow K(^*)\mu^+\mu^-$

$b \rightarrow s$ transition, loops and boxes





$$R_K = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ e^+ e^-)}$$

SM: the ratio R_K should be **equal to 1** (most systematic uncertainties in the hadronic corrections are canceled)

Experiment: **below 1**

[arXiv:2103.11769], [arXiv:2110.09501]




If this hints are confirmed on a larger data sample, what are possible interpretations?


These anomalies **challenge lepton flavour universality (LFU)**, one of the cornerstones of SM.

Diagrams for the $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau\nu$ transition:

mediated by the **charged SM weak interaction**




example of a non-SM decay process involving **leptoquarks**



Other possibilities: models with a Z' boson, and others

See, e.g., Altmanshofer&Zupan, arXiv:2203.07726v3

Facilities: LHCb @ LHC




pp collisions in the forward region: huge production rates of b hadrons.
Large boost + excellent vtx resolution: background rejection and decay-length resolution.
Excellent momentum and mass resolution.
Outstanding PID ($K-\pi$) and μ reconstruction.
Dedicated trigger system for beauty and charmed hadrons.

Facilities: Belle II @ SuperKEKB

$$L = \frac{\gamma_{\pm}}{2er_e} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_y^*}{\sigma_x^*} \right) \frac{R_L}{R_{\xi}} \frac{I_{\pm} \xi_{y\pm}}{\beta_{y\pm}^*}$$

beam current x1.5
beam-beam param. x1
vertical beta function x 1/20




Idea: to increase the luminosity of KEKB by a factor of 30, employ **Nano-Beam scheme** (P. Raimondi): squeeze beta function at the IP (β_x^*, β_y^*) and minimize longitudinal size of overlap region

- Modestly increase the beam currents from 1.64A + 1.19A to 2.8A+2.0A (e-,e+)
- Dramatically decrease the beam cross section: β_y^* from 5.9mm/5.9mm to 0.27mm/0.30mm
- Increase the crossing angle to 83mrad


Strong focusing of beams down to vertical beam size of ~ 50 nm requires very low emittance beams and a powerful sophisticated final focus




Belle II Detector



- Exactly two B mesons produced (at $\Upsilon(4S)$)
- Hermetic detector
- High flavour tagging efficiency ($\sim 30\%$)
- Detection of gammas, π^0 s, K_L s
- Very clean detector environment (can observe decays with several neutrinos in the final state!)



Facilities: Belle II



Very successful data taking throughout the pandemic

-overall data taking efficiency of 89.5%

-reached world record instantaneous luminosity: $4.65 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, collected up to 15 fb^{-1} per week: Super-B factory mode

-recorded luminosity at Belle II: 428 fb^{-1} (Belle 988 fb^{-1} , BaBar 513 fb^{-1})

This talk: results with 63 fb^{-1} and 128 fb^{-1}


Ultimate goal: reach 50 ab^{-1} by operating at the instantaneous luminosity of $6 \times 10^{35} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$

Understanding the anomalies – need:

- Larger statistics
- Search for possible missed systematic effects
- More channels
- Different final states (e.g., $b \rightarrow u$ instead of $b \rightarrow c$ transitions)
- More experiments

R(D^{*}) at LHCb

Exploit the large boost of b hadrons and the large vertex separation (and an excellent vertex resolution)



- Many ongoing analyses, different b-hadrons and final states
- Extension to angular observables are planned


$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{b \rightarrow q \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau}{b \rightarrow q \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell}$$

↓ $\ell = e, \mu$

$$\mathcal{R}(D^{(*)}, D_s^{(*)}, X, \pi, \dots)$$

Hadronic tagging at Belle II

- Profit from the fact that exactly two B mesons are produced in e^+e^- collisions
 → Full Event Interpretation
- hierarchical multivariate technique (>200 BDTs) to reconstruct the B-tag side (semi-leptonic or hadronic) through $O(10^3)$ different decay modes
 - results in a significantly increased tagging efficiency compared to Belle





Efficiency ϵ ↑

Inclusive Tag
 $\epsilon = \mathcal{O}(100)\%$
 Consistency of B_{tag}

Semileptonic Tag
 $\epsilon = \mathcal{O}(1)\%$
 Knowledge of B_{tag}

Hadronic Tag
 $\epsilon = \mathcal{O}(0.1)\%$
 Exact knowledge of B_{tag}

↓ **Purity**




$$M_{bc} = \sqrt{s/4 - p_{cm}^2 c^2}$$

5

Belle II: preparation for the $R(D^*)$ measurement

[arXiv:2008-10299]

→ Measure $B(B^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\ell^- \nu_\ell)$



Multiple neutrinos in final state

- Hadronic tagging

Feed down from D^{**} poorly understood

- Tagged measurement of $B \rightarrow D^{**} \ell \nu_\ell$ planned

First tagged Belle II results of $B(B^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\ell^- \nu_\ell)$:

- 34 fb⁻¹ Belle II data
- Signal: Fit of missing mass spectrum


Note that Belle II measures the absolute branching fractions of both decays in the $R(D^*)$ ratio.

$$B(B^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\ell^- \nu_\ell) = (4.51 \pm 0.41_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.27_{\text{syst}} \pm 0.45_{\pi_{\text{slow}}})\%$$

Belle II: prospects for the $R(D^*)$, $R(D)$, $R(X)$, $R(\pi)$ measurements

$$R(D, D^*, X) = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow D, D^*, X\tau\nu)}{\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow D, D^*, X\ell\nu)}$$

with ℓ a light lepton



From: Snowmass white paper "Belle II physics reach and plans for the next decade and beyond" <https://www.slac.stanford.edu/~mpeskin/Snowmass2021/BelleIIPhysicsforSnowmass.pdf>

R_K at LHCb

$$R_K = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ e^+ e^-)}$$



Lepton reconstruction is **not universal** at LHCb: electrons affected by large bremsstrahlung emission

- Partially recovered \rightarrow affects mass resolutions with electrons
- Low trigger and reconstruction efficiency



Measurement of the double ratio with J/ψ control mode allows better control of efficiency

$$R_K = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ e^+ e^-)} \bigg/ \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(\rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) K^+)}{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(\rightarrow e^+ e^-) K^+)}$$

Different q^2 regions \rightarrow Contributions from different processes.



R_K at LHCb



$$R_K(1.1 < q^2 < 6.0 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4) = 0.846^{+0.042}_{-0.039} (\text{stat.})^{+0.013}_{-0.012} (\text{syst.}) \quad 3.1 \sigma \text{ from SM}$$

- Ratio measured around $q^2 \approx m(J/\psi)^2$ resonance: $R_K = 0.981 \pm 0.020$
- Analogous LHCb study using Λ_b gives $R_{pK}|_{0.1 < q^2 < 6 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4} = 0.86^{+0.14}_{-0.11} \pm 0.05$



The BR for the electron mode is consistent with the SM prediction

- The muon mode seems systematically smaller (muon anomaly?) in the q^2 range 1.1 – 6.0 GeV^2



R(K) and R(K*) with Belle

Using the full Belle dataset (711/fb at Y(4S))

JHEP 03 (2021) 105




PRL 126, 161801 (2021)



Results consistent with SM expectation but statistically limited

Compared to LHCb, at B-factories muons and electrons have about the same efficiency!



Expected considerable improvements from Belle II


More on rare decays with $b \rightarrow s$ transitions

$B \rightarrow K(^*)e^+e^-$ and $B \rightarrow K(^*)\mu^+\mu^-$ involve a $b \rightarrow s$ transition.

→ More searches for new physics in rare decays of the type $b \rightarrow s$

- Differential decay rates in $B \rightarrow K^*\mu^+\mu^-$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi\mu^+\mu^-$ – LHCb
- $B \rightarrow X_s\ell\ell$ – Belle II
- $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm\nu\nu$ – Belle II with the inclusive tag
- $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ – Belle II
- $K\pi$ puzzle – Belle II

$b \rightarrow s$ - loop and box diagrams in SM, new physics could be leptoquarks, new particles in loops/boxes, new particles in the final state instead of neutrino pairs



$b \rightarrow s \ell^+ \ell^-$ branching fractions

Differential branching fractions

- Decay rate of $b \rightarrow s \ell^+ \ell^-$ sensitive to BSM
- Branching fractions low for muons (B^+ , B^0 , B_s^0 and Λ_b^0)

$$B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$$

arXiv:2105.14007

$$dB/dq^2 = (2.88 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-8} / (\text{GeV}^2/c^4)$$



for $q^2 \in [1.1, 6.0] \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$

- In agreement with Run 1 result
- **3.6 σ deviation** tension with SM

$$B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$$

- Observables F_L , ACPi asymmetries, coefficients S_i
- Compatible with SM, **tension in F_L**

arXiv:2107.13428



$b \rightarrow s \ell^+ \ell^-$ angular analysis






Angular observables: polarisation, asymmetries vs q^2

$B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ PRL 125 (2020) 011802

- Local tensions 2.5σ and 2.9σ in asymmetry P_5' with SM in q^2 bins $[4,6]$ and $[6,8]$ GeV^2/c^4
- Global analysis finds a tension of 3.3σ
- Consistent with ATLAS, Belle, CMS results

$B^+ \rightarrow K^{*+} \mu^+ \mu^-$ PRL 126 (2021) 161802

- First LHCb measurement
- Local tension with SM up to 3.0σ in $P_2(\sim A_{\text{FB}})$ in q^2 bin $[6,8]$ GeV^2/c^4
- Global tension 3.1σ determined in a fit to the effective field theory Wilson coefficient $\text{Re}(C_9)$



Negative shift of $\Delta\text{Re}(C_9)$ from SM preferred value by a 2 to 3σ level

Belle II: $B \rightarrow X_S \ell \ell$

Measurement of

$R(X_S) = B(B \rightarrow X_S \mu^+ \mu^-) / (B \rightarrow X_S e^+ e^-)$ in progress

Two methods available:



- Sum-of-exclusive modes
- Fully inclusive using tagging

Expected sensitivity:

Observables	Belle (0.71 ab^{-1})	Belle II (5 ab^{-1})	Belle II (50 ab^{-1})
R_{X_S} ($[1.0, 6.0] \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$)	32%	12%	4.0%
R_{X_S} ($[> 14.4] \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$)	28%	11%	3.4%


Angular analysis of $B \rightarrow X_S \ell \ell$ will improve constraints on Wilson coefficients C_9 and C_{10}

[arXiv:2012.15394], [arXiv:1709.10308]



Search for $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \nu \bar{\nu}$

SM: penguin + box diagrams



Flavour-Changing Neutral Current process that has not yet been observed

-no photon contribution/much cleaner theoretical prediction

$$\mathcal{B}(B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \nu \bar{\nu}) = (4.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-6}$$


Previous searches based on tagged analyses

-semi-leptonic tag: $\epsilon_{\text{sig}} \sim 0.2\%$ (Belle)

-hadronic tag: $\epsilon_{\text{sig}} \sim 0.04\%$ (BaBar)



New approach by Belle II based on an inclusive tag

Look for deviations from the expected values \rightarrow information on anomalous couplings $C_{V_L}^\nu$ and $C_{V_R}^\nu$ compared to the SM value $(C_{V_L}^\nu)^{\text{SM}}$, coming from the loop or from processes like



Search for $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \nu \bar{\nu}$


PRL 127 (2921)18, 121202



- New approach by Belle II based on an **inclusive tag**
- no explicit reconstruction of the second B-meson
- use BDTs to exploit distinctive topological features of $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \nu \bar{\nu}$
- much higher efficiency of $\epsilon_{\text{sig}} \sim 4.3\%$ resulting in increased sensitivity per luminosity

Further improvements are underway

- more data (already have 6x more on tape)
- additional channels ($B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \nu \bar{\nu}$, $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$...)
- improved/extended classifiers (neural networks)




Events of different tagging methods are to a large degree statistically independent and can be combined, details are under study.


$b \rightarrow s \gamma$: first results at Belle II

hep-ex:2110.08219

- $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$ branching fraction measurement, with 63 fb^{-1}
- full reconstruction of the decay chain: charged and neutral K^* + high energy photon
- Measured BR consistent with world average values at $1-2 \sigma$
- CP and isospin asymmetry measurement foreseen in the next iterations of the analysis



(c) $B^+ \rightarrow K^{*+}[K^+\pi^0]\gamma$



Mode	$\mathcal{B}_{\text{meas}} [10^{-5}]$	$\mathcal{B}_{\text{PDG}} [10^{-5}]$
$B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \gamma$	$4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2$	4.18 ± 0.25
$B^+ \rightarrow K^{*+} \gamma$	$5.2 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3$	3.92 ± 0.22

- $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ with untagged method, 63 fb^{-1}
- Reconstruct only high energy γ from signal side
- Extract signal from photon energy spectrum
- Excess visible in the expected signal region

In the pipeline: hadronic-tag analysis


Expected impact of Belle II on the longstanding $K\pi$ puzzle (another $b \rightarrow s$ transition)



Belle, Nature 452, 332 (2008)

A significant difference is seen between direct CP asymmetry in $B^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ and $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0$ decays:
 $\Delta A_{CP} = 0.124 \pm 0.021$

An Isospin sum rule has been proposed as a sensitive **null-test**: PLB 627 (2005) 82



$$I_{K\pi} = \mathcal{A}_{K^+\pi^-} + \mathcal{A}_{K^0\pi^+} \frac{\mathcal{B}(K^0\pi^+)}{\mathcal{B}(K^+\pi^-)} \frac{\tau_{B^0}}{\tau_{B^+}} - 2\mathcal{A}_{K^+\pi^0} \frac{\mathcal{B}(K^+\pi^0)}{\mathcal{B}(K^+\pi^-)} \frac{\tau_{B^0}}{\tau_{B^+}} - 2\mathcal{A}_{K^0\pi^0} \frac{\mathcal{B}(K^0\pi^0)}{\mathcal{B}(K^+\pi^-)}$$

- a violation of the sum rule would be evidence for New Physics
- precision on $A_{CP}^{K^0\pi^0}$ is the most limiting input for the test of the sum rule

Expected impact of Belle II on the longstanding $K\pi$ puzzle (another $b \rightarrow s$ transition)





$$I_{K\pi} = \mathcal{A}_{K^+\pi^-} + \mathcal{A}_{K^0\pi^+} \frac{\mathcal{B}(K^0\pi^+)}{\mathcal{B}(K^+\pi^-)} \frac{\tau_{B^0}}{\tau_{B^+}} - 2\mathcal{A}_{K^+\pi^0} \frac{\mathcal{B}(K^+\pi^0)}{\mathcal{B}(K^+\pi^-)} \frac{\tau_{B^0}}{\tau_{B^+}} - 2\mathcal{A}_{K^0\pi^0} \frac{\mathcal{B}(K^0\pi^0)}{\mathcal{B}(K^+\pi^-)}$$

- precision on $\mathcal{A}_{CP}^{K^0\pi^0}$ is the most limiting input for the test of the sum rule


$$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow K^0\pi^0) = [11.0 \pm 1.2(\text{stat}) \pm 1.0(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-6}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{CP}(B^0 \rightarrow K^0\pi^0) = -0.41_{-0.32}^{+0.30}(\text{stat}) \pm 0.09(\text{syst})$$

arxiv.org/abs/2206.07453



Belle II: expected precision on $I_{K\pi}$





Belle II impact on the measurement of $(g-2)_\mu$

$(g-2)_\mu$ measurement among the most sensitive to New Physics

BUT: needs experimental input from the e^+e^- experiments to reduce theory uncertainty to the same level as the expected experimental uncertainties.

Needed:

- hadronic vacuum polarization (HVP) contribution from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi$
- hadronic light-by-light (HLbL) scattering contribution form factors and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$




Belle II with its detector optimized for precision physics with identified hadrons could **reduce systematics** by resolving the current experimental tension in HVP (BaBar vs KLOE)

Excellent opportunity to reduce systematics to the level of the expected experimental precision of $(g-2)_\mu$

Must-do experiment to **validate theory** calculations for HVP and HLbL

Outlook

Outlook: Belle II



Ultimate goal: reach 50/ab by operating at the design luminosity of $6 \times 10^{35} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$

Current working plan follows the KEK Roadmap2020

- LS1 in 2022-23 for the full pixel vertex detector (PXD) installation & partial replacement of MCP-PMTs in TOP
- options for an interaction region upgrade (LS2) ≥ 2026 under study
→ <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.11349>

Beyond: discussions of physics and detector options with an upgraded accelerator to reach an even larger data sample of $\sim 250/\text{ab}$

Outlook: LHCb



Upgrade I: Major upgrade for operation in Run 3

- All sub-detectors read out at 40 MHz for a fully software trigger with the new data centre
- Pixel detector VELO with silicon microchannel cooling 5mm from LHC beam
- New RICH mechanics, optics and photodetectors
- New silicon strip upstream tracker: UT detector
- New SciFi tracker with 11,000 km of scintillating fibres
- New electronics for muon and calorimeter systems

Upgrade II

- Fully exploit LHC facility for flavour physics & beyond, for LS4
 - Expression of interest (2017), Physics Case (2018)
 - Strong support in European Strategy (2020)
- Framework Technical Design Report (autumn 2021)
 - Options to achieve the physics programme

Summary

- Physics of b and c hadrons and τ leptons has **contributed substantially** to our present understanding of elementary particles and their interactions
- B decays have been and continue being a **very hot topic** in searches for new physics. **Intriguing phenomena** that have been seen in recent years make this research area one of the most interesting in particle physics.
- LHCb finished its Upgrade I, and Belle II has entered the super-B-factory regime.
- Expect a new, exciting era of discoveries, and a friendly competition and complementarity of LHCb and Belle II, as well ATLAS and CMS