

# SCHWARZSCHILD RADIUS FOR ELECTRIC CHARGE

**Yevhen Lunin**

**Country - Ukraine**

**Kherson region**

**73000, Kherson**

**E-mail: btkza@ukr.net**

**ORCID: 0000-0002-5233-6353**

## **Abstract**

The aim of this work is to prove the formula for the Schwarzschild radius analog in case of a static spherically symmetric electric charge. The work contains two elegant proofs of the formula for the gravitational radius and for its analog in the case of an electric charge. The author mathematically proves that the Schwarzschild radius formula should not have a multiplier of 2. Proposed by the author in 2006 the relationship formula between the electric charge and the energy of this electric charge was applied in the proof of the formula for the Schwarzschild radius analog in case of a static spherically symmetric electric charge. And the results are another confirmation of the statement validity about the electric charge equivalence and its energy. An analysis was carried out on the correspondence of the formula for the Schwarzschild radius analog in case of a static spherically symmetric electric charge to the Reissner-Nordström and Schwarzschild solutions results and it is shown that they are in full agreement with each other. The radiation temperature expression is proposed for a spherically symmetric black hole with a static electric charge, but without rotation. An expression is obtained for the particles production probability estimating in a static electric field of a black hole. The author proposes the Coulomb's law application limit explanation. The author explains the existence possibility of long-lived charged black holes. This work proposes an original method of the gravity formulas converting into the electricity formulas and vice versa, which allows finding unknown dependencies in physics. This work is another step towards the standardization of physics and its formulas and to some general approach in the problems solving from completely different sections of physics.

**Keywords:** the Schwarzschild radius, the electric charge non-invariance, gravitational constant, the Coulomb's law application limit, the Reissner-Nordström solution.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Modern science has a large number of theories about black holes, their origin and development. The study of black holes is of interest from the view point of the Universe origin understanding. For the first time about very massive bodies, in which the force of gravity is so great that even light cannot escape from them, the English astronomer John Mitchell wrote. *Thanks* to Michel, this hypothesis appeared in 1784 [1]. For stationary

solutions of Einstein's equations, only three variable characteristics are used that correspond to one or another type of black hole: mass  $M$ , momentum  $L$ , and electric charge  $e$ . At first Schwarzschild had decided of the Einstein's equations for a static spherically symmetric mass and he had obtained the solution in the form [2]

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{r_g}{r}\right) c^2 dt^2 - \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{r_g}{r}} - r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2),$$

where  $r_g = \frac{2GM}{c^2}$ ;  $r_g$  = gravitational radius;  $s$  = interval;  $M$  = mass;

$G$  = gravitational constant;  $c$  = velocity of light in vacuum;  $r$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\varphi$  = spherical coordinates.

The Reisner-Nordström solution was next the Einstein's equations solution. This is a static solution of the spherically symmetric black hole which has mass and electric charge, but it hasn't the rotation. We can write the metrics of the Reisner-Nordström black hole in the form [3]

$$ds^2 = F c^2 dT^2 - F^{-1} dR^2 - R^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2),$$

where  $F = 1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 R} + \frac{GQ^2}{c^4 R^2}$ .

The Kerr solution describes the rotating black hole, which has mass  $M$  and momentum  $L$ , but which hasn't the electric charge.

The Kerr-Newman solution was obtained subsequently. The Kerr-Newman solution describes the black hole, which has mass  $M$ , momentum  $L$  and electric charge  $e$ .

Modern science is actively looking for the black holes. If we put the probe inside a black hole, we will get any information from it. That's, everything inside of the black hole cannot escape from it. For a static spherically symmetric mass it is possible to construct a Schwarzschild radius [4], which determines the black hole formation possibility from a specific mass

$$r_g = \frac{2GM}{c^2}.$$

A similar radius exists for a static spherically symmetric electric charge. And, as follows from the content of this work, this radius for the electric charge can determine the information non-return scope from the volume of a particular electric charge. In other words, just as in gravity we cannot obtain information from a black hole, so in electricity we cannot obtain information from the electric charge sphere which is limited by the Schwarzschild radius analog.

Looking at the previous formula, we can assume that the formula for the Schwarzschild radius analog will consist of a combination of two constants and an electric charge.

## FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

This work aims to prove the formula for the Schwarzschild radius analog in case of a static spherically symmetric electric charge.

## RESULTS

The formula for the Schwarzschild radius analog is obtained

$$r_\lambda = \frac{e}{4\pi\epsilon_0\lambda},$$

where  $e$  = electric charge;  $\epsilon_0$  = electric constant;  $\lambda$  = constant ( $\lambda \approx 10^6$  V).

The formula for the Schwarzschild radius is written more precise  $r_g = \frac{GM}{c^2}$ .

The Coulomb's law application limit is explained.

The physical quantities converting method is described, which allows finding unknown dependencies in physics. This work is another step towards the standardization of physics and its formulas from completely different sections of physics.

The radiation temperature expression is proposed for a spherically symmetric black hole with a static electric charge, but without rotation  $\theta = \frac{\hbar c \vec{E}}{2\pi\lambda k}$ ,

where  $\hbar$  = Planck's constant;  $\vec{E}$  = electric field strength;  $k$  = Boltzmann's constant.

An expression is obtained for the particles production probability  $w_e$  estimating in a static spherically symmetric electric field of a black hole

$$w_e = A \exp \frac{-\beta E}{2\pi k \Theta}$$

where  $E = \lambda e$ ;  $\beta$  = dimensionless constant of the order of unity;  $A$  = pre-exponential factor depends on (as well as  $\beta$ ) the more detailed characteristics of the field.

## DISCUSSION

### PART 1

#### A

Let's write the formula for the Schwarzschild radius

$$r_g = \frac{2GM}{c^2}. \quad (1)$$

Let's try to prove the same formula. The gravitational energy  $E$  of resting mass  $m$  located at a distance  $r_g$  from the centre of mass  $M$  is equal to

$$E = \frac{GMm}{r_g}.$$

On the other hand, the same energy can be written in this form  $E = mc^2$ . Let's equate them.

$$mc^2 = \frac{GMm}{r_g}.$$

Whence we obtain formula (2)

$$r_g = \frac{GM}{c^2}. \quad (2)$$

The equation (3) is used in the proof of the formula for the Schwarzschild radius [5]

$$\frac{mv^2}{2} = \frac{GMm}{r_g}. \quad (3)$$

Kinetic energy is implied on the left-hand side of this equation. But the formula for kinetic energy in classical physics differs from the formula for relativistic kinetic energy. And if we assume that the velocity of the mass  $m$  equals to  $c$ , then we must also use the formula for the relativistic kinetic energy  $E_k$

$$E_k = E - E_0,$$

where  $E$  = total energy,  $E_0$  = rest energy.

That's, a simple substitution of  $\frac{mv^2}{2}$  for  $\frac{mc^2}{2}$  is impossible. Therefore, the formula (3) is incorrect in relativistic physics. Therefore, expression (1) is also incorrect. And the expression (2) validity should be recognized.

## B

Continuing the electric charge non-invariance theme, proposed by the author in 2006, the author offers a proof of the formula for the Schwarzschild radius analog (but not for mass, but for electric charge).

Let's carry out similar reasoning for an electric charge.

On the one hand, the energy  $E$  of the resting electric charge  $q$ , located at a distance  $r$  from another electric charge  $e$ , is calculated by the formula

$$E = \frac{qe}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r}. \quad (4)$$

On the other hand, according to [6] one can be written

$$E = \lambda q, \quad (5)$$

where  $E$  = energy of electric charge  $q$ ,  $\lambda$  = constant.

Let's equate expressions (4) and (5).

$$\lambda q = \frac{qe}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r}.$$

Then we get  $r$

$$r = \frac{e}{4\pi\epsilon_0 \lambda}.$$

If we take  $r$  on the Schwarzschild sphere, then  $r = r_\lambda$ . And now we can write the final form of the formula for the Schwarzschild radius analog in case of a static spherically symmetric electric charge.

$$r_\lambda = \frac{e}{4\pi\epsilon_0 \lambda}. \quad (6)$$

## C

Knowing the positron and electron annihilation energy, in the first approximation, we can calculate the value of  $\lambda$ . And knowing  $\lambda$ , you can calculate the Schwarzschild radius analog for electric charge.

For example, let's calculate the Schwarzschild radius analog for an electron having an almost elementary electric charge. Let's mark this radius  $r_{\lambda e}$ . Firstly calculate  $\lambda$ . The energy ( $E \approx 1$  MeV) is released during the positron and electron annihilation. This energy can be expressed in joules,  $E = 1.6 \times 10^{-13}$  J. Then we find  $\lambda$  from the formula  $E = \lambda e$ .

$$\lambda = E/e.$$

$$\lambda = \frac{1.6 \times 10^{-13} \text{ J}}{1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}}.$$

$$\lambda = 10^6 \text{ V}.$$

We got  $\lambda$ . Now we can calculate the Schwarzschild radius analog for the electron from (6)

$$r_{\lambda e} = \frac{1.6 \times 10^{-19}}{4\pi \times 8.85 \times 10^{-12} \times 10^6} \text{ m}.$$

$$r_{\lambda e} = 1.44 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m} \approx 10^{-15} \text{ m}$$

We got the Schwarzschild radius  $r_{\lambda e}$  analog for the electron. And the atomic nuclei dimensions are just of such order (about  $10^{-15}$  m) [7]. At this distance, the Coulomb's

repulsion of protons in the nucleus no longer prevents them from approaching, and a strong interaction begins to appear. It turns out that it is precisely at distances of this order the Coulomb's force becomes vanishingly small, either by itself or in comparison with the forces of another nature manifested at such distances. It's well known that the deviations from the Coulomb's law occur at distances of this order. In other words, calculating the Schwarzschild radius analog for an electron, we see that this radius coincides with the Coulomb's law application limit.

Comparing the gravitational radius value for electron ( $r_{ge} \approx 10^{-57}$  m, this is a tabular value) and the Schwarzschild radius analog value for electron ( $r_{\lambda e} \approx 10^{-15}$  m), we see that the black hole formation conditions for electron as an electric charge occur at much greater distances than for electron as the mass owner.

Modern science denies the long-lived charged black holes existence possibility, believing that charges of the same sign will quickly scatter in different directions. However, it has to remember that under the black hole conditions, the Coulomb's repulsion forces become vanishingly small (a complete analogy with protons in a nucleus). Therefore, the charge in a black hole can persist for an arbitrarily long time.

#### D

Knowing expression (2), we can get expression (6) in another way. We can come to expression (6) by logical considerations. We can assume that mass  $M$  will naturally transform into an electric charge  $e$ , gravitational constant  $G$  will change into electric constant  $\epsilon_0$  with multiplier  $4\pi$  and for two reasons it will be in the denominator of the sought formula. Firstly the gravitational constant  $G$  is numerically equals to the force with which two unit masses interact, when they are at a unit distance from each other. Having written down the Coulomb's law, we can see that the electric constant  $\epsilon_0$  cannot be imagined as the force with which two unit charges interact at a unit distance from each other. But this fraction  $1/(4\pi\epsilon_0)$  will already equal numerically to the interaction force of two unit charges located at a unit distance from each other. And, secondly, as can be seen visually at once, in the universal gravitation law and in the Coulomb's law these constants are in the different structural positions:  $G$  is in the numerator and  $4\pi\epsilon_0$  is in the denominator. It remains to understand what can replace  $c^2$ . And here we have to remember the constants that assign energy in accordance to each mass and each electric charge. Einstein assigned the energy  $E$  for each mass  $M$  through the coefficient of proportionality  $c^2$ . In the work [6] for each electric charge  $e$  the author assigned the energy  $E$  through the coefficient  $\lambda$  of proportionality. In electricity the constant  $\lambda$  plays the same role as the constant  $c^2$  in gravity.

Then  $c^2$  can be replaced by the constant  $\lambda$  in the Schwarzschild radius formula. Therefore, using expression (2), we can write the formula for the Schwarzschild radius analog in case of a static spherically symmetric electric charge in this form

$$r_{\lambda} = \frac{e}{4\pi\epsilon_0\lambda},$$

and this completely coincides with the expression (6). Thus, we arrived at the proven formula by simple logical reasoning.

These simple substitutions ( $M \leftrightarrow e$ ,  $c^2 \leftrightarrow \lambda$ ,  $1/G \leftrightarrow 4\pi\epsilon_0$ ) can become a very effective original method in the unknown dependencies search in physics.

One interesting remark can be made. The specific energy of binding for many nuclei turns out by a constant value, which equals  $\approx 8$  MeV [7]. You can see that the constant  $\lambda$  differs from this value by about 8 times. It's very likely that in the values specifying case of these two physical quantities, it may turn out that their values coincide. And the specific

energy of binding will turn out by the physical meaning of the constant  $\lambda$ . The specific energy  $Y$  constancy of binding indicates that the nucleus energy  $E_{(Z, A)}$  is proportional to the number  $A$  of nucleons [7]

$$E_{(Z, A)} \sim Y A$$

## PART 2

There is an expression was obtained for the Schwarzschild radius square [8], but for an electric charge

$$r_Q^2 = \frac{e^2 G}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^4}. \quad (7)$$

Let's make some remarks about the expressions (2) and (7).

1. Let's think a little about the coefficient 2 in expression (1). There are three independent paths that lead to the same result. Firstly, there is the expression (2) proof in this work. Secondly, if in the expression (7) we replace  $e$  with  $M$ , as well as this fraction  $1/(4\pi\epsilon_0)$  with  $G$ , respectively, then we get the expression for the Schwarzschild radius in such form

$$r_g = \frac{GM}{c^2}. \quad (8)$$

We see that expressions (8) and (1) are in contradiction with each other. They differ by a multiplier of 2. This means that some result of the Einstein's equations solving is not correct: either the expression (1) or the expressions (7) and (8).

Thirdly, if in the formula (6) for the Schwarzschild radius analog in case of a static spherically symmetric electric charge we do the analogous substitutions, namely  $e$  with  $M$ , this fraction  $1/(4\pi\epsilon_0)$  with  $G$  as well as  $\lambda$  with  $c^2$ , respectively, then we get the expression for the Schwarzschild radius in this form

$$r_g = \frac{GM}{c^2}.$$

Thus we see that the proven expressions (2), the expression (7) and the formula (6) for the Schwarzschild radius analog in case of a static spherically symmetric electric charge lead to the same expression for the Schwarzschild radius. That's, three different paths lead to the same result. From this we can conclude that the coefficient 2 should not be in the formula for the gravitational radius. Therefore the gravitational radius  $r_g$  formula will be more correct in the form

$$r_g = \frac{GM}{c^2}.$$

2. Let's try to compare expressions (6) and (7). To do this, we divide the square of expression (6) by square of expression (7)

$$\frac{r_\lambda^2}{r_Q^2} = \frac{e^2}{16\pi^2 \epsilon_0^2 \lambda^2} \times \frac{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^4}{e^2 G}.$$

$$r_\lambda^2 / r_Q^2 \approx 10^{42}$$

We get  $r_\lambda$   $r_\lambda \approx 10^{21} r_Q$

That's the Schwarzschild radius analog is  $10^{21}$  times greater than the one given by the expression (7). Let's write it down for an electron  $r_{\lambda e} \approx 10^{21} r_{Qe}$ .

With this is mind  $r_{\lambda e} \approx 10^{-15}$  m,  
we get  $r_{Qe} \approx 10^{-36}$  m.

The difference between these two values is huge. Comparing the values of  $r_{\lambda e}$  and  $r_{Qe}$ , on the author's opinion, one should opt for the value of  $r_{\lambda e}$ . It should be done for two reasons. Firstly, the value of  $r_{\lambda e}$  is confirmed by the facts that are presented in this work. Secondly, the value of  $r_{\lambda e}$  explains the Coulomb's law application limit existence. What explains the value of  $r_{Qe}$  - it's unknown.

3. Let's try to divide the expression (7) into two parts: electrical and gravitational. Let's transform expression (7) to a form in which only electrical characteristics will participate, without the participation of  $G$  and  $c$ . We replace  $G$  with fraction  $1/(4\pi\epsilon_0)$  and replace  $c^4$  with  $\lambda^2$ . After these replacements, it can be assumed that the left-hand side of expression (7) will already be  $r_\lambda$  already, instead of  $r_Q$ . Then

$$r_\lambda^2 = \frac{e^2}{16\pi^2\epsilon_0^2\lambda^2}.$$

And we get  $r_\lambda$  
$$r_\lambda = \frac{e}{4\pi\epsilon_0\lambda}. \quad (9)$$

From this it's clear that after such a transformation, expression (9) completely coincides with expression (6) - the formula for the Schwarzschild radius analog in case of a static spherically symmetric electric charge. That's, the formula for the Schwarzschild radius analog in case of a static spherically symmetric electric charge is in excellent agreement with the Reissner-Nordström solution result (from [8]).

And the gravitational part of the expression (7) will represent the Schwarzschild radius. Let's show it. After the substitution in this expression of  $e$  with  $M$ , as well as of the fraction  $1/(4\pi\epsilon_0)$  with  $G$ , respectively, we can write

$$r_g = \frac{GM}{c^2},$$

what coincides with the proven expression (2) for the gravitational radius.

Thus we see that expression (7) is not a final product, it's a semi-finished product (semi-manufacture). With a certain refinement, expression (7) is transformed either into the Schwarzschild radius or into the Schwarzschild radius analog. The expression (7) use in practical calculations can lead to erroneous results.

Such transformations of the Reissner-Nordström solution results in the Schwarzschild radius, as well as the transformations of the Schwarzschild radius in the Schwarzschild radius analog and vice versa, are a good confirmation of the formula truth for the Schwarzschild radius analog, which is in full compliance with the existing solutions of the Einstein's equations. Consequently, it's possible to assert about validity of the formula for connection between an electric charge and its energy  $E = \lambda e$ , proposed by the author in 2006 and on the basis of which the formula for the Schwarzschild radius analog was obtained.

### PART 3

In 1974-1975, Hawking obtained the radiation temperature expression for a spherically symmetric black hole without an electric charge and rotation. A black hole creates and emits particles in the same way as a black body heated to a temperature  $\Theta$  [9]

$$\theta = \frac{\hbar\kappa}{2\pi ck}, \quad (10)$$

where  $\kappa$  = a value that characterizes the gravitational field strength near the black hole surface,  $k$  = Boltzmann's constant.

A similar expression of temperature can be obtained for a spherically symmetric black hole with an electric charge, but without rotation (naturally excluding mass). The electric field strength  $\vec{E}$  is the same force characteristic of an electric field, as the value of  $\kappa$  is the

force characteristic of the gravitational field. Therefore, instead of the value of  $\kappa$  we write the electric field strength  $\vec{E}$ .

Since we are interested in a black hole with an electric charge, we now need to understand how to enter the constant  $\lambda$  into the formula for temperature. We have repeatedly replaced  $c^2$  with  $\lambda$  and vice versa. Let's make such replacement again:  $c^2$  is replaced by  $\lambda$ . Now we can write the formula for temperature in the case of a spherically symmetric black hole with an electric charge, but without rotation (naturally excluding mass)

$$\theta = \frac{\hbar c \vec{E}}{2\pi\lambda k}. \quad (11)$$

The particles production probability  $w$  in an external static field with intensity  $\Gamma$  is described by an expression of such form [9]

$$w = A \exp \frac{-\beta m^2 c^3}{\hbar g \Gamma}, \quad (12)$$

where  $g$  = the charge of the particles being born;

$\beta$  = dimensionless constant of the order of unity;  $A$  = pre-exponential factor depends on (as well as  $\beta$ ) the more detailed characteristics of the field.

For gravitational interaction, mass acts as the gravitational charge of the system. Therefore, to estimate the particles production probability  $w$  in a static gravitational field, we should put on  $g = m$  and  $\Gamma = \kappa$ , where  $\kappa = \frac{c^4}{4GM}$  [9].

According to [9], the particles production probability  $w$  in the field of a black hole (from (12))

$$\begin{aligned} w &= A \exp -\beta m c^2 \frac{m c}{\hbar g \Gamma} \\ w &= A \exp \frac{-\beta E c}{\hbar \kappa} \\ w &= A \exp \frac{-\beta E}{2\pi k \Theta} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

In this expression we have replaced  $\hbar \kappa$  by  $2\pi c k \Theta$  (from (10)).

If the black hole has an electric charge, then expression (12) will take on a following form. For electrical interaction we should put on  $g = e$ ,  $m = e$  and  $\Gamma = \vec{E}$ . Let's apply the formula again  $E = \lambda e$ . After replacing  $m c^2$  with  $\lambda e$  we can write for a process that characterizes only electrical interaction.

Let's write formula (12) in such form

$$w = A \exp \frac{-\beta m^2 c^3}{\hbar g \Gamma}.$$

Let's make substitutions

$$w_e = A \exp \frac{-\beta e e \lambda c}{\hbar e \vec{E}} = A \exp \frac{-\beta e \lambda c}{\hbar \vec{E}}.$$

It follows from the formula (11):  $\hbar \vec{E} = 2\pi\lambda k \Theta / c$

We now have a final expression for  $w_e$

$$w_e = A \exp \frac{-\beta e \lambda c c}{2\pi\lambda k \Theta} = A \exp \frac{-\beta E c c}{2\pi\lambda k \Theta} = A \exp \frac{-\beta E}{2\pi k \Theta}$$

As a result, we came to the same expression that was obtained for the Schwarzschild black hole. Only  $E = \lambda e$ .



That's, if we knew that energy can be calculated by the formula  $E = \lambda e$ , we could immediately write down the final result for the case of a non-rotating charged black hole. As it was, we had to do some mathematics.

In 1972, J. Bekenstein hypothesized [10] that a black hole has entropy  $S$  proportional to its surface area  $s$  (for a spherical hole  $s = 4\pi r_g^2$ )

$$S = \frac{s\eta kc^3}{G\hbar}$$

where  $\eta = 1/4$ .

Let's denote  $N = \frac{kc^3}{G\hbar}$ .

$$S = N \frac{s}{4}$$

One can write a similar formula for the entropy  $S_e$  of a spherically symmetric black hole with an electric charge, but without rotation. If we make such substitutions ( $c^2$  with  $\lambda$ , and  $G$  with the familiar fraction  $1/(4\pi\epsilon_0)$ , respectively) we can write

$$N_e = \frac{4\pi\epsilon_0 k\lambda^2}{c\hbar}.$$

And we get  $S_e = N_e \frac{s}{4} = \frac{\pi\epsilon_0 k\lambda^2}{c\hbar} s$ .

This is the entropy of a spherically symmetric black hole, due to its electric charge.

Since entropy is an additive quantity, when calculating the total entropy  $S_{BH}$  of a charged black hole, you will have to add two of its parts, namely

$$S_{BH} = \frac{kc^3 s}{4G\hbar} + \frac{\pi\epsilon_0 k\lambda^2 s}{c\hbar}.$$

## CONCLUSIONS

The formulas transforming method of electricity and gravity, which is described in the work, allows us to move towards the standardization of physics. A very similar situation is observed in the study of black holes and questions of thermodynamics. There are quite a few considerations indicating the close intertwining of black hole physics and thermodynamics [10].

## FUNDING

This research received no external funding.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data are contained within the article and collected from the references listed in the bibliography.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to Tetiana Shashkova, Tatyana Vasina, Vanesa Gonzalez, Oleh Araslanov, Petro Kanivets, Igor Mizin, Kirill Bileckiy and Vladimir Dzjuba for their help. I

would like to give special thanks to my grandson Yaroslav Arnaut, with whom we started a series of experiments in 2006 at home.

## REFERENCE

1. Michell J. On the Means of Discovering the Distance, Magnitude, &c. of the Fixed Stars, in Consequence of the Diminution of the Velocity of Their Light, in Case Such a Diminution Should be Found to Take Place in any of Them, and Such Other Data Should be Procured from Observations, as Would be Farther Necessary for That Purpose. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, 1784, Vol. 74, 35-57 [in English]. DOI <http://doi.org/10.1098/rstl.1784.0008>  
Bibcode: 1784RSPT...74...35M
2. Korkina M. P. Famous exact solutions of General Relativity equations. Space, time and fundamental interactions, 2016, Iss. 1(14), 19-23 [in Russian].
3. Hladush, V. D.; Haladzhii, M. B. Radial motions of neutral and charged test particles in the field of an charged spherically-symmetrical object in General Relativity. Kinematics and Physics of Celestial Bodies, 2009, Vol, 25, 115-126 [in Russian].
4. Landau, L.; Lifshits, Y. Field theory, (Vols 1-10; Vol. 2), 8th ed.; Moscow, USSR, 2003; p. 405; Fizmatlit. [in Russian].
5. Berezin, V. A. Before getting around to do black hole physics... . Journal of Samara State Technical and Mathematical Sciences, 2013, Issue 3(32), 147-184 [in Russian]. doi: 10.14498/vsgtu 1230
6. Lunin, Y. A. Non-invariance of electric charge and similar substances. Transactions of Kremenchuk Mukhailo Ostrohradskyi State Polytechnic University, 2006, Iss. 2/2006 (37), P. 2, 44-45 [in Russian].
7. Safarov, P. Physics of atomic nuclei and elementary particles; Kazan, USSR, 2008; pp. 4, 15; RITS "Shcola". [in Russian].
8. Dokukin, M. Y.; Indyk, D. S. About the possibility of the black holes existence within the framework of a dynamic and stationary Universe. Electronic scientific journal "Don's engineering bulletin", 2016, No. 4, 1-21 [in Russian].
9. Novikov, I.; Frolov, V. Physics of the black holes; Moscou, USSR, 1986; pp. 252, 187; Nauka. [in Russian].
10. Bekenstein, J. D. Bekenstein-Hawking entropy. Scholarpedia, 2008, 3(10):7375. doi: 10.4249/scholarpedia, 7375 [in English].