Towards the CDR - Detector 1

- Second Proton Beam steering through IR in same beam pipe √ (informal meeting on 5.October '10)
- Beam Separation Dipols design H.Ten-Kate, A.Dudarev
- SR Calculations N.Bernard, R. Appleby, E.Eroglu
- Beam Pipe shape/thickness calculations R.Veness, J.Bosch, M.Jimenez
- Solenoid(s) design H.Ten-Kate, A.Dudarev
 - 1 or 2 magnets (2 magnets no return yoke)?
 - CMS type Fe-yoke for 3.5T needs ~1ok tons steel, cost 5M
 - 2 magnets physics case: best muon measurement possible needed?
 - cost estimate
 - drawbacks?
- Radiation background (Uludag Univ.)







RR-option - short dipoles

- dipole near to beam pipe transparent?
- between tracker + calorimeter

2 x 9m dipole for the LR option?



3

Towards the CDR - Detector 2

- Tracker "conventional": pixel, strip, pad multiple scattering! new development - thin Si-detectors few but accurate measuring points (designer wanted)
- Tracking lightweight SiGas (pixel, strip, pad thin Si) Trigger capable, track segment definition (design: H. van der Graaf?)
- Warm Calorimeter CMS inspired (contr. Uludag Univ. ?)
- Cold Calorimeter H1/ATLAS (H.Oberlack ?)
- dedicated forward calorimeter (F.Simon, F.Sefkow?)
- For many parts: consulting engineer wanted
- R/O DAQ B.Melado expressed interest
- Software: on Ixplus @ CERN dedicated lhec installations







Fwd. / Central / Bwd. Tracker



Behind Schedule

Detector Requirements and Design

5.1 Design Description

5.1.1 Requirements on the Detector

- The LHeC experiment has to be operated in parallel to the other LHC experiments and has to be set up in accordance to all regulations.
- The not interacting proton/ion beam has to bypass the IP region guided through the same beam pipe housing the electron and interacting proton/ion beam. That needs timing as well as angle adjustment chapter[].
- The detector has to be operated in a high luminosity environment L. High $L_{average}$ is anticipated with small beam spot sizes ($\sigma_x \approx 30 \mu m$, $\sigma_y \approx 16 \mu m$), small β^* and relatively large IP angles (see acc. part). On the other hand β^* has to be chosen to eliminate effects of parasitic bunch crossings. The machine and detector needs near the IP is a difficult optimization problem.
- Good vertex resolution for decay particle secondary vertex tagging is required, which implies a small radius, thin beampipe optimized in view of synchrotron radiation and background production from the machine side chapter[].
- The detector will have one (or two) solenoid(s) building a homogenous field in the tracking area of 3.5T extending over ±6m.
- The tracking and calorimetry in forward and backward direction have to be set up such that the extreme asymmetry of the production kinematics are taken into account by layout and choice of technology for the detector design and ensure high efficiency measurements.
- Very forward/backward detectors have to be set up to access the diffractive produced events and measuring the luminosity with high precision, respectively.
- The detector must experience acceptable backgrounds. The design has to be background insensitive as far as possible and the machine has to incorporate masks, shielding's and an appropriate optics design that minimizes background sources and impacts and a vacuum profile that reduces backgrounds.
- It might be necessary to have insertable/removable shielding protecting the detector against injection and poor machine performance.
- The detector should be flexible to accommodate for the high acceptance as well as for the high luminosity running foreseen for the two main physics programs. The flexibility should accommodate for reducing/enhancing the energy asymmetry of the beams.
- Special Interaction Region instrumentation for tuning of the machine with respect to background and luminosity is needed. Radiation detectors e.g. near mask and tight apertures are useful for fast identification of background sources. Fast bunch related informations are useful for beam optimization in that context.