Probing ultralight bosons with black holes and gravitational waves



Australian National University





Dr Ling Sun, OzGrav-ANU 31st Lepton Photon Conference, 2023



Detection of gravitational waves



Credit: NASA/Dana Berry, Sky Works Digital



Credit: LIGO/T. Pyle

** This material is based upon work supported by NSF's LIGO Laboratory which is a major facility fully funded by the National Science Foundation.



Credit: ICRR, Univ. of Tokyo/LIGO Lab/Caltech/MIT/Virgo Collaboration



Masses in the Stellar Graveyard



DCC-G2102319; LVK, arXiv:2111.03606 (2021)

LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA | Aaron Geller | Northwestern



Other sources of gravitational waves



Transient





Modeled





www.www.www

Persistent



Probes of dark matter with GW detectors



Figure from Bertone et al., SciPost Phys. Core 3, 007 (2020) Also see Snowmass review: Baryakhtar et al., arXiv:2203.07984 (2022)



- GW detectors are extremely sensitive to displacements can also be used as direct dark matter detectors through the field's weak coupling to normal matter.
- If neutron stars were to contain dark matter, there would be imprints in the star's tidal deformability, which may be accessible to GW observation.
- Primordial black holes are also dark matter candidates. Subsolar-mass black hole inspirals are continuous GW sources.
- Astrophysical probes of ultralight boson condensates around black holes become possible via GW observation, by only assuming a coupling through gravity.

Probes of dark matter with GW detectors



Goddard Space Flight Center/NASA



Caltech/MIT/LIGO Lab



ESA/Hubble, N. Bartmann



Superradiance: Brito, Cardoso, Pani





- viable dark matter particle.
- (spin 2) fields.
- QCD axion (well motivated to solve the strong-CP problem), string axion, dark photon, etc. — They are also **dark matter candidates**.
- Their model-dependent weak couplings to the Standard Model (if at all) and the lab experiments.

• The **Standard Model** of particle physics has been tremendously successful but still **incomplete**, e.g., it is irreconcilable with General Relativity and does not contain any

• Alternative beyond Standard Model theoretical frameworks predict the existence of new ultralight boson particles, including scalar (spin 0), vector (spin 1), and tensor

vanishingly small mass make them extremely difficult to detect by conventional



• Now we can appeal to the new experimental field and use **GW detectors** to search for them, taking advantage of their universal character of gravitational couplings.

• The ultralight boson field around a rapidly rotating BH can grow exponentially due to a phenomenon called "superradiance." A macroscopic cloud can form around the BH and generate **gravitational waves** that could be detected by GW detectors.

• Even without detection, limits on gravitational-wave strain can be translated into interesting constraints on boson properties.

Bosons, black holes, GWs



Brito, Cardoso, Pani (2015)

Black hole superradiance







Compton wavelength ~ BH characteristic length

$$\lambda_{\mu} \sim r_{g}$$

$$\lambda_{\mu} \equiv 2\pi\lambda_{\mu} \equiv h/(m_b c)$$

 $r_g \equiv GM/c^2$



Mechanisms of gravitational radiation

• **Annihilation** – two bosons annihilate into a graviton (cf. an electron and a positron annihilate into a photon) **Promising continuous/long-lasting sources**

• Level transition – boson transitions between energy levels (cf. electrons jump in the hydrogen atom)

Need more than one level occupied with considerable numbers Too weak for young BHs (scalar); short observational window; frequency not preferred

• "Bosenova" – (in some cases) abrupt collapse of the cloud due to particle self-interactions **Too short (burst-like)**

j – total angular momentum; I – orbital azimuthal; m – (magnetic) azimuthal s – spin angular momentum; n – radial; n+l+1 – principal

More interested in fastest growing level Scalar field: j = l = m = 1, s = n = 0Vector field: $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{l} = \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{0}$

Superradiance, boson clouds, GWs



Quantum fluctuation

 $\omega_\mu/m < \Omega_{
m BH}$



Keep growing until $\,\omega_\mu/m < \Omega_{
m BH}\,$ is no low Number of bosons occupying a level $>10^{77}$ Cloud mass may reach $\sim 10\%$ of the BH mass



Scalar cloud (I = m = 1)

 $\lambda_{\mu} \sim r_g$ "resonate"

$$\omega_{\rm GW} = 2\omega_{\bar{n}}$$

Continuous quasimonochromatic signals (small positive f)

$$r_c \sim rac{ar{n}^2}{lpha^2} rac{GM}{c^2}$$
nger satisfied



Cloud dissipated BH spun down (on a very long timescale)



- GW emission frequency is determined by the particle's energy (mass)
- Can estimate the emission frequency for a given BH!

$$f = \frac{\omega_{\mu}}{\pi} \approx \frac{\alpha}{\pi r_g} \approx 645 \,\mathrm{Hz} \left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right)$$

• Emission timescale

A given BH could "resonate" with bosons in a narrow mass range, and hence emit GWs at frequencies in a limited band on a predictable timescale

Parameter space



 $\omega_{\mathrm{GW}} = 2\omega_{\bar{n}}$

 $\frac{10M_{\odot}}{M_{i}}\left(\frac{\alpha}{0.1}\right) \qquad \qquad \text{Fine structure constant} \quad \alpha \equiv \frac{GM}{c} \frac{m_{b}}{\hbar}$

Scalar $\tau_{\rm GW}^{(\rm s)} \approx 6.5 \times 10^4 \text{ yr} \left(\frac{M}{10 M_{\odot}}\right) \left(\frac{0.1}{\alpha}\right)^{15} \frac{1}{\chi_i}$ Vector $\tau_{\rm GW}^{(\rm v)} \approx 33 \text{ days} \left(\frac{M}{10M_{\odot}}\right) \left(\frac{0.1}{\alpha}\right)^{11} \left(\frac{0.1}{\chi_i - \chi_f}\right)^{11}$





• Constraints obtained from black hole spin measurements

e.g. [Arvanitaki et al. 2017, Brito et al. 2017, Baryakhtar et al. 2017, Cardoso et al. 2018, Ng et al., PRD 2021, Ng et al. PRL 2021]

Constraints from searches for stochastic GW background

e.g. [Tsukada et al. 2019, Tsukada et al. 2021]

There are systematics and uncertainties associated with spin measurements and assumptions are made for BH populations

• An all-sky search for scalar clouds around unknown BHs in O3

[Abbott+ PRD 105, 102001 (2022)]

- Semiguantitative constraints on the possible presence of emitting boson clouds in our Galaxy
- E.g., systems **younger than** $\sim 10^3$ **yrs** are disfavored in the whole Galaxy for **boson masses** ~ $[2.5, 10] \times 10^{-13} \text{ eV}$ for a maximum BH mass of $50M_{\odot}$ and ~ $[1.2, 10] \times 10^{-13} \text{ eV}$ for a maximum BH mass of $100M_{\odot}$ (using Kroupa mass distribution with PDF $\propto m^{-2.3}$)



• A dedicated search for scalars targeting Cygnus X-1 in O2

[Sun+ PRD 101, 063020 (2020)]

Unknown black holes or black holes with unknown history are not ideal in order to obtain robust constraints

Searches for individual galactic sources (examples)



• E.g., **boson masses** [6.4, 8.0] $\times 10^{-13}$ eV are disfavored assuming a BH age of 5×10^{6} yrs and [6.3, 13.2] $\times 10^{-13}$ eV assuming a BH age of 10^{5} yrs



Ideal targets — remnant BHs formed in CBCs

Witnessed birth

Constrained position

Measured BH mass/spin

Measured luminosity distance, inclination, etc.

Search methods are available, e.g., Sun+ 2018, D'Antonio+ 2018, Isi+ 2019, Jones+ 2023





Horizon distance (scalar)





1 detector, 1-year observation







Vector clouds have much stronger radiation power

- But meantime the signal is much shorter

• Do we have a better chance detecting vector signals?



Horizon distance (vector)

- Plots are showing an optimally matching scenario -- max GW strain when the cloud is saturated
- Can probe a small range of boson masses for each given BH target
- Can reach further in some non-optimally matching cases —— signals are slightly weaker but last longer



• Vector boson clouds around CBC remnants can potentially be reached by current-generation detectors



Horizon



and constraints from other types of studies.

• GW detectors provide a new way to probe the dark sector in the universe, including yet-undiscovered **ultralight bosons**. Various studies have already been carried out.

• **Newly born BHs** formed in mergers are ideal target sources for directed searches. Observations for scalars will become promising with future detectors. Vectors are potentially at the reach of current-generation detectors; searches are being planned.

• Future studies may **combine** theoretical predictions, gravitational-wave search results,





Thanks! Questions?

• Solving the Schrödinger equation over a Kerr background, one gets: $E_{\bar{n}} \approx \mu \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha^2}{\bar{n}^2} + \cdots \right)$ Hydrogen atom!

Principal quantum number

$$\bar{n} = n + l + 1$$

- BUT it's different from a hydrogen atom
 - System is non-Hermitian (ingoing boundary condition at horizon)
 - Bosons rather than fermions

 - One energy level dominates at a given time.



Occupation number of a given quantum state grows exponentially!!





- Unknown age and history
- Systematics affecting the spin measurements
- Not well understood impact from the active environment
- Relatively low BH mass
- Search challenges due to the binary motion

 Clean environment, no impact from binary motion Unknown location (need an all-sky blind search) • Contingent on BH populations

Unknown age, spin, etc.

