SMEFT status from a theoretical perspective

Going Beyond the Dim-6 SMEFT in the high luminosity/energy era

Lepton-Photon 2023,
Melbourne, Australia

Rick Sandeepan Gupta
Tata Institute of Fundamental Research (Mumbai)

PRECISION HIGGS PHYSICS

- Studying the properties of the Higgs and other electroweak states is an obvious goal for particle physicists today.

- Precision Higgs physics has matured into a sophisticated field in the last 11 years since Higgs discovery

- The theoretical framework that has become standard is the dimension 6 Standard Model Effective Theory (SMEFT)

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \boxed{\sum_{i=1}^{59} \frac{c_i}{\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_i^6} + \cdots$$

model independent way to parametrise effect of heavy particles

OVERVIEW

- EFTs in the context of BSM studies have seen intense activity recently on both the theory and experimental side.

- Many new theoretical developments:

  1. HEFT vs SMEFT
  2. Dimension 8
  3. Amplitude approach
  4. Differential/multivariate signatures of EFT operators
  5. Positivity bounds
  6. Many technical breakthroughs in operator counting, matching, RG etc

- I will cover the first 4 topics, indeed positivity constraints have so far been mostly restricted to dimension 8 operators

OVERVIEW

- EFTs in the context of BSM studies have seen intense activity recently on both the theory and experimental side.

- Many new theoretical developments:

  1. HEFT vs SMEFT
  2. Dimension 8
  3. Amplitude approach
  4. Differential/multivariate signatures of EFT operators
  5. Positivity bounds
  6. Many technical breakthroughs in operator counting, matching, RG etc

- I will cover the first 4 topics, indeed positivity constraints have so far been mostly restricted to dimension 8 operators

HIGHER LUMINOSITIES/ENERGIES AT FUTURE COLLIDERS

- We are now entering the era of higher luminosities/energies with many proposed future colliders.
- These have the potential to achieve a new level of precision in Higgs physics

- Can we say anything qualitatively new with all this new data ?
- Or just improve our existing constraints on EFT couplings ?

- Can we say anything qualitatively new with all this new data ? ✓
- Or just improve our existing constraints on EFT couplings ?

PRESENT STATUS → HIGHER LUMINOSITIES/ ENERGIES

Experimental observables used:

Mostly rates & some one dimensional distributions → Fully differentiable observables, Multivariate distributions, Machine learning

Theoretical framework used:

Dimension 6 SMEFT → Dimension 8 SMEFT, HEFT, Amplitudes

Go beyond SMEFT assumptions, test them !

BEYOND SMEFT



BEYOND SMEFT



If we do establish that BSM deviations lie in the SMEFT subspace
This will be a way to ***test the SMEFT!***

BEYOND SMEFT 1: HEFT

- SMEFT not always the right choice.

- SMEFT: Observed 125 GeV *h* and goldstones eaten by W,Z make a doublet.

$$\begin{pmatrix} G^{\pm} \\ iG_0 + v + h/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Essence of Higgs mechanism!

- HEFT: More general, includes SMEFT as a special case. No connection assumed between *h* and goldstones/VEV.

$$\left(G^{\pm}, v + iG_0\right) + h$$

$$\boxed{U = \exp(2iX_i\pi_i/v)}$$

BEYOND SMEFT 1: HEFT

Lot of recent work:

1. Alonso, Jenkins & Manohar(2016)
2. Alonso, Jenkins & Manohar(2016)
3. Fallkowski & Rattazzi (2019)
4. Cohen, Craig, Lu & Sutherland (2020)
5. Banta, Cohen, Craig, Lu & Sutherland (2021)
6. Alonso & West (2021)
7. Alonso & West (2022)
8. Bertuzzo, Grojean & RSG (in prep)

HEFT BUT NOT SMEFT: UV SCENARIOS

- Recent work shows that UV theories that map to HEFT and not SMEFT are ubiquitous. Whenever we integrate out states that get a majority of their mass from electroweak VEV, theory maps to HEFT. Eg. 4th generation fermions, 2HDMS etc
- Such particles were dubbed ‘Loryons’ by Cohen et al.
- Parameter space for many such UV scenarios wide open.

Fallkowski & Rattazzi (2019),
Cohen, Craig, Lu & Sutherland (2020)

But what is the difference between these 2 expansions ?

How do we distinguish these 2 possibilities experimentally ?

Answer: The difference becomes clear at the level of anomalous couplings

ANOMALOUS COUPLINGS

Anomalous couplings are **QCD & EM invariant Lagrangian terms**

(1) Higgs observables (20):

$$hW^{+}_{\mu\nu}\,W^{-\mu\nu}$$

$$hZ_\mu \bar{f}_{L,R}\gamma^\mu f_{L,R}$$



(2) Electorweak precision observables (9):

$$Z_\mu \bar{f}_{L,R}\gamma^\mu f_{L,R}$$

$$W^{+}_{\mu}\bar{\nu}_L\gamma^\mu e_L$$



(3) Triple and Quartic Gauge couplings (3+4):

$$g_1^Z c_{\theta_W} Z^\mu \left(W^{+\nu}\hat{W}^{-}_{\mu\nu} - W^{-\nu}\hat{W}^{+}_{\mu\nu}\right)$$
$$\kappa_\gamma s_{\theta_W}\hat{A}^{\mu\nu}W^{+}_{\mu}W^{-}_{\nu}$$
$$\lambda_\gamma s_{\theta_W}\hat{A}^{\mu\nu}\hat{W}^{-\rho}_{\mu}\hat{W}^{+}_{\rho\nu}$$



ANOMALOUS COUPLINGS: HEFT VS SMEFT

- In SMEFT some linear combination of anomalous couplings are suppressed by powers of wrt HEFT.

- Eg. : *Vll* couplings (*l* is a lepton)

$$SM + \delta g^Z_{e_L} Z_\mu \bar{e}_L \gamma^\mu e_L + \delta g^Z_{e_R} Z_\mu \bar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_L + \delta g^Z_{\nu_L} Z_\mu \bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L + \delta g^W_L (W^+_\mu \bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu e_L + h.c.)$$

4 anomalous couplings

- In HEFT all these arise independently at $\mathcal{O}(v^2/\Lambda^2)$

- In SMEFT 3 are $\mathcal{O}(v^2/\Lambda^2)$ and $\delta g^W_L = \dfrac{\cos\theta_W(\delta g^Z_{\nu_L} - \delta g^Z_{e_L})}{\sqrt{2}} = \mathcal{O}(v^4/\Lambda^4)$

CORRELATIONS BETWEEN W/Z COUPLING DEVIATIONS

- 4 anomalous couplings related to *Zff, Wff* deviations

$$SM + \delta g^Z_{e_L} Z_\mu \bar{e}_L \gamma^\mu e_L + \delta g^Z_{e_R} Z_\mu \bar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_L + \delta g^Z_{\nu_L} Z_\mu \bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L + \delta g^W_L (W^+_\mu \bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu e_L + h.c.)$$

- At D6 level only 3 operators break these D4 predictions at $\mathcal{O}(v^2/\Lambda^2)$

$$\mathcal{O}_{e_R} = iH^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D} H \; \bar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R \qquad \mathcal{O}_{L1} = iH^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D} H \; \bar{L} \gamma^\mu L \qquad \mathcal{O}_{L3} = iH^\dagger \sigma^a \overleftrightarrow{D} H \; \bar{L} \sigma^a \gamma^\mu L$$

- For leptons four anomalous couplings and only 3 operators so 1 prediction:

$$\delta g^W_L = \frac{\cos\theta_W (\delta g^Z_{\nu_L} - \delta g^Z_{e_L})}{\sqrt{2}} = 0$$

RSG, Pomarol & Riva (2014)

BREAKING OF D6 CORRELATION AT D8

- At D8 level another SU(2)x U(1) invariant operator breaks D6 prediction at $\mathcal{O}(v^4/\Lambda^4)$

$$\mathcal{O}_{L3'} = iH^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \; (H^\dagger \sigma^a H)\bar{L}\sigma^a\gamma^\mu L$$

- So of the 4 D4 predictions 3 are broken at $\mathcal{O}(v^2/\Lambda^2)$ and 1 at $\mathcal{O}(v^4/\Lambda^4)$

$$\delta g_L^W - \frac{\cos\theta_W(\delta g^Z_{\nu_L} - \delta g^Z_{e_L})}{\sqrt{2}} = \mathcal{O}(v^4/\Lambda^4)$$

- At D6 level there were 3 independent couplings, at D8 we unblock a further observable/ open a 4th BSM primary

Bertuzzo, Grojean & RSG (in prep)

BREAKING OF D6 CORRELATION AT D8

- At D8 level another SU(2)x U(1) invariant operator breaks D6 prediction at $\mathcal{O}(v^4/\Lambda^4)$

$$\mathcal{O}$$

D6 SMEFT Prediction: Once Z coupling deviations are measured, W coupling deviation completely fixed!

- So of the 4 D4 predi l at $\mathcal{O}(v^4/\Lambda^4)$

$$\delta g_L^W - \frac{\cos\theta_W(\delta g^Z_{\nu_L} - \delta g^Z_{e_L})}{\sqrt{2}} = \mathcal{O}(v^4/\Lambda^4)$$

- At D6 level there were 3 independent couplings, at D8 we unblock a further observable/ open a 4th BSM primary

SMEFT VS HEFT

4 anomalous couplings

HEFT

All 4
Couplings
$\mathcal{O}(v^2/\Lambda^2)$

SMEFT

3 $\mathcal{O}(v^2/\Lambda^2)$
linear combinations

1 $\mathcal{O}(v^4/\Lambda^4)$
linear combinations

Bertuzzo, Grojean & RSG (in prep)

CONSIDER ALL MAJOR HIGGS PROCESSES

We can extend this approach to all the anomalous couplings that contribute to these Higgs production/ decay processes

List of Processes
$gg \to h, hh, hhh$
$VV \to h, hh, hV$
$ff(ff') \to Zh(Wh)$
$h \to bb, cc, \tau\tau, \mu\mu$
$h \to \gamma\gamma, Z\gamma$
$h \to Wl\nu, Wjj, Zll, Zjj$

Bertuzzo, Grojean & RSG (in prep)

52 ANOMALOUS COUPLINGS

We find that these anomalous couplings that contribute to these processes*

List of Anomalous Couplings
Vff couplings (9)
$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{Vff} = \sum_f \delta g_f^Z Z_\mu \bar{f}\gamma^\mu f_{L,R} + \delta g_{e\nu}^W (W_\mu^+ \bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu e_L + h.c.) + \delta g_{ud}^W (W_\mu^+ \bar{u}_L \gamma^\mu d_L + h.c.$
Anomalous TGC (4)
$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{TGC} = \mathrm{i}gc_{\theta_W} \left[\delta g_1^Z Z_\mu \left(W_\nu^+ \mathcal{W}^{-\mu\nu} - W_\nu^- \mathcal{W}^{+\mu\nu}\right) + \delta\kappa^Z W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- Z^{\mu\nu}\right]$ $+\mathrm{ie}\ \delta\kappa^\gamma W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- A^{\mu\nu} + g_5 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W_\mu^+ \overleftrightarrow{D}_\rho W_\nu^- Z_\sigma$
Anomalous QGC (5)
$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{QGC} = g^2 c_{\theta_W}^2 \left[\delta g_{ZZ1}^Q Z^\mu Z^\nu W_\mu^- W_\nu^+ - \delta g_{ZZ2}^Q Z^\mu Z_\mu W^{-\nu} W_\nu^+\right] + \frac{g^2}{4c_{\theta_W}^4} h_{ZZ}^Q (Z^\mu Z_\mu)^2$ $+\frac{g^2}{2}\left[\delta g_{WW1}^Q W^{-\mu} W^{+\nu} W_\mu^- W_\nu^+ - \delta g_{WW2}^Q \left(W^{-\mu} W_\mu^+\right)^2\right]$
Single Higgs (19)
$\Delta\mathcal{L}_h = \delta g_{VV}^h\, h \left[W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- + \frac{1}{2c_{\theta_W}^2} Z^\mu Z_\mu\right] + \delta g_{ff}^h \left(h \bar{f}_L f_R + h.c.\right) + \delta g_{ZZ}^h\, h \frac{Z^\mu Z_\mu}{2c_{\theta_W}^2}$ $\sum_f g_{Zff}^h \frac{h}{v} Z_\mu \bar{f}\gamma^\mu f + g_{WQ}^h \frac{h}{v}\left(W_\mu^+ \bar{u}_L \gamma^\mu d_L + h.c.\right) + g_{WL}^h \frac{h}{v}\left(W_\mu^+ \bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu e_L + h.c.\right)$ $+\kappa_{ZZ}^h \frac{h}{2v} Z^{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} + \kappa_{\gamma\gamma}^h \frac{h}{2v} A^{\mu\nu} A_{\mu\nu} + \kappa_{Z\gamma} \frac{h}{v} A^{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} + \kappa_{WW}^h \frac{h}{v} \mathcal{W}^{+\mu\nu} \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^-$ $+\kappa_{GG}^h \frac{h}{2v} G^{A\,\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^A$
hV^3 couplings (5)
$\Delta\mathcal{L}^{hV^3} = \mathrm{i}gc_{\theta_W} \frac{h}{v}\left[g_{Z1}^{hV^3} Z_\mu \left(W_\nu^+ \mathcal{W}^{-\mu\nu} - W_\nu^- \mathcal{W}^{+\mu\nu}\right) + \kappa_Z^{hV^3} W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- Z^{\mu\nu}\right]$ $+\mathrm{ie}\ \kappa_\gamma^{hV^3} \frac{h}{v} W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- A^{\mu\nu} + g_5^{hV^3} \frac{h}{v} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W_\mu^+ \overleftrightarrow{D}_\rho W_\nu^- Z_\sigma$ $+\mathrm{i}g_{\partial hZ}^{hV^3} \frac{g}{2c_{\theta_W}} \frac{\partial_\mu h}{v} Z_\nu \left(W_\mu^+ W^{-\nu} - W_\mu^- W^{+\nu}\right).$
h^2V^2 couplings (8)
$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{V^2}^{hh} = \delta g_{VV}^{hh} \frac{h^2}{2}\left[W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- + \frac{1}{2c_{\theta_W}^2} Z^\mu Z_\mu\right] + \delta g_{ZZ}^{hh} \frac{h^2}{2} \frac{Z^\mu Z_\mu}{2c_{\theta_W}^2}$ $+g_{Z1}^{hh} \frac{(\partial_\nu h)^2}{v^2} + g_{Z2}^{hh} \frac{\partial_\mu h \partial_\nu h}{2v^2} \frac{Z^\mu Z^\nu}{c_{\theta_W}^2}$ $+g_{W1}^{hh} \frac{(\partial_\nu h)^2}{v^2} W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- + g_{W2}^{hh} \frac{\partial_\mu h \partial_\nu h}{2v^2}\left(W^{+\mu} W^{-\nu} + h.c.\right)$ $+\kappa_{WW}^{hh} \frac{h^2}{2v^2} \mathcal{W}^{+\mu\nu} \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^- + \kappa_{ZZ}^{hh} \frac{h^2}{4v^2} Z^{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu}.$
h^2G^2 couplings (1)
$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{GG}^{hh} = \kappa_{GG}^{hh} \frac{h^2}{4v^2} G^{A\,\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^A$
Higgs potential corrections (2)
$\Delta\mathcal{L}^{h^n} = -\delta\lambda_3\, vh^3 - \delta\lambda_4 \frac{h^4}{4}$

*under certain assumptions

Bertuzzo, Grojean & RSG (in prep)

SMEFT VS HEFT

HEFT

52 anomalous couplings

SMEFT

All linear combinations $\mathcal{O}(v^2/\Lambda^2)$

17 $\mathcal{O}(v^2/\Lambda^2)$ linear combinations

23 $\mathcal{O}(v^4/\Lambda^4)$ linear combinations

12 $\mathcal{O}(v^6/\Lambda^6)$ linear combinations

Bertuzzo, Grojean & RSG (in prep)

35 LINEAR COMBINATIONS $\leq \mathcal{O}(v^4/\Lambda^4)$

W/Z-decays (2)	
$\delta^8 g^W_Q$	$\delta g^W_Q - \frac{c_{\theta_W}}{\sqrt{2}}(\delta g^Z_{u_L} - \delta g^Z_{d_L})$
$\delta^8 g^W_L$	$\delta g^W_L - \frac{c_{\theta_W}}{\sqrt{2}}(\delta g^Z_{\nu_L} - \delta g^Z_{e_L})$
TGC (2)	
$\delta^8 \kappa^Z$	$\delta\kappa^Z - \delta g^Z_1 + t^2_{\theta_W}\delta\kappa^\gamma$
g_5	New Structure
QGC (5)	
$\delta^8 g^Q_{WW1}$	$\delta g^Q_{WW1} - 2c^2_{\theta_W}\delta g^Z_1$
$\delta^8 g^Q_{WW2}$	$\delta g^Q_{WW2} - 2c^2_{\theta_W}\delta g^Z_1$
$\delta^8 g^Q_{ZZ1}$	$\delta g^Q_{ZZ1} - 2\delta g^Z_1$
$\delta^8 g^Q_{ZZ2}$	$\delta g^Q_{ZZ2} - 2\delta g^Z_1$
h^{ZZ}	New Structure
Higgs Production and decay (12)	
$\delta^8 g^h_{ZZ}$	$\delta g^h_{ZZ} - (\delta g^Z_1 s^2_{\theta_W} - \delta\kappa^\gamma t^2_{\theta_W})g^2 v$
$\delta^8 \kappa^h_{WW}$	$\kappa^h_{WW} - \delta\kappa^\gamma - \frac{c_{\theta_W}}{s_{\theta_W}}\kappa^h_{Z\gamma} - \kappa^h_{\gamma\gamma}$
$\delta^8 \kappa^h_{ZZ}$	$\kappa^h_{ZZ} - \frac{1}{c^2_{\theta_W}}\delta\kappa^\gamma - \frac{c_{2\theta_W}}{c_{\theta_W}s_{\theta_W}}\kappa^h_{Z\gamma} - \kappa^h_{\gamma\gamma}$
$\delta^8 g^h_{WL}$	$g^h_{WL} - \sqrt{2}c_{\theta_W}(\delta g^Z_{\nu_L} - \delta g^Z_{e_L} - g c_{\theta_W}\delta g^Z_1) + 2\delta g^W_L \delta g^Z_1 c^2_{\theta_W}$
$\delta^8 g^h_{WQ}$	$g^h_{WQ} - \sqrt{2}c_{\theta_W}(\delta g^Z_{u_L} - \delta g^Z_{d_L} - g c_{\theta_W}\delta g^Z_1) + 2\delta g^W_Q \delta g^Z_1 c^2_{\theta_W}$
$\delta^8 g^h_{Zf}$	$g^h_{Zf} - \frac{2g}{c_{\theta_W}}Y_f t^2_{\theta_W}\delta\kappa^\gamma - 2\delta g^Z_f + \frac{2g}{c_{\theta_W}}(T^f_3 c^2_{\theta_W} + Y_f s^2_{\theta_W})\delta g^Z_1 + 2c_{2\theta_W}\delta g^Z_f \delta g^Z_1$
CorrectioNew Structure to Higgs potential (1)	
$\delta^8\lambda_4$	$\delta\lambda_4 - 6\delta\lambda_3 + \frac{4}{g^2}\left(\frac{\delta g^h_{VV}}{v} + g^2 c^2_{\theta_W}\delta g^Z_1\right)\left(\frac{m^2_h}{3v^2} + 3\delta\lambda_3\right)$
h^2G^2 coupling (1)	
$\delta^8 \kappa^{hh}_{GG}$	$\kappa^{hh}_{GG} - \kappa^h_{GG} + \frac{\kappa^h_{GG}}{2}\alpha_r$
h^2V^2 couplings (8)	
$\delta^8 \kappa^{hh}_{WW}$	$\kappa^{hh}_{WW} - \delta\kappa^\gamma - \frac{c_{\theta_W}}{s_{\theta_W}}\kappa^h_{Z\gamma} - \kappa^h_{\gamma\gamma} + \frac{\kappa^h_{WW}}{2}\alpha_r$
$\delta^8 \kappa^{hh}_{ZZ}$	$\kappa^{hh}_{ZZ} - \frac{1}{c^2_{\theta_W}}\delta\kappa^\gamma - \frac{c_{2\theta_W}}{c_{\theta_W}s_{\theta_W}}\kappa^h_{Z\gamma} - \kappa^h_{\gamma\gamma} + \frac{\kappa^h_{ZZ}}{2}\alpha_r$
$\delta^8 g^{hh}_{VV}$	$\delta g^{hh}_{VV} - \frac{4\delta g^h_{VV}}{v} + g^2\delta g^Z_1 c^2_{\theta_W} + \frac{\delta g^h_{VV}}{2v}\alpha_r + 4\left(g^2\kappa^h_{WW} + 2\frac{\delta g^h_{VV}}{v}\right)\delta g^Z_1 c^2_{\theta_W}$
$\delta^8 g^{hh}_{ZZ}$	$\delta g^{hh}_{ZZ} - 5(\delta g^Z_1 s^2_{\theta_W} - \delta\kappa^\gamma t^2_{\theta_W})g^2 + \frac{\delta g^h_{ZZ}}{2v}\alpha_r + 4(\kappa^h_{Z\gamma}s_{2\theta_W} + \kappa^h_{ZZ}c_{2\theta_W} - \kappa^h_{WW})g^2\delta g^Z_1$
g^{hh}_{W1}	New Structure
g^{hh}_{W2}	New Structure
g^{hh}_{Z1}	New Structure
g^{hh}_{Z2}	New Structure
hV^3 couplings (5)	
$\delta^8 g^{hV^3}_{Z1}$	$g^{hV^3}_{Z1} + \frac{2}{c^2_{\theta_W}}\left(\frac{\kappa^h_{Z\gamma}}{t_{\theta_W}} + \delta\kappa^\gamma + \kappa^h_{\gamma\gamma}\right) + 4\left(\frac{c_{2\theta_W}}{2c^2_{\theta_W}} + 1\right)(\delta g^Z_1)^2 c^2_{\theta_W}$
$\delta^8 \kappa^{hV^3}_\gamma$	$\kappa^{hV^3}_\gamma + \frac{2}{t_{\theta_W}}\kappa^h_{Z\gamma} + 2\kappa^h_{\gamma\gamma} + 4\delta\kappa^\gamma\delta g^Z_1 c^2_{\theta_W}$
$\delta^8 \kappa^{hV^3}_Z$	$\kappa^{hV^3}_Z + \frac{2}{c^2_{\theta_W}}\delta\kappa_\gamma + \frac{2}{t_{\theta_W}}\kappa^h_{Z\gamma} + 2\kappa^h_{\gamma\gamma} + 4\left(\left(\frac{c_{2\theta_W}}{2c^2_{\theta_W}} + 1\right)\delta\kappa^Z + t^2_{\theta_W}\delta\kappa^\gamma\right)\delta g^Z_1 c^2_{\theta_W}$
$\delta^8 g^{hV^3}_{\partial hZ}$	$g^{hV^3}_{\partial hZ} + 4(\delta\kappa^Z c_{2\theta_W} + 2\delta\kappa^\gamma s^2_{\theta_W} - \delta g^Z_1 c^2_{\theta_W})\delta g^Z_1 c^2_{\theta_W}$
$g^{hV^3}_5$	New Structure

Bertuzzo, Grojean & RSG (in prep)

35 LINEAR COMBINATIONS=0

at $\mathcal{O}(v^2/\Lambda^2)$

D6 level SMEFT predictions !

W/Z-decays (2)	
$\delta^8 g^W_Q$	$\delta g^W_Q - \frac{c_{\theta_W}}{\sqrt{2}}(\delta g^Z_{u_L} - \delta g^Z_{d_L})$
$\delta^8 g^W_L$	$\delta g^W_L - \frac{c_{\theta_W}}{\sqrt{2}}(\delta g^Z_{\nu_L} - \delta g^Z_{e_L})$
TGC (2)	
$\delta^8 \kappa^Z$	$\delta\kappa^Z - \delta g^Z_1 + t^2_{\theta_W}\delta\kappa^\gamma$
g_5	New Structure
QGC (5)	
$\delta^8 g^Q_{WW1}$	$\delta g^Q_{WW1} - 2c^2_{\theta_W}\delta g^Z_1$
$\delta^8 g^Q_{WW2}$	$\delta g^Q_{WW2} - 2c^2_{\theta_W}\delta g^Z_1$
$\delta^8 g^Q_{ZZ1}$	$\delta g^Q_{ZZ1} - 2\delta g^Z_1$
$\delta^8 g^Q_{ZZ2}$	$\delta g^Q_{ZZ2} - 2\delta g^Z_1$
h^{ZZ}	New Structure
Higgs Production and decay (12)	
$\delta^8 g^h_{ZZ}$	$\delta g^h_{ZZ} - (\delta g^Z_1 s^2_{\theta_W} - \delta\kappa^\gamma t^2_{\theta_W})g^2 v$
$\delta^8 \kappa^h_{WW}$	$\kappa^h_{WW} - \delta\kappa^\gamma - \frac{c_{\theta_W}}{s_{\theta_W}}\kappa^h_{Z\gamma} - \kappa^h_{\gamma\gamma}$
$\delta^8 \kappa^h_{ZZ}$	$\kappa^h_{ZZ} - \frac{1}{c^2_{\theta_W}}\delta\kappa^\gamma - \frac{c_{2\theta_W}}{c_{\theta_W} s_{\theta_W}}\kappa^h_{Z\gamma} - \kappa^h_{\gamma\gamma}$
$\delta^8 g^h_{WL}$	$g^h_{WL} - \sqrt{2}c_{\theta_W}(\delta g^Z_{\nu_L} - \delta g^Z_{e_L} - g c_{\theta_W}\delta g^Z_1) + 2\delta g^W_L \delta g^Z_1 c^2_{\theta_W}$
$\delta^8 g^h_{WQ}$	$g^h_{WQ} - \sqrt{2}c_{\theta_W}(\delta g^Z_{u_L} - \delta g^Z_{d_L} - g c_{\theta_W}\delta g^Z_1) + 2\delta g^W_Q \delta g^Z_1 c^2_{\theta_W}$
$\delta^8 g^h_{Zf}$	$g^h_{Zf} - \frac{2g}{c_{\theta_W}} Y_f t^2_{\theta_W}\delta\kappa^\gamma - 2\delta g^Z_f + \frac{2g}{c_{\theta_W}}(T^f_3 c^2_{\theta_W} + Y_f s^2_{\theta_W})\delta g^Z_1 + 2c_{2\theta_W}\delta g^Z_f \delta g^Z_1$
CorrectioNew Structure to Higgs potential (1)	
$\delta^8 \lambda_4$	$\delta\lambda_4 - 6\delta\lambda_3 + \frac{4}{g^2}\left(\frac{\delta g^h_{VV}}{v} + g^2 c^2_{\theta_W}\delta g^Z_1\right)\left(\frac{m^2_h}{3v^2} + 3\delta\lambda_3\right)$
h^2G^2 coupling (1)	
$\delta^8 \kappa^{hh}_{GG}$	$\kappa^{hh}_{GG} - \kappa^h_{GG} + \frac{\kappa^h_{GG}}{2}\alpha_r$
h^2V^2 couplings (8)	
$\delta^8 \kappa^{hh}_{WW}$	$\kappa^{hh}_{WW} - \delta\kappa^\gamma - \frac{c_{\theta_W}}{s_{\theta_W}}\kappa^h_{Z\gamma} - \kappa^h_{\gamma\gamma} + \frac{\kappa^h_{WW}}{2}\alpha_r$
$\delta^8 \kappa^{hh}_{ZZ}$	$\kappa^{hh}_{ZZ} - \frac{1}{c^2_{\theta_W}}\delta\kappa^\gamma - \frac{c_{2\theta_W}}{c_{\theta_W} s_{\theta_W}}\kappa^h_{Z\gamma} - \kappa^h_{\gamma\gamma} + \frac{\kappa^h_{ZZ}}{2}\alpha_r$
$\delta^8 g^{hh}_{VV}$	$\delta g^{hh}_{VV} - \frac{4\delta g^h_{VV}}{v} + g^2\delta g^Z_1 c^2_{\theta_W} + \frac{\delta g^h_{VV}}{2v}\alpha_r + 4\left(g^2\kappa^h_{WW} + 2\frac{\delta g^h_{VV}}{v}\right)\delta g^Z_1 c^2_{\theta_W}$
$\delta^8 g^{hh}_{ZZ}$	$\delta g^{hh}_{ZZ} - 5(\delta g^Z_1 s^2_{\theta_W} - \delta\kappa^\gamma t^2_{\theta_W})g^2 + \frac{\delta g^h_{ZZ}}{2v}\alpha_r + 4(\kappa^h_{Z\gamma}s_{2\theta_W} + \kappa^h_{ZZ}c_{2\theta_W} - \kappa^h_{WW})g^2\delta g^Z_1$
g^{hh}_{W1}	New Structure
g^{hh}_{W2}	New Structure
g^{hh}_{Z1}	New Structure
g^{hh}_{Z2}	New Structure
hV^3 couplings (5)	
$\delta^8 g^{hV^3}_{Z1}$	$g^{hV^3}_{Z1} + \frac{2}{c^2_{\theta_W}}\left(\frac{\kappa^h_{Z\gamma}}{t_{\theta_W}} + \delta\kappa^\gamma + \kappa^h_{\gamma\gamma}\right) + 4\left(\frac{c_{2\theta_W}}{2c^2_{\theta_W}} + 1\right)(\delta g^Z_1)^2 c^2_{\theta_W}$
$\delta^8 \kappa^{hV^3}_\gamma$	$\kappa^{hV^3}_\gamma + \frac{2}{t_{\theta_W}}\kappa^h_{Z\gamma} + 2\kappa^h_{\gamma\gamma} + 4\delta\kappa^\gamma\delta g^Z_1 c^2_{\theta_W}$
$\delta^8 \kappa^{hV^3}_Z$	$\kappa^{hV^3}_Z + \frac{2}{c^2_{\theta_W}}\delta\kappa_\gamma + \frac{2}{t_{\theta_W}}\kappa^h_{Z\gamma} + 2\kappa^h_{\gamma\gamma} + 4\left(\left(\frac{c_{2\theta_W}}{2c^2_{\theta_W}} + 1\right)\delta\kappa^Z + t^2_{\theta_W}\delta\kappa^\gamma\right)\delta g^Z_1 c^2_{\theta_W}$
$\delta^8 g^{hV^3}_{\partial hZ}$	$g^{hV^3}_{\partial hZ} + 4(\delta\kappa^Z c_{2\theta_W} + 2\delta\kappa^\gamma s^2_{\theta_W} - \delta g^Z_1 c^2_{\theta_W})\delta g^Z_1 c^2_{\theta_W}$
$g^{hV^3}_5$	New Structure

Bertuzzo, Grojean & RSG (in prep)

12 LINEAR COMBINATIONS $\leq \mathcal{O}(v^6/\Lambda^6)$

$$\delta^8\kappa_{WW} - c^2_{\theta_W}\delta^8\kappa_{ZZ} - 2c^2_{\theta_W}\delta^8\kappa_Z$$

$$\delta^8 g^h_{Wud} - \frac{c_{\theta_W}(\delta^8 g^h_{Zu_l} - \delta^8 g^h_{Zd_l})}{\sqrt{2}} - (4\delta^8 g^W_{ud} - \sqrt{2}gc^2_{\theta_W}\delta^8\kappa_Z)$$

$$\delta^8 g^h_{W\nu e} - \frac{c_{\theta_W}(\delta^8 g^h_{Z\nu_l} - \delta^8 g^h_{Ze_l})}{\sqrt{2}} - (4\delta^8 g^W_{\nu e} - \sqrt{2}gc^2_{\theta_W}\delta^8\kappa_Z)$$

$$\delta^8 g^{WW}_{Q2} - \delta^8 g^{WW}_{Q1} - 2c^4_{\theta_W}(\delta^8 g^{ZZ}_{Q2} - \delta^8 g^{ZZ}_{Q1})$$

$$h^{ZZ}_Q + c^4_{\theta_W}(\delta^8 g^{ZZ}_{Q2} - \delta^8 g^{ZZ}_{Q1})$$

$$g^Z_{hh2} - 4(\delta^8 g^{WW}_{Q1} - 2c^2_{\theta_W}\delta^8\kappa_Z)$$

$$g^Z_{hh3} + 4(\delta^8 g^{WW}_{Q1} - 2c^2_{\theta_W}\delta^8\kappa_Z + c^4_{\theta_W}(\delta^8 g^{ZZ}_{Q2} - \delta^8 g^{ZZ}_{Q1}))$$

$$g^W_{hh2} - 4c^4_{\theta_W}\delta^8 g^{ZZ}_{Q1}$$

$$g^W_{hh3} + 4c^4_{\theta_W}\delta^8 g^{ZZ}_{Q2}$$

$$\delta^8\kappa^{hZ} - \frac{1}{3}\Bigg(\frac{9\delta^8 g^h_{VV}/v - \delta^8 g^{h^2}_{ZZ}}{g^2} + 3\delta^8 g^{hZ}_1 - 3t^2_{\theta_W}(2\delta^8 g^{WW}_{Q1} + \delta^8\kappa^h_{WW} + g^{\partial hZ})$$
$$+6\delta^8\kappa_Z + s^2_{\theta_W}(32\delta^8\kappa_Z + 15\delta^8\kappa_{ZZ} + 6\delta^8 g^{Q1}_{ZZ}c^2_{\theta_W})\Bigg)$$

$$\delta^8\kappa^{h\gamma} + \frac{1}{3s^2_{\theta_W}}\Bigg(\frac{9\delta^8 g^h_{VV}/v - \delta^8 g^{h^2}_{ZZ}}{g^2}c^2_{\theta_W} + 3\delta^8 g^{hZ}_1 - 3s^2_{\theta_W}(2\delta^8 g^{WW}_{Q1} + \delta^8\kappa^h_{WW} + g^{\partial hZ})$$
$$-6\delta^8\kappa_Z c^4_{\theta_W} + s^2_{\theta_W}c^2_{\theta_W}(26\delta^8\kappa_Z + 15\delta^8\kappa_{ZZ} + 6\delta^8 g^{Q1}_{ZZ}c^2_{\theta_W})\Bigg)$$

Bertuzzo, Grojean & RSG (in prep)

PROBING SMEFT VS TESTING SMEFT



If we establish that BSM deviations satisfy SMEFT predictions, it will be a way to ***test the SMEFT!***

PROBING SMEFT

Only 17 of these 52 anomalous couplings need to be measured

All other anomalous couplings can be predicted as a function of these 17

List of Anomalous Couplings

Vff couplings (9)

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{Vff} = \sum_f \delta g_f^Z Z_\mu \bar{f}\gamma^\mu f_{L,R} + \delta g_{e\nu}^W (W_\mu^+ \bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu e_L + h.c.) + \delta g_{ud}^W (W_\mu^+ \bar{u}_L \gamma^\mu d_L + h.c.$$

Anomalous TGC (4)

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\mathcal{L}_{TGC} = {} & \mathrm{i} g c_{\theta_W} \left[\delta g_1^Z Z_\mu \left(W_\nu^+ \mathcal{W}^{-\mu\nu} - W_\nu^- \mathcal{W}^{+\mu\nu}\right) + \delta\kappa^Z W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- Z^{\mu\nu}\right] \\ & + \mathrm{ie}\ \delta\kappa^\gamma W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- A^{\mu\nu} + g_5 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W_\mu^+ \overleftrightarrow{D}_\rho W_\nu^- Z_\sigma\end{aligned}$$

Anomalous QGC (5)

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\mathcal{L}_{QGC} = {} & g^2 c_{\theta_W}^2 \left[\delta g_{ZZ1}^Q Z^\mu Z^\nu W_\mu^- W_\nu^+ - \delta g_{ZZ2}^Q Z^\mu Z_\mu W^{-\nu} W_\nu^+\right] + \frac{g^2}{4c_{\theta_W}^4} h_{ZZ}^Q (Z^\mu Z_\mu)^2 \\ & + \frac{g^2}{2}\left[\delta g_{WW1}^Q W^{-\mu} W^{+\nu} W_\mu^- W_\nu^+ - \delta g_{WW2}^Q \left(W^{-\mu} W_\mu^+\right)^2\right]\end{aligned}$$

Single Higgs (19)

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\mathcal{L}_h = {} & \delta g_{VV}^h\, h \left[W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- + \frac{1}{2c_{\theta_W}^2} Z^\mu Z_\mu\right] + \delta g_{ff}^h \left(h \bar{f}_L f_R + h.c.\right) + \delta g_{ZZ}^h\, h \frac{Z^\mu Z_\mu}{2c_{\theta_W}^2} \\ & \sum_f g_{Zff}^h \frac{h}{v} Z_\mu \bar{f}\gamma^\mu f + g_{WQ}^h \frac{h}{v}\left(W_\mu^+ \bar{u}_L \gamma^\mu d_L + h.c.\right) + g_{WL}^h \frac{h}{v}\left(W_\mu^+ \bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu e_L + h.c.\right) \\ & + \kappa_{ZZ}^h \frac{h}{2v} Z^{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} + \kappa_{\gamma\gamma}^h \frac{h}{2v} A^{\mu\nu} A_{\mu\nu} + \kappa_{Z\gamma} \frac{h}{v} A^{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} + \kappa_{WW}^h \frac{h}{v} \mathcal{W}^{+\,\mu\nu} \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^- \\ & + \kappa_{GG}^h \frac{h}{2v} G^{A\,\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^A\end{aligned}$$

hV^3 couplings (5)

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\mathcal{L}^{hV^3} = {} & \mathrm{i} g c_{\theta_W} \frac{h}{v}\left[g_{Z1}^{hV^3} Z_\mu \left(W_\nu^+ \mathcal{W}^{-\mu\nu} - W_\nu^- \mathcal{W}^{+\mu\nu}\right) + \kappa_Z^{hV^3} W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- Z^{\mu\nu}\right] \\ & + \mathrm{ie}\ \kappa_\gamma^{hV^3} \frac{h}{v} W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- A^{\mu\nu} + g_5^{hV^3} \frac{h}{v} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W_\mu^+ \overleftrightarrow{D}_\rho W_\nu^- Z_\sigma \\ & + \mathrm{i} g_{\partial hZ}^{hV^3} \frac{g}{2c_{\theta_W}} \frac{\partial_\mu h}{v} Z_\nu \left(W_\mu^+ W^{-\nu} - W_\mu^- W^{+\nu}\right).\end{aligned}$$

h^2V^2 couplings (8)

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\mathcal{L}_{V^2}^{hh} = {} & \delta g_{VV}^{hh} \frac{h^2}{2}\left[W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- + \frac{1}{2c_{\theta_W}^2} Z^\mu Z_\mu\right] + \delta g_{ZZ}^{hh} \frac{h^2}{2} \frac{Z^\mu Z_\mu}{2c_{\theta_W}^2} \\ & + g_{Z1}^{hh} \frac{(\partial_\nu h)^2}{v^2} + g_{Z2}^{hh} \frac{\partial_\mu h \partial_\nu h}{2v^2} \frac{Z^\mu Z^\nu}{c_{\theta_W}^2} \\ & + g_{W1}^{hh} \frac{(\partial_\nu h)^2}{v^2} W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- + g_{W2}^{hh} \frac{\partial_\mu h \partial_\nu h}{2v^2} \left(W^{+\mu} W^{-\nu} + h.c.\right) \\ & + \kappa_{WW}^{hh} \frac{h^2}{2v^2} \mathcal{W}^{+\,\mu\nu} \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^- + \kappa_{ZZ}^{hh} \frac{h^2}{4v^2} Z^{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu}.\end{aligned}$$

h^2G^2 couplings (1)

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{GG}^{hh} = \kappa_{GG}^{hh} \frac{h^2}{4v^2} G^{A\,\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^A$$

Higgs potential corrections (2)

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}^{h^n} = -\delta\lambda_3\, v h^3 - \delta\lambda_4 \frac{h^4}{4}$$

RSG, Pomarol & Riva (2014)

TESTING SMEFT

1. Beyond D6 SMEFT
2. SMEFT at D8,D10.. level/HEFT
3. Testing SMEFT assumptions

All these 52 anomalous couplings need to be probed

List of Anomalous Couplings

Vff couplings (9)

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{Vff} = \sum_f \delta g_f^Z Z_\mu \bar{f}\gamma^\mu f_{L,R} + \delta g_{e\nu}^W (W_\mu^+ \bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu e_L + h.c.) + \delta g_{ud}^W (W_\mu^+ \bar{u}_L \gamma^\mu d_L + h.c.$$

Anomalous TGC (4)

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{TGC} = \mathrm{i} g c_{\theta_W} \left[\delta g_1^Z Z_\mu \left(W_\nu^+ \mathcal{W}^{-\mu\nu} - W_\nu^- \mathcal{W}^{+\mu\nu}\right) + \delta\kappa^Z W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- Z^{\mu\nu}\right] \\ + \mathrm{ie}\; \delta\kappa^\gamma W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- A^{\mu\nu} + g_5 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W_\mu^+ \overleftrightarrow{D}_\rho W_\nu^- Z_\sigma$$

Anomalous QGC (5)

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{QGC} = g^2 c_{\theta_W}^2 \left[\delta g_{ZZ1}^Q Z^\mu Z^\nu W_\mu^- W_\nu^+ - \delta g_{ZZ2}^Q Z^\mu Z_\mu W^{-\nu} W_\nu^+\right] + \frac{g^2}{4c_{\theta_W}^4} h_{ZZ}^Q (Z^\mu Z_\mu)^2 \\ + \frac{g^2}{2}\left[\delta g_{WW1}^Q W^{-\mu} W^{+\nu} W_\mu^- W_\nu^+ - \delta g_{WW2}^Q \left(W^{-\mu} W_\mu^+\right)^2\right]$$

Single Higgs (19)

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_h = \delta g_{VV}^h\, h \left[W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- + \frac{1}{2c_{\theta_W}^2} Z^\mu Z_\mu\right] + \delta g_{ff}^h\, \left(h \bar{f}_L f_R + h.c.\right) + \delta g_{ZZ}^h\, h \frac{Z^\mu Z_\mu}{2c_{\theta_W}^2} \\ \sum_f g_{Zff}^h \frac{h}{v} Z_\mu \bar{f}\gamma^\mu f + g_{WQ}^h \frac{h}{v}\left(W_\mu^+ \bar{u}_L \gamma^\mu d_L + h.c.\right) + g_{WL}^h \frac{h}{v}\left(W_\mu^+ \bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu e_L + h.c.\right) \\ + \kappa_{ZZ}^h \frac{h}{2v} Z^{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} + \kappa_{\gamma\gamma}^h \frac{h}{2v} A^{\mu\nu} A_{\mu\nu} + \kappa_{Z\gamma} \frac{h}{v} A^{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} + \kappa_{WW}^h \frac{h}{v} \mathcal{W}^{+\mu\nu} \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^- \\ + \kappa_{GG}^h \frac{h}{2v} G^{A\,\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^A$$

hV^3 couplings (5)

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}^{hV^3} = \mathrm{i} g c_{\theta_W} \frac{h}{v}\left[g_{Z1}^{hV^3} Z_\mu \left(W_\nu^+ \mathcal{W}^{-\mu\nu} - W_\nu^- \mathcal{W}^{+\mu\nu}\right) + \kappa_Z^{hV^3} W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- Z^{\mu\nu}\right] \\ + \mathrm{ie}\; \kappa_\gamma^{hV^3} \frac{h}{v} W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- A^{\mu\nu} + g_5^{hV^3} \frac{h}{v} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W_\mu^+ \overleftrightarrow{D}_\rho W_\nu^- Z_\sigma \\ + \mathrm{i} g_{\partial h Z}^{hV^3} \frac{g}{2c_{\theta_W}} \frac{\partial_\mu h}{v} Z_\nu \left(W_\mu^+ W^{-\nu} - W_\mu^- W^{+\nu}\right).$$

h^2V^2 couplings (8)

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{V^2}^{hh} = \delta g_{VV}^{hh} \frac{h^2}{2}\left[W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- + \frac{1}{2c_{\theta_W}^2} Z^\mu Z_\mu\right] + \delta g_{ZZ}^{hh} \frac{h^2}{2} \frac{Z^\mu Z_\mu}{2c_{\theta_W}^2} \\ + g_{Z1}^{hh} \frac{(\partial_\nu h)^2}{v^2} + g_{Z2}^{hh} \frac{\partial_\mu h \partial_\nu h}{2v^2} \frac{Z^\mu Z^\nu}{c_{\theta_W}^2} \\ + g_{W1}^{hh} \frac{(\partial_\nu h)^2}{v^2} W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- + g_{W2}^{hh} \frac{\partial_\mu h \partial_\nu h}{2v^2} \left(W^{+\mu} W^{-\nu} + h.c.\right) \\ + \kappa_{WW}^{hh} \frac{h^2}{2v^2} \mathcal{W}^{+\mu\nu} \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^- + \kappa_{ZZ}^{hh} \frac{h^2}{4v^2} Z^{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu}.$$

h^2G^2 couplings (1)

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{GG}^{hh} = \kappa_{GG}^{hh} \frac{h^2}{4v^2} G^{A\,\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^A$$

Higgs potential corrections (2)

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}^{h^n} = -\delta\lambda_3\, v h^3 - \delta\lambda_4 \frac{h^4}{4}$$

Bertuzzo, Grojean & RSG (in prep)

TESTING SMEFT

List of Anomalous Couplings

Vff couplings (9)

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{Vff} = \sum_f \delta g_f^Z Z_\mu \bar{f}\gamma^\mu f_{L,R} + \delta g_{e\nu}^W (W_\mu^+ \bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu e_L + h.c.) + \delta g_{ud}^W (W_\mu^+ \bar{u}_L \gamma^\mu d_L + h.c.$$

Anomalous TGC (4)

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{TGC} = igc_{\theta_W}\left[\delta g_1^Z Z_\mu (W_\nu^+ \mathcal{W}^{-\mu\nu} - W_\nu^- \mathcal{W}^{+\mu\nu}) + \delta\kappa^Z W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- Z^{\mu\nu}\right]$$
$$+ie\,\delta\kappa^\gamma W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- A^{\mu\nu} + g_5 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W_\mu^+ \overleftrightarrow{D}_\rho W_\nu^- Z_\sigma$$

- Thus to go **from probing to testing SMEFT** many **more measurements** are required.
- This motivates the development of **sophisticated differential observables, wrt energies, angles/Multivariate distributions/Machine learning**
- **High energies/high luminosities required for such studies**

1. Beyo
2. SMEF
3. Testi

All th

couplings need to be probed

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{V^2}^{hh} = \delta g_{VV}^{hh}\,\frac{h^2}{2}\left[W^{+\mu}W_\mu^- + \frac{1}{2c_{\theta_W}^2} Z^\mu Z_\mu\right] + \delta g_{ZZ}^{hh}\,\frac{h^2}{2}\frac{Z^\mu Z_\mu}{2c_{\theta_W}^2}$$
$$+g_{Z1}^{hh}\frac{(\partial_\nu h)^2}{v^2} + g_{Z2}^{hh}\frac{\partial_\mu h \partial_\nu h}{2v^2}\frac{Z^\mu Z^\nu}{c_{\theta_W}^2}$$
$$+g_{W1}^{hh}\frac{(\partial_\nu h)^2}{v^2} W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- + g_{W2}^{hh}\frac{\partial_\mu h \partial_\nu h}{2v^2}(W^{+\mu}W^{-\nu} + h.c.)$$
$$+\kappa_{WW}^{hh}\,\frac{h^2}{2v^2}\mathcal{W}^{+\mu\nu}\mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^- + \kappa_{ZZ}^{hh}\,\frac{h^2}{4v^2} Z^{\mu\nu}Z_{\mu\nu}.$$

h^2G^2 couplings (1)

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{GG}^{hh} = \kappa_{GG}^{hh}\,\frac{h^2}{4v^2} G^{A\,\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^A$$

Higgs potential corrections (2)

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}^{h^n} = -\delta\lambda_3\, v h^3 - \delta\lambda_4\,\frac{h^4}{4}$$

Bertuzzo, Grojean & RSG (in prep)

BEYOND SMEFT 2: AMPLITUDES

- Basic idea: one can try to find most general Lorentz invariant parameterisation of an amplitude for a process.
- There is a mapping between EFT Wilson coefficients and the parameters determining the amplitudes.
- No of parameters must equal no of Wilson coefficients.
- Amplitudes much more physical. No redundancies in amplitude parametrisation unlike Wilson coefficients.

BEYOND SMEFT 2: AMPLITUDES

- Many recent papers with similar objectives:

1. Shadmi & Weiss (2018)
2. Durieux, Kitahara, Shadmi & Weiss (2019)
3. Durieux, Kitahara, Machado, Shadmi & Weiss (2020)
5. Ma, Shu & Xiao (2019)
6. Baratella, Fernandez & Pomarol (2020)
8. Jiang, Ma & Shu (2020)
9. Dong, Ma, Shu & Zhou (2022)
10. Chang, Chen, Liu and Luty (2022)

We will focus on this recent work in this talk

AMPLITUDES EXAMPLE: HIGGSTRAHLUNG

As an example take the most general amplitude for Higgstrahlung:

$$\boxed{\mathcal{M}(f_1\bar{f}_2 \to Z_3 h_4) = \bar{u}_2 \Gamma^\mu u_1 \epsilon^*_{3\mu}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^\mu =& c_1 p_1^\mu + c_2 p_2^\mu + c_3 p_1^\mu \gamma_5 + c_4 p_2^\mu \gamma_5 + c_5 \gamma^\mu + c_6 p_1^\mu \not{p}_3 + c_7 p_2^\mu \not{p}_3 \\
&+ c_8 \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 + c_9 p_1^\mu \not{p}_3 \gamma_5 + c_{10} p_2^\mu \not{p}_3 \gamma_5 + c_{11} \gamma^{\mu\nu} p_{3\,\nu} \\
&+ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_{1\,\nu} p_{2\,\rho} p_{3\,\sigma} \left(c_{12} + c_{13}\gamma_5 + c_{14}\not{p}_3\right) + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\gamma_\nu \left(c_{15} p_{1\,\rho} p_{2\,\sigma} + c_{16} p_{1\,\rho} p_{3\,\sigma} + c_{17} p_{2\,\rho} p_{3\,\sigma}\right) \\
&+ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_{1\,\nu} p_{2\,\rho} p_{3\,\sigma} \left(c_{18}\not{p}_3\gamma_5\right) + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\gamma_\nu\gamma_5 \left(c_{19} p_{1\,\rho} p_{2\,\sigma} + c_{20} p_{1\,\rho} p_{3\,\sigma} + c_{21} p_{2\,\rho} p_{3\,\sigma}\right) \\
&+ c_{22}\epsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\gamma}\gamma^{\mu\nu} p_1^\rho p_2^\sigma p_3^\gamma + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\gamma_{\nu\gamma} p_3^\gamma \left(c_{23} p_{1\,\rho} p_{2\,\sigma} + c_{24} p_{1\,\rho} p_{3\,\sigma} + c_{25} p_{2\,\rho} p_{3\,\sigma}\right) \\
&+ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\gamma_{\nu\rho}\left(c_{26} p_{1\,\sigma} + c_{27} p_{2\,\sigma} + c_{28} p_{3\,\sigma}\right) \\
&+ \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\gamma_\alpha p_{1\,\beta} p_{2\,\gamma} p_{3\,\delta}\left(c_{29} p_1^\mu + c_{30} p_2^\mu + c_{31} p_1^\mu\gamma_5 + c_{32} p_2^\mu\gamma_5\right).
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_n = f(p_i \,.\, p_j)$

Chang, Chen, Liu and Luty (2022)

AMPLITUDES EXAMPLE: HIGGSTRAHLUNG

$$\mathcal{M}(f_1\bar{f}_2 \to Z_3 h_4) = \frac{1}{v}(\bar{u}_2 \not{\epsilon}_3^* u_1)\left[\boxed{A} + \boxed{B}\frac{s}{M^2} + C\frac{t}{M^2} + \cdots\right]$$
$$+ \frac{1}{v^3}(\bar{u}_2 \not{p}_4 u_1)(p_4 \cdot \epsilon_3^*)\left[\boxed{A'} + B'\frac{s}{M^2} + C'\frac{t}{M^2} + \cdots\right] + \cdots$$

Primary: $hZ_\mu \bar{f}\gamma^\mu f$ Descendant: $\partial_\rho h \partial^\rho Z_\mu \bar{f}\gamma^\mu f$ Primary: $ih\tilde{Z}_{\mu\nu}\bar{f}\gamma^\mu \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\nu f$

- Most general amplitude can be rewritten in above form where there is are primaries in the amplitude with 'Mandelstam descendant' contributions (*B*,*C*,*B'*,*C'* etc) suppressed by powers of s/Λ^2, t/Λ^2 etc
- Each term in the above expansion corresponds to an anomalous coupling (HEFT operator). Higher order terms are couplings/operators with more derivatives.

Chang, Chen, Liu and Luty (2022)

AMPLITUDES EXAMPLE: HIGGSTRAHLUNG

- While there are an infinite number of independent parameters/anomalous couplings there are only a finite number of primaries. These are all independent.

- Chang et al list all primary operators (up to arbitrary high dimension) for the important Higgs production and decay processes:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\bar f f, gg, W^+W^-, ZZ) \to (h, hh, hZ, h\gamma, hg)\\
&(\bar f f', ZW) \to hW,\\
&(fg, f\gamma, fZ) \to hf,\\
&fW \to f'h.
\end{aligned}$$

- These can be distinguished in angular measurements. Measuring these can become a target for experiments.

Ex: 12 primaries for Higgstrahlung:

i	$\mathcal{O}_i^{hZ\bar f f}$
1	$hZ^\mu \bar\psi_L \gamma_\mu \psi_L$
2	$hZ^\mu \bar\psi_R \gamma_\mu \psi_R$
3	$hZ^{\mu\nu} \bar\psi_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi_R + \text{h.c.}$
4	$ih\widetilde Z_{\mu\nu} \bar\psi_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi_R + \text{h.c.}$
5	$ihZ^\mu(\bar\psi_L \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu \psi_R) + \text{h.c.}$
6	$hZ^\mu \partial_\mu(\bar\psi_L \psi_R) + \text{h.c.}$
7	$ihZ^\mu \partial_\mu(\bar\psi_L \psi_R) + \text{h.c.}$
8	$hZ^\mu(\bar\psi_L \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu \psi_R) + \text{h.c.}$
9	$ih\widetilde Z_{\mu\nu}(\bar\psi_L \gamma^\mu \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\nu \psi_L)$
10	$h\widetilde Z_{\mu\nu}\partial^\mu(\bar\psi_L \gamma^\nu \psi_L)$
11	$ih\widetilde Z_{\mu\nu}(\bar\psi_R \gamma^\mu \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\nu \psi_R)$
12	$h\widetilde Z_{\mu\nu}\partial^\mu(\bar\psi_R \gamma^\nu \psi_R)$

AMPLITUDES EXAMPLE: HIGGSTRAHLUNG

- While there are an infinite number of independent parameters/anomalous couplings there are only a finite number of primaries. These are all independent.

- Chang et al list all primary operators (up to arbitrary high dimension) for the important Higgs production an[illegible]

$$(\bar{f}f', ZW) \to hW,$$
$$(fg, f\gamma, fZ) \to hf,$$
$$fW \to f'h.$$

This again motivates new angular observables to pinpoint these different anomalous couplings

- These can be distinguished in angular measurements. Measuring these can become a target for experiments.

Ex: 12 primaries for Higgstrahlung:

i	$\mathcal{O}_i^{hZ\bar{f}f}$
1	$hZ^\mu \bar{\psi}_L \gamma_\mu \psi_L$
2	$hZ^\mu \bar{\psi}_R \gamma_\mu \psi_R$
3	$hZ^{\mu\nu} \bar{\psi}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi_R + \text{h.c.}$
4	[illegible] $+\text{h.c.}$
5	[illegible] $+\text{h.c.}$
6	[illegible] $+\text{h.c.}$
7	$ihZ^\mu \partial_\mu (\bar{\psi}_L \psi_R) + \text{h.c.}$
8	$hZ^\mu (\bar{\psi}_L \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu \psi_R) + \text{h.c.}$
9	$ih\widetilde{Z}_{\mu\nu} (\bar{\psi}_L \gamma^\mu \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\nu \psi_L)$
10	$h\widetilde{Z}_{\mu\nu} \partial^\mu (\bar{\psi}_L \gamma^\nu \psi_L)$
11	$ih\widetilde{Z}_{\mu\nu} (\bar{\psi}_R \gamma^\mu \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\nu \psi_R)$
12	$h\widetilde{Z}_{\mu\nu} \partial^\mu (\bar{\psi}_R \gamma^\nu \psi_R)$

DIFFERENTIAL STUDIES

- To distinguish all these anomalous couplings, we have to move towards maximally differential studies
- Many new studies that try to identify differential signatures that can pinpoint operators/anomalous couplings
- These include Multivariate methods to probe such finer effects

1. Franceschini, Pomarol, Panico, Riva & Wulzer (2017)
2. Panico, Riva and Wulzer (2017)
3. Azatov, Elias-Miro, Reyimuaji & Venturini (2017)
4. Englert, Banerjee, RSG & Spannowsky (2018)
5. Banerjee, RSG, Reines & Spannowsky (2019)
6. Banerjee, RSG, Reines, Seth & Spannowsky (2019)
7. Rahaman & Singh (2019)
8. Chen, Giloti, Panico & Wulzer (2020)
9. Rao, Rindani & Sarmah (2019, 2021)

HIGGS ANOMALOUS COUPLINGS IN ZH PROD.

$$hZ_\mu Z^\mu$$



$$hZ_\mu \partial_\nu Z^{\mu\nu}$$



$$hZ_{\mu\nu}Z^{\mu\nu}, hZ_{\mu\nu}\tilde{Z}^{\mu\nu}$$



3 *hZZ* anomalous couplings

All these anomalous couplings can be completely predicted in terms of other more precise measurements, *if we assume D6 SMEFT.*

HIGGS ANOMALOUS COUPLINGS IN ZH PROD.

$hZ_\mu Z^\mu$



$hZ_\mu \partial_\nu Z^{\mu\nu}$



$hZ_{\mu\nu}Z^{\mu\nu}, hZ_{\mu\nu}\tilde{Z}^{\mu\nu}$



3 *hZZ* anomalous couplings

All these anomalous couplings must be measured, *if we want to test D6 SMEFT*

HIGGS ANOMALOUS COUPLINGS IN ZH PROD.

$$hZ_\mu Z^\mu$$



$$hZ_\mu \partial_\nu Z^{\mu\nu}$$



$$hZ_{\mu\nu}Z^{\mu\nu}, hZ_{\mu\nu}\tilde{Z}^{\mu\nu}$$



3 *hZZ* anomalous couplings

Rescales SM *hZZ* coupling.
No differential signature.
Only changes the rate.

HIGGS ANOMALOUS COUPLINGS IN ZH PROD.

$hZ_\mu Z^\mu$



$hZ_\mu \partial_\nu Z^{\mu\nu}$



Grows with energy wrt SM.
Dominates at high energies.

$hZ_{\mu\nu}Z^{\mu\nu}, hZ_{\mu\nu}\tilde{Z}^{\mu\nu}$



3 *hZZ* anomalous couplings

Banerjee, Englert, RSG & Spannowsky (2018)

ENERGY GROWING EFFECTS



- We studied *Z(ll)H(bb)* at high energies using boosted Higgs reconstruction techniques to obtain per-mille level bounds on *hVff* couplings that are competitive with LEP: $|g^h_{Z\mathbf{p}}| < 5 \times 10^{-4}$.

Banerjee, Englert, RSG & Spannowsky (2018)

HIGGS ANOMALOUS COUPLINGS IN ZH PROD.

$hZ_\mu Z^\mu$



$hZ_\mu \partial_\nu Z^{\mu\nu}$



$hZ_{\mu\nu}Z^{\mu\nu}, hZ_{\mu\nu}\tilde{Z}^{\mu\nu}$



Sophisticated angular variable required

3 *hZZ* anomalous couplings

Banerjee, RSG, Reines & Spannowsky (2019)

Banerjee, RSG, Reines, Seth & Spannowsky (2019)

DIFFERENTIAL INFORMATION IN

pp> Z(ll)H(fat jet)

- How much differential information in this process?

- Three body phase space so 3x3-4=5 kinematical variables completely define the final state



- Ignoring the boost there are 4: $\boxed{\sqrt{s},\ \Theta,\ \theta,\ \varphi}$

A TRIPLE DIFFERENTIAL OBSERVABLE

$$\kappa_{ZZ}\,\frac{h}{2v}Z^{\mu\nu}Z_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\boxed{g^5 = C_\varphi S_\Theta S_\theta C_\Theta C_\theta}$$

Vanishes when integrated over any of the 3 angles



Events weighted by sign of $\boxed{S_\Theta S_\theta C_\Theta C_\theta}$

$$\tilde{\kappa}_{ZZ}\,\frac{h}{2v}Z^{\mu\nu}\tilde{Z}_{\mu\nu}.$$

$$\boxed{g^7 = S_\varphi S_\Theta S_\theta C_\Theta C_\theta}$$

Vanishes when integrated over any of the 3 angles

Interference resurrection!

Banerjee, RSG, Reines & Spannowsky (2019)

Banerjee, RSG, Reines, Seth & Spannowsky (2019)

A TRIPLE DIFFERENTIAL OBSERVABLE

$$\kappa_{ZZ}\,\frac{h}{2v}Z^{\mu\nu}Z_{\mu\nu}$$

$g^5 = C$



$$\tilde{\kappa}_{ZZ}\,\frac{h}{2v}Z^{\mu\nu}\tilde{Z}_{\mu\nu}$$

$C_\Theta C_\theta$

Almost impossible to catch this effect in regular cut based study, but machine learning should be useful!

Vanishes when integrated over any of the 3 angles

Vanishes when integrated over any of the 3 angles

Events weighted by sign of $\boxed{S_\Theta S_\theta C_\Theta C_\theta}$

Gives few % level precision

Banerjee, RSG, Reines & Spannowsky (2019)

Banerjee, RSG, Reines, Seth & Spannowsky (2019)

CONCLUSIONS

- SMEFT not the most general EFT for LHC studies. Amplitudes/HEFT provide a more general framework.

- Many viable UV models, map to HEFT not SMEFT.

- SMEFT vs HEFT: In SMEFT different linear combinations of anomalous couplings suppressed wrt HEFT by powers of v^2/Λ^2.

- The 'Amplutudes' approach has identified a set of primary operators that give leading contribution to amplitudes in the EFT derivative expansion.

- Both approaches require new differential observables that can pinpoint these effects. Many require multivariate studies, high luminosities and high energies.

FULL ANGULAR INFORMATION FOR HIGGSTRAHLUNG

ff->Z(ll)h matrix element squared

- These 9 coefficients carry full differential information in SM and D6 SMEFT
- Can be extracted using an analog of Fourier analysis called the 'Method of Moments'

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{L,R} |\mathcal{A}(\hat{s},\Theta,\theta,\varphi)|^2 &= a_{LL}\sin^2\Theta\sin^2\theta + a^1_{TT}\cos\Theta\cos\theta \\ &+ a^2_{TT}(1+\cos^2\Theta)(1+\cos^2\theta) + \cos\varphi\sin\Theta\sin\theta \\ &\times (a^1_{LT} + a^2_{LT}\cos\theta\cos\Theta) + \sin\varphi\sin\Theta\sin\theta \\ &\times (\tilde{a}^1_{LT} + \tilde{a}^2_{LT}\cos\theta\cos\Theta) + a_{TT'}\cos 2\varphi\sin^2\Theta\sin^2\theta \\ &+ \tilde{a}_{TT'}\sin 2\varphi\sin^2\Theta\sin^2\theta.\end{aligned}$$

Consider these 2 functions

Vanish when integrated over any of the 3 angles

Dunietz, Quinn, Snyder, Toki & Lipkin (1991)

Diehl & Nachtmann (1994)

WW, WZ, Wγ PRODUCTION

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{L}_{WWV}/g_{WWV} = {} & ig_1^V\left(W^\dagger_{\mu\nu}W^\mu V^\nu - W^\dagger_\mu V_\nu W^{\mu\nu}\right) + i\kappa_V W^\dagger_\mu W_\nu V^{\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{i\lambda_V}{m_W^2} W^\dagger_{\lambda\mu} W^\mu{}_\nu V^{\nu\lambda} - g_4^V W^\dagger_\mu W_\nu\left(\partial^\mu V^\nu + \partial^\nu V^\mu\right) \\ & + g_5^V \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(W^\dagger_\mu \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\rho W_\nu\right) V_\sigma + i\tilde\kappa_V W^\dagger_\mu W_\nu \tilde V^{\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{i\tilde\lambda_V}{m_W^2} W^\dagger_{\lambda\mu} W^\mu{}_\nu \tilde V^{\nu\lambda}.\end{aligned}$$



s-channel contribution

anomalous triple gauge vertices
(11 $\longrightarrow$ 6 CP even+5 CP odd)

How many of these 11 can we measure if we use all the energy/ angular information ?

Budhraja, Chattopadhyaya, RSG & Mukherjee (in preparation)

EG: PREDICTIONS IN CP EVEN CASE

- 3 D6 SMEFT operators:

$$\boxed{ig(D^\mu H)^\dagger \sigma^a (D^\nu H) W^a_{\mu\nu}}$$

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{3!} g \epsilon_{abc} W^{a\,\nu}_{\mu} W^b_{\nu\rho} W^{c\,\rho\mu}}$$

$$\boxed{\frac{ig}{2}\left(H^\dagger \sigma^a \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu H\right) D^\nu W^a_{\mu\nu}}$$

- 6 CP even anomalous couplings. If we measure all of these in differential studies we can verify SMEFT predictions below.

- 3 D6 SMEFT predictions: $\boxed{\delta\kappa_Z = \delta g_1^Z - t^2_{\theta_W}\delta\kappa_\gamma}$ $\boxed{\lambda_Z = \lambda_\gamma}$ $\boxed{g_5 = 0}$

Budhraja, Chattopadhyaya, RSG & Mukherjee (in preparation)

SMEFT OPERATORS

$$\mathcal{O}_{H\Box} = |H|^2\Box|H|^2$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{HD} = (H^\dagger D_\mu H)^*(H^\dagger D^\mu H)$$
$$\mathcal{O}_6 = |H|^6$$
$$\mathcal{O}_y = \hat{y}_f |H|^2 \bar{F} H f_R$$
$$\mathcal{O}_f = iH^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \bar{f}\gamma^\mu f$$
$$\mathcal{O}_F^{(3)} = iH^\dagger \sigma^a \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \bar{F}\sigma^a\gamma^\mu F$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{BB} = g'^2 |H|^2 B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{WB} = gg' H^\dagger \sigma^a H W^a_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{WW} = g^2 |H|^2 W^a_{\mu\nu} W^{a\mu\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{GG} = g_s^2 |H|^2 G^A_{\mu\nu} G^{A\mu\nu}$$

Dimension 6

H^8
$\mathcal{O}_8 = \lvert H\rvert^8$
$H^6 D^2$:2 2
$\mathcal{O}_{H^2r} = \lvert H\rvert^4 \lvert D_\mu H\rvert^2$ $\mathcal{O}_{H^2T} = \frac{\lvert H\rvert^2}{2}(H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\nu H)^2$
H^4X^2: 3 4
$\mathcal{O}_{H^2BB} = g'^2 \lvert H\rvert^4 B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$ $\mathcal{O}_{H^2WB} = \lvert H\rvert^2 \mathcal{O}_{WB}$ $\mathcal{O}_{H^2WW} = g^2 \lvert H\rvert^4 W^a_{\mu\nu}W^{a\mu\nu}$ $\mathcal{O}_U = g^2(H^\dagger\sigma^a H)(H^\dagger\sigma^b H)W^a_{\mu\nu}W^{b\mu\nu}$ $\mathcal{O}_{H^2GG} = g_s^2 \lvert H\rvert^4 G^A_{\mu\nu}G^{A\mu\nu}$
$H^4D\psi^2$: 9 9
$\mathcal{O}_{H^2f} = i\lvert H\rvert^2 H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \bar{f}\gamma^\mu f$ $\mathcal{O}_{H^2F} = i\lvert H\rvert^2 H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \bar{F}\gamma^\mu F$ $\mathcal{O}^{(3)}_{H^2F} = i\lvert H\rvert^2 H^\dagger\sigma^a \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \bar{F}\sigma^a\gamma^\mu F$ $\mathcal{O}^{(3)}_{3F} = iH^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H(H^\dagger\sigma^a H)\bar{F}\sigma^a\gamma^\mu F$
H^4D^2X: 3 3
$O_{HWH} = igW^a_{\mu\nu}(H^\dagger\sigma^a D_\mu H + h.c.)H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\nu H$ $O_{\partial W} = igW^a_{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu(H^\dagger H)H^\dagger\sigma^a \overleftrightarrow{D}_\nu H$ $O_{\partial B} = ig'B_{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu(H^\dagger H)H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\nu H$
H^4D^4: 3 3
$O_{DH1} = \lvert D_\mu H\rvert^4$ $O_{DH2} = (D_\mu H^\dagger D_\nu H + D_\nu H^\dagger D_\mu H)^2$ $O_{DH3} = (D_\mu H^\dagger D_\nu H - D_\nu H^\dagger D_\mu H)^2$

Dimension 8

PROBING D6 SMEFT

- Only 17 D6 operators contribute to the processes we are considering
- Only 17 measurements sufficient to constrain these

$$\mathcal{O}_{H\Box} = |H|^2\Box|H|^2$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{HD} = (H^\dagger D_\mu H)^*(H^\dagger D^\mu H)$$
$$\mathcal{O}_6 = |H|^6$$
$$\mathcal{O}_y = \hat{y}_f|H|^2\bar{F}Hf_R$$
$$\mathcal{O}_f = iH^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H\bar{f}\gamma^\mu f$$
$$\mathcal{O}_F^{(3)} = iH^\dagger\sigma^a\overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H\bar{F}\sigma^a\gamma^\mu F$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{BB} = g'^2|H|^2B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{WB} = gg'H^\dagger\sigma^a HW^a_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{WW} = g^2|H|^2W^a_{\mu\nu}W^{a\mu\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{GG} = g_s^2|H|^2G^A_{\mu\nu}G^{A\mu\nu}$$