

Niharika Rout (On behalf of the Belle II collaboration)

Recent Belle and Belle II results on

31st Lepton Photon Conference N MELBOURNE CONVENTION O & EXHIBITION CENTRE NO





Motivation

- $b \rightarrow s(d)$ flavour changing neutral current (FCNC) transitions forbidden at tree level in the Standard Model (SM)
 - Mediated by loop/box diagrams
 - Resulting B decays are rare $\mathscr{B}_{SM} = \mathcal{O}(1)$
- Precise predictions for ratios, angular observables and asymmetries
- Look for variations/enhancements in FCNC due to BSM contributions Nature Phys. 18, 3 (2022) 277
 - New interactions at tree level diagrams
 - New particles in loop corrections

Many opportunities to probe the SM and explore BSM physics



$$0^{-7} - 10^{-4}$$





2





Belle II at SuperKEKB

Asymmetric e^+e^- collisions at SuperKEKB accelerator at Japan

Collected 424 fb⁻¹ of dataset so far (363 fb⁻¹ on $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance and 61 fb⁻¹ below/above)

- Close to full solid-angle (~4π) coverage
- Low background
- Known initial kinematics
- Good charged particle reconstruction

★ Similar advantages for Belle as well

Instantaneous luminosity world record: $4.7 \times 10^{34} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (June 2022)



Promising with multiple neutral particles and missing energy in the final state









Let's start with....



5

Fully inclusive $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$: Belle II

- $b \rightarrow s\gamma$
- Only possible in the clean environment of B-factories

- Fully inclusive $\mathscr{B}(B \to X_S \gamma)$ measurement at Belle II using 189 fb⁻¹ of dataset in bins of E_{ν}^{B}
- Partner B (tag) meson reconstruction in the event via hadronic tagging
 - Lower background, isolated X_{S} system, access to E_{ν}^{B}
 - Reduced statistics (efficiency < 1%)

arXiv:2210.10220



$B \rightarrow X_{s}\gamma$: Selection and signal extraction strategy

- Background suppression:
- Veto γ from π^0 and η in signal region
- Other backgrounds using boosted decision tree (BDT) classifier
- Simultaneous fit to tag-side B mass in bins of E_{ν}^{B} $M_{bc} = \sqrt{E_{bean}^2}$
- Non-signal B subtracted using simulation
- $b \rightarrow d\gamma$ contribution removed assuming same shape and selection efficiency as $B \to X_{s\gamma}$

arXiv:2210.10220

$$_{n}-|\overrightarrow{p_{B}}|^{2}$$



7

 $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$: results



- We are already competitive with BaBar results with 10% less data
- BaBar hadronic tag result for $E_{\nu}^{B} > 1.9$ GeV (210 fb⁻¹): $(3.66 \pm 0.85 \pm 0.60) \times 10^{-4}$ PRD77.051103
- Dominant systematics comes from background modelling (limited size of the simulation propagated) and fit assumptions





Moving towards.....

Preparatory work towards $R_{K^{(*)}}$: Belle II



- Belle II predicts 3% precision of $R_{K^{(*)}}$ at 50 ab-1, it would provide a crucial clarification in a different experimental environment compared to LHCb





Search for $B \to K^{*0} \tau \tau$ decays: Belle

- SM expected BF $\mathcal{O}(10^{-7})$
- Current sensitivity is far from \mathscr{B}_{SM}





- Tag-side *B* decays hadronic ally
- $\tau \rightarrow l \nu \bar{\nu}, \pi \nu$ modes are considered
- Signal extraction from fit to the $E_{\rm ECL}^{\it extra}$: gives peak at zero for signal events

$$\mathscr{B}(\mathbf{B^0} \to \mathbf{K^{*0}}\tau\tau) < 3.1 \times 10^{-3}$$

No signal observed, UL is provided at 90% CL

$B \rightarrow K\tau l \text{ search: Belle}$

- LFV $B \to K \tau l$ decays are more interesting to simultaneously explain $R_{K^{(*)}}$ and $R_{D^{(*)}}$ anomalies
- Sensitivity is entering now the 10^{-6} regime

Search at Belle

- Uses full 711 fb-1 of Belle dataset
- Tag-side B decays hadronically
- Signal *B* reconstruction from *K* and lepton (e, μ)
- Signal extraction from the **recoil-mass** of B_{sig} and B_{tag} : should give a peak at τ mass for signal events
- Background is suppressed using BDT

PRL130(2023)261802



$B \rightarrow K\tau l$ search: results



- No significant signal is observed, UL is provided at 90% CL
- World's best limit on $B \rightarrow K \tau l$ decays

Four different modes (2 charge configurations x 2 flavours)

Mode	$\varepsilon~(\%)$	$\varepsilon^{ m NP}$ (%)	$N_{ m sig}$	$\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{UL}}$ (10^{-5})	
$B^+ \to K^+ \tau^+ \mu^-$	0.064	0.058	-2.1 ± 2.9	0.59~(0.65)	I I V
$B^+ \to K^+ \tau^+ e^-$	0.084	0.074	1.5 ± 5.5	1.51 (1.71)	
$B^+ \to K^+ \tau^- \mu^+$	0.046	0.038	2.3 ± 4.1	2.45~(2.97)	
$B^+ \to K^+ \tau^- e^+$	0.079	0.058	-1.1 ± 7.4	1.53(2.08)	



- BaBar (429 fb⁻¹) PhysRevD.86.012004 LHCb - exp (9 fb⁻¹) JHEP06(2020)129 LHCb (9 fb⁻¹) JHEP06(2020)129
- Belle exp (711 fb⁻¹)
- Belle (711 fb⁻¹)

Summary

- $b \rightarrow s$ transitions offer powerful probe of the SM and physics beyond
- $b \rightarrow s$ studies are important part of Belle II physics program
- Unique access to radiative and missing energy modes
- Measurements with 189 fb⁻¹ Belle II dataset were presented today
- BF of inclusive $B \rightarrow X_{s}\gamma$ decays and preparatory measurements for LFU test
- Measurements with 711 fb⁻¹ Belle dataset were also presented
- Search for $B^0 \to K^{*0} \tau \tau$: no signal observed, provided UL at 90% CL
- Search of LFV decay $B \rightarrow K\tau l$ decays: currently provides world's best limits

Belle II: twice the dataset already available, data taking will restart in early 2024. Many exciting results are coming, stay tuned!

Belle II prospects for $R_{K^{\left(*\right)}}$



Current LHCb precision for $q^2 \in [1,6]$ GeV/c² (9 fb-1): stat. dominated



Belle II can provide 3% precision at 50 ab-1



$B \rightarrow K^*(892)l^+l^-$: results

$$\mathscr{B}(\mathbf{B} \to \mathbf{K}^* \mu^+ \mu^-) = (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{B}^* \mathbf{B}^+ \mathbf{B}^+ \mathbf{B}^-) = (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{B}^* \mathbf{B}^+ \mathbf{B}^-) = (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{B}^+ \mathbf{B}^+ \mathbf{B}^-) = (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{B}^+ \mathbf{B}^+ \mathbf{B}^-) = (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{B}^+ \mathbf{B}^+ \mathbf{B}^+) = (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{B}^+ \mathbf{B}^+) = (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{B}^+ \mathbf{B}^+) = (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{B}^+ \mathbf{B}^+) = (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{B}$$

- **Results are consistent with the W.A., but precision is limited by the** \bullet sample size
- Performance is similar between muon and electron channels
- Main systematics sources are:
 - Total number of $B\bar{B}$ pair: 2.9%
 - Data-MC differences in π^0 reconstruction efficiency: 3.4%



arXiv:2206.05946

$.19 \pm 0.31^{+0.08}_{-0.07}) \times 10^{-6}$ $.42 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-6}$

$\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{J}/\psi(\mathbf{l}^+\mathbf{l}^-)\mathbf{K}$: results

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{J}/\psi(\mu^{+}\mu^{-})\mathbf{K}) &= \\ \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{J}/\psi(\mathbf{e}^{+}\mathbf{e}^{-})\mathbf{K}) &= \\ \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}^{+}}(\mathbf{J}/\psi) &= \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{00} \\ \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}^{0}}(\mathbf{J}/\psi) &= \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{04} \end{aligned}$$

- Results are consistent with the W.A.
- Similar efficiencies for muon and electron modes: uncertainty on R_K will be equally contributed by the these flavour modes
- Main systematics sources are:
- BF of $\Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 B^0, B^+ B^-: 2.6\%$
- Data-MC differences in K_{S}^{0} reconstruction efficiency: 3.0%



 $-0.006 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.030$ $-0.022 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.030$ $09 \pm 0.022 \pm 0.008$ $42 \pm 0.042 \pm 0.008$

Belle II at SuperKEKB

- Asymmetric e^+e^- collisions at centre-ofmass energy 10.58 GeV corresponding to $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance mass
- *BB* at threshold production: $\mathscr{B}(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\overline{B}) > 96\%$





• Instantaneous luminosity world record: $4.7 \times 10^{34} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$

- Target instantaneous luminosity: $6 \times 10^{35} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$
 - Collected 428 fb⁻¹ of dataset so far (362 fb⁻¹ on $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance and 66 fb⁻¹ below)
- Target dataset: 50 ab⁻¹

Measurement of $B \rightarrow J/\psi(l^+l^-)K$

 Not an EW penguin process but a control channel for $B \rightarrow K l^+ l^-$

$$R_{K}(J/\psi) = \frac{\mathscr{B}(B \to J/\psi(\to \mu^{+}\mu^{-})K)}{\mathscr{B}(B \to J/\psi(\to e^{+}e^{-})K)}$$

• Reconstructed four channels: $B^+ \to J/\psi(l^+, l^-)K^+$ and $B^0 \to J/\psi(l^+l^-)K_S^0; \ l = e, \mu$

$$\Delta E = E_B - \sqrt{S}/$$

$$\begin{split} R_{K^+}(J/\psi) &= 1.009 \pm 0.022 \pm 0.008 \\ R_{K^0}(J/\psi) &= 1.042 \pm 0.042 \pm 0.008 \end{split}$$

 Systematics uncertainties have been reduced compared to most precise measurements from Belle (JHEP03(2021)105)

arXiv:2207.11275



Preparatory work towards $R_{K^{(*)}}$ measurement

• Following decays are reconstructed ($l = e, \mu$) with 189 fb⁻¹ of dataset

- $B^+ \to K^{*+} l^+ l^-$ with $K^{*+} \to K^0_S \pi^+, K^+ \pi^0$

- $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}l^+l^-$ with $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$

- Background suppression:
- Veto γK^* and q^2 regions containing $B \to J/\psi K^*, \psi(2S)K^*$
- Remaining background with BDT

 $\mathscr{B}(B \to K^* l^+ l^-) = (1.25 \pm 0.30^{+0.08}_{-0.07}) \times 10^{-6}$

 Result is consistent with the W.A., but precision is limited by the sample size

 $\mathscr{B}(B \to K^* \mu \mu)_{WA} = (1.06 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-6}$

 $\mathscr{B}(B \to K^* ee)_{WA} = (1.19 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-6}$

• Observation of these decays is the first step towards LFU test (R_{K^*})



Today's focus

• Inclusive branching fraction (BF) measurement of $B \to X_s \gamma$

- Towards $R_{K^{(*)}}$ measurement
- BF of $B \rightarrow K^*(892)l^+l^-$ decays
- Study of control mode $B \rightarrow J/\psi(l^+l^-)K$
- Search for LFV $B \rightarrow K \tau l$ decays at Belle





Requires good photon detection efficiency



Requires good *e* and *µ* identification

B \rightarrow K τ l search at Belle: results



PRL130(2023)261802

No significant signal is observed for any of the 4 modes!

Reconstruction techniques at B factories

- A typical *BB* event generates ~10 tracks and ~10 photons
- Measurement of inclusive decays or decays with ν in the final state suffer from missing kinematic information
- B-factory advantage: information from partner B (tag) provides insight of signal B





Tagging efficiencies, achievable yields

Purities of the tagged samples, physics observables

Fully inclusive, no tagging $B \rightarrow$ anything

