



DDM: To Infinity* and Beyond

Or... relationship with storage and middleware,
requirements and possible evolution

Graeme Stewart



Global and Local

- DDM is global
 - DDM does not store data, but organises data - metadata layer on top of local storage
 - With fragmented local storage we always need this (right!?)
- Site storage is a local abstraction layer, hides many internal details
- Functionality
 - More features help us (ACLs, quotas, partitioning are useful)
 - But do these really need to be global features (quotas, partitioning)
 - Do they close the door on interesting non-HEP alternatives?
 - HDFS, Cloud Storage,
 - e.g., GUID lookup (local LFC)
 - This is the 'primary key' for DDM and would mean that the storage namespace acts as its local catalog
 - Attractive but outlandish?

Interfaces

- Site Storage (continued)
 - WAN Interface layer
 - Today mainly SRM:WLCG specific, complex, fragile
 - Better alternatives:
 - gridftp, http, S3 protocol
 - Web services: S3 and cloud storage systems
 - No big bang - evolve, but need support from middleware
 - Why FTS at all?
 - We do need a *transfer service*
 - Can storage protect itself from meltdown?
 - LAN interface layer
 - SRM too slow for LAN access: use xrootd, rfiø, dcap, etc.
 - Mainly implemented by root
 - Standard is very clear: **file://**
 - FUSE provides transition - stable, scalable?
 - Data access with WAN fallback - grid is my backup
 - xrootd (need checksums)

Cache vs. Archive

- Now managing T2 disk much more like a cache
 - Technology is archive based: catalogs, dataset registrations
 - Caches manage themselves much more dynamically
 - LRU deletion
 - Bloom filter publication of contents (used by squid)
 - Attractive to use this model instead
 - ARC Demonstrator
- But do we also need archive at T2s?
 - User outputs
 - Larger T2s take load off T1s
 - MC repositories, Backup primary copies
 - What model makes T2 storage reliable?
 - Distributed failover systems (T2s as JBOS: Just a Bunch Of Sites)
 - Extend xrootd to smaller T2s?
 - ttree reads with cache minimise performance hit - test me now
 - What about outputs?

LFC and DDM

- LFC maps GUIDS/LFNs → SURLS
- LFC consolidation happening this year
 - Beyond that?
 - DDM CC could manage GUID → SURL mappings internally
 - Simplification for many clients
 - Helps enforce consistency
- Probably cannot be planned now, but this seems the right way to go
 - Evaluation as LFC consolidation proceeds