# **Background discrimination for** low guanta events with NEWS-G

### Francisco Vazquez de Sola, on behalf of the NEWS-G collaboration EXCESS@IDM, July 2022











### **NEWS-G : Spherical Proportional Counter Working Principle** Grounded shell

**Ionisation detector** 

- Incident particle induces recoil, releasing ionisation energy
- Primary electrons drift and diffuse towards central anode
- High field in 1/r<sup>2</sup> at anode produces ~10<sup>3</sup>-10<sup>4</sup> avalanche multiplication
- Drifting ions induce current on anode







Amplitude: Energy of event Risetime: Determine type of interaction

F. Vazquez de Sola







### SURFACE EVENT: LARGE DIFFUSION

F. Vazquez de Sola







#### **BULK EVENT:** SMALL DIFFUSION

F. Vazquez de Sola







TRACK (e.g. muon): **VERY LARGE DIFFERENCE IN** DRIFT TIMES BETWEEN INTERACTIONS

F. Vazquez de Sola







# **Results with SEDINE prototype at**







#### F. Vazquez de Sola

- Ø60cm NOSV copper vessel, Ø6.3 mm singleanode sensor
- Physics: 42-day run with 3.1bar of Neon + 0.7% CH<sub>4</sub> (280g, total 9.7 kg·day)





### **S140** « SNOGLOBE »



Detector paper: https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.15433, pending publication in JINST

F. Vazquez de Sola



### Sensor development From single to multi-anode

• Single anode sensor field:

$$Epprox r_Arac{V}{r^2}$$

- Contradictory constraints:
  - High gain requires small radius anode
  - Field far from anode requires large radius anode



F. Vazquez de Sola

- - - - EXCESS, July 2022



### Sensor development From single to multi-anode

• Single anode sensor field:

$$Epprox r_Arac{V}{r^2}$$

- Contradictory constraints:
  - High gain requires small radius anode
  - Field far from anode requires large radius anode



#### F. Vazquez de Sola



Aχινός (greek. sea urchin)

**Enter ACHINOS** 

• Multiple anodes placed at equal radii

 Boosted field far from anodes, without changing avalanche field: can scale detector up!

JINST 12 (2017) 12, P12031





EXCESS, July 2022



140 Radius [cm]

### **S140 Projections**

### See IDM talk by **P. Knights**

S140 improvements:

- Larger volume
- Increased radiopurity of materials
- ~0.5 mm of electroplated copper on inner surface of copper shell
- Radon and oxygen filtering
- Laser calibrations (gain, drift...)
- Multi-anode sensor

### ~10 days of physics data with <u>135 mbar of CH4 taken during</u> commissioning at LSM in 2019





### **Ionization statistics Quenching Factor**

- Quenching factor values from existing W-value measurements for ions and measurements from COMIMAC
- The (more conservative) logarithmic extrapolation was used to derive the expected WIMP signal
  - Lindhard-like

### $QF(Er) = m^*(aE_r^{\beta})/(1+aE_r^{\beta})$

Logarithmic

### $QF(Er) = a + b*log(E_r)$

### Quenching Factor of H in CH4



EXCESS, July 2022

**Quenching Factor** 

![](_page_11_Picture_12.jpeg)

### **Ionization statistics** Mean Ionization energy

- 213 nm LASER calibration used to obtain single-electron response of detector
- Combine with 2.8 keV, 270 and 200 eV lines from <sup>37</sup>Ar (gas, probing whole volume):
  - Confirmation of linearity
  - Measurement of gain of south-channel anodes
  - Parametrization of electron attachment
  - In-situ measurement of W and Fano factor

![](_page_12_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_14.jpeg)

#### **Alpha-correlated electrons** Average shape of alpha event signal and exponential fits 3s000 Rate ium 80 tj04s002 Rate jump ...... tj13s000 Rate fit --- tj13s000 Drift fit tj04s002 Rate fit Rate increases 70 Alpha 60 event time rate [Hz] 50 40 30

For CH4 data, removing 5s after each alpha reduces exposure by 12%, but reduces background rate by ~70%

**Orift time** 

Methane

20

-4

-2

![](_page_13_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Peak counting algorithm

Commissioning run at LSM with 135 mbar CH4 revealed >100  $\mu$ s diffusion, >5 times larger than SEDINE's Neon data

- After deconvolution, can see individual electrons reach the anode.
- Want to keep only >1 e- events, to reject large Single Electron background
- Need to implement algorithm to count number of peaks in signal

![](_page_14_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_11.jpeg)

## Peak counting algorithm

- Deconvolve both preamplifier and ion current response from signal, do running average over 5 bins twice, then use ROOT's TSpectrum::Search() + gaussian fits
- ROOT TSpectrum offers best performance (efficiency vs false positives) compared to simple threshold searches on deconvolution or derivative of pulse
- Neural Network approaches currently being tested

![](_page_15_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Detector simulation ACHINOS : 5-6 configuration**

- •For commissioning run, achinos split into two channels
- Detector simulation performed with Geant4, Garfield++, ANSYS
- •Used to estimate fiducial volume of each channel, effect of the support structure, gas choice, etc., verified with <sup>37</sup>Ar calibrations
- Predicted negative crosschannel induction for «physical» events due to ion movement

-10002000

5000

4000

3000

2000

1000

### **Near/North**

![](_page_16_Figure_8.jpeg)

shape of physical pulses

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

F. Vazquez de Sola

« physical » events)

![](_page_17_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_8.jpeg)

4020

![](_page_17_Picture_9.jpeg)

- Spurious pulses generated in the electronics do not have characteristic shape of physical pulses
- Use «Crosstalk» (Ampl\_North/Ampl\_South) and «Spikiness» (MaxDerivative/Ampl) as variables to discriminate both populations
- Cuts are chosen by comparing singlepeak events from laser calibrations with those from test physics data

![](_page_18_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

- •Fisher discriminant cut is used against « spikes », simple crosstalk cut is used against « wide pulses »
- Keeps 77% of physical events, and rejects ~95% of spurious pulses

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_7.jpeg)

Raw pulse Wide (1-peak) 3980 3960 4020

![](_page_19_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_12.jpeg)

### **New diffusion variable**

- •As we go to lower amplitudes / fewer primary electrons, risetime becomes « poorly defined », and worse at separating surface and volume events
- New variable tested: time separation between first and last peak found (need >1e- to use!)

**CONTEXT:** instead of doing a risetime cut to remove surface events, use knowledge of distribution of new diffusion variable to perform a background fit

F. Vazquez de Sola

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### EXCESS, July 2022

4200

4400

![](_page_20_Picture_9.jpeg)

### **Electron counting** Characterisation

Low-intensity, 213nm UV-laser extracts electrons from copper surface. Applying peakcounting algorithm on this data, we obtain :

- Electron detection efficiency : 60%
- Separation of electron peaks above 8  $\mu$ s

Full detector simulation did not match shape of observed pulses => use toy model to generate distributions for time separation of surface / volume based on these two values

![](_page_21_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

F. Vazquez de Sola

### **Time separation Random coincidences**

- Calibrate with post-alpha-event periods, when total even rate is much higher
- Match well behaviour of toy model assuming random coincidences, after accounting for peak-search window size and centering effects

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Physics data fit

- Use ~30% of physics data as test data (effective 37h)
- surface, volume and random coincidence backgrounds
- Use modelling derived from simulations and validated with calibration data
- No significant signal observed

![](_page_24_Figure_5.jpeg)

2 peaks

F. Vazquez de Sola

# Profile likelihood fit to the 2,3,4-peak data including contributions from WIMP signal,

3 peaks 4 peaks htimesep 3elec Ir. htimesep 4elec Ir. Entries 212.1 Mear 145.3 Std Dev Std Dev WIMP (excluded) Volume Surface 200 300 300 400 500 600 700 100 400 500 Time separation [µs]

![](_page_24_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_13.jpeg)

#### **Constraints on Spin-Dependent WIMP-protoncross-section**

### New WIMP constraints

- Profile Likelihood used to generate constraints on WIMP cross-section
- Results on test data (effective) 0.12 kg·day) : strongest constraint on spin-dependent WIMP-proton cross-section in 0.2-2 GeV range!
  - Final results on blind data in coming weeks

![](_page_25_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_10.jpeg)

### Summary

- new ACHINOS sensor in dual-channel configuration
- Pilot run at LSM :
  - threshold

  - backgrounds for fitting data

# New S140, larger and more radio-pure than SEDINE prototype, tested with

- Electron counting for improved low-energy background discrimination and

- Rejection of « non-physical » events by exploiting multi-channel signal - Detailed understanding of detector with Laser, <sup>37</sup>Ar calibrations, model

• First WIMP constraints with proton target in underground lab : 3-4 order of magnitude improvement on constraint on O(1) GeV WIMP SD-p cross-section

![](_page_26_Picture_14.jpeg)

Thank you for your attention!

## **NEWS-G collaboration**

Queen's University Kingston - G Gerbier, G Giroux, R Martin, S Crawford, G Savvidis, A Brossard, K Dering, V Millious, M Van Ness, M Chapellier, P Gros, JM Coquillat, L Balogh, N Rowe

**IRFU (Institut de Recherches sur les Lois fondamentales de l'Univers)/CEA Saclay** - I Giomataris, M Gros, JP Mols

Aristotle University of Thessaloníki - I Savvidis, A Leisos, S Tzamarias

LPSC/LSM (Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et Cosmologie, Laboratoire Souterrain de Modane) Grenoble -D Santos, M Zampaolo, A Dastgheibi Fard, JF Muraz, O Guillaudin

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory -E Hoppe, R Bunker

**RMCC Kingston** - F Kelly, E Corcoran, L Kwon

**SNOLAB Sudbury** - P Gorel, S Langrock

**University of Birmingham** - K Nikolopoulos, P Knights, I Katsioulas, R Ward, T Kneep, J Matthews

**University of Alberta** - MC Piro, D Durnford, Y Deng, C Garrah

**Subatech** - P Lautridou, F Vazquez de Sola Fernandez

**TRIUMF (associated lab)** - F Retiere

![](_page_28_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_20.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_21.jpeg)

# Extra slides

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

- together
- XIA alpha counter estimated ~30 mBq/kg <sup>210</sup>Pb in copper

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### F. Vazquez de Sola

#### **Present in copper**

### 2 hemispheres of C10100 (4.5N) copper, electron-beam welded

# bulk (collaboration with XMASS)

![](_page_30_Figure_9.jpeg)

L. Balogh et al, Nucl.Instrum.Meth.A 988 (2021)

![](_page_30_Picture_13.jpeg)

L. Balogh et al, Nucl.Instrum.Meth.A 988 (2021)

F. Vazquez de Sola

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_7.jpeg)

- Background: Bremsstrahlung Xrays from <sup>210</sup>Pb and <sup>210</sup>Bi  $\beta$ -decays in (and on) the copper
- Plating 0.5mm of ultra-pure copper on inner surface of detector expected to reduce background under 1 keV by factor 2.6, and total rate by factor 50

![](_page_32_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_9.jpeg)

- Background: Bremsstrahlung Xrays from <sup>210</sup>Pb and <sup>210</sup>Bi  $\beta$ -decays in (and on) the copper
- Plating 0.5mm of ultra-pure copper on inner surface of detector expected to reduce background under 1 keV by factor 2.6, and total rate by factor 50
- Intervention successfully carried out at LSM in collaboration with PNNL

L. Balogh et al, Nucl.Instrum.Meth.A 988 (2021)

![](_page_33_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_9.jpeg)

## S140: Commissioning at LSM

2019: S140 e-beam welded in France, 3T archeological lead provided by LSM. S140 arrives at LSM in April 2019, starting first commissioning

![](_page_34_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_6.jpeg)

## S140: Commissioning at LSM

2019: S140 e-beam welded in France, 3T archeological lead provided by LSM. S140 arrives at LSM in April 2019, starting first commissioning

Lead and water shield assembled at LSM in July 2019, starting second commissioning until October 2019 (including two weeks of physics data with <u>135 mbar of CH4</u>)

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_9.jpeg)

## S140: Commissioning at LSM

2019: S140 e-beam welded in France, 3T archeological lead provided by LSM. S140 arrives at LSM in April 2019, starting first commissioning

Lead and water shield assembled at LSM in July 2019, starting second commissioning until October 2019 (including two weeks of physics data with <u>135 mbar of CH4</u>)

Packed in November 2019 to go to SNOLAB! First signal in summer 2021, currently finishing installation/ commissioning, physics data-taking to restart in coming weeks

![](_page_36_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Toy model for time separation distribution

- •For **n** primary electrons, draw **n** arrival times from a gaussian (standard deviation given by calibrations, and whether we're interested in surface or volume events). Order their arrival times;
- •Give each electron a chance to be detected based on attachment and algorithm single-peak finding efficiency;
- •Give each set of consecutive electrons a chance to « overlap » and be counted as only one peak based on the calibrated time separation power of the algorithm (plus an ad hoc correction in case of multiple overlaps in a row)

![](_page_37_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Quenching Factor** measurements COMIMAC, **LPSC Grenoble**

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

Generates electrons/ions of known energy, accelerated in electric field

> https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.09566, pending publication in EPJC

## values for W, **Birmingham U.**

Exploit literature on mean ionization energy for electrons and ions to produce QF values

![](_page_38_Figure_7.jpeg)

F. Vazquez de Sola

![](_page_38_Figure_9.jpeg)

Astr. Phys. 141, 102707 (August 2022)

Neutron beam generates recoils on target, energy derived from angle of recoil with Backing Detector

Phys. Rev. D 105, 052004 – Published 8 March 2022

![](_page_38_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_18.jpeg)