

Simulating *lepton number violation* induced by *heavy neutrino-antineutrino oscillations* at colliders

[2210.10738]

Jan Hajer

Centro de Física Teórica de Partículas, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa

Workshop on Feebly-Interacting Particles (FIPs 2022)

Seesaw models generating neutrino masses

Dirac mass

$$\mathcal{L}_D = -m_{D\alpha} \bar{\nu}_\alpha N + \text{h.c.}, \quad \mathbf{m}_D = \mathbf{v} \mathbf{y}$$

Majorana mass

$$\mathcal{L}_M = -\frac{1}{2} m_M \bar{N} N^c + \text{h.c.}$$

Coupling strength is determined by

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{m}_D / m_M$$

Majorana mass vanishes if
lepton-number L is conserved


Majorana mass introduces
lepton number violation (LNV)

Neutrino oscillation pattern requires
at least two massive neutrinos

Neutrino mass matrix from two sterile neutrinos

$$M_\nu = \frac{\mathbf{m}_D^{(1)} \otimes \mathbf{m}_D^{(1)}}{m_M^{(1)}} + \frac{\mathbf{m}_D^{(2)} \otimes \mathbf{m}_D^{(2)}}{m_M^{(2)}}$$


Viable seesaw models



Neutrino masses are small for

- small \mathbf{y}
- large m_M
- symmetry protected cancellation

Are HNLs Majoran or Dirac Fermions?



Single Majorana and Dirac HNLs are

- not predicted by low-scale seesaw models

Unique phenomenology of pseudo-Dirac HNLs

- Heavy neutrino-antineutrino oscillations
- $0 < R_{II} = \frac{M_{LNV}}{M_{LNC}} < 1$

Symmetry protected seesaw scenario (SPSS)

Symmetric limit

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SPSS}}^L = -\bar{N}_1 m_M N_2^c - \tilde{H}^\dagger \bar{N}_1^c \ell y_1 + \text{h.c.}$$

Symmetry protected $\nu_{y_2} \approx \mu_M \approx \mu'_M \ll m_M$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SPSS}}^L = -y_2 \tilde{H}^\dagger \bar{\ell} N_2^c - \mu'_M \bar{N}_1 N_1^c - \mu_M \bar{N}_2 N_2^c + \text{h.c.}$$

Lepton number-like symmetry

generalises accidental SM
lepton number L

One simple choice of charges

	ℓ	N_1	N_2
L	+1	-1	+1

Other new fields

further terms in Lagrangian

Neutrino mass matrix

contains seesaw information

Basis

$$n = (\nu, n_4, n_5)$$

Dirac masses

$$\mathbf{m}_D = \mathbf{y}_1 \mathbf{v}, \quad \boldsymbol{\mu}_D = \mathbf{y}_2 \mathbf{v}$$

Symmetric limit

$$M_n^L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{m}_D & 0 \\ \mathbf{m}_D^T & 0 & m_M \\ 0 & m_M & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Mild symmetry breaking

$$M_n^{L \ll 1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{m}_D & \boldsymbol{\mu}_D \\ \mathbf{m}_D^T & \mu'_M & m_M \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_D^T & m_M & \mu_M \end{pmatrix}$$

Large symmetry breaking

$$M_n^{L \gg 0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{m}_D & \hat{\mathbf{m}}_D \\ \mathbf{m}_D^T & \hat{\mathbf{m}}'_M & m_M \\ \hat{\mathbf{m}}_D^T & m_M & \hat{\mathbf{m}}_M \end{pmatrix}$$

- Massless neutrinos $M_\nu = 0$
- Dirac HNL

- Pseudo-Dirac HNL (small Δm Majorana pair)
- Phenomenology governed by small parameters μ

- Large Δm Majorana pair
- Requires large m_M or tiny θ

Special cases captured by the symmetry protected seesaw


Cases	Linear seesaw μ_D	Inverse seesaw μ_M	Seesaw independent μ'_M
$M_n =$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{m}_D & \boldsymbol{\mu}_D \\ \mathbf{m}_D^T & 0 & m_M \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_D^T & m_M & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{m}_D & 0 \\ \mathbf{m}_D^T & 0 & m_M \\ 0 & m_M & \mu_M \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{m}_D & 0 \\ \mathbf{m}_D^T & \mu'_M & m_M \\ 0 & m_M & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$M_\nu =$	$\boldsymbol{\mu}_D \otimes \boldsymbol{\theta}$	$\mu_M \boldsymbol{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{\theta}$	0 (at tree level)
$\Delta m =$	Δm_ν	$m_\nu \boldsymbol{\theta} ^{-2}$	$ \mu'_M $

Benchmark models

Seesaw	Hierarchy	BM
Linear	Normal	$\Delta m_\nu = 42.3 \text{ meV}$
	Inverted	$\Delta m_\nu = 748 \mu\text{eV}$
Inverse		$m_\nu = 1 \text{ meV}$
		$m_\nu = 10 \text{ meV}$
		$m_\nu = 100 \text{ meV}$

Generic seesaw

All small parameter μ are nonzero



Heavy neutrino-antineutrino oscillations

Oscillations

between LNC and LNV decays

Damping due to decoherence


governed by λ

Oscillation length

governed by mass splitting Δm


$$P_{\text{osc}}^{\text{LNC/LNV}}(\tau) = \frac{1 \pm \cos(\Delta m \tau) \exp(-\lambda)}{2}$$

Short oscillation length




- Oscillations not resolvable
- Large R_{II}
- 'Majorana' limit

Intermediate oscillation length



- Oscillations potentially measurable
- Pseudo-Dirac character crucial

Long oscillation length



- LNV strongly suppressed
- Small R_{II}
- 'Dirac' limit

Mass splitting

$$m_{4/5} = m_M(1 + |\theta|^2/2) \mp \Delta m/2$$

Phenomenological SPSS (pSPSS) adds

Δm Heavy neutrino-antineutrino oscillations
 λ Decoherence damping

FEYNRULES model file

Pseudo-Dirac HNLs in the pSPSS

Available online

feynrules.irmp.ucl.ac.be/wiki/pSPSS

Parameter

BLOCK	PSPSS #	
1	1.000000e+02	# mmaj
2	1.000000e-12	# deltam
3	0.000000e+00	# theta1
4	1.000000e-03	# theta2
5	0.000000e+00	# theta3
6	0.000000e+00	# damping

Detailed description

Published today:

[2210.10738]

Oscillations implemented in MADGRAPH


```

mass_splitting = param_card.get_value('PSPSS', 2)
damping = param_card.get_value('PSPSS', 6)
for event in lhe:
    leptonnumber = 0
    write_event = True
    for particle in event:
        if particle.status == 1:
            if particle.pid in [11, 13, 15]:
                leptonnumber += 1
            elif particle.pid in [-11, -13, -15]:
                leptonnumber -= 1
    for particle in event:
        id = particle.pid
        width = param_card['decay'].get((abs(id),)).value
        if width:
            if id in [8000011, 8000012]:
                tau0 = random.expovariate(width / cst)
                if 0.5 * (1 + math.exp(-damping)*math.cos(
                    mass_splitting * tau0 / cst)) >= random.random
                    ():
                    write_event = (leptonnumber == 0)
            else:
                write_event = (leptonnumber != 0)
                vtim = tau0 * c
        else:
            vtim = c * random.expovariate(width / cst)
        if vtim > threshold:
            particle.vtim = vtim
    # write this modify event
    if write_event:
        output.write(str(event))
output.write('</LesHouchesEvents>\n')
output.close()

```

Monte Carlo Simulation


HL-LHC event number with $\mathcal{L} = 3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$



Integrate oscillations from origin to infinity


$$R_{II} = \frac{N^{\text{LNV}}}{N^{\text{LNC}}} = \frac{\Delta m^2}{\Delta m^2 + 2\Gamma^2}.$$

R_{II} simulation vs. calculation




R_{II} with finite detector size: R_{II}^{obs}

$R_{II}^{\text{obs}}(R_{II}, \tau_{\text{max}}/\tau_{\text{osc}})$ while $\tau_{\text{min}} \rightarrow 0$



$R_{II}^{\text{obs}}(R_{II}, \tau_{\text{min}}/\tau_{\text{osc}})$ while $\tau_{\text{max}} \rightarrow \infty$




Transition to physical cuts d_0 , d_{min} , d_{max}

[2210.10738]

Changes feature but non-trivial pattern remains

Heavy neutrino-antineutrino oscillations at the LHC

Production, oscillation, and decay



Idea

Observe heavy neutrino-antineutrino oscillations in long-lived decays

Process


- Production of interaction eigenstates N or \bar{N}
- Oscillations between n_4 and n_5 due to Δm
- LNC decay into l^- or LNV decay into l^+

Simulation


- Model implementation in FEYNRULES
- Event generation in MADGRAPH
- CMS Detector simulation in DELPHES

Heavy neutrino-antineutrino oscillations at the LHC

Production, oscillation, and decay



Lab frame



Idea

Observe heavy neutrino-antineutrino oscillations in long-lived decays

Process

- Production of interaction eigenstates N or \bar{N}
- Oscillations between n_4 and n_5 due to Δm
- LNC decay into l^- or LNV decay into l^+

Simulation


- Model implementation in FEYNRULES
- Event generation in MADGRAPH
- CMS Detector simulation in DELPHES

Observations


- No oscillations in lab frame

Heavy neutrino-antineutrino oscillations at the LHC

Production, oscillation, and decay



Proper time frame



Idea

Observe heavy neutrino-antineutrino oscillations in long-lived decays

Process

- Production of interaction eigenstates N or \bar{N}
- Oscillations between n_4 and n_5 due to Δm
- LNC decay into l^- or LNV decay into l^+


Simulation

- Model implementation in FEYNRULES
- Event generation in MADGRAPH
- CMS Detector simulation in DELPHES


Observations

- No oscillations in lab frame
- Oscillations appear in proper time frame
- Crucial to reconstruct Lorentz factor γ
- Depends on final states without neutrinos

Example of oscillation reconstruction $N(\tau)$




Significance $\sigma(\mathcal{L})$



Results

- Large parts of accessible parameter space excluded by LHC
- HL-LHC can measure oscillations in some BMs with 5σ

Reinterpretation of HNL searches as exclusion on low-scale seesaw models



Displaced searches

- Dirac HNLs good approximation when integrating over oscillations

Prompt LNV searches

- Majorana HNLs miss a factor of 2
- Model dependency governed by Δm
- Inconsequential above R_{II} band

- Low-scale seesaw models predict pseudo-Dirac HNLs
- Pseudo-Dirac HNLs oscillate between LNC and LNV decays
- The symmetry protected seesaw scenario captures the relevant physics in a simple model
- We have implemented and published the necessary tools to simulate these oscillations
- Displaced HNL oscillations are resolvable at the HL-LHC
- Care has to be taken when measuring R_{ll}

References

- S. Antusch, J. Hajer, and J. Roszkopp (Oct. 2022a). 'Simulating lepton number violation induced by heavy neutrino-antineutrino oscillations at colliders'. arXiv: 2210.10738 [hep-ph]
- J. Hajer and J. Roszkopp (2022). 'pSPSS: Phenomenological symmetry protected seesaw scenario'. FeynRules model file. URL: feynrules.irmp.ucl.ac.be/wiki/pSPSS
- S. Antusch, J. Hajer, and J. Roszkopp (2022b). 'Heavy neutrino-antineutrino oscillations at the HL-LHC'. in preparation