TMD distributions of twist-three: definition, evolution, properties based on [Simone Rodini, AV, 2204.03856]

Alexey Vladimirov



(日) (종) (종) (종) (종)

#### Ignazio's talk $\Rightarrow$ Cross-section & coefficient functions $now \Rightarrow$ TMDs of twist-three

Generic TMDs of twist-three were introduced already in [Tangerman, Mulders, 95]. Generic TMDs are ill-defined objects Theoretically well-defined objects is TMDs with a specific TMD-twist

**Strategy:** TMD with a with a specific TMD-twist  $\Rightarrow$  physical/generic TMDs

#### Outline

- ▶ Definite-TMD-twist distributions and their evolution
- ▶ Interpretation and support
- ▶ TMD-distributions with definite T-parity
- $\blacktriangleright$  Singularities in TMD-distributions  $\Rightarrow$  physical TMD-distributions
- Generic TMD distributions and their evolution

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Universität Regensburg

TMD operators and their divergences

Any TMD operator is the product of two semi-compact operators  $\mathcal{O}_{NM}(\{z_1,...,z_n\},b) = U_N(\{z_1,...\},b)U_M(\{...,z_n\},b)$ 



 $\mathcal{O}_{NM}^{\text{bare}}(\{z_1,...,z_n\},b) = R(b^2)Z_{U_N}(\{z_1,...\}) \otimes Z_{U_M}(\{...,z_n\}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{NM}(\mu,\zeta)$ 

- UV divergence for  $U_N$
- UV divergence for  $U_M$
- Rapidity divergence

Three independent divergences Three renormalization constants Three anomalous dimensions



# TMD-twist-(1,1) Usual TMDs $U_1 = [..]\xi = \text{good-component of quark field (twist-1)}$ $\widetilde{\Phi}_{11}^{[\Gamma]}(\{z_1, z_2\}, b) = \langle p, s | \overline{\xi}(z_1 n + b) ... \frac{\Gamma}{2} ... \xi(z_2 n) | p, s \rangle$ $z_1$ $\mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{11}(\{z_{1,2}\}, b; \mu, \zeta) = (\widetilde{\gamma}_1(z_1, \mu, \zeta) + \widetilde{\gamma}_1(z_2, \mu, \zeta)) \, \widetilde{\Phi}_{11}(\{z_{1,2}\}, b; \mu, \zeta)$ $\zeta \frac{d}{d\zeta} \widetilde{\Phi}_{11}(\{z_{1,2}\}, b; \mu, \zeta) = -\mathcal{D}(b, \mu) \widetilde{\Phi}_{11}(\{z_{1,2}\}, b; \mu, \zeta)$ $\triangleright \gamma_1$ = anomalous dimension of $U_1$

- $\gamma_1$  = anomatous uniteri
- $\triangleright \mathcal{D} = CS$  kernel

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

#### TMD-twist-(2,1) Appear at NLP

 $U_1 = [..]\xi = \text{good-component of quark field (twist-1)}$  $U_2 = [..]F_{\mu+}[..]\xi = \text{good-components of gluon and quark fields (twist-2)}$ 

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_{21}^{[\Gamma]}(\{z_1, z_2, z_3\}, b) = \langle p, s | \overline{\xi}(z_1 n + b) .. F_{\mu+}(z_2 n + b) .. \frac{\Gamma}{2} .. \xi(z_3 n) | p, s \rangle$$



$$\mu^{2} \frac{d}{d\mu^{2}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{21}(\{z_{1,2,3}\}, b; \mu, \zeta) = (\widetilde{\gamma}_{2}(z_{1}, z_{2}, \mu, \zeta) + \widetilde{\gamma}_{1}(z_{3}, \mu, \zeta)) \widetilde{\Phi}_{21}(\{z_{1,2,3}\}, b; \mu, \zeta)$$

$$\zeta \frac{d}{d\zeta} \widetilde{\Phi}_{21}(\{z_{1,2,3}\}, b; \mu, \zeta) = -\mathcal{D}(b, \mu) \widetilde{\Phi}_{21}(\{z_{1,2,3}\}, b; \mu, \zeta)$$

$$\blacktriangleright \gamma_{1} = \text{anomalous dimension of } U_{1}$$

$$\vdash \gamma_{2} = \text{anomalous dimension of } U_{2}$$

$$\vdash \mathcal{D} = \text{CS kernel}$$
Similar for TMD-twist-(1,2)

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\gamma}_1(z,\mu,\zeta) &= a_s(\mu)C_F\left(\frac{3}{2} + \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2}{\zeta}\right) + 2\ln\left(\frac{q^+}{-s\partial_z^+}\right)\right) + \mathcal{O}(a_s^2),\\ \widetilde{\gamma}_2(z_2,z_3,\mu,\zeta) &= a_s(\mu)\Big\{\mathbb{H}_{z_2z_3} + C_F\left(\frac{3}{2} + \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2}{\zeta}\right)\right) \\ &+ C_A\ln\left(\frac{q^+}{-s\partial_{z_2}^+}\right) + 2\left(C_F - \frac{C_A}{2}\right)\ln\left(\frac{q^+}{-s\partial_{z_3}^+}\right)\Big\} + \mathcal{O}(a_s^2), \end{split}$$



5/18

April 20, 2022

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\gamma}_1(z,\mu,\zeta) &= a_s(\mu) C_F \Biggl[ \left( \frac{3}{2} + \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2}{\zeta}\right) + 2\ln\left(\frac{q^+}{-s\partial_z^+}\right) \right) + \mathcal{O}(a_s^2), \\ \widetilde{\gamma}_2(z_2,z_3,\mu,\zeta) &= a_s(\mu) \Biggl\{ \mathbb{H}_{z_2z_3} + C_F \left( \frac{3}{2} + \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2}{\zeta}\right) \right) \Biggr] \\ &+ C_A \ln\left(\frac{q^+}{-s\partial_{z_2}^+}\right) + 2\left(C_F - \frac{C_A}{2}\right) \ln\left(\frac{q^+}{-s\partial_{z_3}^+}\right) \Biggr\} + \mathcal{O}(a_s^2), \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} \mathbb{H}_{z_{2}z_{3}}\tilde{\Phi}^{[\Gamma]}_{\mu,12}(z_{1},z_{2},z_{3}) &= (2.19) \\ C_{A} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \left( \bar{\alpha}^{2} \tilde{\Phi}^{[\Gamma]}_{\mu,12}(z_{1},z_{3}^{\alpha},z_{3}) + \bar{\alpha} \tilde{\Phi}^{[\Gamma]}_{\mu,12}(z_{1},z_{2},z_{3}^{\alpha}) - 2 \tilde{\Phi}^{[\Gamma]}_{\mu,12}(z_{1},z_{2},z_{3}) \right) \\ &+ C_{A} \int_{0}^{1} d\alpha \int_{0}^{\alpha} d\beta \bar{\alpha} \tilde{\Phi}^{[\Gamma\gamma_{\alpha}\gamma^{\nu}]}_{\nu,12}(z_{1},z_{3}^{\alpha},z_{3}^{2}) - 2 \left( C_{F} - \frac{C_{A}}{2} \right) \int_{0}^{1} d\alpha \bar{\Delta}_{\alpha}^{1} d\beta \bar{\alpha} \tilde{\Phi}^{[\Gamma\gamma_{\alpha}\gamma^{\nu}]}_{\nu,12}(z_{1},z_{3}^{\alpha},z_{3}^{\beta}) \\ &+ \left( C_{F} - \frac{C_{A}}{2} \right) \int_{0}^{1} d\alpha \bar{\alpha} \tilde{\Phi}^{[\Gamma\gamma_{\alpha}\gamma^{\nu}]}_{\nu,12}(z_{1},z_{3}^{\alpha},z_{2}), \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} \text{quark AD} + \text{cusp} \\ \widetilde{\gamma}_{1}(z, \mu, \zeta) &= a_{s}(\mu) C_{F} \underbrace{\left(\frac{3}{2} + \ln\left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{\zeta}\right) + 2\ln\left(\frac{q^{+}}{-s\partial_{z}^{+}}\right)\right)}_{2\ln\left(\frac{q^{+}}{-s\partial_{z}^{+}}\right)} + \mathcal{O}(a_{s}^{2}), \\ \widetilde{\gamma}_{2}(z_{2}, z_{3}, \mu, \zeta) &= a_{s}(\mu) \underbrace{\mathbb{H}_{z_{2}z_{3}} + C_{F}\left(\frac{3}{2} + \ln\left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{\zeta}\right)\right)}_{F_{z_{2}z_{3}}} + C_{F}\left(\frac{3}{2} + \ln\left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{\zeta}\right)\right) \\ \text{BFLK} \\ \text{quasi-partonic-kernel} + C_{A}\ln\left(\frac{q^{+}}{-s\partial_{z_{2}}^{+}}\right) + 2\left(C_{F} - \frac{C_{A}}{2}\right)\ln\left(\frac{q^{+}}{-s\partial_{z_{3}}^{+}}\right)\right) + \mathcal{O}(a_{s}^{2}), \\ \text{Brunants of collinear divergences} \\ \text{(canceled with SF)} \\ \hline \\ \underbrace{F_{\mu^{+}}}_{(c_{1})} & \left(\frac{\delta}{c_{1}}\right) & \left(\underbrace{F_{\mu^{+}}}_{(c_{2})}\right) & \left(\frac{\delta}{a}\right) & \left(\frac{\delta}{q^{+}}\right) \\ \underbrace{f_{\mu^{+}}}_{(c_{3})} & \left(\frac{\delta}{a}\right) & \left(\underbrace{f_{\mu^{+}}}_{(c_{3})}\right) & \left(\underbrace{f_{\mu^{+}}}_{(c_{3})}\right) \\ \hline \\ \underbrace{Curet Repeated event ev$$

225 / 18 To momentum-fraction space



A.Vladimirov

Power for TMD

$$\mu^{2} \frac{d}{d\mu^{2}} \Phi_{\mu,21}^{[\Gamma]} = \left( \underbrace{\frac{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{\zeta}\right)}_{+} + \Upsilon_{x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}} + 2\pi i \, s \, \Theta_{x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}} \right) \Phi_{\mu,21}^{[\Gamma]} \\ + \mathbb{P}_{x_{2}x_{1}}^{A} \otimes \Phi_{\nu,21}^{[\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\Gamma]} + \mathbb{P}_{x_{2}x_{1}}^{B} \otimes \Phi_{\nu,21}^{[\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\Gamma]}, \\ \mu^{2} \frac{d}{d\mu^{2}} \Phi_{\mu,12}^{[\Gamma]} = \left( \underbrace{\frac{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{\zeta}\right)}_{+} + \Upsilon_{x_{3}x_{2}x_{1}} + 2\pi i \, s \, \Theta_{x_{3}x_{2}x_{1}} \right) \Phi_{\mu,12}^{[\Gamma]} \\ + \mathbb{P}_{x_{2}x_{3}}^{A} \otimes \Phi_{\nu,12}^{[\Gamma\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}]} + \mathbb{P}_{x_{2}x_{3}}^{B} \otimes \Phi_{\nu,12}^{[\Gamma\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}]},$$

Rapidity evolution is the same  $\Gamma_{cusp}$ -part is the same

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta \frac{d}{d\zeta} \Phi_{\mu,12}^{[\Gamma]}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b; \mu, \zeta) &= -\mathcal{D}(b, \mu) \Phi_{\mu,12}^{[\Gamma]}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b; \mu, \zeta), \\ \zeta \frac{d}{d\zeta} \Phi_{\mu,21}^{[\Gamma]}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b; \mu, \zeta) &= -\mathcal{D}(b, \mu) \Phi_{\mu,21}^{[\Gamma]}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b; \mu, \zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Universität Regensburg

臣

・ロト ・回 ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

$$\begin{split} \mu^{2} \frac{d}{d\mu^{2}} \Phi_{\mu,21}^{[\Gamma]} &= \left( \frac{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\mu^{2}}{\zeta} \right) + \Upsilon_{x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}} + 2\pi i \, s \, \Theta_{x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}} \right) \Phi_{\mu,21}^{[\Gamma]} \\ &+ \mathbb{P}_{x_{2}x_{1}}^{A} \otimes \Phi_{\nu,21}^{[\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\Gamma]} + \mathbb{P}_{x_{2}x_{1}}^{B} \otimes \Phi_{\nu,21}^{[\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\Gamma]}, \\ \mu^{2} \frac{d}{d\mu^{2}} \Phi_{\mu,12}^{[\Gamma]} &= \left( \frac{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\mu^{2}}{\zeta} \right) + \Upsilon_{x_{3}x_{2}x_{1}} + 2\pi i \, s \, \Theta_{x_{3}x_{2}x_{1}} \right) \Phi_{\mu,12}^{[\Gamma]} \\ &+ \mathbb{P}_{x_{2}x_{3}}^{A} \otimes \Phi_{\nu,12}^{[\Gamma\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}]} + \mathbb{P}_{x_{2}x_{3}}^{B} \otimes \Phi_{\nu,12}^{[\Gamma\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}]}, \end{split}$$



BFLK kernels in momentum space are quite cumbersome

- non-analytic
- continious
- mix-sectors
- longish
- ▶ for "x<sub>i</sub> > 0" region agrees with [Beneke, et al, 17]

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{P}_{\alpha_{\alpha}}^{\alpha_{\alpha}} \mathbb{P}(\alpha_{\alpha}, \alpha_{\beta}, \alpha_{\alpha}) & \simeq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha_{\alpha}} \mathbb{P}(\alpha_{\beta}, \alpha_{\beta}, \alpha_{\beta}) \\ & = \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha_{\beta}} \frac{1}{\alpha_{\beta}} \mathbb{P}(\alpha_{\beta}, \alpha_{\beta}) - \frac{1}{$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Universität Regensburg

æ

$$\begin{split} \mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2} \Phi_{\mu,21}^{[\Gamma]} &= \left( \frac{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\mu^2}{\zeta} \right) + \Upsilon_{x_1 x_2 x_3} + 2\pi i \, s \, \Theta_{x_1 x_2 x_3} \right) \Phi_{\mu,21}^{[\Gamma]} \\ &+ \mathbb{P}_{x_2 x_1}^A \otimes \Phi_{\nu,21}^{[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\mu \Gamma]} + \mathbb{P}_{x_2 x_1}^B \otimes \Phi_{\nu,21}^{[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \Gamma]}, \\ \mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2} \Phi_{\mu,12}^{[\Gamma]} &= \left( \frac{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\mu^2}{\zeta} \right) + \Upsilon_{x_3 x_2 x_1} + 2\pi i \, s \, \Theta_{x_3 x_2 x_1} \right) \Phi_{\mu,12}^{[\Gamma]} \\ &+ \mathbb{P}_{x_2 x_3}^A \otimes \Phi_{\nu,12}^{[\Gamma \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu]} + \mathbb{P}_{x_2 x_3}^B \otimes \Phi_{\nu,12}^{[\Gamma \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu]}, \end{split}$$

$$\Upsilon_{x_1x_2x_3} = a_s \Big[ 3C_F + C_A \ln\left(\frac{|x_3|}{|x_2|}\right) + 2\left(C_F - \frac{C_A}{2}\right) \ln\left(\frac{|x_3|}{|x_1|}\right) \Big] + \mathcal{O}(a_s^2).$$

Real-part of collinear logarithms

- ▶ Singular at  $x_i = 0$
- Integrable
- ▶ Checked by NLP coeff.function

$$\begin{split} q^+ & \text{ is as in fact. theorem} \\ q^+ = \begin{cases} |p_{\bar{q}}| = |p_q|, \text{ for } \tilde{\Phi}_{11}^{[\Gamma]}, \\ |p_{\bar{q}}|, \text{ for } \tilde{\Phi}_{12}^{[\Gamma]}, \\ |p_q|, \text{ for } \tilde{\Phi}_{21}^{[\Gamma]}, \end{cases} \end{split}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Universität Regensburg

April 20, 2022 7 / 18

臣

$$\mu^{2} \frac{d}{d\mu^{2}} \Phi_{\mu,21}^{[\Gamma]} = \left( \frac{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\mu^{2}}{\zeta} \right) + \Upsilon_{x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}} + \frac{2\pi i \, s \, \Theta_{x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}}}{2\pi i \, s \, \Theta_{x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}}} \right) \Phi_{\mu,21}^{[\Gamma]}$$

$$+ \mathbb{P}_{x_{2}x_{1}}^{A} \otimes \Phi_{\nu,21}^{[\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\Gamma]} + \mathbb{P}_{x_{2}x_{1}}^{B} \otimes \frac{\Phi_{\mu,21}^{[\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\Gamma]}}{2\pi i \, s \, \Theta_{x_{3}x_{2}x_{1}}} \right) \Phi_{\mu,12}^{[\Gamma]}$$

$$\mu^{2} \frac{d}{d\mu^{2}} \Phi_{\mu,12}^{[\Gamma]} = \left( \frac{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\mu^{2}}{\zeta} \right) + \Upsilon_{x_{3}x_{2}x_{1}} + \frac{2\pi i \, s \, \Theta_{x_{3}x_{2}x_{1}}}{2\pi i \, s \, \Theta_{x_{3}x_{2}x_{1}}} \right) \Phi_{\mu,12}^{[\Gamma]}$$

$$+ \mathbb{P}_{x_{2}x_{3}}^{A} \otimes \Phi_{\nu,12}^{[\Gamma\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}]} + \mathbb{P}_{x_{2}x_{3}}^{B} \otimes \Phi_{\nu,12}^{[\Gamma\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}]},$$

Imaginary-part of collinear logarithms • Discontinious  $\Theta_{x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}} = a_{s} \times \begin{cases} \frac{C_{A}}{-(C_{F} - \frac{C_{A}}{2})} x_{1,2,3} \in (+, -, -), \\ -(C_{F} - \frac{C_{A}}{2})} x_{1,2,3} \in (-, -, +), \\ 0 \\ -\frac{C_{A}}{2}} x_{1,2,3} \in (-, +, -), \\ 0 \\ x_{1,2,3} \in (+, +, -), \end{cases}$ • OMG! Universität Regensburg

A.Vladimirov

April 20, 2022 7 / 18

$$\begin{split} \mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2} \Phi_{\mu,21}^{[\Gamma]} &= \left(\frac{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{2} \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2}{\zeta}\right) + \Upsilon_{x_1 x_2 x_3} + 2\pi i \, s \, \Theta_{x_1 x_2 x_3}\right) \Phi_{\mu,21}^{[\Gamma]} \\ &+ \mathbb{P}_{x_2 x_1}^A \otimes \Phi_{\nu,21}^{[\gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu \Gamma]} + \mathbb{P}_{x_2 x_1}^B \otimes \Phi_{\nu,21}^{[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \Gamma]}, \\ \mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2} \Phi_{\mu,12}^{[\Gamma]} &= \left(\frac{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{2} \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2}{\zeta}\right) + \Upsilon_{x_3 x_2 x_1} + 2\pi i \, s \, \Theta_{x_3 x_2 x_1}\right) \Phi_{\mu,12}^{[\Gamma]} \\ &+ \mathbb{P}_{x_2 x_3}^A \otimes \Phi_{\nu,12}^{[\Gamma \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu]} + \mathbb{P}_{x_2 x_3}^B \otimes \Phi_{\nu,12}^{[\Gamma \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu]}, \end{split}$$

- ▶ Complex
- Discontinious
- ▶ Singular

#### Live is not that bad!

<□ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ <

Universität Regensburg

#### Complex evolution for complex functions!

Transformation properties

#### No definite complexity

$$\begin{split} & [\Phi_{\mu,12}^{[\Gamma]}(x_1,x_2,x_3,b)]^* \quad = \quad \Phi_{\mu,21}^{[\gamma^0\Gamma^\dagger\gamma^0]}(-x_3,-x_2,-x_1,-b), \\ & [\Phi_{\mu,21}^{[\Gamma]}(x_1,x_2,x_3,b)]^* \quad = \quad \Phi_{\mu,12}^{[\gamma^0\Gamma^\dagger\gamma^0]}(-x_3,-x_2,-x_1,-b). \end{split}$$

#### No definite T-parity

$$\mathcal{PT}\Phi_{\mu,12}^{[\Gamma]}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b; s, L)(\mathcal{PT})^{-1} = -\Phi_{\mu,21}^{[\gamma^0 T \Gamma^* T^{-1} \gamma^0]}(-x_3, -x_2, -x_1, -b; -s, -L),$$
  
$$\mathcal{PT}\Phi_{\mu,21}^{[\Gamma]}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b; s, L)(\mathcal{PT})^{-1} = -\Phi_{\mu,12}^{[\gamma^0 T \Gamma^* T^{-1} \gamma^0]}(-x_3, -x_2, -x_1, -b; -s, -L).$$



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Universität Regensburg

æ

#### T-parity-definite combinations

$$\Phi_{\mu,\oplus}^{[\Gamma]}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b) = \frac{\Phi_{\mu,21}^{[\Gamma]}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b) + \Phi_{\mu,12}^{[\Gamma]}(-x_3, -x_2, -x_1, b)}{2}, 
\Phi_{\mu,\oplus}^{[\Gamma]}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b) = i\frac{\Phi_{\mu,21}^{[\Gamma]}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b) - \Phi_{\mu,12}^{[\Gamma]}(-x_3, -x_2, -x_1, b)}{2},$$

## Real functions, with real evolution Price: evolution mixes $\oplus$ and $\oplus$ sectors

Definite complexity

$$[\Phi_{\mu, \odot}^{[\Gamma]}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b)]^* = \Phi_{\mu, \odot}^{[\gamma^0 \Gamma^{\dagger} \gamma^0]}(x_1, x_2, x_3, -b),$$
  
 $[\Phi_{\mu, \odot}^{[\Gamma]}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b)]^* = \Phi_{\mu, \odot}^{[\gamma^0 \Gamma^{\dagger} \gamma^0]}(x_1, x_2, x_3, -b)$ 

#### Definite T-parity

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{PT}\Phi_{\mu,\oplus}^{[\Gamma]}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b; s, L)(\mathcal{PT})^{-1} &= -\Phi_{\mu,\oplus}^{[\rho_{0}TT^*T^{-1}\gamma^{0}]}(x_1, x_2, x_3, -b; -s, -L), \\ \mathcal{PT}\Phi_{\mu,\oplus}^{[\Gamma]}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b; s, L)(\mathcal{PT})^{-1} &= +\Phi_{\mu,\oplus}^{[\rho_{0}TT^*T^{-1}\gamma^{0}]}(x_1, x_2, x_3, -b; -s, -L). \end{split}$$

TR

Universität Regensburg

April 20, 2022 9/18

æ

・ロト ・回 ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

#### At this stage we can introduce the parametrization

- ▶ Three Γ-structures  $\{\gamma^+, \gamma^+\gamma^5, i\sigma^{\alpha+}\gamma^5\}$
- ▶ In the tensor case, one can sort  $F_{\mu+}\sigma^{\alpha+}$ -tensors into J = 0, 1, 2 cases.
- ▶ 32 distributions  $(\oplus \text{ and } \ominus)$
- ▶ 16 T-odd and 16 T-even

#### Example

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\bullet}^{\mu[\gamma^{+}]}(x_{1,2,3},b) &= \epsilon^{\mu\nu} s_{T\nu} M f_{\bullet T}(x_{1,2,3},b) + i b^{\mu} M^{2} f_{\bullet}^{\perp}(x_{1,2,3},b) \\ &+ i \lambda \epsilon^{\mu\nu} b_{\nu} M^{2} f_{\bullet L}^{\perp}(x_{1,2,3},b) + b^{2} M^{3} \epsilon_{T}^{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{g_{T,\nu\rho}}{2} - \frac{b_{\nu} b_{\rho}}{b^{2}}\right) s_{T}^{\rho} f_{\bullet T}^{\perp}(x_{1,2,3},b) \\ &f_{\oplus,T;DY} = f_{\oplus,T;SIDIS}, \qquad f_{\oplus;DY}^{\perp} = -f_{\oplus;SIDIS}^{\perp} \end{split}$$

	U	L	$T_{J=0}$	$T_{J=1}$	$T_{J=2}$
U	$f_{\bullet}^{\perp}$	$g_{\bullet}^{\perp}$		$h_{ullet}$	$h_{ullet}^{\perp}$
L	$f_{\bullet L}^{\perp}$	$g_{\bullet L}^{\perp}$	$h_{\bullet L}$		$h_{\bullet L}^{\perp}$
Т	$f_{\bullet T}, f_{\bullet T}^{\perp}$	$g_{\bullet T},  g_{\bullet T}^{\perp}$	$h_{\bullet T}^{D\perp}$	$h_{\bullet T}^{A\perp}$	$h_{\bullet T}^{S\perp},  h_{\bullet T}^{T\perp}$

Universität Regensburg

- 12

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Evolution equations split into two cases: Evolution with kernels  $\mathbb{P}^A$  or  $\mathbb{P}^B$ 

### Example $\mathbb{P}^A$

$$\begin{split} \mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2} \left( \begin{array}{c} H^A_{\oplus} \\ H^A_{\oplus} \end{array} \right) &= \left( \frac{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\mu^2}{\zeta} \right) + \Upsilon_{x_1 x_2 x_3} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} H^A_{\oplus} \\ H^A_{\oplus} \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \left( \begin{array}{c} 2\mathbb{P}^A_{x_2 x_1} & 2\pi s \Theta_{x_1 x_2 x_3} \\ -2\pi s \Theta_{x_1 x_2 x_3} & 2\mathbb{P}^A_{x_2 x_1} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} H^A_{\oplus} \\ H^A_{\oplus} \end{array} \right), \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} f^{\perp}_{\oplus} + g^{\perp}_{\oplus} \\ f^{\perp}_{\oplus} - g^{\perp}_{\oplus}, \end{array} \right), & \left( \begin{array}{c} f^{\perp}_{\oplus, L} + g^{\perp}_{\oplus, L} \\ f^{\perp}_{\oplus, L} - g^{\perp}_{\oplus, L} \end{array} \right), & \left( \begin{array}{c} f_{\oplus, T} + g_{\oplus, T} \\ f^{\perp}_{\oplus, T} - g^{\perp}_{\oplus, T} \end{array} \right), & \left( \begin{array}{c} f^{\perp}_{\oplus, T} + g^{\perp}_{\oplus, T} \\ f^{\perp}_{\oplus, T} - g^{\perp}_{\oplus, T} \end{array} \right), \\ & \left( \begin{array}{c} h_{\Phi} \\ h_{\Theta} \end{array} \right), & \left( \begin{array}{c} h_{\Phi, L} \\ h_{\Theta, L} \end{array} \right), & \left( \begin{array}{c} h^{A}_{\oplus, T} \\ h^{A}_{\oplus, T} \\ h^{A}_{\oplus, T} \end{array} \right), & \left( \begin{array}{c} h^{A}_{\oplus, T} \\ h^{A}_{\oplus, T} \\ h^{A}_{\oplus, T} \end{array} \right), & \left( \begin{array}{c} h^{A}_{\oplus, T} \\ h^{A}_{\oplus, T} \\ h^{A}_{\oplus, T} \end{array} \right), & \left( \begin{array}{c} h^{A}_{\oplus, T} \\ h^{A}_{\oplus, T} \end{array} \right). \end{split}$$

- ▶ Real functions = real evolution
- Mixes T-odd and T-even distributions
- $\blacktriangleright$  Mixing is proportional to s, so T-parity is preserved, and distributions are universal

Universität Regensburg

æ

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

#### TMD distributions of twist-three are generalized functions No definite value at $x_i = 0$ , but definite integrals

A typical term in the cross-section

$$\int [dx]\delta(x-x_3)\frac{\Phi_{12}(x_{1,2,3},b)}{x_2-is0}\Phi_{11}(-\tilde{x},-b) + \int [dx]\delta(\tilde{x}-\tilde{x}_1)\Phi_{11}(x,b)\frac{\Phi_{21}(\tilde{x}_{1,2,3},-b)}{\tilde{x}_2-is0}$$

- ▶ The integral is divergent since  $\Phi_{\bullet}$  is discontinuous at  $x_2 = 0$ 
  - ▶ Important: integral from [-1,1], otherwise it would be the end-point divergence
- ▶ In fact, divergences cancel
- ▶ Let us subtract the divergences and define **PHYSICAL** TMD distribution

$$\int [dx]\delta(x-x_3)\frac{\Phi_{12}(x_{1,2,3},b)}{x_2-is0} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \int_{s\infty}^y d\sigma \ \Phi_{12}(\{y,\sigma,0\},b)$$





Physical TMD distributions of twist-three

$$\Phi_{21,\mu}^{[\Gamma]}(x_{1,2,3},b) = \Phi_{21,\mu}^{[\Gamma]}(x_{1,2,3},b) - [\mathcal{R}_{21} \otimes \Phi_{11}]_{\mu}^{[\Gamma]}(x_{1,2,3},b)$$

similar for  $\Phi_{12}$ 

- ▶ Subtraction term cancels in-between parts of factorized expression
- ▶ Obeys same evolution equations
- ▶  $\mathcal{R}$  is know at  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$

 $[\mathcal{R}_{21} \otimes \Phi_{11}]^{[\Gamma]}_{\mu}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b) = i\partial_{\mu}\mathcal{D}(b) \Phi_{11}^{[\Gamma]}(-x_1, b)(\theta(x_2, x_3) - \theta(-x_2, -x_3)) + \mathcal{O}(a_s^2),$ 

#### ▶ Produce a *term-by-term-finite* cross-section



 $\begin{array}{rcl} & \textbf{Generic distributions of twist-three}\\ good- and bad- component of quark fields\\ & \Phi_{\bar{q}q}^{[\Gamma]}(x,b) & = & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{2\pi} e^{-ixzp^+} \langle p, s | \bar{q}(zn+b) ... \frac{\Gamma}{2} ... q(0) \} | p, s \rangle, \\ & \Gamma \in \{\gamma^+, \gamma^+ \gamma^5, \sigma^{\alpha+}\} & & \Gamma \in \{1, \gamma^5, \gamma_T^{\alpha}, \gamma_T^{\alpha} \gamma^5, \sigma^{\alpha\beta}, \sigma^{+-}\} \\ & \text{twist-}(1,1) & & \text{using EOMs rewrite via} \\ & \text{twist-}(1,1), \text{twist-}(2,1), \text{twist-}(1,2) \end{array}$ 

These are mere structure functions not preserved beyond tree-order, often used by experimentalists

Example of recent extraction from CLAS12 data [Courtoy, et al, 2203.14975]

A.Vladimirov



From generic to genuine TMDs via Equation of motion

$$\Phi_{\bar{q}q}(x,b) \simeq \frac{i}{2xp^+} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial b^{\mu}} \Phi_{\rm tw2}(x,b) + \int [dx] \delta(x-x_3) \frac{\Phi_{\rm tw3}(x_1,x_2,x_3,b)}{x_2 - i0s} \right)$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Involves positive and negative x's

#### Example

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\bar{q}q}^{[\gamma^{\alpha}]}(x,b) &= \frac{M}{p^{+}} \Big[ -\epsilon_{T}^{\alpha\mu} s_{T\mu} f_{T}(x,b) + i\lambda \epsilon^{\alpha\mu} b_{\mu} M f_{L}^{\perp}(x,b) - ib^{\alpha} M f^{\perp}(x,b) \\ &- b^{2} M^{2} \left( \frac{g_{T}^{\alpha\mu}}{2} - \frac{b^{\alpha} b^{\mu}}{b^{2}} \right) \epsilon_{T\mu\nu} s_{T}^{\nu} f_{T}^{\perp}(x,b) \Big], \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} x\,f_{T} & = & \mathbf{f}_{\ominus,T}^{(0)} - \mathbf{g}_{\oplus,T}^{(0)} - f_{1T}^{\perp} - \frac{b^{2}M^{2}}{2} \mathring{f}_{1T}^{\perp} \,, & x\,f^{\perp} & = & \mathbf{f}_{\ominus}^{(0)\perp} - \mathbf{g}_{\ominus}^{(0)\perp} + \mathring{f}_{1}^{\perp} \,, \\ x\,f_{L}^{\perp} & = & -\mathbf{f}_{\ominus,L}^{(0)\perp} + \mathbf{g}_{\oplus,L}^{(0)\perp} \,, & x\,f_{T}^{\perp} & = & -\mathbf{f}_{\ominus,T}^{(0)\perp} + \mathbf{g}_{\oplus,T}^{(0)\perp} + \mathring{f}_{1}^{\perp} \,, \end{array}$$

Universität Regensburg

臣

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

ΠR

The evolution equation for generic distributions is not closed



The evolution equation for generic distributions is not closed



In the large- $N_c$  limit, generic distribution satisfy closed evolution equation

#### Example

$$\begin{split} &\mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2} f_T = \left(\frac{\Gamma_{\rm cusp}}{2} \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2}{\zeta}\right) + a_s C_F\right) f_T - \frac{2a_s C_F}{x} \left(f_{1T}^{\perp} + \frac{b^2 M^2}{2} \mathring{f}_{1T}^{\perp}\right) \\ &\mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2} f_L^{\perp} = \left(\frac{\Gamma_{\rm cusp}}{2} \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2}{\zeta}\right) + a_s C_F\right) f_L^{\perp} \ , \end{split}$$

A.Vladimirov

The structure of TMDs at twist-three is totally clear

#### Main points

- ▶ 32 genuine TMDPDFs of twist-three
- ▶ Evolution mixes T-odd and T-even functions, in a process-independent way
- ▶ Genuine TMD distributions are *generalized functions*
- ▶ Physical distribution requires extra subtraction
- $\blacktriangleright$  Evolution of generic TMD distributions is closed in the large- $N_c$  limit.



・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト