

Heaviside functions and the same-hemisphere triple-gluon contribution to the zero-jettiness soft function at N3LO QCD Based on 2111.13594 & 2204.09459 with Daniel Baranowski, Maximilian Delto and Kirill Melnikov. Chen-Yu Wang | 2022-04-22 | SCET Workshop 2022 @ University of Bern







Outline

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Integral reduction
- 3. Integral evaluation
- 4. Result
- 5. Outlook & Conclusion

Motivation	Integral reduction	Integral evaluation	Result	Outlook & Conclusion
00000	000	000	00	00

Motivation



- The ever-increasing experimental precision at the LHC and the HL-LHC in the future demands percent level precision from the theoritical side. *ATLAS 2019; CMS 2021*
- This requires efforts from all parts of the community.
- In particular for the hard corrections, N3LO QCD corrections become relevent:
 - $\bullet ~gg
 ightarrow H$ Anastasiou, Duhr, Dulat, Herzog, et al. 2015; Anastasiou, Duhr, Dulat, Furlan, et al. 2016; Mistlberger 2018
 - bb
 ightarrow H Duhr, Dulat, Hirschi, et al. 2020; Duhr, Dulat, and Mistlberger 2020
 - Drell-Yan Chen, Gehrmann, Glover, Huss, Yang, et al. 2022
 - Differential Higgs production Dulat et al. 2018, 2019; Billis et al. 2021; Chen, Gehrmann, Glover, Huss, Mistlberger, et al. 2021
 - VBF Dreyer and Karlberg 2016, 2018
 - $gg \rightarrow HH$ Chen, Li, et al. 2020
 - ...

N3LO corrections have persent level contributions.

Motivation	Integral reduction	Integral evaluation	Result	Outlook & Conclusion
●0000	000	000	00	00



Motivation

To obtain differential cross sections, one can use slicing to extract and cancel infrared divergences properly:

$$\sigma(O) = \int_0 \mathrm{d}\tau \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma(O)}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \int_0^{\tau_0} \mathrm{d}\tau \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma(O)}{\mathrm{d}\tau} + \int_{\tau_0} \mathrm{d}\tau \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma(O)}{\mathrm{d}\tau}.$$

- q_T subtraction scheme Catani and Grazzini 2007
- N-jettiness subtraction scheme Boughezal, Focke, et al. 2015; Gaunt et al. 2015
- q_T subtraction scheme is available up to N3LO Li and Zhu 2017; Ebert et al. 2020b; Luo et al. 2020
- N-jettiness factorization theorem derived in SCET Stewart et al. 2010a,b

$$\lim_{\tau \to 0} \mathrm{d} \sigma(O) = B \otimes B \otimes \sum_i^N J_n \otimes S_N \otimes H.$$

- Beam function B @ N3LO Behring et al. 2019; Ebert et al. 2020a
- Jet function J @ N3LO Banerjee et al. 2018; Brüser et al. 2018
- Soft function S_N @ N2LO Hornig et al. 2011; Kelley et al. 2011; Monni et al. 2011; Boughezal, Liu, et al. 2015; Bell et al.

Motivation	2018; Campbell et al. 2018; Jin and Liu 2019 Integral reduction	Integral reduction Integral evaluation Result Outlook & C				
00000	000	000	00	00		



Motivation: zero-jettiness soft function at N3LO



 Single gluon emission can be extracted from the literature. Badger and Glover 2004; Duhr and Gehrmann 2013; Li and Zhu 2013

MotivationIntegral reductionIntegral evaluationResultOutlook & Conclusion000000000000000000



Definition

Zero-jettiness is defined as

$$\tau = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \min_{j \in 1, 2} \left[\frac{2q_j \cdot k_i}{Q_j} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \min\{k_i \cdot n, k_i \cdot \overline{n}\}.$$

By symmetry there are two independent configurations: nnn / nnn.
 We consider the same-hemisphere ggg contribution:

$$S_{ggg}^{nnn} = \int \left(\prod_{i=1}^{3} [\mathsf{d}k_i] \theta(k_i \cdot \overline{n} - k_i \cdot n) \right) \delta \left(\tau - \sum_{i=1}^{3} k_i \cdot n \right) \left| J(k_1, k_2, k_3) \right|^2, \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} [\mathsf{d}k_i] \theta(k_i \cdot \overline{n} - k_i \cdot n)}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{eff}}} \right) \delta \left(\tau - \sum_{i=1}^{3} k_i \cdot n \right) \left| J(k_1, k_2, k_3) \right|^2, \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} [\mathsf{d}k_i] \theta(k_i \cdot \overline{n} - k_i \cdot n)}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{eff}}} \right) \delta \left(\tau - \sum_{i=1}^{3} k_i \cdot n \right) \left| J(k_1, k_2, k_3) \right|^2, \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} [\mathsf{d}k_i] \theta(k_i \cdot \overline{n} - k_i \cdot n)}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{eff}}} \right) \delta \left(\tau - \sum_{i=1}^{3} k_i \cdot n \right) \left| J(k_1, k_2, k_3) \right|^2, \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} [\mathsf{d}k_i] \theta(k_i \cdot \overline{n} - k_i \cdot n)}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{eff}}} \right) \delta \left(\tau - \sum_{i=1}^{3} k_i \cdot n \right) \left| J(k_1, k_2, k_3) \right|^2, \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} [\mathsf{d}k_i] \theta(k_i \cdot \overline{n} - k_i \cdot n)}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{eff}}} \right) \delta \left(\tau - \sum_{i=1}^{3} k_i \cdot n \right) \left| J(k_1, k_2, k_3) \right|^2, \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} [\mathsf{d}k_i] \theta(k_i \cdot \overline{n} - k_i \cdot n)}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{eff}}} \right) \left| J(k_1, k_2, k_3) \right|^2, \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} [\mathsf{d}k_i] \theta(k_i \cdot \overline{n} - k_i \cdot n)}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{eff}}} \right) \left| J(k_1, k_2, k_3) \right|^2, \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} [\mathsf{d}k_i] \theta(k_i \cdot \overline{n} - k_i \cdot n)}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{eff}}} \right) \left| J(k_1, k_2, k_3) \right|^2, \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} [\mathsf{d}k_i] \theta(k_i \cdot \overline{n} - k_i \cdot n)}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{eff}}} \right|^2 \left| J(k_1, k_2, k_3) \right|^2, \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} [\mathsf{d}k_i] \theta(k_i \cdot \overline{n} - k_i \cdot n)}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{eff}}} \right|^2 \left| J(k_1, k_2, k_3) \right|^2 \left| J(k_1, k_3, k_3) \right|^2 \left| J(k_1, k_3$$

where $[\mathsf{d}k_i]$ is the massless phase space measure.

 $nn\overline{n}$ configuration

nnn configuration

Ľ

Motivation	Integral reduction	Integral evaluation	Result	Outlook & Conclusion
00000	000	000	00	00

Procedures



Amplitude: expression available in the literature Catani, Colferai, et al. 2020

$$S = \sum_{i} C_i I_i.$$

 \blacksquare Reverse unitarity: transform δ functions to denominators ${\it Anastasiou}$ and ${\it Melnikov}$ 2002

$$\delta(p^2-m^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\frac{i}{p^2-m^2+i\varepsilon} - \frac{i}{p^2-m^2-i\varepsilon} \right] \label{eq:delta_prod}$$

• IBP reduction: how to deal with θ functions? Chetyrkin and Tkachov 1981

$$\int \mathrm{d}^d k \frac{\partial}{\partial k_\mu} \left[p_\mu \frac{1}{\prod_i D_i} \right] = 0.$$

• Evaluate master integrals: master integrals could still be hard to work out.

$$S = \sum_i C_i' I_i'$$

Motivation	Integral reduction	Integral evaluation	Result	Outlook & Conclusion
00000	000	000	00	00

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Integral reduction

Rewrite Heaviside functions as δ functions

$$\theta(k_i\cdot\overline{n}-k_i\cdot n)=\int_0^1\mathrm{d} z_i\,\delta(z_ik_i\cdot\overline{n}-k_i\cdot n)k_i\cdot\overline{n}$$

- Standard IBP programs can be used.
- Needs to integrate over 3 auxillary parameters:

$$S = \int_0^1 {\rm d} z_1 \, {\rm d} z_2 \, {\rm d} z_3 \, \sum_i C_i'(z_j) I_i'(z_j).$$

• Tested at N2LO. Baranowski 2020

Implement IBP for Heaviside functions

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial k_i \cdot \overline{n}} \theta(k_i \cdot \overline{n} - k_i \cdot n) = \delta(k_i \cdot \overline{n} - k_i \cdot n)$$

- Generate IBP identities manually.
- Solve the system using Kira. Maierhöfer et al. 2018; Klappert et al. 2020
- Simpler master integrals:

$$S = \sum_i C'_i I'_i.$$

Parametric reprsentation based approach Chen 2020a,b, 2021

Motivation	Integral reduction	Integral evaluation	Result	Outlook & Conclusion
00000	●OO	000	00	00



Integral reduction

IBP identity

$$\int \mathrm{d}^d k \frac{\partial}{\partial k_\mu} \left[p_\mu f(k) \right] = 0 \Longrightarrow \int \mathrm{d}^d k \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial k_\mu} p_\mu \right) + p \cdot k \frac{\partial}{\partial k^2} + p \cdot n \frac{\partial}{\partial k \cdot n} + p \cdot \overline{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial k \cdot \overline{n}} \right] f(k) = 0$$

• Example:
$$f(k) = \frac{\theta(k \cdot \overline{n} - k \cdot n)}{[k^2]_c^{a_1} [1 - k \cdot n]_c^{a_2} (k \cdot \overline{n})^{a_3}}$$
 where $[...]_c$ denotes a δ function.

• The partial derivative generates two contributions:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial k \cdot \overline{n}} f(k) = -a_3 \frac{\theta(k \cdot \overline{n} - k \cdot n)}{[k^2]_c^{a_1} [1 - k \cdot n]_c^{a_2} (k \cdot \overline{n})^{a_3 + 1}} + \frac{\delta(k \cdot \overline{n} - k \cdot n)}{[k^2]_c^{a_1} [1 - k \cdot n]_c^{a_2} (k \cdot \overline{n})^{a_3}}$$

• The homogenous term keeps the Heaviside function intact, while the inhomogenous term changes it to a δ function.

Motivation	Integral reduction	Integral evaluation	Result	Outlook & Conclusion
00000	000	000	00	00

Integral reduction

$$\mathrm{d}\Phi^{nnn}_{f_1f_2f_3} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^3 [\mathrm{d}k_i]f_i(k_i\cdot\overline{n}-k_i\cdot n)\right)\delta\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^3k_i\cdot n\right)$$



$$S^{nnn}_{ggg} \propto \int \mathrm{d}\Phi^{nnn}_{\theta\theta\theta} \left|J(k_1,k_2,k_3)\right|^2$$

- Generate IBP identities and symmetry relations manually.
- Solve the whole system using Kira's reduce_user_defined_system feature. Maierhöfer et al. 2018; Klappert et al. 2020
- Reduce the amplitude to a set of master integrals:
 - No $\theta\theta\theta$ master integrals.
 - 40 master integrals without $1/(k_1 + k_2 + k_3)^2$ denominator: Calculate analytically using HypExp and HyperInt. *Huber and Maitre 2006; Panzer 2015*
 - 48 master integrals with $1/(k_1+k_2+k_3)^2$ denominator: Hard to calculate directly.



Motivation	Integral reduction	Integral evaluation	Result	Outlook & Conclusion
00000	000	000	00	00



Integral evaluation

• The problematic denominator $1/k_{123}^2$

$$\frac{1}{(k_1+k_2+k_3)^2}\sim \frac{1}{2k_1\cdot k_2+2k_2\cdot k_3+2k_3\cdot k_1}$$

involves 3 dot products \Rightarrow it would be great if this denominator can be "removed"

• Naively if we add an auxillary mass-like parameter m to the denominator and in the limit $m \to \infty$ the dot products drop out:

$$(k_1+k_2+k_3)^2 \ll m^2: \ \frac{1}{(k_1+k_2+k_3)^2+m^2} \sim \frac{1}{m^2}$$

- The integrals are relatively simple at $m \to \infty$ and differential equation w.r.t. m^2 helps us to recover the original integral at $m \to 0$. Liu et al. 2018
- Similar ideas of introducing auxillary parameters can be found in the literature. Henn et al. 2014;

Papadopoulos 2014; Zhu 2015; Lee et al. 2022

Motivation	Integral reduction	Integral evaluation	Result	Outlook & Conclusion
00000	000	●OO	00	00

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Integral evaluation

• Modify the master integrals by adding a m^2 to $1/k_{123}^2$:

$$I_i(\epsilon) = \lim_{m \to 0} J_i(\epsilon,m) \qquad J_i(\epsilon,m) = \int \mathrm{d} \Phi_{f_1 f_2 f_3}^{nnn} \, \frac{1}{k_{123}^2 + m^2} \, \frac{\cdots}{(k_1 \cdot k_2)(k_1 \cdot n) \cdots}$$

• Construct a system of differential equations w.r.t. m^2 :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial m^2}J = MJ.$$

- Solve the differential equations **numerically** from $m \to \infty$ to $m \to 0$.
- Reconstruct analytical expression from numerical solutions.

Motivation	Integral reduction	Integral evaluation	Result	Outlook & Conclusion
00000	000	000	00	00

Integral evaluation

 $J = \int \mathrm{d} \Phi^{nnn}_{\delta\theta\theta} \; \frac{1}{(k_{123}^2 + m^2)(k_2 \cdot \overline{n})} \label{eq:J}$

Integral evaluation



• Expand J around boundary in variable $w^2 = m^{-2} = 0$:

$$J = \sum_{i,j,k} c_{ijk}(\epsilon) w^{i+j\epsilon} \ln^k w$$

• Boundary conditions at $m\to\infty$ involves several regions as the Heaviside functions allow $k_i\cdot\overline{n}$ to be large:

$$J|_{m \to \infty} = \begin{cases} m^0 & \text{ with } \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \ll m \\ m^{-2\epsilon} & \text{ with } \alpha_1, \alpha_i \ll m, \text{ while } \alpha_j \sim m \\ m^{-4\epsilon} & \text{ with } \alpha_1 \ll m, \text{ while } \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \sim m \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha_i = k_i \cdot \overline{n}$.

Motivation

Evaluate at a regular point
$$J(m=1/w_0)=\left.J\right|_{w=w_0}$$



Integral reduction

Integral evaluation

Motivation

$$J = \int \mathrm{d} \Phi^{nnn}_{\delta \theta \theta} \; \frac{1}{(k_{123}^2 + m^2)(k_2 \cdot \overline{n})}$$

Integral evaluation

000



• Expand and evaluate around regular points:

$$J = \sum_i c_i(\epsilon) m'^i$$

Repeat this procedure until we move into the radius of convergence around the **physical point** m = 0.

• Matching at the **physical point** m = 0:

$$J = \sum_{i,j,k} c_{ijk}(\epsilon) m^{i+j\epsilon} \ln^k m$$

- $\label{eq:intermediate} {\rm I \ corresponds \ to \ } \lim_{m \to 0} J(\epsilon,m) = c_{000}(\epsilon).$
- Finally we reconstruct the analytical expression.



Integral reduction

Result



Now we present the same-hemisphere *triple-gluon*-emission contribution to the N3LO zero-jettiness soft function:

$$S^{nnn}_{ggg} = \tau^{-1-6\epsilon} \frac{N^3_{\epsilon}}{3!} \left[C^3_a S^{nnn}_{1+1+1} + C^2_a C_A S^{nnn}_{1+2} + C_a C^2_A S^{nnn}_3 \right],$$

where $N_{\epsilon} = (4\pi)^{-2+\epsilon}/\Gamma(1-\epsilon)$, and $C_a = C_{F,A}$ for the quark (gluon) soft function. Catani, Colferai, et al. 2020

$$\begin{split} S_{1+1+1}^{nnn} &= \frac{48\,\Gamma^3(1-2\epsilon)}{\epsilon^5\Gamma(1-6\epsilon)}, \\ S_{1+2}^{nnn} &= -\frac{9\,\Gamma(1-4\epsilon)\,\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)}{\epsilon^2\Gamma(1-6\epsilon)} \times \left[\frac{8}{\epsilon^3} + \frac{44}{3\epsilon^2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(\frac{268}{9} - 8\zeta_2\right) + \left(\frac{1544}{27} + \frac{88}{3}\zeta_2 - 72\zeta_3\right) \right. \\ &+ \epsilon \left(\frac{9568}{81} + \frac{536\zeta_2}{9} + \frac{352}{3}\zeta_3 - 300\zeta_4\right) + \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{55424}{243} + \frac{3520\zeta_2}{27} + \frac{2144\zeta_3}{9} + 352\zeta_4 + 96\zeta_2\zeta_3 - 1208\zeta_5\right) \\ &+ \epsilon^3 \left(\frac{297472}{729} + \frac{22592\zeta_2}{81} + \frac{14080\zeta_3}{27} + \frac{2144}{3}\zeta_4 - \frac{4576}{3}\zeta_2\zeta_3 + 3696\zeta_5 + 424\zeta_3^2 - 3596\zeta_6\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4) \left]. \end{split}$$

Note that only zeta values are involved.

Motivation	Integral reduction	Integral evaluation	Result	Outlook & Conclusion
00000	000	000	•0	00

Result

- Reconstructed from the numerical result and verified numerically with more than 2000 digits.
- Singular terms only contain zeta values.
- Regular terms contain multiple polylogarithm G with sixth root of unity exp $(ik\pi/3)$ letters.

$$\begin{split} & \frac{24}{\epsilon^5} + \frac{308}{3\epsilon^4} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(-12\pi^2 + \frac{339}{39} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(-1000\zeta_3 + \frac{440\pi^2}{9} + \frac{10048}{9} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(-\frac{2377\pi^4}{45} + \frac{440\zeta_3}{3} + \frac{7192\pi^2}{27} + \frac{253252}{81} \right) \\ & + \left(-28064\zeta_5 + \frac{1972\zeta_3\pi^2}{3} - \frac{638\pi^4}{15} + 4224\operatorname{Li}_4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + 3696\zeta_3\ln(2) - 176\pi^2\ln^2(2) + 176\ln^4(2) \right) \\ & + \frac{13208\zeta_3}{3} + \frac{78848\pi^2}{81} + 96\ln(2) + \frac{1925074}{243} \right) \\ & + \epsilon \left(2304 \zeta_{-5,-1} - 4464\zeta_5\ln(2) + 25784\zeta_3^2 - \frac{67351\pi^6}{567} - 6336G_R(0,0,r_2,1,-1) \right) \\ & - 6336G_R(0,0,1,r_2,-1) - 3168G_R(0,0,1,r_2,r_4) - 6336G_R(0,0,r_2,-1)\ln(2) + \frac{268895\zeta_5}{3} \\ & - 45056\operatorname{Li}_5\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - 45056\operatorname{Li}_4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\ln(2) + 176\operatorname{Cl}_4\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\pi - 1056\zeta_3\operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) - 3982\zeta_3\pi^2 \\ & - 21824\zeta_3\ln^2(2) + 2112\zeta_3\ln(2)\ln(3) - 1584\operatorname{Cl}_2^2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\ln(3) - \frac{4400\operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\pi^3}{27} + \frac{88\pi^4\ln(2)}{45} \\ & - \frac{616\pi^4\ln(3)}{27} + \frac{11264\pi^2\ln^3(2)}{9} - \frac{22528\ln^5(2)}{15} + 8576\operatorname{Li}_4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + 7504\zeta_3\ln(2) + \frac{4174\pi^4}{27} \\ & - \frac{1072\pi^2\ln^2(2)}{3} + \frac{1072\ln^4(2)}{3} + \frac{554032\zeta_3}{27} - 32\pi^2\ln(2) + \frac{730378\pi^2}{243} - 384\ln^2(2) + 832\ln(2) \\ & + \frac{1408681}{81} + \sqrt{3} \left(1925\left(\operatorname{Li}_3\left(\frac{\exp(\pi/3)}{2}\right)\right) + 160\operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\ln(2) - 16\pi\ln^2(2) - \frac{560\pi^3}{81}\right) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \,. \end{split}$$

Motivation	Integral reduction	Integral evaluation	Result	Outlook & Conclusion
00000	000	000	0•	00

 $S_3^{nnn} =$



Outlook

Motivation



16/17 2022-04-22 Chen-Yu Wang: Heaviside functions and S_{qqq}^{nnn} at N3LO



Conclusion

- N3LO QCD corrections are crucial to the percent level phenomenology at LHC and HL-LHC.
- We compute the same-hemisphere triple-gluon zero-jettiness soft function at N3LO.
- Custom IBP relations enables reduction for integrals containing Heaviside functions.
- Adding an auxiliary mass parameter overcomes the technical difficulty of computing master integrals.
- Thank you!

Motivation	Integral reduction	Integral evaluation	Result	Outlook & Conclusion
00000	000	000	00	00

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Analytic regulator

 Although the soft function itself is regularized dimensionally, we found that an additional regulator is required to obtain a correct result

$$\mathrm{d} \Phi_{f_1 f_2 f_3}^{nnn} \to \mathrm{d} \Phi_{f_1 f_2 f_3}^{nnn} (k_1 \cdot n)^{\nu} (k_2 \cdot n)^{\nu} (k_3 \cdot n)^{\nu}.$$

The amplitude reduces to

$$S_{ggg}^{nnn} = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}(\nu) I_{\alpha}^{\nu} + \nu \sum_{\alpha} \tilde{c}_{\alpha}(\nu) \overline{I}_{\alpha}^{\nu},$$

where two of the $\overline{I}^{\nu}_{\alpha}$ are $1/\nu$ -divergent.

- For integrals without $1/k_{123}^2$ denominator, we can proceed as before and obtain analytical results.
- For integrals with $1/k_{123}^2$ denominator, we now have two limits to take:

$$I(\epsilon) = \lim_{\nu \to 0} \lim_{m \to 0} J(\epsilon,\nu,m).$$

We find that these two limits do commute, thus we can set $\nu=0$ beforhand and solve the equation. $$_{\rm References}$$

References I





Backup

References II





Backup

20/17

References III





Backup

0

References

References IV





Backup

0

References

References V





Backup

° 23/17

TTP

References VI





Backup

0