

Accelerator Physics for Diagnostics

Helmut Wiedemann Stanford University

1



In this lecture, we

- focus on beam characterization
- beam based correction of beam dynamics

we will

- not derive beam dynamics from scratch
- but review concepts relevant to diagnostics



• specifity

- ion beams
- proton beams
- electron beams

all charged particles follow same beam dynamics
difference in magnitude of coefficients
difference in radiation behavior



- most of beam diagnostics receives the signal from a charged particle beam, the **signal source**
- we need to understand the nature of the signal
- particle beams come in many forms and shapes
- specifically, the time structure is most relevant for diagnostics



• beam current in RF-accelerators

- particles are concentrated in **bunches** separated by RF-wavelength
 - these bunches are called **microbunches** and
 - the instantaneous current is called **peak current**
 - $\hat{I} \blacksquare Q \neq Q$ @ charge, Quration per microbunch)
- a series of bunches make a beam **pulse** and
 - the current averaged over the pulse is the **pulse current**
- **average beam current** is defined for a long time e.g. per second

5

time structure defines electronics of diagnostics



- diagnostics deals with signals from bunches or beam
- diagnostics does not deal with signal from single particle (unless the whole beam is made up of only a few particles)

- we want to detect the dynamics of bunches and beam
- therefore we need to know the dynamics of bunches/beam



Many Particle Beam Dynamics



betatron functions

linear equation of motion

8

solution?

- if k = const solution would be $x \Theta \Theta a \cos(\sqrt{k}z)$
- but k = k(z)
- try "variation of integration constants":

$$x_i \mathbf{OUE} a_i \sqrt{\mathbf{OUCos} \mathbf{OUE} a_i}$$

i is the index for individual particles



insert $x_i \Theta \Theta a_i \sqrt{\Theta \Theta} \cos \Theta \Theta = e_i^+$

- into eq. of motion $x^* = k \Theta Q = 0$
- coefficients of sin- and cos-terms must be zero separately
- we get two conditions:
- (1) betatron function:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}z^2} \sqrt{200} = k00 \sqrt{200} - 200^{3/2} = 0$$

9

(2) betatron phase:

or after integration

betatron function

- two different betatron function are defined, one for horizontal and one for the vertical plane
- for a particular set of initial values of the betatron function, there is only one solution per plane for betatron function
- for circular lattices the initial value is equal to the final value at the end of one turn (periodic solution)
- the value of the betatron function is always positive
- the square root of the betatron function can be positive and negative to represent both sides of a beam



Beam Envelope $x_i \Theta \Theta a_i \sqrt{\Theta O \cos \Theta \Theta} = e_i^+$

look for particle with maximum amplitude a_i and phase $\cos \Leftrightarrow O = e^{-i} \oplus i$

The envelope of a beam along a transport line is

$$E_{x,y}$$
 GUR $\oplus \sqrt{R_{x,y}} \mathcal{Q}_{x,y}$ **GU** $R_{x,y}$ **B** const.

If this envelope should repeat itself from lattice unit to lattice unit, we may claim to have found a focusing structure that ensures beam stability in a circular accelerator.

We are therefore looking for periodic solutions of the betatron functions $\beta_{\!x,y}$



particles perform oscillatory motion, called betatron oscillations, with phase ψ



horizontal envelope (red) with 4 random trajectories (black)







with the two conditions (1) and (2), the trajectory for particle i is



with derivative



using \bigcirc \bigcirc $\frac{1}{2}$ \bigcirc \bigcirc and \checkmark \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc $\frac{1}{\bigcirc}$



$x_i \Theta \Theta a_i \sqrt{\Theta \Theta} \cos \Theta \Theta = e_i^{-1}$

we eliminate the phase $\times OU=e_1$ from these two

equations and get with
$$\bigcirc$$



the Courant-Snyder invariant

$\Theta \mathbf{Q}_i^{\diamond} = 2 \Theta \mathbf{Q}_i x_i^{\diamond} = \Theta \mathbf{Q}_i^2 \mathbf{P} a_i^2$

which is the equation of an ellipse

during betatron oscillations,

each particle *i* moves on its own ellipse with area a_i in phase space



motion in phase space





Phase Space Dynamics



many particles

we may follow single particles through arbitrary beam transport line

- follow $10^9 \approx 10^{10}$ or more particles with different initial conditions and you have a beam, showing beam envelope and dispersion !?
- that is too cumbersome!
- there must be a better way
 - and there is: observe beam in phase space
 - to describe particle beams in phase space we use 2 conjugate variables, like





diffuse particle source

phase space

x

insert tube



many particles

randomly distributed particles can be enclosed by an ellipse, the **phase ellipse**

What is the significance of the phase ellipse?

Liouville's Theorem:

Phase space density is constant

no particle can escape the phase ellipse any particle within the ellipse will stay within the ellipse any particle outside the ellipse will stay outside

particle with maximum amplitude \hat{a} defines whole beam with beam emittance

because of Liouville's theorem

for bell shaped or Gaussian distribution we define the beam emittance by

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{a}} \prod \frac{\overline{\mathcal{W}}}{\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{a}} \prod \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\overline{\mathcal{W}}}_{i}^{2} \checkmark$$

u = x or y

general definition of emittance for any distribution of particle

$$\mathcal{D} \square \sqrt{\mathbb{X}^2} \sqrt{\mathbb{X}^2} \sqrt{\mathbb{X}} \mathbb{X}^2$$

transformation of betatron functions

$$\begin{pmatrix} \textcircled{O} \\ \textcircled{O} \\ \textcircled{O} \\ \textcircled{O} \\ \textcircled{O} \\ \textcircled{O} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & \swarrow ad & b^2 \\ \square & 2 & ad & \square & bd \\ c^2 & \swarrow cd & d^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \textcircled{O} \\ \textcircled{O} \\ \textcircled{O} \\ \textcircled{O} \\ \textcircled{O} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$M \square \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$
 is transformation matrix for trajectories

betatron function in a drift space

example: drift space of length s:

$$M_{\text{drift}} \blacksquare \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow M_{\textcircled{C}} \blacksquare \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \swarrow s & s^2 \\ 0 & 1 & \And s \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

beam waist:
$$\mathcal{Q}_{W} \square 0$$

or in terms of beam sizes:

$$\mathfrak{FOP}_{W} = \mathfrak{F}_{W}^{2} \mathfrak{s}^{2} \mathfrak{$$

phase ellipse along a drift space $\Im \square \sqrt{h} \Im \square \sqrt{h} \Im \square where u \square x, y$ $h \square u \square x, y$

particle trajectories and betatron functions

general solution $x \partial \Theta = a \sqrt{\partial \Theta} \cos \langle \Theta \Theta \rangle = \sqrt{\partial \Theta} \sin \langle \Theta \Theta \rangle$ with derivative $x \partial \Theta = a \left\{ \frac{\partial \Theta \Theta}{\partial \Theta \Theta} \cos \langle \Theta \Theta \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\partial \Theta \Theta}} \sin \langle \Theta \Theta \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\partial \Theta \Theta}} \cos \langle \Theta \Theta \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\partial \Theta \Theta}} \cos \langle \Theta \Theta \rangle$

determine constants a and b:

for z=0

in matrix formulation

$$\begin{pmatrix} C\Theta & S\Theta \\ C\Theta & S\Theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ &$$

where OF OF OF OF XA

use this formalism to manipulate particle trajectories

- a kick of angle θ displaces trajectory by $x \Theta \Theta \Theta \left(\sqrt{\Theta \Theta} \sin x_1^2 \right) \phi$
- several kicks add up linearly $x \Theta \Theta \Theta \left(\sqrt{\Theta \Theta} \sin x_1^2 \right) = \left(\sqrt{\Theta \Theta} \sin x_2^2 \right) = \dots$

a kick is most effective where β is large

Dispersion

energy errors

- not all particles are ideal.....have the ideal energy
- we must consider chromatic effects
- chromatic focusing is a second order effect and can be neglected...for now
- bending, however, depends linearly on the particle energy
- for off-momentum particles the bending angle is different from the ideal value

Dispersion Function

a particle with a somewhat higher energy than the ideal energy will not get bent as much.

How do they get bent by exactly 360 degrees per turn?

The particle with higher energy travels outside the ideal orbit and catches the missing bending angle in the quadrupoles which exhibit predominantly focusing properties.

A similar focusing effect occurs for lower energy particles being bent towards the ideal orbit by quadrupoles.

trajectory for off-momentum particles is determined by **Dispersion Function**

dispersion in transport line

$DOUR \stackrel{\neq}{\times} \frac{1}{\partial O^{\Theta}} GOUO \stackrel{\neq}{\otimes} COUO \stackrel{\neq}{\otimes} Z$

transformation of off-momentum particle ($\delta = \Delta p/p_0$)

charge center of a particle beam transforms like a single particle

the periodic η -function in a circular accelerator

Note: the integration must start at z and go around the ring of length L_p to location z again!

Any particle with an energy deviation δ will perform betatron oscillations about the reference orbit

Momentum Compaction Factor

the path length along a beam line or the reference orbit is in general

$$dL \square (1 \square \delta) dz$$

or after integration $L \square L_0 \square dz$

The variation of the path length with energy is then

where α_c is the momentum compaction factor $\bigotimes_c \prod \frac{\& L/L_0}{\& p/p_0}$

Measurement of the η -function

A change Δf_{Rf} in the Rf-frequency alters the path of the particles by

Measurement of the beam position before and after change of the Rf-frequency gives the value of the η -function at the location of the position monitors.

So far, we considered perfect beam lines and accelerators: The **bad news** is: no need for diagnostics The good news is: we are not perfect and we desperately need diagnostics

Diagnostics help us to find imperfections

Dipole Field Errors in

Circular Accelerators

to get the dispersion function, we solved the differential equation

$$x'' = \frac{1}{kx} = \frac{1}{kx} \neq \frac{1}{kx}$$

which gives the trajectory for energy deviating particles. One might consider another viewpoint: the particle energy is not wrong, what's wrong are the magnetic fields! and we should be solving

$$x'' = x = \frac{1}{\delta}$$

This differential equation has the same form as the one for the dispersion function.

We look for the periodic solution, since these dipole errors define a perturbed closed orbit. The solution for the perturbed closed orbit is

$$x \partial \mathbf{D} = \frac{\sqrt{2} \partial \mathbf{U}}{2 \sin \sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{z} \sqrt{2} \frac{1}{z} \cos \frac{1}$$

Of course, we do not know, where the dipole field errors are located, but we can measure their effects on the orbit in beam position monitors (BPM) around the ring.

Orbit Distortions

- we don't know the location of the errors
- or the magnitude of these errors
- all we know are the orbit distortions at BPMs

assuming we have a set of beam position monitors (BPM) distributed along the orbit at positions j, which are used to measure the orbit distortion.

$$\overset{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}}}{=} X_{j} \stackrel{\overline{\mathcal{A}}}{=} \overset{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}}}{=} \overset{\overline{\mathcal{A}}}{=} \sqrt{\mathcal{G}} \stackrel{1}{\to} \cos \mathcal{H} \stackrel{\mathbb{C}}{=} \mathcal{C} \stackrel{\mathbb{C}}{\to} \overset{\mathbb{C}}{\to} \overset{\mathbb{C}$$

dipole errors can come from many sources, like

- dipole errors
- fields from vacuum pump magnets
- earth magnetic field
- misaligned quadrupole, sextupole
- rotation of bending magnet
- etc.

Quadrupole/multipole field: $B_y \square a_n x^n$ horizontal misalignment by $\delta x : B_y \square g \oplus \blacksquare g \oplus$

field error \mathscr{B}_{v} $\blacksquare g \mathscr{R} \rightarrow kick angle \mathscr{R}_{v} \blacksquare k \cdot \mathscr{R}$

field from a misaligned sextupole:

 $B_y \square \frac{1}{2} B_y " \bigcirc \square \frac{1}{2} B_y " x^2 \square B_y " x^$

Generation of lower order fields is called: "feed down"

Orbit Correction

To correct these errors, we install small dipole magnets as "steering magnets" along the orbit similar to the BPMs. Since we know the position of these steering magnets, we can use them to generate a known orbit distortion which just "cancels" the orbit distortion from unknown errors at the BPMs.

Mathematically, we write for the orbit distortion Δx_j generated at BPM-*j* by steering magnets-*i*

$$\overset{\mathcal{P}}{\boxtimes} x_j \quad \blacksquare \quad \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}}{2\sin \mathcal{P}} & \textcircled{i} \quad \sqrt{\mathcal{P}} & \textcircled{i} \quad \cos \mathcal{Kej} \not \simeq \mathcal{P}$$

where the θ_i are the beam deflection angles by the steerers.

To correct orbit, use steerers to produce orbit distortion:

 $\mathcal{X}_{j,\text{steerers}}$ $\mathcal{X}_{j,\text{meas}}$

for one pair of BPM and steering magnet

$$\delta x_j \quad \mathbf{R} = \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{Q}}}{2\sin \mathcal{P}} \sqrt{\mathcal{Q}} \cos \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q} \quad \mathbf{R}_{ji} \quad \mathbf{$$

the coefficients are called the Response Matrix elements and matrix made-up of these elements is called

Response Matrix

the number of rows are equal to the number of BPMs the number of columns are equal to the number of steerers

Response Matrix for a circular accelerator

horizontal
$$R_{ji,x}$$
 $\mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{2\sin\gamma k_x} \sqrt{\mathcal{Q}_{xj} \mathcal{Q}_{xi}} \cos k_x \mathcal{Q}_{xj} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_{xi} = \mathcal{Q} = \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{xj} \mathcal{Q}_{xi}}{\mathcal{Q}_{z} \mathcal{Q}_{zi}}$
vertical $R_{ji,y}$ $\mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{2\sin\gamma k_y} \sqrt{\mathcal{Q}_{yj} \mathcal{Q}_{yi}} \cos k_y \mathcal{Q}_{xj} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_{xi} = \mathcal{Q}$

Response Matrix for a beam line $R_{ji} \square \sqrt{\bigcirc} \bigcirc \cos N_j \swarrow x_i^*$

 $\mathbf{x}_{x,y}$ tune of circular acceleratorjlocation of BPMs $\mathbf{x}_{x,y}$ $\mathbf{x}_{x,y} \quad \mathbf{e}_{x,y} \quad \mathbf{e}_{x,y}$ phase of betatron oscillationsilocation of steerersalways use $\mathbf{x}_{j}^{*} \odot \mathbf{x}_{i}^{*}$ always use $\mathbf{e}_{j}^{*} \odot \mathbf{e}_{i}^{*}$ always use $\mathbf{e}_{j}^{*} \odot \mathbf{e}_{i}^{*}$

to correct orbit

create intentional orbit distortion with steerers such that this distortion just cancels the orbit distortion created by un-intentional errors

$$\overset{\otimes}{x}_{j,\text{steerers}} \overset{\otimes}{\mathbf{R}} \overset{\otimes}{\mathbf{x}}_{j,\text{meas}}$$

steerers need to be set to

this correction $\oint \square R \triangleleft \delta x_{\rm BPM}$ requires matrix inversion with SVD matrix has thousands of elements

quite demanding on diagnostics:

- read some 60 100 BPMs
- perform mathematical calculations
- set 60 100 steerers
- at very high precision

about 10 – 100 times a second at very high precision **to keep orbit within less than** 1µm

we can do more with closed orbit and Response Matrix the Response Matrix includes information on

- all dipole fields all field gradients
- whether intentional by design or unintentional from errors

dipoles and dipole errors

in a real beam line or accelerator

- we start out with a model of the beam line or accelerator
- real machine will be different
- we need to get a realistic model for computer simulations
- measure Response Matrix
- calculate model Response Matrix and compare
- try to close difference by fitting potential errors
- now you have an error loaded but realistic model
- correct errors if possible (calibration, alignment...)
- retune accelerator on computer to get desired parameters

this procedure can be performed with the program LOCO

which was developed and written by J. Safranek now also embedded in AT-MATLAB

see also:

- 1. <u>Experimental Determination of Storage Ring Optics Using Orbit</u> <u>Response Measurements</u>, J. Safranek, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A388, (1997) pg. 27.
- 2. <u>Beam Based Diagnostics</u>, Christoph Steier, James Safranek and Greg Portmann, USPAS January 2006, USPAS.fnal.gov, Course Materials
- 3. <u>MATLAB Based LOCO</u>, www.slac.stanford.edu/cgiwrap/getdoc/slac-pub-9464.pdf
- 4. <u>Accelerator Toolbox for MATLAB, A. Terebilo, SLAC-PUB-8732</u>

another more direct method to determine phase advances made possible by advances in beam diagnostics:

turn by turn BPMs

- measure beam position at each BPM over 1024 turns
- perform Fourier analysis to

determine betatron phase at every BPM

calculate value of betatron function

P. Castro, PAC1993, p2103

- the quest for a "perfect accelerator" requires
- perfect diagnostics fast and accurate
- anytime diagnostics makes a step forward
- accelerator gain

new performance characteristics

Thank you