ENAWire Chambers & Micromegas at Low Pressure for Beam Tracking

B. Fernández^a, J. Pancin^b, M. A. G. Alvarez^a, T. Chaminade^c, S. Damoy^b, J. Dochler^b, D. Doré^c, A. Drouart^c, F. Druillole^c, G. Fremont^b, M. Kebbiri^c, E. Monmarthe^c, L. Nalpas^c, T. Papaevangelou^c, M. Riallot^c, H. Savajols^b

^aCentro Nacional de Aceleradores (CNA) & Departamento de FAMN – Facultad de Física – Universidad de Sevilla (Spain)

^bGANIL (France)

^cCEA-Saclay (France)

Motivation

New facilities like FAIR at GSI or SPIRAL2 at GANIL, will provide radioactive ions beams at low energy (<10MeV/n). Such beams have generally a large emittance, which obliges the use of beam tracking detectors to reconstruct, for example, the exact impact of the nuclei on the target. Due to their thickness, classical detectors like CATs [1] would generate a lot of angular and energy straggling. One solution could be the Se D (Secondary electron Detection) [2], a thin emissive foil in beam with a low pressure gaseous detector off-beam to detect the secondary electrons ejected from this foil.



Detectors Prototypes

Since 2007, 3 prototypes of low pressure (6 mbar) gaseous detectors have been constructed with an active area of 7x7 cm²: two wire chambers (a miniSe⁻D one dimension (MS1D) and a miniSe⁻D two dimensions (MS2D)) and one micromegas [3].







2 detectors in coincidence: a silicon detector • Time signals register with a MATACQ card [4] $\sigma_{12}^2 = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2$ $\sigma_{13}^2 = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_3^2$ $\sigma_{23}^{2} = \sigma_{2}^{2} + \sigma_{3}^{2}$ A start time is defined using a constant σ., σ₂₃ iΛ. 3 time differences between the 3 detectors

Results for light fission fragments			
Detector prototype	нv	σ,	
MS1D	540V	90±30 ps	
Micromegas (V., 500V)	900V	190+35 ps	

• Beam: ⁸²Kr from CIME at 1.7 MeV/n from 10³ to 10⁶ pps/cm²

and a Se⁻D

Analysis is done off-line

fraction of the amplitude

give 3 time resolutions (σ_t)

- Emissive foil: Mylar+Al (130 µg/cm²)
- · No magnetic field to focalise the secondary electrons

• 3 detectors in coincidence: an MCP, a SeD and a collimated plastic

• Test of new electronics based on AFTER ASIC [5] to measure spatial resolution





Beam test on CIME at GANIL

Best time resolution obtained for each detector versus counting rate with and without applying beam correction



Spatial resolution results without magnetic field applied

	MS1D	MS2D(1)	µmegas
Dead layer+drift gaps(mm)	1.2+1.6+1.6	0+0.8+1.6	0+2.3
FWHM(mm) LC	3.5	3.3	1.9
FWHM(mm) HC	4.4	3.5	

Conclusions & Outlooks

 Spatial resolution: MS2D presents good results at low & high counting rates (LC and HC)

· Beam correction (bc) applied because of the beam shape for deconvolution of time resolution

• MS1D: degradation of σ, when increasing the counting rate because of the limitation of HV

• Similar time resolution (σ_t) for MS1D and MS2D at LC but better performance for MS2D at HC.

 Micromegas shows good results and behaviour at HC (no bc was possible). Its σ_t is degraded at high voltage due to the spontaneous emission from the emissive foil.

 A new micromegas at low pressure prototype with 256 µm has to be tested

 After these results, a new prototype MS2D with a bigger active area (20x15 cm²) will be constructed

 References:

 [1] S. Ottini-Hustache et al., NIM A 431(1999)476-484

 [2] A. Drouart et al., NIMA 579(2007)

 [3] J. Pancin et al., JINST4.P12012 (2009)

 [4] D. Breton et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 52 (2005)2853

 [5] P. Baron et al., IEEE transaction on 55-3(2008)18

cnowledgments: We would like to thank all the people GANIL and at IRFU (CEA-Saclay) who made possible

