

Dirk Nölle, DESY Dirk.Noelle@DESY.de







- What is a Free-Electron-Laser Facility?
 - Why?
 - How?
- What are the demands to the diagnostics?
- What is special for (SASE) machines?
 - Single bunch
 - High duty Cycle
- Small Selection of System
- Some Remarks on Feedback
- Conclusion

XFEL What and Why: Why?

What makes FEL Facilities different from conventional Light Sources

- X-ray FEL radiation (0.2 14.4 keV)
 - ultrashort pulse duration
 <100 fs (rms)
 - extreme pulse intensities
 - coherent radiation
 - average brilliance
- Spontaneous radiation (20-100 keV)
 - ultrashort pulse duration
- <100 fs (rms)

10¹²-10¹⁴ ph

x10⁹

x10⁴

high brilliance







Image Reconstruction from ultra fast Diffraction Pattern Two Cowboys under the Sun @ FLASH



First "FLASH" Diffraction Image of a living Pikoplankon

March 2007 FLASH, Hamburg, Germany

FLASH-Pulslength 10 fs Wavelength: 13.5 nm The smallest inhabitants of the Oceans , that do Photosynthesis are named PIKOPLANKTON. In Some places 80% of the biomass consists of such Species. (Discovery 1988)

RECONSTRUCTED STRUCTURE OF THE CELL



Filipe Maia, Uppsala

30

60



60

Resolution length on the detector (nm)



XFEL What means fast:



Once upon a time: The galloping horse, are all 4 feet in the air at some point of the movement.?

1878 Edward Muybridge





European Diagnostics for (SASE) FEL Today: Time resolveded spectroscopic XFEL Experiments



Investigate the dynamics of chemical reactions. How the hell does this enzyme manage to....?



Snapshots at different times after the impact → "Movie" of the Reaction Coutesy: T. Treusch



ASSOCIATION



Biology: Is it possible to investigate the structure of single proteins in natural environment?





Calculated diffraction image of a single lysozym molecule Measured diffraction image of lysozym crystal by means of synchrotron radiation

It's only a question of the number of photons !

D. Nölle, DESY DITANET School, Stockholm March 2011

J.Hajdu et al.



European XFEL

Challenge: The Coulomb-Explosion





Example Lysozym:White:Hydrogen,Grey:Carbon,Blue:Nitrigen,Red:Oxigen,Yellow:Sulfur

R. Neutze et al. Nature 406, 752 (2000)

Callenge: Take Data before the sample is destroyed Extremly short pulses and good amplitude control.

Coutesy: T. Treusch



European



Energy Exchange :

 $mc^{2} \frac{d\gamma}{dt} = -e \cdot v \cdot E$

Goal: Get energy from the electrons to laser field.

Problem: Field vector of the elm wave is perpendicular

to the velocity of the electrons.

Idea: use periodic magnetic fields to produce transverse electron velocity component, and thus coupling







XFEL How? FEL Principle (III)











XFEL How? FEL Principle (V)

- Remark
 - FEL physics is pure Maxwell's Equation
 - All depends on Fields and Energy
 - \rightarrow There are no Wavelength Restrictions by Principle
 - \rightarrow We are facing technological Limits only!





undulator

electron beam





European Diagnostics for (SASE) FEL KFEL How: Layout of an XFEL Facility





- Electron Gun: usually a Photoinjector RF Gun
- Injector LINAC
- 2 stage Bunch Compression
- Main LINAC
- Collimation and Beam Distribution
- Undulator Sections
- Photon Beamlines



XFEL SASE FEL Diagnostics: What is special?

Typical Beam Properties (I)

- Single or Few Bunches typically with large separation
 - Need to do Single Bunch Measurements
- Charge per bunch few pC up to about 1 nC
 - Standard design was 1 nC for many years, now short pulse operation requires lower and lower charge
 - Run into signal to noise problems at low charge, even if we a talking kA peak currents.
- Short Pulses 10 100 fs
 - Complicates longitudinal diagnostics
 - new methods required to verify pulse length of electron bunch and laser pulse



XFEL SASE FEL Diagnostics: What is special?

Typical Beam Properties (II)

- Extremely Small Emittance
 - Beam gets extremely small, often weird shape
 - Emittance is no equilibrium property, many effects like to waste it
 - Open Loop: Optics errors propagate through the entire machine
 - Coherent effects due to short pulses
- µm Orbit Straightness over hundreds of meters in the undulator
 - Need to guarantee overlap, "stable" power level, pointing stability
- Synchronization of fs SASE pules to external lasers:
 - Pump Probe requires arrival time with fs stability
- High demand on loss control due to sensitive undulators
 - > 10 mm gaps over hundreds of m with many million \$ undulators
 - → 10⁻⁷ Losses in the undulator; this would be a no lifetime storage ring
 - Machine Protection is essential



XFEL LINAC – Storage Ring

Open Loop

- LINACs are open loop systems, optics mismatch is not easy to detect and propagates in the machine.
- No Damping mechanisms for emittance
- Emittance and optics matching are a function of section, but it can get only worse.

Low Current

- 33 µA (XFEL) compared to 100 mA (PETRA III)
- No heating due to wakefields possible
- High Impedance monitors for sensitive measurements
- Single Pass, large bunch distance
 - Need data sets of each bunch from all monitors time stamped

Beam Loss

10⁻⁷ Losses in the undulator; this would be a no lifetime storage ring





Please Remember: Comment (from J. Hastings LCLS)



SASE FEL is not forgiving — instead of mild brightness loss, power nearly switches OFF electron beam *must* meet brightness requirements



- Need to prepare kA low emittance beams
- Preserve excellent emittance of the gun to the undulator
- Beam manipulation compression takes place in the BC
- Diagnostic sections with emittance measurements
- Longitudinal and slice diagnostics
- Precision BPM for straight orbit and optimum overlap







XFEL Some of the Systems

- BPM
- Charge monitoring
- Screens (no comment on wirescanners)
- Machine protection and loss Monitors
- Pyro detectors
- LOLA and other transverse mode structures
- Synchronisation and BAM



Eur X	ropean FEL	Butter&Bread: BPMs Example: Short Version X-XFEL BPM Spec									24		
	Spe	cified C	harge	e range Beam Lipe	e: 0.1 Fength	– 1nC edd	Single Bunch Resolution (RMS)	Train Averaged RMS Resolution	Optimum rsolution Range	Relaxed Resolution Range	x/y Crosstalk	Bunch to Bunch	Transverse Alianment
				mm	mm		μm	μm	mm	mm	%	μm	μm
	Standa	ard BPM	219	40.5	200/ 100	Button	50	10	± 3.0	± 10	1	10	200
	Cold E	BPM	102	78	170	Button/ Re- entrant	50	10	± 3.0	± 10	1	10	300
	Cavity Beam Line	BPM Transfer	12	40.5	255	Cavity	10	1	± 1.0	± 2	1	1	200
	Cavity Undula	BPM ator	117	10	100	Cavity	1	0.1	± 0.5	± 2	1	0.1	50
	IBFB		4	40.5	255	Cavity	1	0.1	± 1.0	± 2	1	0.1	200





- Safe Design: One BPM at each quad (max. flexibility for optics)
- In LINAC relaxed requirements concerning resolution, except
 - Compression Chicanes
 - Undulator Entrance
 - Working Horses: (cheap) Button or (better) Stripline BPM
- Precision BPM: Cavity Types (Undulator, Around Chicanes)



XFEL ... Cream: High Precision BPMs

Frequency Domain



Cavity BPM are High Impedance BPMs with excellent Performance Potential to go to sub µm Resolution Coutesy: D. Lipka

26

XFEL ... Cream: Cavity BPM Signals



Connectors for dipole resonator









XFEL ... Cream: Cavity BPMs for SASE Machines



Undulator intersection @ LCLS



Low Q Cavity BPM @ SCSS



Cavity BPM @ LCLS



E-XFEL Cavity BPM Test @ FLASH



HELMHOLTZ

XFEL Cream: Cavity BPM Electronics; Front End Part





Schematic of a single Channel incl. Reference Clock

- Signal conditioning and amplification
- Range Adjustment
- IQ Detection



D. Nölle, DESY DITANET School, Stockholm March 2011





- Beam has to travel on a straight line
- Both outer BPMs predict beam position at BPM2, difference result in residual



Coutesy: D. Lipka



31



- Poor transmission is easy to produce in LINACs and will be awarded with high activation levels.
- -> Measure Single Bunch Charge along the Machine
- Typical position Start and End of a functional section of the machine, e.g.:
 - Start and End of a Bunch Compressor
 - Start and end of a Collimation section
 - Before and after the Undulator
 - After the Gun and Before the Dump
 - Comparison of Toroids allows tracking the Transmission





Charge and Transmission Monitors

- XFEL: DESY Style Toroid:
 - about 40 devices required
 - charge range 0.02 ...1 nC
 - min. bunch spacing 222 ns
 - arbitrary bunch pattern



Ceramic Gap



Beampipe with shielded Gap



33



Dark Current is present in each RF bucket Example 1.3 GHz, 1 µs Bunch Spacing -> 1300 RF bucket/bunch



Beam Loss monitor signals from FLASH: The beam is the spike, the envelope is dark current

- Hot topic for super-conducting machines (long RF pulses)
- Source: Field emission in high gradient RF structures
- Dark Current from the gun might be transported through the entire machine
- Dark Current from accelerating structures is normally dumped in the vicinity of the source (X-rays and activation).



XFEL Dark Current Monitor



Dark current frequency is equal to RF frequency

Expected voltage at DCM for I=1 mA



Use resonant pile up in cavity for reasonable signals

Transient oscillation finished after 150 ns

Dark Current Monitor Prototype for XFEL

INTER O



Measurement with first Prototype at PITZ, DESY Zeuthen



35

XFEL Dark current vs. Faraday Cup



Dark current from DaMon vs. Faraday Cup measurement



- Clear corelation between FC and DC
- Loss of DC particles in the line comparable with simulation
- Observation limit of DaMon system about 40 nA (measured at FLASH)



XFEL E-XFEL Design





by the way



Design: Wiebke Kleen ... this monitor can also measure the normal charge and this with sub-ps resolution might be the future standard charge monitor for few ps FEL operation









European

Requirement: Record On-Axis and Off-Axis (kicked/streaked) Profiles



C. Gerth





Resolution 10 µm

Light Out under 45°

Idea: Use "Scheimpflugs" Principle from large format photography



Get a sharp image of an entire plane, if focus, image, and object plane cut in a single line





D. Nölle, DES bicture by Linhof DITANET School, Stockholm March 2011



XFEL Problems: Coherent Transition Radiation

13SMATCH/FLASHIMG10									
Info: Online TCP: disconnected Camera: 20306625									
EYTE array length = 2785280, X dim. = 2720, Y dim. = 1024, X off. = 49105002, Y off. = 1285731404,									
Help Images: Stop Shutdown WIMG DAQ: DAQ SND: ON Inmer									
Exposure + 10									
Gain $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ \phi \\ \phi \\ \phi \end{bmatrix}$									
Expos.mode + 0									
W.Bal.mode –									
+ 1 + 1360 last									
$\frac{Y}{\text{first}}$ bin $\frac{+1}{\sqrt{2}}$									
Trigger <mark>Triggered</mark>									
offautomid bits_low bits_high bits_log12 log16Rate [Hz] 10.1									
BG Substraction V X & Y Spectrum Histogram Region of Interest Toolbox									
Camera ID:106999 Model: GC1380H HoldParam OFF TwagePoints									
Location: Device OK Bandwidth: + 115000000 FrameRate + 0.00 1392640									
Toput video format: Monol6									
Output video mode: 1360x1024 16bpp Col Gray8 Gray16 Server									
Bits per Pixel:12 Width:1360 Height:1024 Frame:171391Lost: 41 <mark>OK</mark>									

COTR on a Screen before FLASH Undulator

Up to a year or so:

OTR was The solution for screen stations!

But Machines like LCLS and FLASH with strong, linearized bunch compression bring the coherent spectrum of the beam close to the visible spectrum.

If the spectrum leaks into the visible OTR images get useless. ($I_{Hotspots} \sim N^2$)





Stanford Linear Accelerator Center

Integrated Optical signal at OTR12 vs Charge



Observation of COTR in the LCLS

European Way out: Go back to Szinitlating Screens



- Simulations show:
 - 45° Geometry superior to standard 90°
 - Strange tilt of the crystal
 - Mirrors away COTR
 - Provides better resolution
 - Nevertheless broadening due to volume effects cannot be avoided
 - Material candidates: LaYAP, BGO
 - Scheimpflug scheme mandatory
- Other COTR workaround:
 - Szintilator + gated camera
- Beam tests at FLASH and MAMI this spring



HELMHOLTZ ASSOCIATIO





Machine Protection Systems & Loss Monitors

Superconducting LINACs vs. Ring Based e^{-/+} Machines

	Lightsource	HERA	FLASH/TTF2	XFEL	
Energy	2 GeV	27 GeV	1.0 GeV	20 GeV	
Length/ Circumference	200 m	6300 m	250 m	3300 m	
<i></i>	200 mA	50 mA	0.00072 mA	0.00033 mA	
Charge/Fill/Bunch Train	0.130 <i>µ</i> C	1 µC	7,2 <i>µ</i> С	3.3 µC	
Beam Power P = <i> E</i>	0.4 GW	1,3 GW	7.2 10 ⁻⁵ GW	6.6 10 ⁻⁴ GW	
Dumped Energy /Fill /Bunch Train	260 J	27 kJ	7.2 kJ @ 10 Hz	66 kJ @ 10 Hz	

Superconducting-LINAC have zero current but transport energy!

Losses for sensitive components need to be small:

->Detection of beam loss down to 10⁻⁶ level! ?





- Example E-XFEL -> Longitudinal scale 3 km
- Loss of Single Bunches -> No Means
- Losses within the Bunch Train -> Reaction dominated by Signal Travel
 - About 55 bunches are in the machine
 - Interlock signals have to travel to the gun to stop the beam
 - → By stopping the laser
 - About 80 more bunches leave the gun during this time
 - -> Components (hopefully collimators) are hit by up to 130 bunches (2.75 kJ/135µs)
 - -> Or: Need tools to stop beam not only at the gun

-> Emergency Dump in the Switchyard (600 m to Dump + 1µs for Kicker)

(up to 30 Bunches, $< 1 \text{ kJ}/10 \mu \text{s}$)

Need passive systems (collimators) in addition!

EuropeanMPS: Electronics BackboneXFELA Distributed Intelligent "Or" Gate





(schematic star topology) 3 km, about 100 MPS AMC slave boards



Coutesy: S. Karstensen





XFEL MPS: Sensors and Actors

- XFEL System based on the FLASH Experience
- Sensors connecting to the MPS
 - Beam Loss Monitors
 - Transmission Monitors
 - RF signals, like coupler interlocks, Spark Detectors …
 - Status Signals
 - → Valves, Screens, RF Stations, Wire Scanners, Magnets
 - Mode Information
 - → Magnets, Valves
- Actors connected to the MPS
 - Photo-Injector Laser
 - Gun
 - RF Stations
 - Distribution and Abort Kickers









European

Longitudinal Methods Bunchlength and Compression



Requirement: Resolve Structures of 100 fs and less

- Qualitative: Optimization of the Compression
 - Emission \approx n^2 for $\sigma_{\text{s}} \leq ~\lambda$
 - Phase Tuning by maximizing coherent FIR Emission
 - Use of simple Pyro-Detectors in the FIR
 - Useful for Tuning / Feedback (on RF-Phase)

- Quantitative: Measurement of Bunch Length
 - Use coherent FIR Radiation and Autocorrelation Methods.
 - Transverse Mode Cavity (integrated Streak Camera)
 - Electro-Optical-Sampling
 - Optical Replica
 - All are more complicated -> no online Tools (currently)





0.

0

-7

SASE power

- 6

- 5.5

Coutesy: B. Schmidt

phase ACC1 (deg)

- 5

- 4.5

ELMHOLTZ

ASSOCIATION

- 6.5

- wavelength specific intensity (bands)
- reveals 'long. features' of the bunch
- complex, still experimental



XFEL Transverse Mode Structures: LOLA



- Almost 50 year old idea
- Installed in 2003, Collaboration DESY-SLAC
- Travelling-wave, constant impedance, Frequency: 2.86 GHz
- Length: 3.6 m
- Maximum deflecting voltage ~ 25 MV @ 20 MW input power
- Similar systems work at LCLS





D. Nölle, DESY DITANET School, Stockholm March 2011

Coutesy: C. Gerth



European... very special: Laser based Stuff, eg.XFELSynchronisation and Arrival Time





52



DITANET School, Stockholm March 2011

Coutesy: H. Schlarb

ASSOCIATION



Synchronization using a pulsed Laser



Synchronization: Desired accuracy ~ 10 fs! 2) Synchronization using fiber lasers



Coutesy: H. Schlarb



XFEL Overview for FLASH





- 2 master Laser Oscillator (RF locked to MO)
- Free space distribution system to 16 ports
- Optical Links: 6 stabilized using OXC & 1 passive
- Front-ends
 - 4 Bunch arrival time monitors (BAM)
 - OXC for INJ / TiSA lasers
 - RF locked for TiSA (HHG)

Courtesy: M. Bock



EuropeanXFELBeam Arrival Monitor





Coutesy: H. Schlarb





XFEL Some Words on Feedbacks

- Today Diagnostics goes digital
- Fast digital links are standard technology
- Therefore, almost all diagnostics devices can be used as input for fast feedbacks (if a fast link is foreseen)
- Examples from XFEL/FLASH
 - Fast Intra Train Feedbacks
 - Orbit Straightness over the pulse
 - Beam Based Feedbacks on
 - → Energy
 - Arrival Time



Intra-Bunchtrain Feedback (PSI Contribution)







Diagnostics for (SASE) FEL

PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT

European XFEI

Low-Latency BPM & Signal Processing Electronics

High-BW Stripline Kicker Magnets & Power Amps



Diagnostics for (SASE) FEL Beam based feedback: XFEL Energy/Phase/Arrival time feedback



Beam Based Feedbacks:

- BAM before BC2 corrects phase in RF-Gun
- BAM and BCM after BC2 simultaneously correct amplitude and phase in ACC1 and 3rd harmonic
- BAM and BCM after BC3 correct amplitude and phase in ACC23

Results from BBF running at BC2



59





XFEL Conclusions



We had

- What is a Free-Electron-Laser Facility?
- What are the demands to the diagnostics?
- What is special for (SASE) machines?
 - Single bunch
 - High duty Cycle
- Small Selection of Systems
- A little bit of Feedback

Thank you very much for your attention







... and I would like to thank all the colleagues for providing material and their help for the preparation of the talk, specially (arbitrary order):

- W. Decking
- C. Simon
- B. Keil
- C. Gerth
- H. Schlarb
- M. Siemens
- S. Vilcins
- C. Wiebers
- M. Werner
- I. Krouptchenkov
- A. Kaukher
- H. Loos
- J. Hajdu
- H. Chapman

- H. Tiessen
- R. Brinkmann
- P. Vetrov
- P. Göttlicher
- V. Krivan
- K. Rehlich
- A. Brenger
- R. Neumann
- N. Wentowski
- D. Lipka
- M. Staack
- S. Wesch
- B. Faatz
- R. Bakker

- V. Gharybian
- H.C. Schröder
- G. Kube
- S. Karstensen
- K. Wittenburg
- C. Behrens
- M. Bock
- T. Shintake
- M. Yan
- J. Frisch
- B. Schmitt
- R. Treusch

