

Exercise: materials

Exercise objectives


- Declaring & assigning materials
- Defining compound materials
- Setting simple beam parameters
- Running, plotting and interpreting results



Making gruyère (as a COMPOUND material in FLUKA)

- Swiss gruyère cheese, 100 g (simplified composition):
 - 34.5 g water

 - 28.5 g proteins: C₃H₇NO₂
 33.4 g lipids: CH₃(CH₂)₁₄COOH
 - 1 g Ca
 - 600 mg P
 - 360 mg Na
 - 74 mg K
 - 40 mg Mg
- Density $\rho = 915.35 \text{ kg/m}^3$
- Note: Authentic Swiss gruyère does not have holes; it can therefore be considered a homogeneous medium... ©






Geometry, beam parameters and scoring

- Start from the provided input
- Define GRUYERE as a compound material
 - Hint: first define proteins and lipids as compound materials, then use them to define the gruyère
- A non-divergent 200 MeV proton beam along the z-axis starting from (0,0,-5) is already defined
 - You can confirm this by looking at the BEAM and BEAMPOS cards
- A scoring card (USRBIN) is already included:
 - Proton fluence in an X-Y-Z 3D-mesh encompassing the target



Run

- Assign the new material GRUYERE to region TARGET
- Add a new run ("cheese") and run 5 cycles with 10⁴ primaries each
 - Note: check to see that the number of primaries per cycle is set in the START card




- Then, assign material IRON to region TARGET, add a new run ("iron") and run again
- Did you notice a difference in the CPU time per primary for the two cases?




Process the results

 After running, process the results (in the Run tab, go to Data, select both runs and click Process)



Plot the results

 After processing the results, go to the Plot tab and plot each result in turn by selecting the corresponding scoring (shown in the lower left) and clicking Plot



• Note: if you did not name the runs "iron" and "cheese", then you will need to change the Binning Detector file in order to produce the plots

Interpreting the results and getting additional information

 Observe the plotted results for the two cases. Which material would be a better beam dump? Can you explain the difference in CPU time between the two cases?

