



SPRACE

The High-Level Trigger for the CMS Phase-2 Upgrade


THIAGO R. F. P. TOMEI



RENAFAE WORKSHOP 2022

SPRACE-Unesp

The Triple Challenge of the HLT



Efficiency curve of the single electron TDR trigger

Efficiency

- Select the events of interest
- Generalist vs. specialized triggers


Rate

- Discard uninteresting events
- Output rate / bandwidth envelope

Timing

- Quasi-real time analysis
- Dependent on HLT farm size

The Triple Challenge of the HLT



HLT rate of the
missing p_T TDR trigger

Efficiency

- Select the events of interest
- Generalist vs. specialized triggers

Rate

- Discard uninteresting events
- Output rate / bandwidth envelope

Timing

- Quasi-real time analysis
- Dependent on HLT farm size



HL-LHC DAQ-HLT Parameters

CMS detector Peak \langle PU \rangle	LHC	HL-LHC	
	Phase-1	Phase-2	Phase-2
	60	140	200
L1 accept rate (maximum)	100 kHz	500 kHz	750 kHz
Event Size at HLT input	2.0 MB ^a	6.1 MB	8.4 MB
Event Network throughput	1.6 Tb/s	24 Tb/s	51 Tb/s
Event Network buffer (60 s)	12 TB	182 TB	379 TB
HLT accept rate	1 kHz	5 kHz	7.5 kHz
HLT computing power ^b	0.7 MHS06	17 MHS06	37 MHS06
Event Size at HLT output ^c	1.4 MB	4.3 MB	5.9 MB
Storage throughput ^d	2 GB/s	24 GB/s	51 GB/s
Storage throughput (Heavy-Ion)	12 GB/s	51 GB/s	51 GB/s
Storage capacity needed (1 day ^e)	0.2 PB	1.6 PB	3.3 PB

HL-LHC DAQ-HLT Parameters

CMS detector Peak \langle PU \rangle	LHC	HL-LHC	
	Phase-1	140	Phase-2 200
L1 accept rate (maximum)	100 kHz	500 kHz	750 kHz
Event Size at HLT input	2.0 MB ^a	6.1 MB	8.4 MB
Event Network throughput	1.6 Tb/s	24 Tb/s	51 Tb/s
Event Network buffer (60 s)	12 TB	182 TB	379 TB
HLT accept rate	1 kHz	5 kHz	7.5 kHz
HLT computing power ^b	0.7 MHS06	17 MHS06	37 MHS06
Event Size at HLT output ^c	1.4 MB	4.3 MB	5.9 MB
Storage throughput ^d	2 GB/s	24 GB/s	51 GB/s
Storage throughput (Heavy-Ion)	12 GB/s	51 GB/s	51 GB/s
Storage capacity needed (1 day ^e)	0.2 PB	1.6 PB	3.3 PB

Online Reconstruction



Tracking, HGCAL

- Iterative, high-granularity detectors
- Tuned for online constraints

Electrons and photons

- (ECAL / HGCAL)-seeded objects
- Extensive ID to reduce backgrounds

Muons

- Seeded from L1TkMuon objects



Jets, missing p_T

- Extensive pileup mitigations

Tau leptons, b-tagged jets

- Machine learning techniques for ID

Online Reconstruction



Tracking, HGCAL

- Iterative, high-granularity detectors
- Tuned for online constraints

Electrons and photons

- (ECAL / HGCAL)-seeded objects
- Extensive ID to reduce backgrounds

Muons

- Seeded from L1TkMuon objects



Jets, missing p_T

- Extensive pileup mitigations

Tau leptons, b-tagged jets

- Machine learning techniques for ID

Online Reconstruction



Tracking, HGCAL

- Iterative, high-granularity detectors
- Tuned for online constraints

Electrons and photons

- (ECAL / HGCAL)-seeded objects
- Extensive ID to reduce backgrounds

Muons

- Seeded from L1TkMuon objects



Jets, missing p_T

- Extensive pileup mitigations

Tau leptons, b-tagged jets

- Machine learning techniques for ID

Online Reconstruction



Tracking, HGCAL

- Iterative, high-granularity detectors
- Tuned for online constraints

Electrons and photons

- (ECAL / HGCAL)-seeded objects
- Extensive ID to reduce backgrounds

Muons

- Seeded from L1TkMuon objects



Jets, missing p_T

- Extensive pileup mitigations

Tau leptons, b-tagged jets

- Machine learning techniques for ID

Online Reconstruction



Tracking, HGCAL

- Iterative, high-granularity detectors
- Tuned for online constraints

Electrons and photons

- (ECAL / HGCAL)-seeded objects
- Extensive ID to reduce backgrounds

Muons

- Seeded from L1TkMuon objects

Jets, missing p_T

- Extensive pileup mitigations

Tau leptons, b-tagged jets

- Machine learning techniques for ID

The Phase-2 Simplified Menu

2018 full menu


- ~600 paths, most low rate
- Few heavy hitters: single e, μ

Target 50% of the Phase-2 rate

- ~15 single-object based paths
- Same structure of Phase-1 menu

Extrapolation from simplified to full menu

- Same distribution structure
- Correction factor: +50%



The Phase-2 Simplified Menu

2018 full menu

- ❑ ~600 paths, most low rate
- ❑ Few heavy hitters: single e, μ

Target 50% of the Phase-2 rate

- ❑ ~15 single-object based paths
- ❑ Same structure of Phase-1 menu

Extrapolation from simplified to full menu

- ❑ Same distribution structure
- ❑ Correction factor: +50%

Phase-1 path	2018 threshold [GeV]	% of 2018 HLT rate
Single muon	50	3%
Single muon (isolated)	24	14%
Double muons	37, 27	1%
Double muons (isolated)	17, 8	2%
Single electron (isolated)	28	13%
Double electrons	25, 25	1%
Single photon	200	1%
Single photon (isolated)	110, EB only	1%
Double photons	30, 18	2%
Single tau	180	1%
Double taus	35, 35	3%
Single jet	500	1%
Single jet w/substructure	400	2%
Multijets with b-tagging	jets = 75, 60, 45, 40 $H_T = 330$	1%
Total transverse momentum	1 050	1%
Missing transverse momentum	120	3%
total		50%

Tab 11.2

The Phase-2 Simplified Menu

2018 full menu

- ~600 paths, most low rate
- Few heavy hitters: single e, μ

Target 50% of the Phase-2 rate

- ~15 single-object based paths
- Same structure of Phase-1 menu

Extrapolation from simplified to full menu

- Same distribution structure
- Correction factor: +50%




Fig 11.3

Simplified Menu: Rates

Process	Cross section [μb]
QCD multijets in \hat{p}_T bins	
15–20	9.233×10^2
20–30	4.360×10^2
30–50	1.184×10^2
50–80	1.765×10^1
80–120	2.671×10^0
120–170	4.697×10^{-1}
170–300	1.217×10^{-1}
300–470	8.251×10^{-3}
470–600	6.864×10^{-4}
600– ∞	2.448×10^{-4}
W + jets	
Drell–Yan, $10 \text{ GeV} < m_{\ell\ell} < 50 \text{ GeV}$	5.699×10^4
Drell–Yan, $50 \text{ GeV} < m_{\ell\ell}$	1.688×10^{-2}
	5.795×10^{-3}

Tab 10.1

Simulated MC samples

- ❑ Minimum-bias (MB) sample: SoftQCD Pythia
 - Used for pileup events
 - Stand-in for lowest p_{th} QCD bin
- ❑ Multijet QCD
 - Disjoint p_{th} bins
 - Regular + lepton-enriched varieties
- ❑ W, Drell-Yan samples

Rate calculation

- ❑ Efficiency over each sample
- ❑ Function of p_T or ID threshold
- ❑ Individual for each path

Stitching

- ❑ Correct “pileup events harder than main interaction”

Simplified Menu: Rates




Fig 10.86

$$\hat{R} = \sum_{i=\text{samples}} \sigma_i \times \epsilon_{\text{HLT}} \times \mathcal{L}$$

Simulated MC samples

- Minimum-bias (MB) sample: SoftQCD Pythia
 - Used for pileup events
 - Stand-in for lowest p_{th} QCD bin
- Multijet QCD
 - Disjoint p_{th} bins
 - Regular + lepton-enriched varieties
- W, Drell-Yan samples


Rate calculation

- Efficiency over each sample
- Function of p_{T} or ID threshold
- Individual for each path

Stitching

- Correct “pileup events harder than main interaction”

Simplified Menu: Rates



Simulated MC samples

- Minimum-bias (MB) sample: SoftQCD Pythia
 - Used for pileup events
 - Stand-in for lowest $p_{T, \text{had}}$ QCD bin
- Multijet QCD
 - Disjoint $p_{T, \text{had}}$ bins
 - Regular + lepton-enriched varieties
- W, Drell-Yan samples

Rate calculation

- Efficiency over each sample
- Function of p_T or ID threshold
- Individual for each path

Stitching

- Correct “pileup events harder than main interaction”

Simplified Menu: Thresholds

Electron, muon, photon

Very close to Phase-1

Trigger type	Phase-1		L1 seed	Phase-2		
	Threshold [GeV]	% rate		Threshold [GeV]	Rate at $\langle \text{PU} \rangle = 140$ [Hz]	Rate at $\langle \text{PU} \rangle = 200$ [Hz]
Single μ	50	3%	TkMu_22	50	155 ± 6	213 ± 8
Single μ (isol.)	24	14%	TkMu_22	24	943 ± 32	1111 ± 29
Double μ	37, 27	1%	TkMu_15_7	37, 27	27 ± 1	40 ± 1
Double μ (isol.)	17, 8	2%	TkMu_15_7	17, 8	113 ± 11	143 ± 13
Triple μ	5, 3, 3	0.5%	TkMu_5_3_3	10, 5, 5	39 ± 8	48 ± 8
			StaEG_51 OR			
Single e (isol.)	28	13%	TkEle_36 OR	32 (WP1)	609 ± 27	1005 ± 33
			TkIsoEle_28	26 (WP2)	664 ± 47	1012 ± 33
Double e	25, 25	1%	TkEle_25_12 OR	25, 25	46 ± 4	82 ± 6
			StaEG_37_24			
Double e (isol.)	23, 12	1%	TkEle_25_12 OR	23, 12	52 ± 5	104 ± 9
			StaEG_37_24 OR			
			TkIsoEle_22_StaEG_12			
Single γ	200	1%	StaEG_51	187	32 ± 1	56 ± 6
Single γ (isol.)	110, EB only	1%	StaEG_51 OR	108, EB only	35 ± 9	52 ± 7
			TkIsoPho_36			
Double γ	30, 18	2%	StaEG_37_24 OR	30, 23	123 ± 12	179 ± 14
			TkIsoPho_22_12			
Double τ	35, 35	3%	HPSFFTau_21_21	22, 22	$106 \pm 18^\dagger$	159 ± 27
Single jet	500	1%	PuppiJet_230	520	53 ± 1	76 ± 1
H_T	1050	1%	PuppiHT_450	1070	53 ± 1	74 ± 1
Missing p_T	120	3%	PuppiMET_220	140	79 ± 7	228 ± 20
Multijets	$H_T = 330$	1%	PuppiJet_70_55_	$H_T = 330$	32 ± 4	48 ± 5
with b-tagging	jets = 75, 60, 45, 40		40_40_PuppiHT_328	jets = 75, 60, 45, 40		
Total rate		49%			2525 ± 57	3621 ± 62

Simplified Menu: Thresholds

Electron, muon, photon

- ☐ Very close to Phase-1

Hadronic paths

- ☐ Jet, H_T , missing p_T :
only small increases

Trigger type	Phase-1		L1 seed	Phase-2		
	Threshold [GeV]	% rate		Threshold [GeV]	Rate at $\langle \text{PU} \rangle = 140$ [Hz]	Rate at $\langle \text{PU} \rangle = 200$ [Hz]
Single μ	50	3%	TkMu_22	50	155 ± 6	213 ± 8
Single μ (isol.)	24	14%	TkMu_22	24	943 ± 32	1111 ± 29
Double μ	37, 27	1%	TkMu_15_7	37, 27	27 ± 1	40 ± 1
Double μ (isol.)	17, 8	2%	TkMu_15_7	17, 8	113 ± 11	143 ± 13
Triple μ	5, 3, 3	0.5%	TkMu_5_3_3	10, 5, 5	39 ± 8	48 ± 8
Single e (isol.)	28	13%	StaEG_51 OR TkEle_36 OR TkIsoEle_28	32 (WP1) 26 (WP2)	609 ± 27 664 ± 47	1005 ± 33 1012 ± 33
Double e	25, 25	1%	TkEle_25_12 OR StaEG_37_24	25, 25	46 ± 4	82 ± 6
Double e (isol.)	23, 12	1%	TkEle_25_12 OR StaEG_37_24 OR TKIsoEle_22_StaEG_12	23, 12	52 ± 5	104 ± 9
Single γ	200	1%	StaEG_51	187	32 ± 1	56 ± 6
Single γ (isol.)	110, EB only	1%	StaEG_51 OR TkIsoPho_36	108, EB only	35 ± 9	52 ± 7
Double γ	30, 18	2%	StaEG_37_24 OR TkIsoPho_22_12	30, 23	123 ± 12	179 ± 14
Double τ	35, 35	3%	HPSPF Tau_21_21	22, 22	$106 \pm 18^\dagger$	159 ± 27
Single jet	500	1%	PuppiJet_230	520	53 ± 1	76 ± 1
H_T	1050	1%	PuppiHT_450	1070	53 ± 1	74 ± 1
Missing p_T	120	3%	PuppiMET_220	140	79 ± 7	228 ± 20
Multijets with b-tagging	$H_T = 330$ jets = 75, 60, 45, 40	1%	PuppiJet_70_55_ 40_40_PuppiHT_328	$H_T = 330$ jets = 75, 60, 45, 40	32 ± 4	48 ± 5
Total rate		49%			2525 ± 57	3621 ± 62

Simplified Menu: Thresholds

Electron, muon, photon

- Very close to Phase-1


Hadronic paths

- Jet, H_T , missing p_T :

only small increases

- Tamed $(PU)^2$ growth

HLT_PFMET140_PFMHT140_IDTight



Trigger type	Phase-1		L1 seed	Phase-2		
	Threshold [GeV]	% rate		Threshold [GeV]	Rate at $\langle PU \rangle = 140$ [Hz]	Rate at $\langle PU \rangle = 200$ [Hz]
Single μ	50	3%	TkMu_22	50	155 ± 6	213 ± 8
Single μ (isol.)	24	14%	TkMu_22	24	943 ± 32	1111 ± 29
Double μ	37, 27	1%	TkMu_15_7	37, 27	27 ± 1	40 ± 1
Double μ (isol.)	17, 8	2%	TkMu_15_7	17, 8	113 ± 11	143 ± 13
Triple μ	5, 3, 3	0.5%	TkMu_5_3_3	10, 5, 5	39 ± 8	48 ± 8
Single e (isol.)	28	13%	StaEG_51 OR TkEle_36 OR TkIsoEle_28	32 (WP1) 26 (WP2)	609 ± 27 664 ± 47	1005 ± 33 1012 ± 33
Double e	25, 25	1%	TkEle_25_12 OR StaEG_37_24	25, 25	46 ± 4	82 ± 6
Double e (isol.)	23, 12	1%	TkEle_25_12 OR StaEG_37_24 OR TkIsoEle_22_StaEG_12	23, 12	52 ± 5	104 ± 9
Single γ	200	1%	StaEG_51	187	32 ± 1	56 ± 6
Single γ (isol.)	110, EB only	1%	StaEG_51 OR TkIsoPho_36	108, EB only	35 ± 9	52 ± 7
Double γ	30, 18	2%	StaEG_37_24 OR TkIsoPho_22_12	30, 23	123 ± 12	179 ± 14
Double τ	35, 35	3%	HSPFTau_21_21	22, 22	$106 \pm 18^\dagger$	159 ± 27
Single jet	500	1%	PuppiJet_230	520	53 ± 1	76 ± 1
H_T	1050	1%	PuppiHT_450	1070	53 ± 1	74 ± 1
Missing p_T	120	3%	PuppiMET_220	140	79 ± 7	228 ± 20
Multijets with b-tagging	$H_T = 330$ jets = 75, 60, 45, 40	1%	PuppiJet_70_55_ 40_40_PuppiHT_328	$H_T = 330$ jets = 75, 60, 45, 40	32 ± 4	48 ± 5
Total rate		49%			2525 ± 57	3621 ± 62

Simplified Menu: Thresholds

Electron, muon, photon

- ☐ Very close to Phase-1

Hadronic paths

- ☐ Jet, H_T , missing p_T :
only small increases
- ☐ Multijet with b-tagging:
same as Phase-1

Trigger type	Phase-1		L1 seed	Phase-2		
	Threshold [GeV]	% rate		Threshold [GeV]	Rate at $\langle \text{PU} \rangle = 140$ [Hz]	Rate at $\langle \text{PU} \rangle = 200$ [Hz]
Single μ	50	3%	TkMu_22	50	155 ± 6	213 ± 8
Single μ (isol.)	24	14%	TkMu_22	24	943 ± 32	1111 ± 29
Double μ	37, 27	1%	TkMu_15_7	37, 27	27 ± 1	40 ± 1
Double μ (isol.)	17, 8	2%	TkMu_15_7	17, 8	113 ± 11	143 ± 13
Triple μ	5, 3, 3	0.5%	TkMu_5_3_3	10, 5, 5	39 ± 8	48 ± 8
Single e (isol.)	28	13%	StaEG_51 OR TkEle_36 OR TkIsoEle_28	32 (WP1) 26 (WP2)	609 ± 27 664 ± 47	1005 ± 33 1012 ± 33
Double e	25, 25	1%	TkEle_25_12 OR StaEG_37_24	25, 25	46 ± 4	82 ± 6
Double e (isol.)	23, 12	1%	TkEle_25_12 OR StaEG_37_24 OR TkIsoEle_22_StaEG_12	23, 12	52 ± 5	104 ± 9
Single γ	200	1%	StaEG_51	187	32 ± 1	56 ± 6
Single γ (isol.)	110, EB only	1%	StaEG_51 OR TkIsoPho_36	108, EB only	35 ± 9	52 ± 7
Double γ	30, 18	2%	StaEG_37_24 OR TkIsoPho_22_12	30, 23	123 ± 12	179 ± 14
Double τ	35, 35	3%	HPSFFTau_21_21	22, 22	$106 \pm 18^\dagger$	159 ± 27
Single jet	500	1%	PuppiJet_230	520	53 ± 1	76 ± 1
H_T	1050	1%	PuppiHT_450	1070	53 ± 1	74 ± 1
Missing p_T	120	3%	PuppiMET_220	140	79 ± 7	228 ± 20
Multijets with b-tagging	$H_T = 330$ jets = 75, 60, 45, 40	1%	PuppiJet_70_55_ 40_40_PuppiHT_328	$H_T = 330$ jets = 75, 60, 45, 40	32 ± 4	48 ± 5
Total rate		49%			2525 ± 57	3621 ± 62

Simplified Menu: Thresholds

Electron, muon, photon

- Very close to Phase-1

Hadronic paths

- Jet, H_T , missing p_T :

only small increases

- Multijet with b-tagging:

same as Phase-1

- Double tau:

smaller p_T thresholds

- Follow decrease from PFlow at Level-1

Trigger type	Phase-1		L1 seed	Phase-2		
	Threshold [GeV]	% rate		Threshold [GeV]	Rate at $\langle \text{PU} \rangle = 140$ [Hz]	Rate at $\langle \text{PU} \rangle = 200$ [Hz]
Single μ	50	3%	TkMu_22	50	155 ± 6	213 ± 8
Single μ (isol.)	24	14%	TkMu_22	24	943 ± 32	1111 ± 29
Double μ	37, 27	1%	TkMu_15_7	37, 27	27 ± 1	40 ± 1
Double μ (isol.)	17, 8	2%	TkMu_15_7	17, 8	113 ± 11	143 ± 13
Triple μ	5, 3, 3	0.5%	TkMu_5_3_3	10, 5, 5	39 ± 8	48 ± 8
			StaEG_51 OR			
Single e (isol.)	28	13%	TkEle_36 OR	32 (WP1)	609 ± 27	1005 ± 33
			TkIsoEle_28	26 (WP2)	664 ± 47	1012 ± 33
Double e	25, 25	1%	TkEle_25_12 OR	25, 25	46 ± 4	82 ± 6
			StaEG_37_24			
Double e (isol.)	23, 12	1%	TkEle_25_12 OR	23, 12	52 ± 5	104 ± 9
			StaEG_37_24 OR			
			TkIsoEle_22_StaEG_12			
Single γ	200	1%	StaEG_51	187	32 ± 1	56 ± 6
Single γ (isol.)	110, EB only	1%	StaEG_51 OR	108, EB only	35 ± 9	52 ± 7
			TkIsoPho_36			
Double γ	30, 18	2%	StaEG_37_24 OR	30, 23	123 ± 12	179 ± 14
			TkIsoPho_22_12			
Double τ	35, 35	3%	HSPFTau_21_21	22, 22	$106 \pm 18^\dagger$	159 ± 27
Single jet	500	1%	PuppiJet_230	520	53 ± 1	76 ± 1
H_T	1050	1%	PuppiHT_450	1070	53 ± 1	74 ± 1
Missing p_T	120	3%	PuppiMET_220	140	79 ± 7	228 ± 20
Multijets	$H_T = 330$	1%	PuppiJet_70_55_	$H_T = 330$	32 ± 4	48 ± 5
with b-tagging	jets = 75, 60, 45, 40		40_40_PuppiHT_328	jets = 75, 60, 45, 40		
Total rate		49%			2525 ± 57	3621 ± 62

Simplified Menu: Thresholds

Electron, muon, photon

- ☐ Very close to Phase-1

Hadronic paths

- ☐ Jet, H_T , missing p_T :

only small increases

- ☐ Multijet with b-tagging:

same as Phase-1

- ☐ Double tau:

smaller p_T thresholds

- Follow decrease from PFlow at Level-1

Trigger type	Phase-1		L1 seed	Phase-2		
	Threshold [GeV]	% rate		Threshold [GeV]	Rate at $\langle \text{PU} \rangle = 140$ [Hz]	Rate at $\langle \text{PU} \rangle = 200$ [Hz]
Single μ	50	3%	TkMu_22	50	155 ± 6	213 ± 8
Single μ (isol.)	24	14%	TkMu_22	24	943 ± 32	1111 ± 29
Double μ	37, 27	1%	TkMu_15_7	37, 27	27 ± 1	40 ± 1
Double μ (isol.)	17, 8	2%	TkMu_15_7	17, 8	113 ± 11	143 ± 13
Triple μ	5, 3, 3	0.5%	TkMu_5_3_3	10, 5, 5	39 ± 8	48 ± 8
			StaEG_51 OR			
Single e (isol.)	28	13%	TkEle_36 OR	32 (WP1)	609 ± 27	1005 ± 33
			TkIsoEle_28	26 (WP2)	664 ± 47	1012 ± 33
Double e	25, 25	1%	TkEle_25_12 OR	25, 25	46 ± 4	82 ± 6
			StaEG_37_24			
Double e (isol.)	23, 12	1%	TkEle_25_12 OR	23, 12	52 ± 5	104 ± 9
			StaEG_37_24 OR			
			TkIsoEle_22_StaEG_12			
Single γ	200	1%	StaEG_51	187	32 ± 1	56 ± 6
Single γ (isol.)	110, EB only	1%	StaEG_51 OR	108, EB only	35 ± 9	52 ± 7
			TkIsoPho_36			
Double γ	30, 18	2%	StaEG_37_24 OR	30, 23	123 ± 12	179 ± 14
			TkIsoPho_22_12			
Double τ	35, 35	3%	HSPFTau_21_21	22, 22	$106 \pm 18^\dagger$	159 ± 27
Single jet	500	1%	PuppiJet_230	520	53 ± 1	76 ± 1
H_T	1050	1%	PuppiHT_450	1070	53 ± 1	74 ± 1
Missing p_T	120	3%	PuppiMET_220	140	79 ± 7	228 ± 20
Multijets	$H_T = 330$	1%	PuppiJet_70_55_	$H_T = 330$	32 ± 4	48 ± 5
with b-tagging	jets = 75, 60, 45, 40		40_40_PuppiHT_328	jets = 75, 60, 45, 40		
Total rate		49%			2525 ± 57	3621 ± 62

Simplified Menu: Timing

Reference hardware


- ❑ 2x AMD EPYC 7502 processors, 64 (128) physical (logical) cores
- ❑ 1679 +- 2 HS06 computing power
- ❑ HLT processing power ~ follows HS06 number

Modus operandi

- ❑ Integrated HLT menu
 - Exception: tau reconstruction
- ❑ 32 independent HLT jobs
- ❑ 4 threads per job

Samples

- ❑ L1-skimmed MB
 - Realistic approximation of HLT input
- ❑ Inclusive ttbar production
 - Hypothetical case: almost all events accepted



Simplified Menu: Timing

Reference hardware

- 2x AMD EPYC 7502 processors, 64 (128) physical (logical) cores
- 1679 +- 2 HS06 computing power
- HLT processing power ~ follows HS06 number

Modus operandi

- Integrated HLT menu
 - Exception: tau reconstruction
- 32 independent HLT jobs
- 4 threads per job

Samples

- L1-skimmed MB
 - Realistic approximation of HLT
- Inclusive ttbar production
 - Hypothetical case: almost all events accepted



Simplified Menu: Timing

Reference hardware

- 2x AMD EPYC 7502 processors, 64 (128) physical (logical) cores
- 1679 +- 2 HS06 computing power
- HLT processing power ~ follows HS06 number


Modus operandi

- Integrated HLT menu
 - Exception: tau reconstruction
- 32 independent HLT jobs
- 4 threads per job


Samples

- L1-skimmed MB
 - Realistic approximation of HLT input
- Inclusive ttbar production
 - Hypothetical case: almost all events accepted


MB, PU 140




MB, PU 200




$t\bar{t}$, PU 140



$t\bar{t}$, PU 200



Simplified Menu: Timing



Element	Time	Fraction
B tagging	0.4 ms	0.0 %
E/Gamma	158.4 ms	3.0 %
ECAL	110.9 ms	2.1 %
Framework	0.0 ms	0.0 %
HCAL	41.6 ms	0.8 %
HGCal	2030.5 ms	38.4 %
HLT	0.7 ms	0.0 %
I/O	0.4 ms	0.0 %
Jets/MET	32.1 ms	0.6 %
L1T	2.5 ms	0.0 %
Muons	280.9 ms	5.3 %
other	232.8 ms	4.4 %
Particle Flow	78.9 ms	1.5 %
Pixels	902.3 ms	17.1 %
Tracking	1204.5 ms	22.8 %
Vertices	211.9 ms	4.0 %
<i>total</i>	<i>5288.9 ms</i>	<i>100.0 %</i>

Reduced from offline
reconstruction $O(100)$ s/ev

Fig 11.4

Heterogeneous Computing

Ubiquitous solution for CMS computing needs by 2027




- ☐ Heterogeneous HLT farm already starting from Run-3.

Phase-2 heterogeneous HLT

- ☐ Under development: HGICAL local reconstruction, Patatrack pixel reconstruction.

Effective farm cost

- ☐ 0.70 CHF/HS06 in 2028 – 50% code ported
- ☐ 0.22 CHF/HS06 in 2032 – 80% code ported



Figs 10.5, 12.11, 12.12

Conclusions

Reconstruction advanced enough to build a simplified menu for the TDR.

- ❑ Fully realistic (no simulation shortcuts) and integrated in CMSSW.
- ❑ Basic single-object paths with performance very close to Phase-1.
- ❑ Solid foundation to evolve into real menu to be deployed in Phase-2.


Rates and timing under control.

- ❑ Simplified menu keeps to 50% of the target Phase-2 rate.
- ❑ Large p_T threshold increases are not needed.
- ❑ Timing structure of the menu understood.
 - In order to meet the overall constraints for the HLT farm, we need to improve the overall timing by a small factor (1.5-2x).

Heterogeneous HLT under development.

- ❑ Initial deployment already in Run3.

Thanks!



Please read our TDR: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2759072/>