

Requirements for a 'proper' beam dump

A proper beam dump can only be performed if

- The beam dumping system functions according to specification
- Other elements outside the beam dumping system perform according to specification
- Certain beam parameters are within specification

The MPWG is asked to coordinate some of those system checks



Extraction Kick Overshoot

- Aperture of the extracting channel has been calculated assuming a 10 % tolerance of the deflecting angle given by the MKDs
 - See LHC Project Note 320 (August 2003) on the aperture of the extraction channel
- This 10 % is composed of many contributions, 7.5 % comes from the actual magnetic field overshoot
 - See Beam Physics Note 75 (February 2004)
- Systems outside the LHC Beam Dumping System (LBDS) which contribute to this 10 % tolerance are
 - The Q4 kick enhancement
 - The energy of the beam relative to the energy assumed by the LBDS



The Q4 kick enhancement

- The Q4 enhances the MKD kick by about 23 % as the extracted beam passes off-axis through Q4
 - ± 0.5 % tolerance on the Q4 kick is taken into account in the 10 % overall extraction deviation
 - For this reason the quadrupole can not be used to adjust the optics
 - As Q4 is superconducting, a short should 'not be possible' in a working magnet
 - A <u>reliable</u> surveillance of the Q4 current within a window of ± 0.5 % is required !
 - This surveillance should not be relative to a setting which can be changed like the other p.c. settings



Energy Error of the Beam

 Normally the beam energy is determined by the main dipole current which is surveyed by the BEM system.
 Perturbations on this system are:

- Orbit correctors: need a check in orbit correction program. First estimate: 1 % energy error is possible before beta-beating is likely to give problems (JW)
- RF frequency: before beam hits vacuum chamber due to dispersion rel. energy error of 0.8 % possible
- Total error in energy: 2 * (1 + 0.8) = 3.6 %. This is unacceptable



Interlocks on 'Energy'

- Interlock on integrated corrector field to an energy error of ± 0.2 %
- Interlock on relative momentum change due to RF frequency of ± 0.2 %
 - Allows chromaticity measurements
 - Relative error in frequency: α_c x 0.2 % = 6 ⋅ 10⁻⁷ This gives a ∆f = 240 Hz
- Precision of BEM: 0.1 %

Total error on energy 2 * (0.2 + 0.2) +0.1 = 0.9 %.



Other systems which should trigger a beam dump if outside tolerance

- Closed orbit error LSS6 < 4 mm.
 Assumption in aperture calculation of the extraction channel
 - Special redundant BPMs foreseen
- Position of the beam relative to TCDQ jaw
 - Movable jaw which should be between 8 10 σ relative to the beam to protect the arc in the event of an asynchronous dump
 - Need worked out how to do this yet...





 Asynchronous dump: swept beam will experience MKD kick twice

- MG in MPWG August 2003
- Fractional tune limited to 0.28 ± 0.09, otherwise swept beam will hit the septum
- Local beam size depends on
 - Emittance
 - Local β-value:

In aperture calculation assume (general, not only LBDS)

- Change in β due to tune variation: 17 %
- Change in β due to β -beating: 20 %

 Do we need to check on fractional tune and local beam size?



Deflection in the vertical plane by the septa (MSD)

- Rough estimate is that the total MSD kick needs to be within 1 % to stay within the good region on the dump block
 - Need surveillance of current up to the % level
 - Need surveillance of voltage to detect short circuit of the conventional magnet
 - Use similar system as for the Quench Protection System comparing voltages between adjacent magnets
 - Should protect against fast changes (while switched on) and slow changes (after switch off – switch on)



Other systems to interface via BIS

N₂ pressure in TDE Vacuum in beam dump lines TD62 and TD68



Summary: Request to MPWG

 The LBDS should receive a beam dump request from the quoted systems with a 'relatively high' level of reliability

The MPWG is asked to coordinate

- The surveillance of these signals
- The transmission of the interlock signal via the BIS
- Determine and guarantee the required level of reliability of the generation and transmission of these signals



Request to MPWG

Systems concerned

- Q4 current: -> different reference, more reliable (?), PO
- RF frequency: -> check P.Baudrenghien, who makes it?
- MSD current and voltage -> similar QPS (V), PO (I)
- Integral horizontal orbit corrector field -> JW
- Absolute beam position dump region -> JW / BDI (?)
- Relative beam position w.r.t .TCDQ jaw -> ??
- N₂ pressure TDE -> BT/TDE, AT/VAC
- Pressure dump lines -> AT/VAC
- Fractional tune ??
- Local beta's / beam size ??