

# Exercise 1: Probability Density Functions and Monte Carlo generators

Toni Šćulac (Faculty of Science, University of Split, Croatia)

## Problem 1

Draw the Gaussian distribution for three different sets of values for the mean and standard deviation parameters  $(\mu, \sigma)$ . If a new particle is described by a Gaussian distribution  $Gauss(\mu = 200\text{GeV}, \sigma = 2\text{GeV})$ , calculate the following probabilities:

- to produce the new particle with a mass of 205 GeV or more;
- to produce the new particle with a mass between 199 and 201 GeV;
- to independently produce two new particles with masses above 203 GeV.

## Problem 2

Starting from the normal distribution  $Gauss(\mu = 0, \sigma = 1)$  derive and draw its Cumulative Density Function (CDF).

## Problem 3

Transform already available uniform random number generator to draw random numbers according to a given PDF distribution. Let the user define a PDF function it wants random numbers to be generated according to. Draw a pair of random numbers  $(x, y)$  and if the value  $PDF(x) > y$  keep the value  $x$  as the generated random number, otherwise discard it. This method is called the acceptance-rejection method.

## Problem 4

Test your random number generator from Problem 3 to generate random numbers according to the normal distribution  $Gauss(\mu = 0, \sigma = 1)$ . Generate  $10^4$  events and draw them in a histogram. Draw a normal distribution on top of the histogram. How many random numbers did you have to generate to obtain the  $10^4$  random numbers distributed according to the given PDF?

## Problem 5\*

Implement the inversion method to generate random numbers according to the given PDF. First define the Cumulative Density Function for a given PDF. Generate a random number  $u$  then compute the value  $x$  for which  $CDF(x) = u$ . Take the calculated number  $x$  to be randomly drawn from the given PDF distribution. Test your random number generator using the normal distribution  $Gauss(\mu = 0, \sigma = 1)$ . Compare the efficiency to the acceptance-rejection method from previous problems.