TDI issues

□ TDI setup & centering : scan the jaw across the beam (pilot).

- ALICE : no problems no ALICE beam dumps.
- LHCb : scan not done since grazing the TDI always triggered dumps.
- Attempt to position the TDO with an angle were not very successful in IR8.
 - Always large signals in LHCb.
- » Real difference in amount of beam reaching the experiment? (optics, geometry, trajectory...).
- >> Different sensitivity due to BCM locations??

TDI scan to reduce "pre-injection" background

BCM response to TDI jaw alignment/position (gap ~3.4mm) with kick

BCM current in nA

LHCh







TDI alignment shots BCM-D



- Last was best but still about the same level as "Usual TDI dumps"
 - Requires more analysis to understand but it is not obvious
 - Discuss at MPP

LPC, November 9, 2009



Traditional annual LHCb dump

TDI shot with MKI and jaws at -2.4/-5.9 mm @ Sunday 00:46:10

- > All previous shots typical "TDI levels"
- > Loss appeared as a concentrated shower at ~9 o'clock in BCM as seen along Beam 2
 - 7000 nA max seen in sensor 2 as compared to <200 nA typical TDI
 - 36 pA/mips → >2×10⁵ particles in sensor 2 → >10⁷ particles assuming an area of 10×10cm²



LPC, November 9, 2009





The user_permit (HW interlock) is based on the BCM-CFC-TELL1 chain developed by LHCb.

- Fast abort on RS2 (2x40µs CFC integration frames) coincidences: Dump the beam if 3 adjacent diamond sensors out of 4 show a current > thr_{RS2}
- Slow abort on Σ RS32 (32x40µs):

Sorting out the two highest and the lowest of 8 sensors, dump the beam if $\Sigma RS32 > thr_{\Sigma RS32}$

Actual guess for $thr_{RS2} \sim 5000$ nA and for $thr_{\Sigma RS32} \sim 250$ nA (to be x-checked ...)



Beam losses on TDI setting-up and MKI delay study





LPC 9.11.2009

ALICE needs to be OFF (HV+LV)

What are the plans for these kind of studies during normal operation?