Analysis of a few beam dumps functionality of protection systems

- D1 switched off with FMCM active
- D1 switched off with FMCM masked
 - Event 2/12/09 00:21:29.411
- Trip of RB in sector 12
 - Event 5/12/09 19:30:26

D1 switched off with FMCM active

- Switching off the D1 leads to fast beam movements, one of the most critical failures
- The FMCM detects a trip of the power converter, and dumps the beam
- As redundant protection, the beam loss monitors are expected to dump the beam

With low intensity

- switch off D1 with nominal protection
- switch off D1 with the FMCM masked

Trajectory evolution after OFF send to RD1.LR1, with FMCM active.



3

Trajectory rms evolution after OFF send to RD1.LR1, with FMCM active.



Trajectory difference after OFF send to RD1.LR1, with FMCM active.





RD1 OFF - FMCM masked - one BPM

Trajectory evolution after OFF send to RD1.LR1, with FMCM masked.

PM event: 2/12/09 00:21:29.411 FMCM (masked) trigger: 00:21:29.378 BLM trigger: 00:21:29.420



RD1 OFF - FMCM masked H-orbit RMS

Trajectory rms evolution after OFF send to RD1.LR1, with FMCM masked.



RD1 OFF - FMCM masked

Trajectory difference after OFF send to RD1.LR1, with FMCM masked.



RD1 OFF - FMCM masked -

Losses in IR6 (debunched beam) and IR7



10



Show Labels

Display Optics Elements

OK

RD1 OFF - FMCM masked



Current decay of RD1.LR1 zoom

FGC 51_ext 091202-002129.412_KPTG.5K1.KD1.LK1 ///

RD1 OFF - FMCM masked















Comparing data with simulations by Robert Appleby

- The beam movement at BPM.25R1.B1 over 300 turns after turning off RD1.LR1. The time constant of the decay is taken from the PM analysis of the current on the 2nd December test, and comes out to be 2.89 s.
- Over the 200 turns the beam moves about 1mm when the current starts to change. The calculation and plot agrees with this : 1mm of movement from 0 to 18 ms (= 202 turns). So the beam response simulations of myself, Andres and Verena predict the beam movement correctly.
- We could find plenty of other cases to check the calculations against, and once I get the collimator settings I can check the observed loss patterns.



Event 5/12/09 19:30:26 RB in sector 12 off

EVENT OVERVIEW							
Index	Loc.Permit A/B	Time	Delta(uSec)	Description	BIC name		
13		19:29:33.550+550000	-52868000	LUSER_PERMIT: Ch 1 (Programable Dump b1):	CIB.CCR.LHC.B1		
14		19:29:33.550+550001	-52867999	LUSER_PERMIT: Ch 1 (Programable Dump b1):	CIB.CCR.LHC.B1		
65		19:29:33.550+550101	-52867899	USER_PERMIT: Ch 1 (Programable Dump b1):	CIB.CCR.LHC.B1		
66		19:29:33.550+550101	-52867899	USER_PERMIT: Ch 1 (Programable Dump b1):	CIB.CCR.LHC.B1		
420		19:29:33.639+639688	-52778312	LUSER_PERMIT: Ch 3(LBDS-b1): B T -> F	CIB.UA63.L6.B1		
421		19:29:33.639+639689	-52778311	LUSER_PERMIT: Ch 3(LBDS-b1): A T -> F	CIB.UA63.L6.B1		
943		19:30:26.419+419187	1187	USER_PERMIT: Ch 5(PIC_UNM): B T -> F	CIB.US15.R1.B1		
944		19:30:26.419+419188	1188	LUSER_PERMIT: Ch 5(PIC_UNM): B T -> F	CIB.US15.R1.B2		
945		19:30:26.419+419188	1188	LUSER_PERMIT: Ch 5(PIC_UNM): A T -> F	CIB.US15.R1.B2		
946		19:30:26.419+419188	1188	LUSER_PERMIT: Ch 12(PIC_MSK): A T -> F	CIB.US15.R1.B2		
947		19:30:26.419+419188	1188	USER_PERMIT: Ch 5(PIC_UNM): A T -> F	CIB.US15.R1.B1		
948		19:30:26.419+419188	1188	USER_PERMIT: Ch 12(PIC_MSK): A T -> F	CIB.US15.R1.B1		
949		19:30:26.419+419188	1188	LUSER_PERMIT: Ch 12(PIC_MSK): B T -> F	CIB.US15.R1.B1		
950		19:30:26.419+419189	1189	LUSER_PERMIT: Ch 12(PIC_MSK): B T -> F	CIB.US15.R1.B2		
968		19:30:26.419+419211	1211	LUSER_PERMIT: Ch 12(PIC_MSK): B T -> F	CIB.US15.L1.B2		
969		19:30:26.419+419212	1212	HSER_PERMIT: Ch 12(PIC_MSK); A T -> E	CIB LIS1511 B2		

- Beam dump of beam 1 by operation at 19:29:33
- Beam dump of beam 2 by PIC trigger in point 1 at 19:30:26.419, RB circuit trip

Logging: FBCT for beam 1 and beam 2



Fast BCT for B2 and current for RB.A12



Beam losses



Beam losses



Typical BPM (20.L7.B2)



Typical BPM (9.L7.B2)



		FGC 51_self 091205	5-193026.440_RPTE.UA23.RB.A	.12		×
	Legend at left 🛛 🤜 🗌 Default Scale	Screen Capture Scale ±10 Ana	alysis 🦁 🗸 Log			
759.0-				10		
758.8-	RB.A12(I_A RB.A12(I_REF	Curre	NT KB.A	12		
758.6-						
758.4-						
758.2-	•Beam di	imp of beam 2 b	DY PIC			
758.0-	trigger i	n point 1 at				
757 8-	19:30:26	419 RB circuit	trip			
767.0						
/5/.6-						
757.4-			+			
757.2-						
757.0-						
756.8-	•					
756.6- bear	n losses and					
756.4- orbi	t changes star	rts at 19:30:26.4	415			
756.2-						
756.0-						\sim
755.8-						
755.6-						
755.4-						
755.4						
733.2-						
755.0-						
754.8-						
754.6-						
754.4-						
754.2-						
754.0-						
19:30:26.360 19:30	0:26.370 19:30:26.380 19:30:26.3 √ filter?	90 19:30:26.400 19:30:26.410 19	9:30:26.420 19:30:26.430 19:3 Time	0:26.440 19:30:26.450 19:30 dt (s) 0.01	26.460 19:30:26.470 19:30:26.480) 19:30:26.49

Comments

- The beam trajectory in the vertical plane changed
 4ms before the beam dump
- This was not caused by the RB trip
- Needs to be understood... analysis of the trajectory to find out origin of the change

Event 8/12/09 11:41:06.678 RQ in sector 12 off

- RQF/D tripped due to QPS
- RB tripped 3 ms later (global abort)

System	Local Time	Source	Туре	ltem	Status
pic_1:	2009.12.08 11:41:06.678	Input	A	RQF.A12	BAD
pic_1:	2009.12.08 11:41:06.679	Input	A	RQD.A12	BAD
pic_1:	2009.12.08 11:41:06.680	Input	B1	RCO.A12B1	BAD
pic_1:	2009.12.08 11:41:06.680	Input	B1	RCO.A12B2	BAD
pic_1:	2009.12.08 11:41:06.680	Input	82	RQ10.L2	BAD
pic_1:	2009.12.08 11:41:06.680	Input	B1	RQT12.L2B1	BAD
pic_1:	2009.12.08 11:41:06.680	Input	B1	RQT12.L2B2	BAD
pic_1:	2009.12.08 11:41:06.680	Input	B1	RQT13.L2B1	BAD
pic_1:	2009.12.08 11:41:06.680	Input	B1	RQT13.L2B2	BAD
pic_1:	2009.12.08 11:41:06.680	Input	B1	RQTL11.L2B1	BAD
pic_1:	2009.12.08 11:41:06.680	Input	B1	RQTL11.L2B2	BAD
pic_1:	2009.12.08 11:41:06.681	Input	A	RB.A12.EVEN	BAD

FGC 51_self 091208-114106.680_RPHE.UA23.RQF.A12







Conclusions

- For 2.5 weeks after start up the capability of the transient recording is impressive
- We have excellent tools to analyse such event and the PM system works well
- BIC and PIC for a first level analysis are sufficient
- Some additional analysis software will be welcome
- Gaining confidence in the Machine Protection relies on understanding of all such events