Malika Meddahi, for the AG cleaning collaboration:, Stephane Bart Pedersen, Andrea Boccardi, Andy Butterworth, Alan S. Fisher, Eliana Gianfelice-Wendt, Brennan Goddard, Georges-Henry Hemelsoet, Wolfgang Höfle, Delphine Jacquet, Michael Jaussi, Verena Kain, Thibault Lefevre, Elena Shaposhnikova, Jan Uythoven, Daniel Valuch

Tests performed on December 15-16, 2009

- 1- Results from the cleaning trials and To-Do list
- 2- Observations with the BSRA and To-Do list
- 3- Proposal for the interlock strategy

Test 1: Cleaning of a bunched beam

- <u>Conditions 1</u>: Used a fix frequency (1-Qv) and various damper kick amplitudes
- <u>Result 1</u>: Beam lost in few minutes with smallest amplitude
- <u>Conditions 2</u>: Using a variable frequency, around (1-Qv), 10 steps, each for 100 turns, and various damper kick amplitudes

<u>Result 2</u>: Idem : Beam lost in few minutes with smallest amplitude

-> To Do: Calibrate the kick amplitude to check cleaning time with model (Wolfgang & team + simulations of Eliana)

<u>Test 2: Cleaning of a 3 µs gap localised between 2 bunches</u>

Test of the "trailing edge" effect

<u>Conditions</u>: injected 2 bunches 3 µs apart (abort gap length) and timed the dampers to cover the gap between the 2 bunches. <u>Results</u>:

- Kicking at Qv with large kick amplitude: large losses of the downstream bunch
 Kicking with both reduced kick duration and amplitude to determine possible operational window: with the damper window width reduced to 0.75ms and small amplitude, the surrounding bunch lifetime was better, but still affected by the "cleaning"
- -> To-Do: AG cleaning: optimization of cleaning pulse in order not to affect beam outside abort gap is necessary (Wolfgang and Team)

<u>Cleaning of debunched beam</u>:

<u>Conditions</u>: 4 bunches of 2.5e10 left debunched for 5 mn before starting the AG cleaning with the "variable frequency mode".

Results:

When cleaning started, the synchrotron light production decreases proportionally to the gap population and targeted portion of the gap was quickly emptied . At the moment of the beam dump, the gap was not fully empty (equilibrium between cleaning and repopulation process) and the synchrotron light production was still decreasing.

-> To Check: Cleaning efficiency may be improved by enlarging the kick width and/or increasing the kick amplitude

BSRA data show gap partially cleaned





<u>RF-Off:</u> BCTFR signal to 0 BCTDC signal flat BSRA signal pops up and reduces when beam disperses <u>Cleaning on:</u> BCTDC signal shows losses = cleaning BSRA signal decreases from previous equilibrium to new equilibrium

Beam Dumped: BCTDC to 0 BSRA to 0

Losses at BLMs at TCDQ / TCDS, at moment of dump, are reduced to about 10 - 12 % relative to no cleaning!

Abort Gap monitor: BSRA From Thibault Lefevre at Evian WS

- NEED the undulators ON for both beams for low beam energies, i.e. below 1.2 TeV, then D3 can take over
- Undulator useless after 1.3 TeV. At Evian: nevertheless, proposed to leave it on
- TO DO: Check calibration of BSRA signal with proton intensity at 450 GeV and higher E
- To DO: Build confidence in BSRA reading: which level of particle population can be trusted?
- TO DO : Operation with predefined and checked calibration tables in order to monitor the AG population and send a signal when needed
- TO DO: if population is higher than the limit, would have to feedback on the PMT high voltage to avoid saturation and continue to provide useful data during the cleaning.

Interlock strategy: proposal

- Get continuously the abort gap population from BSRA
- Perform continuous cleaning of the abort gap population
- Send software interlock to dump the beam if population gets nevertheless beyond the threshold
- If BSRA not functioning: continue standard cleaning procedure, do not dump the beam, rely on TCDQ to protect from losses of uncaptured particles
- Operation with beam will allow us to tune this strategy accordingly with observation

Summary : Abort Gap cleaning tests

- All systems working as needed (thanks to the equipment and Dry Run teams);
- Functionally of the abort gap cleaning demonstrated;
- Undulator and BSRA commissioned for beam 2;
- Undulator needed for beam 1 as well : to be commissioned (incl.BSRA);
- Work on going to establish / understand the best cleaning parameters to be used to clean the full 3 μs while limiting the losses outside the abort gap (kick amplitude, damper window...)
- Concerning Machine Protection we will need to commission the Abort Gap Monitoring Interlock
- Interlock values to be found and tested with beam

Reminders:

-Abort gap is 3 μs long –abort kicker rise time– and always in front of the first injected beam

With RF OFF: Time to fill the abort gap from both sides: ~5s at 450 GeV, 20 s at 7 TeV

- Time necessary for un-captured particles to fill the abort gap are about
 2-3 times less at injection than on flat top
- Time scales for this process with RF OFF and RF ON are very similar source: Elena Shaposhnikova
- ■BSRA:
 - synchroton light based monitor
 - detect line density of ~2e5 p/m,
 - 0.1 μ s resolution, 30 bins (within the 3 μ s gap), measured at 10Hz, but updated at 1Hz.
 - Unit: proton/bin
 - Monitor designed to run continuously calibration needed each ~year

Reminders:

Quench limit and cleaning level

	Quench limit p/m	Cleaning level p/m
450 GeV	2 × 10 ⁸	2 × 10 ⁷
7 TeV	2 × 10 ⁶	2 × 10 ⁵