

- Post-mortems
- FMCM tests with beam
- Higher intensity
- BLM configuration
- Outcome of last restricted MPP



### Post-mortems

- Collected a large number of PMs:
  - Loss of cryo  $\rightarrow$  PIC.
  - RQTF/D trips  $\rightarrow$  PIC.
  - RQF trip (nQPS) → PIC.
  - BLMs
  - Collimator positions
  - MPS tests (unbunched beam tests for TCDQ, SIS, FMCM, etc)
  - ....
- No anomalies found at the level of the protection systems, but a few events at injection with fast loss over 2-3 turns where the cause is now clear.



 SIS triggers on large orbit excursion (> 12 BPMs over 6 mm B2 H plane) during a measurement of the non-linear Q' : too large fRF trim.





 Beam excursion interlock (+- 3.5 mm) triggered on beam kick in the vertical plane (same MD as before...)

4





## MPS Tests - FMCM

- Tests of FMCMs with beam all tests passed : no detectable beam movement, no losses (except un-bunched beam)
  - For RD1's :

-  $\beta^*$ = 2 m :  $\beta$ x = 515/805 m while  $\beta^*$  = 0.55 m  $\beta$ x = 1850/2940 m

Circuit	Energy	Conditions	Time
RD1.LR1	450		10.03.2010 12:26:07
RD1.LR5	450		10.03.2010 13:09:33
RD34.LR7	450		10.03.2010 12:52:44
RQ4.LR3	450		10.03.2010 13:40:24
RD1.LR1	3500	$\beta^* = 11-10-11-10$	22.03.2010 00:17:13
RD1.LR1	3500	β <b>* = 2-10-2-10</b>	11.04.2010 01:52:03
RD1.LR5	3500	β <b>* = 2-10-2-2</b>	13.04.2010 21:51:26
RQ5.LR7	3500	β <b>* = 2-9</b> .5-2-2	15.05.2010 17:55:43



# **BLM Configuration**

- All BLMs are now connected to the unmaskable BIS input except: collimators IR3 + IR7, some elements involved in (over-)injection.
  - Green: unmaskable (BIS), Cyan: maskable (BIS), Grey: not connected to BIS



![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Over-injection**

- Higher intensity beam1 15.04.2010
  - Switching between PROBE and INDIV cycle in the SPS is now working smoothly: adjusted some settings for the SPS rephasing.
  - Over-injection working well in IR2 (only one dump from pilot send to TDI BLM on MBXA).
  - Over-injected 1.1E11, collimators at nominal 4.5 sigma settings.
  - Emittance at 1E11: 2.8 um H, 2,5 um V.
  - The losses in the injection region do not scale with intensity: above 5E10, the losses go down (in absolute!). Max. losses for 4E10-5E10 p

>> Change the intensity increase plan? Push to higher I/bunch earlier?

Large losses on B1 cleaning collimators for B1 ad compared to B2
> Recheck after next collimator setup at injection.

Essentially ready for high intensity bunches !

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Over-injection**

#### • Example of over-injection of 1E11 – 40 us integration

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

MPP - 16th Ap

EF

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### • Issue with SAFE\_STABLE flag:

- After emergency dump, mode not changed (remains STABLE BEAMS).
- In the preparation phase of ramp-down, the RBs move up in current and then back down (crossing STABLE BEAMS energy range): 'oscillating' SAFE\_STABLE flag...

>> 'Fix': waiting for a discussion among experiments on the modes at the end of fills....

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Some 'issues' with the BPF ( $\rightarrow$  see Ben's slide):
  - Oscillation signal because the intensity is at the limit.
  - - >> NOT SAFE
    - >> VERY CRITICAL PARAMETER
  - I have asked David to <u>REFUSE</u> any request that is not channeled through me and Ben & Bruno and to inform us if he ever needs to make a change !

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Road to higher intensity

#### • Meeting of the LHC restricted MPP of 14<sup>th</sup> April 2010.

- Outline the roadmap to increasing intensity.
- All members present:
  - R. Assmann, B. Dehning, R. Schmidt, J. Wenninger, B. Goddard.
  - J. Uythoven, M. Zerlauth, A. Siemko, M. Lamont, M. Ferro-Luzzi

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Towards higher I : general points

- There 3 areas that require work/improvement for higher intensity:
  - Completion of MPS commissioning steps.
    - Injection target: safe beam (for the moment).
  - Collimation setup for and with higher intensity.
    - Will require continuous follow up (also dump + TL prot) !
  - Operational issues.
    - Sequences, mistakes...

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### **Situation**: MPS commissioning tests are not completed!

- Step 1: complete the squeeze commissioning.
  - Factor 4-5 in luminosity
  - Operate for 1-2 fills with present bunch configuration
- Step 2: increase the number of bunches from 2 to 3 (at constant intensity/bunch).
  - Total intensity at 3.5 TeV: 3E10 p.
  - Exactly at the limit of the setup ('safe') beam intensity at 3.5 TeV.
  - Factor 2 in Luminosity (collision pattern)
  - Provides room for EOF work

We will not be able to go beyond this point before the technical stop (26<sup>th</sup> April)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Complete work for INJECTION of high intensity bunches.
  - Basically ready some fine tuning + BLM thresholds !
- Setup of collimators for and with high intensity at INJECTION.
  - Up to 2 bunches of 5E10/bunch  $\rightarrow$  more precise setup

Aim is to complete those steps by middle of next week

>> possibility for 'high bunch intensity' collisions at 450 GeV (with 'safe' beam).

- Collimator movement during the ramp.
  - Scaling injection settings to 3.5 TeV 'intermediate'
  - Requires orbit feedback in the ramp
- Complete all missing MPS setup (≈10 shifts)
- Increase of intensity at 3.5 TeV
- Precise collimator setup at 3.5 TeV and for squeeze...