

External review of the LHC Machine Protection Systems to prevent beam induce damage

The review will take place on 6 September – 8 September 2010

- An overview of the LHC is given
- The plans for increasing the luminosity and therefore the intensity are presented
- The overall strategy of the LHC machine protection is presented
- The key systems for machine protection and their performance is presented
- The operational experience is discussed

Questions to the reviewers :

- Do you consider the machine protection adequate for increasing the energy stored in the beams up to 30MJoule?
- What could be the main risks?
- Based on experience elsewhere: what is most critical and where have been surprises?

External review of the LHC Machine Protection Systems to prevent beam induce damage on 6 September – 8 September 2010

<https://lhc-mp-review.web.cern.ch/lhc-mp-review/>

- An overview of the LHC is given
- The plans for increasing the luminosity and therefore the intensity are presented
- The overall strategy of the LHC machine protection is presented
- The key systems for machine protection and their performance is presented
- The operational experience is discussed

Questions to the reviewers

- Do you consider the plans for intensity and luminosity increase adequate?
- Do you consider the machine protection adequate for increasing the energy stored in the beams up to 30 MJoule?
- What could be the main risks?
- Based on experience elsewhere: what is most critical and where have been surprises?

- Mei Bai (BNL)
- Jens Stadlmann (GSI)
- Jerry Annala (FERMILAB)
- Dean Still (FERMILAB)
- **Reinhard Bacher (DESY)** chair
- Douglas E. Curry (SNS)

mbai@bnl.gov

J.Stadlmann@gsi.de

annala@fnal.gov

still@fnal.gov

reinhard.bacher@desy.de

curryde@ornl.gov

CERN

- **Stefan Lueders** co-chair
- Richard Jacobsson
- Frank Zimmermann



Monday					
Overview LHC					
09:00		1	Meeting of the reviewers		00:30:00
09:30		2	Introduction to the review and mandate	SM	00:15:00
09:45		3	Introduction to LHC	tbc	00:45:00
10:30	Coffee				
11:00		4	LHC operation and objectives for 2010/2011	ML	00:45:00
Overview LHC Machine Protection					
11:45		5	Introduction to LHC Machine Protection	RS	01:00:00
12:45	Lunch				
14:00		6	Operational experience with LHC Machine Protection	tbc	00:45:00
LHC Machine Protection Systems					
14:45		7	Overview interlocking	BP	00:45:00
15:30	Coffee				
16:00		8	The role of the collimation system in protecting the aperture	RA et al	01:30:00
17:30		9	Beam Dumping system, operational experience and validation	BG / JU	00:45:00
18:15		10	Meeting of the reviewers		



Tuesday						
09:00		11	Experience with BLMs	BD ?	01:00:00	X
Single turn failures						
10:00		12	Protection at injection	VK / BG / MM	00:45:00	X
10:45	Coffee					
11:15		13	Protection when dumping the beam: asynchronous beam dumps, particles in abort gap	JU / BG / MM	00:45:00	X
Interlocking						
12:00		14	Fast kick interlocking	JU	00:30:00	X
12:30	Lunch					
14:00		15	Magnet powering system and beam dump requests	IR / MZ	00:30:00	X
14:30		16	Movable devices	SR	00:30:00	X
15:00	Coffee					
Operational issues						
15:30		17	Orbit and feedbacks	JW	01:00:00	X
16:30		18	Machine protection and operation	LP	01:00:00	X
17:30			Meeting of the reviewers			

Wednesday (to be defined with the reviewers)					
09:00			Interactive session		
09:45			Interactive session		
10:30	Coffee				
Interactive session (closed session)					
11:00			Interactive session		
13:00	Lunch				
14:00			Executive session		
Conclusions (open session)					
16:00			Recommendations and Conclusions		30

- Sunday: possibly visit in CCC, to participate to operation and for a demonstration of the tools such as post mortem
- Safety culture – yes for critical systems, less during operation since operational flexibility is required, ...
- Extend culture from MP to other systems (such as test procedures, requalification after changes were made,)

Question to keep in mind during the presentations

- What can we do in the CCC to make things unsafe (e.g. modifying thresholds, ...)?

To be presented in the various talks

- Briefly comment on tests before beam operation

Other comments

- Recommendation from 2005 review – follow-up, done?
- Recommendation from 2010 internal review – follow-up, done?
 - should be included in Machine Protection Introduction, and possibly in the talks
- General commissioning strategy (list of MP tests, test ramps, ...)

- Introduction to the review – mandate for the reviewers

- layout, 8-fold symmetry, insertions, parts with superconducting magnets and normal conducting magnets, optics
- main parameters (number of bunches, intensity, beta function, ...)
- aperture and concept of n_1 , hierarchy of collimators
- injection from SPS

- parameters, what has been achieved, and what should be achieved in the coming year
- parameters related to luminosity: beta function, bunch current, number of bunches, crossing angle, ...
- overview of operation (injection, ramp, coast, beam dump)
- lifetime that are usually achieved during stores (very little losses during ramp and squeeze)

- phases during operation and protection (injection, stored beam, dumping of beams)
- single turn failures and risks (injection and beam dump)
- multi-turn failures and risks (circulating beam)

- diagnostic tools: post mortem, logging, ...
- failures, beam losses and beam dumps
- what cause the failure and what captured the failure?
- beam losses that caused a beam dump
- what is understood, what remains to be understood
- background in experiments (should be mentioned that this is not an issue)

- phases during operation and protection (injection, stored beam, dumping of beams)
- single turn failures and risks (injection and beam dump)
- multi-turn failures and risks (circulating beam)

- Beam Interlock System: introduction and users
- interlocks for circulating beam and for extraction/injection
- validation – ensure that it works correctly
- diagnostics, post operational checks
- Safe Machine Parameters: introduction and relevance for protection (distribution of energy, other parameters)
 - beam energy tracking
 - beam intensity (fast and slow), development of beam presence flag from BPM
 - future: squeeze factor

- introduction into collimation and passive protection for LHC
- collimation of encountered beam losses
- collimation and protection in the experimental IRs
- MP issues from collimation (safety during setup, machine stability, tolerances, ...) and impact from upgrades

- setting up of collimators, hierarchy, tests of cleaning efficiency
- operational experience
- phase coverage in case of failures
- how to ensure coherence between collimator settings and the machine status?

- Beam Dumping System - design and safety
- energy tracking
- redundancy
- tests with beam
- operational experience
- XPOC

- concept (running sums, energy dependence, how to define thresholds, ..)
- validation of the system
- experience
- redundancy
- how to ensure that the thresholds are correct?
- quench limit: are we close to it?
- safe changes in firmware

- interlocking at injection, beam presence flag, beam quality, collimators in transfer lines and in LHC
- experience with injection protection
- beam shaping in SPS
- issues with BLMs during injection
- operational experience
- TL magnets behind collimators

- principle (LBDS, collimators, ...)
- how to survive an asynchronous beam dump?
- abort gap monitoring and cleaning (status, possible improvements, moving towards a safe system)
- RF interlocks
- operational experience

- How to make sure that there are no fast kicks on the beam? at injection, during cycle from injection and aperture kicker, from other elements (AC dipole, ...)

- failures captured at this stage, what dumps the beam (QPS, cryo, thunderstorms, timescales and reaction times,...)
- what are the interlocks?
 - power converter failures
 - FMCM
 - QPS
- enabling / disabling beam dumps for circuits
- orbit correctors (not via PIC) – different strategy
- examples on how fast: normally before the beam is affected
- thunderstorms and other problems in the electrical network

- what can move into the beam?
- how to prevent it? different type of interlocks (vacuum-switches, collimators-complex interlocks, experiments-stable beam flag + interlocking)
- redundancy: by BLMs
 - example: losses by wire scanners, by collimators or TOTEM touching the beam

- How to ensure that the orbit is under control (orbit feedbacks, RF frequency, feedbacks on tune, ... ?)
- performance of BPM and limitations
- risks of a bad orbit
- orbit feedback
- how to avoid closed bumps?
- other feedbacks

Operational dangers (incl. software such as SIS and Sequencer,...)

- role of software interlocks (SIS), what is captured by SIS?
- role of procedures and the sequencer
- status and development
- other issues

Other systems (no presentation)

- Experiments (also TOTEM)
- Transverse damper and protection

Future

- Squeezing factor and position of collimators
- Future developments (2010-11)
 - Fast Beam Current Change Monitor
 - Fast Beam Position Interlock
- Beyond 2011 (maybe not for the review)
 - BLM at injection – mask during injection
 - Abort Gap monitor – make it reliable
 - More use of Beam Position Monitors for beam dumps
 - SPS scraper – is it reliable enough? redundancy?