

MDs and MPS

How could we handle MDs?

A 1st order proposal for discussion

How to handle MDs?

- Step 1: we classify the MD according to the boundary conditions.
 - Proposal on next slides.
- Step 2: 'discuss' with requester (or suggest to say reduced intensity...).
- Step 3: define the conditions for unsafe beams.



MPS class A

MPS class A : MDs with setup beams

Probe bunch (<2e10) – <u>automatically approved.</u>

- But people should be aware that at 3.5 TeV such beams can quench. EiC's should be able to set some limits.
- For quench tests OK needed from MP3.

Setup beam – <u>automatically approved.</u>

- But people should be aware that a quench could occur at any energy. EiC's should be able to set some limits.
- For quench tests OK needed from MP3.



General guidelines - MD request with unsafe beams :

- MD request should reconsider if the MD could not be done with safe beams.
 - Quite a number of MDs require intensities within factor 2-3 of SBF limit.
 - Some require beams within the 'Relaxed' and 'Very relaxed' SBF reach (3.5 TeV). Note this is often related to the need for a nominal bunch.



MPS class B

<u>MPS class B</u> : **MD request with unsafe beams at end-of-fill or with physics conditions (no changes of optics or orbit) – any energy.**

• To be approved on a case by case by MPP (or rMPP ?).



MPS class C : MD request with unsafe beams involving changes of orbit or optics.

- Safe and controlled machine conditions must first be established with Setup Beams.
 - Orbit interlocks may have to be adapted for injection of unsafe beam.
 - Collimators may have to be moved in some/all phases.
- If orbit/optics changes at 3.5 TeV:
 - (Orbit expert must define the reference orbit for OFB).
 - Test ramp with probe.
 - (Orbit interlocks must be adapted for ramp & squeeze).
 - Test ramp with 1-2 nominal bunches (for collimator setup). Loss maps and asynch dump test.
 - Once qualified, intensity to be approved by MPP (or rMPP?).



MPS class D

<u>MPS class D</u> : **MD request with unsafe beams involving new 'machine territory' – drastic changes of the optics, WP...**

- Such MDs will be **downgraded** to Setup Beam unless full failure analysis is performed.
 - If failure analysis \rightarrow to class C.



• Class B

Coupled-bunch instability rise times at flat top and stabilization by Landau octupoles	N. Mounet, F. Metral	2	20	3500	6e13	LHC nominal + HL-LHC	2011/1 2	x
--	-------------------------	---	----	------	------	-------------------------	-------------	---

• Class C

45 degree crossing at W. LHCb T. I	. Herr and Pieloni	2	16	3500	physics	LHC nominal	2011/1	RA, GP
---------------------------------------	-----------------------	---	----	------	---------	-------------	--------	--------

• Class D

Alternate tune working point stability in the vicinity of the half-integer tune resonance (range: 0.46, 0.48)	Ralph Steinhagen	2	16	450, 3500	1617	HL-LHC	2012	x
--	---------------------	---	----	--------------	------	--------	------	---

>> drastic impact on some failure cases – never studied !!!



- The first MD period will probably be quite 'experimental'.
- Should we recommend to schedule only MDs of Class A in the first period?