

Additional CIBU connection for LBDS in IR6

N.Magnin

MPP meeting - 27.05.2011











Technology Department

Problems: Who is first BIS client ?

The LBDS opening BIS late, other BIS clients can open BIS earlier.

Example: Dump of beam 1, 25.05.2011 02:43:02 BRF goes off and losses detected during dump

Sequence of events:

- 1) BRF goes off at point 6
- 2) TSU detects loss of BRF
- 3) TSU sends LBDS trigger
- 4) Beam dumped with some losses
- 5) BLM opens BIS (Dumped event is sent)
- 6) SCSS detects TSU not ready (min 50ms delay)
- 7) SCSS opens LBDS BIS loops

Trace in LHC logbook:

Global Post Mortem Event

Event Timestamp: 25/05/11 02:43:02.838 Fill Number: 1805 Accelerator / beam mode: PROTON PHYSICS / STABLE BEAMS Energy: 3500160 [GeV] Intensity B1/B2: 11019 / 11044 [e^10 charges] Event Category / Classification: PROTECTION_DUMP / MULTIPLE_SYSTEM_DUMP First BIC input Triggered: First USR_PERMIT change: Ch 11-BLM_MSK: AT -> F on CIB.UA63.L6.B2

Result: BLM appears as first client instead of LBDS !



This is a problem for some LHC control equipments that react on this event.

Example: Dump of beam 1, 07.05.2011 - 14:00:57 BLM post operation buffers for XPOC system



Result: BLM buffers contain data acquired ~100ms after dump ! XPOC system failed on BLM timestamp error .





The BIS is opened after each LBDS trigger for a short duration (min 200ms). This gives enough time to SCSS to react and open the LBDS BIS loops.







Realisation: How long is needed?

Before TS:

Department

- EC:

- Design/prototype of BOOT card: ~1 week
- Production of min. 3 cards:

~2 weeks (?)

Tests and Validation in LAB:

~2 days

- **BIS**:
 - Review schematics of BOOT card
 - Prepare two new CIBU

During TS:

- EC/BIS:
 - Install two CIBU and BOOT systems in LHC: 1 day
 - Commissioning of new BIS connection: 1 day

More than 3 week needed (optimistic...)



This problem is **not a safety issue** for LHC.

...But it generates **post operation diagnosis problems** and add some **confusion** in the understanding of beam dump event history.

=> We should find a solution ASAP

Questions:

Is the proposed solution satisfactory ?

If yes, is it reasonable to plan this for next TS (20.06.2011 - 22.06.2011)?