FLUKA simulations of accidental beam impact on TOTEM Roman Pots

A. Lechner, V. Boccone and F. Cerutti (on behalf of the FLUKA team) with valuable input by D. Wollmann

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Machine Protection Panel} \\ \mbox{June 1}^{\rm st}, \mbox{ 2012} \end{array}$

<ロト < 同ト < 回ト <

Introduction

TOTEM Roman Pots – accident scenarios originally discussed with MPP:

- $\circ\,$ Asynchronous dump with 3σ impact parameter (see Daniel's presentation)
- Grazing incidence

In this presentation, the first scenario is considered

 $\circ~$ Second case can be presented at a later stage

FLUKA simulations were performed from matching section and DS to arc cells 12L5 and 13L5

- Energy density in magnets evaluated
- $\circ~$ All results are presented per nominal bunch

・ロッ ・ 一 ・ ・ ・ ・

Asynchronous beam dump on XRPH.A6L5.B2

Accident scenario:

- Roman pot alignment at 4 TeV
- Kick in all MKDs
- Beam dump on XRPH.B6L5.B2 jaw with 3σ impact parameter (jaw at 4σ)
- (see Daniel's presentation for details)

Beam parameters at XRPH.B6L5 $\sigma_X \qquad \sigma_Y$ 88 μ m 384 μ m offset (x) angle (x') 0.620 mm 1.323 μ rad XRPH B615 located .219 551 m from JP5

FLUKA geometry model:

- Accurate FLUKA model of Roman pots (by V. Boccone), see figures on right
- Accelerator line from XRPH.B6L5 to arc cell 13L5

XRPH.B6L5 jaw: beam traverses in total

- \circ 10 \times 0.3 mm=3 mm of Si and
- \circ 2×0.5 mm=1 mm of steel





<ロ> <同> <同> < 同> < 同>

Figure left: FLUKA model of Roman Pot Unit for TOTEM in IR5

Figures right: horizontal cut through XRPH.B6L5 and focus on jaw

Energy deposition in magnets up to MQML.8L5

Figure:

- Peak energy density per nominal bunch $(1.15 \times 10^{11} \text{ p})$ in magnet coils (matching section and first DS cell)

- Up to MBs in cell 8L5: energy density in coils dominated by collision debris of inelastic interactions in RP jaw
- $\circ~$ Highest value in MQM.A7L5: ${\sim}51{\pm}4~\text{mJ/cm}^3$



Lateral energy density profiles in MQM.A7L5 & MB.A8L5



Long. energy density profiles in MB.A8L5 & MB.B8L5



Proton losses in cells 10L5 to 13L5

Figure:

- Proton loss density per nominal bunch in MB and MQ magnets of the last two DS cells as well as in cell 12 and 13
- Note: losses outside of MB and MQ magnets are not shown (i.e. in connection cryostat)
- o Loss map calculated with FLUKA using high-energy cut (1 TeV)
- Largest losses occur in MB.C12L5 (primary protons which received a vertical kick in XRPH.A6L5 jaw)



Peak energy density in MB.B12L5 and MB.C12L5 coils

Simulation strategy:

 Local snippet of loss map in MB.B12L5 and MB.C12L5 loaded and corresponding shower calculations performed

Figure:

- Peak energy density per nominal bunch in MB.B12L5 and MB.C12L5 coils
- Again, mesh for energy density calculation was: $\Delta r \approx 2 \text{ mm}, \ \Delta \phi = 2^{\circ}, \ \Delta z \approx 10 \text{ cm}$



Energy density in Roman Pot

Figures below:

- Lateral energy density profiles in downstream steel window (bottom left figure) and last Si layer (bottom right figure) of the Roman Pot
- o Energy density is again per nominal bunch impacting the Roman Pot



(日)



Summary and conclusions

- $\circ\,$ Asynchronous dump was studied: beam impact on XRPH.B6L5.B2 with $3\sigma\,$ impact parameter
- Highest energy density is observed in the matching section: for one nominal bunch the simulation predicts
 - $\circ \sim 24 \pm 2 \text{ mJ/cm}^3$ in MQML.6L5 coils and
 - $\circ~\sim\!\!51{\pm}4~mJ/cm^3$ in MQM.A7L5 coils
- Peak values reach up to $\sim 15\pm 2 \text{ mJ/cm}^3$ in the MB.A8L5 of the first DS cell
- Energy density in coils at least an order of magnitude smaller in cells further downstream
- Nuclear elastic and Coulomb interactions as well as single-diffractive scattering in RP can lead to proton losses beyond cell 13L5 or to multi-turn losses:
 - o corresponding loss pattern would require further tracking studies
 - FLUKA coupling with Sixtrack would be available