FLUKA simulations of accidental beam impact on TOTEM Roman Pots: new results (and corrigendum to previous results)

A. Lechner, V. Boccone and F. Cerutti (on behalf of the FLUKA team)

Machine Protection Panel June 22nd, 2012

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > .

Introduction

TOTEM Roman Pots & asynchronous beam dump

Beam kicked by MKDs:

- With the current optics the horizontal Roman Pots cannot be hit by beam, neither B1 nor B2 (D. Wollmann)
- Nevertheless, FLUKA simulation results are presented as a reference (MPP request)



Figure left: FLUKA model of Roman Pot Unit for TOTEM in IR5 Figures right: horizontal cut through XRPH.B6L5 and focus on jaw

Beam at XRPH.B6L5 (-219.551 m from IP5):

σ_X	σ_y
88 μ m	384 µm

Two cases are considered (both in single-passage):

• **CASE 1:** <u>x-offset of beam 2 at XRPH.B6L5 = 7 σ </u> (i.e. 3 σ impact parameter)

Beam traverses different parts of Roman Pot (housing (steel), Si layers); a small fraction of the beam also impacts steel foil at the bottom of the Pot.

Results were presented at last MPP (01/06/2012). Due to an unfortunate error, the presented results were a factor 2 too low; corrected values are presented in the current slides.

• **CASE 2:** <u>x-offset of beam 2 at XRPH.B6L5 = \sim 4.85 σ (i.e. \sim 0.85 σ impact parameter)</u>

Beam impacts directly on steel foil (foil thickness in x = 150 μm , foil length in beam direction = 5 cm); considering the beam size in x, about 60% of particles hit the foil; can be considered the worsed case.

 \rightarrow from a simple calculation of inelastic interaction lengths, one can expect the second case to be 5–6 times worse than the first one



Figure: Illustration of the two impact cases (figure shows the entrance window on the right, the stainless steel foil at the bottom and the first Si layer on the left) $(\Box \succ d \overrightarrow{\Box} \succ d \overrightarrow{\Box}$

Energy deposition in magnets up to MQML.8L5

Figure:

- Peak energy density per nominal bunch $(1.15 \times 10^{11} \text{ p})$ in magnet coils (matching section and first DS cell)
- Dashed lines: CASE 1 (Corrigendum to MPP 01/06/2012), 0 solid lines: CASE 2
- Mesh for energy density calculation in coils: $\Delta r \approx 2$ mm, $\Delta \phi = 2^{\circ}$, $\Delta z \approx 10$ cm 0
- Up to MBs in cell 8L5: energy density in coils dominated by collision debris of inelastic interactions in RP jaw
- CASE 1: highest value in MQM.A7L5: ~101±7 mJ/cm³, 0 CASE 2: highest value in MQM.A7L5: ~547±43 mJ/cm3



3/7

Proton losses in cells 9L5 to 13L5 (CASE 2 only)

Figure:

- Proton loss density per nominal bunch in MB, MQ and MQM magnets of the last three DS cells as well as in cell 12 and 13
- <u>Lighter colours</u>: particles undergoing only elastic interactions in Roman Pot, <u>darker colours</u>: particles undergoing any type of interaction in Roman Pot
- Note: losses outside of MB, MQ, MQM magnets are not shown (i.e. in connection cryostat)

- Loss map calculated with FLUKA using high-energy cut (1 TeV)
- Largest losses occur in MB.C12L5 (primary protons which received a vertical kick in XRPH.A6L5 jaw)



Peak energy density in MB.B(C)12L5 coils (CASE 2 only)

Simulation strategy:

 Local snippet of loss map in MB.B12L5 and MB.C12L5 loaded and corresponding shower calculations performed

Figure:

- Peak energy density per nominal bunch in MB.B12L5 and MB.C12L5 coils
- Again, mesh for energy density calculation was: $\Delta r \approx 2 \text{ mm}, \ \Delta \phi = 2^{\circ}, \ \Delta z \approx 10 \text{ cm}$



Energy density and temperature increase in Roman Pot

Figures on the right:

- Energy density (top figure) and temperture increase (bottom figure) in Roman Pot stainless steel foil
- Values are per nominal bunch impacting the Roman Pot (impact CASE 2)
- $\circ~$ Assumptions for temperature calculation: adiabatic, heat capacity of 0.5 J/g $\,$





Summary and conclusions

• Beam impact on XRPH.B6L5.B2 with $0.85/3\sigma$ impact parameter was studied

- o Both cases give similar energy deposition pattern
- Absolute values depend on the effective material traversed by the beam in each individual case
- For either case, the highest energy density is observed in the matching section
- $\circ~$ Considering 0.85 σ , the simulation predicts for one nominal bunch:
 - $\circ \sim 241 \pm 11 \text{ mJ/cm}^3$ in MQML.6L5 coils and
 - $\circ \sim$ 547±43 mJ/cm³ in MQM.A7L5 coils
- Peak values reach up to $\sim 157 \pm 33 \text{ mJ/cm}^3$ in the MB.A8L5 of the first DS cell
- Energy density in coils at least an order of magnitude smaller in cells further downstream
- Nuclear elastic and Coulomb interactions as well as single-diffractive scattering in RP can lead to proton losses beyond cell 13L5 or to multi-turn losses

(日)