# LHC Injection scheme

What happened on Friday 30 November 2012 ?

Jean-Claude BAU & Ioan Kozsar

12/12/2012

1

### outline

- LHC injection scheme
- Issue detected on Friday 30 November 2012
- Timing improvements
- Monitoring
- Conclusion



#### Issue detected on Friday 30 November 2012 (1)

#### • Issue description:

- The LHC sequencer requested a beam to Ring1.
- The SPS produced correctly the beam with a destination set to TI2 and the beam was well extracted.
- Unexpectedly, the kicker for the LHC injection on ring 2 fired instead of the ring 1 kickers

#### Issue detected on Friday 30 November 2012 (1)

• Cause :

- The selection of the injected ring is managed by a pre-pulse switch
- The switch is controlled by the event HX.RNGI-CT witch contains in its payload the LHC destination



- The event HX.RNGI-CT was not sent because the following constraint has not been respected:
  - SPS.LHCSEQE.NLHCINJ and SIX.FW1KFO-CT must arrive in the same basic period.
- The switch remained in the position it was when the last HX.RNGI-CT arrived

### Improvements (1)

- Constrain the LIC central timing not to let the first SPS injection to occur later than 990ms.
  - This constraint will be applied on all SPS beams
  - Does not solve the problem if we have to inject later in the SPS
- Modify the LHC injection scheme
  - Main goal:
    - Allow to inject in the SPS later
    - Secure the injection sequence

### Improvements (2)



### Improvements(3)

- Modify the LHC injection scheme (2)
  - More flexible. The forewarning can cross the cycle (Previous/Current)
  - Delay a little bit the returned status of the LHC injection request: May delay the next LHC injection request.
  - Implementation could be done during LS1

## Monitoring

- Improvements on the timing part are not enough. We may have to deal with :
  - Unexpected failure in the central timing (LIC or LHC)
  - Timing distribution failures :
    - Timing repeaters down (hardware failure or power cut)
    - Timing cable cut
    - Event lost (noise)

Jean-Claude BAU & Ioan Kozsar

•

# Monitoring (2)

- Monitoring with SIS
  - It could simply verify that the injection sequence is respected. If something is going wrong, the SPS beam should be dumped.
  - It does not guarantee that the timing distribution works well
- Monitoring the timing distribution close to the equipments
  - Case-by-case action
  - Similar things are already done to dump the SPS beam when :
    - A bad timing reception is detected (ms frame missing)
    - The LIC central timing software crashes (a watchdog frame is sent automatically by the hardware)

10

### Conclusion

- The issue is well understood
- Improvements can be done in the timing system
- A monitoring can be done with SIS
- Equipment specialists must not rely on the timing distribution. They have to protect their equipments.

11