

Precise predictions for Higgs production via gluon fusion in BSM scenarios

Elisabetta Furlan
BNL




June 1st 2011




Motivation

- * gluon fusion is the main mechanism for Higgs production at hadron colliders



Motivation


- * gluon fusion is the main mechanism for Higgs production at hadron colliders
- * it is sensitive to any coloured particle that couples to the Higgs, e.g. the top
- * extensions of the SM require new particles which may contribute to gluon fusion



➔ **this channel is very sensitive to new physics effects**


Gluon fusion in the SM

* it is known very precisely...




* ... but it required tough calculations

Harlander, Kilgore; Anastasiou, Melnikov;
Ravindran, Smith, van Neerven



Gluon fusion in the SM

* it is known very precisely...



* ... and it required an heavy-quark effective theory (HQET) approach

⇒ integrating out the top quark



(Chetyrkin, Kniehl, Steinhauser)

Gluon fusion in BSM

- * Only very recent NNLO calculations in some BSM scenarios
scalar octets (Boghezal, Petriello); fourth generation (Anastasioiu, Boghezal, EF;
Anastasioiu, Buehler, EF, Herzog, Lazopoulos); MSSM (Pak, Steihauser, Zerf)
- * The low-energy theory is the same as in SM HQET, but the matching calculation at NNLO is much more complicated:
 - ▶ number of diagrams ($\sim 10^3 - 10^4$)
 - ▶ renormalization (e.g., new vertices)
 - ▶ dependence on multiple mass scales

Separating new physics

* we can construct an effective theory that contains only the light degrees of freedom of the Standard Model


→ particles that are heavier than half the Higgs mass are integrated out

⇒ obtain an effective gluon-gluon-Higgs vertex

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = -\frac{\alpha_s}{4v} C H G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{a\mu\nu}$$

$$\left(C_0 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right) C_1 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 C_2 + \dots \right)$$

depends on the specific model




light-flavour QCD only!

Four-generation SM

(Anastasoiu, Boughezal, EF; Anastasoiu, Buehler, EF, Herzog, Lazopoulos)

- * we have the tools to compute the Higgs production cross section via gluon fusion at the same level of accuracy as in the SM
- * “complication”: at NNLO we have diagrams containing two different heavy quarks




➔ integrals with up to two, different, massive propagators

Four-generation SM

(Anastasoiu, Boughezal, EF; Anastasoiu, Buehler, EF, Herzog, Lazopoulos)

- * the NNLO cross section is 10-15% higher than the NLO cross section
- * the theoretical error decreases from 20-30% at NLO to 10% at NNLO
- * these results can be used by the experimental collaborations to put accurate constraints on the mass of the Higgs boson in a four-generation SM



Composite Higgs models

Georgi, Kaplan; Contino, Nomura, Pomarol;
Agashe, Contino, Pomarol; Contino, Da Rold, Pomarol

- * class of models that address the hierarchy problem
 - * there is a new, strongly interacting sector responsible for EWSB
 - * quarks get their masses through mixing with composite fermions of the new sector
-
- ➔ heavy quarks are largely “composite”, so they couple more with the Higgs boson than light quarks
 - ➔ couplings to the Higgs boson are reduced with respect to the Standard Model
 - ➔ we have new heavy quarks that couple to the Higgs boson
- } does the Higgs production cross section decrease or increase?

Higgs production

- * we can compute the Higgs production cross section through NNLO
- * differences with the Standard Model calculation:

➔ two different mass scales can appear together at NNLO

➔ Higgs-quark vertex:



▶ we need to understand how this vertex renormalizes

Higgs production

- * confirm the 30-35% LO suppression (Falkowski) with respect to the Standard Model through NNLO

- ▶ for $m_h = 120 \text{ GeV}$,

$$\sigma_{SM} = 17.6 \text{ pb}$$

while σ_{CH} is in the range $5.9 - 6.4 \text{ pb}$

- * as in the SM, the scale variation error reduces from $\begin{matrix} +(27 \div 33)\% \\ -(19 \div 23)\% \end{matrix}$ at LO
to $\begin{matrix} +(6 \div 12)\% \\ -(7 \div 10)\% \end{matrix}$ at NNLO

Higgs production

- * K-factors are similar to the Standard Model

LHC, 7 TeV	SM	CHM
$\frac{\sigma_{tbew}^{NLO}}{\sigma_{tbew}^{LO}}$	+ 75%	+ 77 - 78%
$\frac{\sigma_{tbew}^{NNLO}}{\sigma_{tbew}^{LO}}$	+ 106%	+ 108 - 110%

- * bottom-quark and two-loop electroweak corrections are more important than in the Standard Model

	SM	CHM
$\frac{\sigma_{tb}^{LO} - \sigma_t^{LO}}{\sigma_t^{LO}}$	- 7%	- 10%
$\frac{\sigma_{tb}^{NLO} - \sigma_t^{NLO}}{\sigma_t^{NLO}}$	- 4%	- 6%
$\frac{\sigma_{tew} - \sigma_t}{\sigma_t}$	+ 5%	+ 7%

Conclusions

- * the Higgs boson is likely to come with some new physics
- * many viable BSM theories exist, and many need to introduce new, coloured particles
- * they can significantly affect the gluon-fusion cross section
- * we adopt an effective theory approach to disentangle new physics from light-flavour QCD
- * we have automatized the matching procedure for BSM models through NNLO
- * examples of applications: four-generation Standard Model, composite Higgs model