

Finite Subgroups of Transformation Groups

SwissMAP Young Group theorists workshop: exploring new connections

Dávid R. Szabó

`szabo.david#renyi,hu`

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Budapest, Hungary

Les Diablerets, Switzerland

September 6, 2022

Outline

- 1 Geometric motivation
- 2 Structure results of class 2 nilpotent groups

Outline

- 1 Geometric motivation
- 2 Structure results of class 2 nilpotent groups

A classical theorem

Question (Old for warm-up)

What are the (isomorphism classes of) *finite* subgroups of $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$?

Answer (F. Klein): Cyclic central extensions of

- family 1: cyclic groups, C_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}_+$) or [index 1]
- family 2: dihedral groups, D_{2n} ($n \in \mathbb{N}_+$) or [index 2]
- 3 other groups: A_4, A_5, S_5 [index ≤ 5]

Full list is not easy. Note: these are '*almost*' abelian: \exists normal abelian subgroup, index $\leq 5!$.

What about $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$? Full classification is hard, but...

Theorem (C. Jordan, 1872)

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \quad \forall$ finite $F \leq GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is '*almost abelian*', i.e. $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is Jordan, i.e.

$\exists J_n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \quad \forall F \quad \exists$ abelian $A \triangleleft F$ with $|F : A| \leq J_n$.

So $F =$ extension of [an abelian group] by [some other one from a *finite* list].

A classical theorem

Question (Old for warm-up)

What are the (isomorphism classes of) *finite* subgroups of $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$?

Answer (F. Klein): Cyclic central extensions of

- family 1: cyclic groups, C_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}_+$) or [index 1]
- family 2: dihedral groups, D_{2n} ($n \in \mathbb{N}_+$) or [index 2]
- 3 other groups: A_4, A_5, S_5 [index $\leq 5!$]

Full list is not easy. Note: these are '*almost*' abelian: \exists normal abelian subgroup, index $\leq 5!$.

What about $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$? Full classification is hard, but...

Theorem (C. Jordan, 1872)

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \quad \forall$ finite $F \leq GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is '*almost abelian*', i.e. $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is Jordan, i.e.
 $\exists J_n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \quad \forall F \quad \exists$ abelian $A \triangleleft F$ with $|F : A| \leq J_n$.

So $F =$ extension of [an abelian group] by [some other one from a *finite* list].

A classical theorem

Question (Old for warm-up)

What are the (isomorphism classes of) *finite* subgroups of $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$?

Answer (F. Klein): Cyclic central extensions of

- family 1: cyclic groups, C_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}_+$) or [index 1]
- family 2: dihedral groups, D_{2n} ($n \in \mathbb{N}_+$) or [index 2]
- 3 other groups: A_4, A_5, S_5 [index $\leq 5!$]

Full list is not easy. Note: these are '*almost*' abelian: \exists normal abelian subgroup, index $\leq 5!$.

What about $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$? Full classification is hard, but...

Theorem (C. Jordan, 1877)

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \quad \forall \text{ finite } F \leq GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \text{ is 'almost abelian', i.e. } GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \text{ is Jordan, i.e.}$

$\exists J_n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \quad \forall F \quad \exists \text{ abelian } A \triangleleft F \text{ with } |F : A| \leq J_n.$

So $F =$ extension of [an abelian group] by [some other one from a *finite* list].

A classical theorem

Question (Old for warm-up)

What are the (isomorphism classes of) *finite* subgroups of $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$?

Answer (F. Klein): Cyclic central extensions of

- family 1: cyclic groups, C_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}_+$) or [index 1]
- family 2: dihedral groups, D_{2n} ($n \in \mathbb{N}_+$) or [index 2]
- 3 other groups: A_4, A_5, S_5 [index $\leq 5!$]

Full list is not easy. Note: these are '*almost*' abelian: \exists normal abelian subgroup, index $\leq 5!$.

What about $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$? Full classification is hard, but...

Theorem (C. Jordan, 1877)

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \quad \forall \text{ finite } F \leq GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \text{ is 'almost abelian', i.e. } GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \text{ is Jordan, i.e.}$

$\exists J_n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \quad \forall F \quad \exists \text{ abelian } A \triangleleft F \text{ with } |F : A| \leq J_n.$

So $F =$ extension of [an abelian group] by [some other one from a finite list].

A classical theorem

Question (Old for warm-up)

What are the (isomorphism classes of) *finite* subgroups of $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$?

Answer (F. Klein): Cyclic central extensions of

- family 1: cyclic groups, C_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}_+$) or [index 1]
- family 2: dihedral groups, D_{2n} ($n \in \mathbb{N}_+$) or [index 2]
- 3 other groups: A_4, A_5, S_5 [index $\leq 5!$]

Full list is not easy. Note: these are '*almost*' abelian: \exists normal abelian subgroup, index $\leq 5!$.

What about $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$? Full classification is hard, but...

Theorem (C. Jordan, 1877)

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \quad \forall \text{ finite } F \leq GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \text{ is 'almost abelian', i.e. } GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \text{ is Jordan, i.e.}$
 $\exists J_n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \quad \forall F \quad \exists \text{ abelian } A \triangleleft F \text{ with } |F : A| \leq J_n.$

So $F =$ extension of [an abelian group] by [some other one from a finite list].

A classical theorem

Question (Old for warm-up)

What are the (isomorphism classes of) *finite* subgroups of $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$?

Answer (F. Klein): Cyclic central extensions of

- family 1: cyclic groups, C_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}_+$) or [index 1]
- family 2: dihedral groups, D_{2n} ($n \in \mathbb{N}_+$) or [index 2]
- 3 other groups: A_4, A_5, S_5 [index $\leq 5!$]

Full list is not easy. Note: these are '*almost*' abelian: \exists normal abelian subgroup, index $\leq 5!$.

What about $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$? Full classification is hard, but...

Theorem (C. Jordan, 1877)

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \quad \forall \text{ finite } F \leq GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \text{ is 'almost abelian', i.e. } GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \text{ is Jordan, i.e.}$
 $\exists J_n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \quad \forall F \quad \exists \text{ abelian } A \triangleleft F \text{ with } |F : A| \leq J_n.$

So $F =$ extension of [an abelian group] by [some other one from a finite list].

A classical theorem

Question (Old for warm-up)

What are the (isomorphism classes of) *finite* subgroups of $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$?

Answer (F. Klein): Cyclic central extensions of

- family 1: cyclic groups, C_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}_+$) or [index 1]
- family 2: dihedral groups, D_{2n} ($n \in \mathbb{N}_+$) or [index 2]
- 3 other groups: A_4, A_5, S_5 [index $\leq 5!$]

Full list is not easy. Note: these are '*almost*' abelian: \exists normal abelian subgroup, index $\leq 5!$.

What about $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$? Full classification is hard, but...

Theorem (C. Jordan, 1877)

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \quad \forall \text{ finite } F \leq GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \text{ is 'almost abelian', i.e. } GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \text{ is Jordan, i.e.}$
 $\exists J_n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \quad \forall F \quad \exists \text{ abelian } A \triangleleft F \text{ with } |F : A| \leq J_n.$

So $F =$ extension of [an abelian group] by [some other one from a finite list].

Analogous problem for transformation groups

$GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightsquigarrow$ “transformation group” G :

- $\Omega \in \{\text{compact manifolds, algebraic varieties}\}$
- faithful action via homeomorphisms/diffeomorphisms, resp. birational automorphisms

Question (Q1)

Are all finite subgroups of every transformation group are ‘almost’ **abelian**?

- Past two decades: positive answer for many Ω 's (Mundet, Zimmermann, Serre, Popov, Prokhorov, Shramov etc).
- But negative for some Ω 's (from 2014 Csikós – Pyber – E. Szabó, Zarhin, Sz)
Idea: action of infinite family of finite Heisenberg, special p -groups (nilpotent of class 2)

Therefore G is *not* Jordan.

Analogous problem for transformation groups

$GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightsquigarrow$ “transformation group” G :

- $\Omega \in \{\text{compact manifolds, algebraic varieties}\}$
- faithful action via homeomorphisms/diffeomorphisms, resp. birational automorphisms

Question (Q1)

Are all finite subgroups of every transformation group are ‘almost’ **abelian**?

- Past two decades: positive answer for many Ω 's (Mundet, Zimmermann, Serre, Popov, Prokhorov, Shramov etc).
- But negative for some Ω 's (from 2014 Csikós – Pyber – E. Szabó, Zarhin, Sz)
Idea: action of infinite family of finite Heisenberg, special p -groups (nilpotent of class 2)

Therefore G is *not* Jordan.

Analogous problem for transformation groups

$GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightsquigarrow$ “transformation group” G :

- $\Omega \in \{\text{compact manifolds, algebraic varieties}\}$
- faithful action via homeomorphisms/diffeomorphisms, resp. birational automorphisms

Question (Q1)

Are all finite subgroups of every transformation group are ‘almost’ **abelian**?

- Past two decades: positive answer for many Ω 's (Mundet, Zimmermann, Serre, Popov, Prokhorov, Shramov etc).
- But negative for some Ω 's (from 2014 Csikós – Pyber – E. Szabó, Zarhin, Sz)
Idea: action of infinite family of finite Heisenberg, special p -groups (nilpotent of class 2)

Therefore G is *not* Jordan.

Analogous problem for transformation groups

$GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightsquigarrow$ “transformation group” G :

- $\Omega \in \{\text{compact manifolds, algebraic varieties}\}$
- faithful action via homeomorphisms/diffeomorphisms, resp. birational automorphisms

Question (Q1)

Are all finite subgroups of every transformation group are ‘almost’ **abelian**?

- Past two decades: positive answer for many Ω 's (Mundet, Zimmermann, Serre, Popov, Prokhorov, Shramov etc).
- But negative for some Ω 's (from 2014 Csikós – Pyber – E. Szabó, Zarhin, Sz)
Idea: action of infinite family of finite Heisenberg, special p -groups (nilpotent of class 2)

Therefore G is *not* Jordan.

Analogous problem for transformation groups

$GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightsquigarrow$ “transformation group” G :

- $\Omega \in \{\text{compact manifolds, algebraic varieties}\}$
- faithful action via homeomorphisms/diffeomorphisms, resp. birational automorphisms

Question (Q1)

Are all finite subgroups of every transformation group are ‘almost’ **abelian**?

- Past two decades: positive answer for many Ω 's (Mundet, Zimmermann, Serre, Popov, Prokhorov, Shramov etc).
- But negative for some Ω 's (from 2014 Csikós – Pyber – E. Szabó, Zarhin, Sz)
Idea: action of infinite family of finite Heisenberg, special p -groups (nilpotent of class 2)

Therefore G is *not* Jordan.

Break – a natural local question ;)



Figure: Landscape at Le Lechere this afternoon

Break – a natural local question ;)



Figure: Landscape at Le Lechere this afternoon

How *close*(=*près*) is G to being *Jordan*?

Recent development

Are finite subgroups of every transformation group G 'almost' [family of groups to be found]?
Yes!

Theorem

- birational automorphisms: *nilpotent of class ≤ 2* [Guld, '20]
- homeomorphisms: *nilpotent* [Csikós – Pyber – E. Szabó, '22]

True for some smaller family of groups?

Theorem (Sz's thesis, 2022)

- birational automorphisms: *the theorem is optimal*
- homeomorphisms: *lower bound: nilpotent of class ≤ 2 (conjecture: sharp)*

Idea: action of *all class 2 groups* in both cases.

Tools: *common group theory core* for both cases (+ cohomologies, sheaves, number theory)

Recent development

Are finite subgroups of every transformation group G 'almost' [family of groups to be found]?
Yes!

Theorem

- birational automorphisms: *nilpotent of class ≤ 2* [Guld, '20]
- homeomorphisms: *nilpotent* [Csikós – Pyber – E. Szabó, '22]

True for some smaller family of groups?

Theorem (Sz's thesis, 2022)

- birational automorphisms: *the theorem is optimal*
- homeomorphisms: *lower bound: nilpotent of class ≤ 2 (conjecture: sharp)*

Idea: action of *all class 2* groups in both cases.

Tools: *common group theory core* for both cases (+ cohomologies, sheaves, number theory)

Recent development

Are finite subgroups of every transformation group G 'almost' [family of groups to be found]?
Yes!

Theorem

- *birational automorphisms*: nilpotent of class ≤ 2 [Guld, '20]
- *homeomorphisms*: nilpotent [Csikós – Pyber – E. Szabó, '22]

True for some smaller family of groups?

Theorem (Sz's thesis, 2022)

- *birational automorphisms*: the theorem is optimal
- *homeomorphisms*: lower bound: nilpotent of class ≤ 2 (conjecture: sharp)

Idea: action of all class 2 groups in both cases.

Tools: common group theory core for both cases (+ cohomologies, sheaves, number theory)

Recent development

Are finite subgroups of every transformation group G 'almost' [family of groups to be found]?
Yes!

Theorem

- *birational automorphisms*: nilpotent of class ≤ 2 [Guld, '20]
- *homeomorphisms*: nilpotent [Csikós – Pyber – E. Szabó, '22]

True for some smaller family of groups?

Theorem (Sz's thesis, 2022)

- *birational automorphisms*: the theorem is optimal
- *homeomorphisms*: lower bound: nilpotent of class ≤ 2 (conjecture: sharp)

Idea: action of all class 2 groups in both cases.

Tools: common group theory core for both cases (+ cohomologies, sheaves, number theory)

Recent development

Are finite subgroups of every transformation group G 'almost' [family of groups to be found]?
Yes!

Theorem

- *birational automorphisms*: nilpotent of class ≤ 2 [Guld, '20]
- *homeomorphisms*: nilpotent [Csikós – Pyber – E. Szabó, '22]

True for some smaller family of groups?

Theorem (Sz's thesis, 2022)

- *birational automorphisms*: the theorem is optimal
- *homeomorphisms*: lower bound: nilpotent of class ≤ 2 (conjecture: sharp)

Idea: action of all class 2 groups in both cases.

Tools: common group theory core for both cases (+ cohomologies, sheaves, number theory)

Outline

- 1 Geometric motivation
- 2 Structure results of class 2 nilpotent groups

Central product decomposition

Let G be a finite (ly generated) nilpotent group of class ≤ 2 (i.e. $G' \subseteq Z(G)$).

Previous counterexamples to Q1

- work for $G' \subseteq Z(G)$ cyclic
- can be extended to *all* finite 2-generated class ≤ 2 groups
- can be naturally combined using central products (on external tensor product of bundles)

This and the structural description of special p -groups lead to an independent rediscovery of

Theorem (\approx Cheng, '82)

- \exists **subdirect product** $G \leq \prod_{i=1}^{d(Z(G))} H_i$ where H_i cyclic. (Done by induction.)
- \exists **central product** $H_i = Z_i \curlywedge E_{i,1} \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge E_{i, \frac{1}{2}d(H_i/Z(H_i))} : Z_i \leq Z(H_i), E_{i,j}$ 2-gen'd, class 2.

(Recall central product: $H = K_1 \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge K_n$ if $H = K_1 \dots K_n$ and $[K_i, K_j] = 1$ for $i \neq j$.)

- \exists classification of these building blocks $E_{i,j}$, cf. [Ahmad-Magidin-Morse, '12] finite p case.
- $\log_p \#(\text{groups of order } p^n \text{ of class } 2) = \frac{2}{27}n^3 - \frac{12}{27}n^2$ [Higman '60]
- $\log_p \#(\text{groups of order } p^n) = \frac{2}{27}n^3 + O(n^{8/3})$ [Sims, '65]

Central product decomposition

Let G be a finite(ly generated) nilpotent group of class ≤ 2 (i.e. $G' \subseteq Z(G)$).

Previous counterexamples to **Q1**

- work for $G' \subseteq Z(G)$ cyclic
- can be extended to *all* finite 2-generated class ≤ 2 groups
- can be naturally combined using central products (on external tensor product of bundles)

This and the structural description of special p -groups lead to an independent rediscovery of

Theorem (\approx Cheng, '82)

- \exists **subdirect product** $G \leq \prod_{i=1}^{d(Z(G))} H_i$ where H_i' cyclic. (Done by induction.)
- \exists **central product** $H_i = Z_i \curlywedge E_{i,1} \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge E_{i,\frac{1}{2}d(H_i/Z(H_i))} : Z_i \leq Z(H_i), E_{i,j}$ 2-gen'd, class 2.

(Recall central product: $H = K_1 \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge K_n$ if $H = K_1 \dots K_n$ and $[K_i, K_j] = 1$ for $i \neq j$.)

- \exists classification of these building blocks $E_{i,j}$, cf. [Ahmad-Magidin-Morse, '12] finite p case.
- $\log_p \#(\text{groups of order } p^n \text{ of class 2}) = \frac{2}{27}n^3 - \frac{12}{27}n^2$ [Higman '60]
- $\log_p \#(\text{groups of order } p^n \text{ of class } \leq 2) = \frac{2}{27}n^3 + O(n^{2/3})$ [Sims, '65]

Central product decomposition

Let G be a finite(ly generated) nilpotent group of class ≤ 2 (i.e. $G' \subseteq Z(G)$).

Previous counterexamples to **Q1**

- work for $G' \subseteq Z(G)$ cyclic
- can be extended to *all* finite 2-generated class ≤ 2 groups
- can be naturally combined using central products (on external tensor product of bundles)

This and the structural description of special p -groups lead to an independent rediscovery of

Theorem (\approx Cheng, '82)

- ① \exists **subdirect product** $G \leq \prod_{i=1}^{d(Z(G))} H_i$ where H_i' cyclic. (Done by induction.)
- ② \exists **central product** $H_i = Z_i \curlywedge E_{i,1} \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge E_{i, \frac{1}{2}d(H_i/Z(H_i))} : Z_i \leq Z(H_i), E_{i,j}$ 2-gen'd, class 2.

(Recall central product: $H = K_1 \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge K_n$ if $H = K_1 \dots K_n$ and $[K_i, K_j] = 1$ for $i \neq j$.)

- \exists classification of these building blocks $E_{i,j}$, cf. [Ahmad-Magidin-Morse, '12] finite p case.
- $\log_p \#(\text{groups of order } p^n \text{ of class 2}) = \frac{2}{27}n^3 - \frac{12}{27}n^2$ [Higman '60]
- $\log_p \#(\text{groups of order } p^n \text{ of class } \leq 2) = \frac{2}{27}n^3 + O(n^{2/3})$ [Sims, '65]

Central product decomposition

Let G be a finite(ly generated) nilpotent group of class ≤ 2 (i.e. $G' \subseteq Z(G)$).

Previous counterexamples to **Q1**

- work for $G' \subseteq Z(G)$ cyclic
- can be extended to *all* finite 2-generated class ≤ 2 groups
- can be naturally combined using central products (on external tensor product of bundles)

This and the structural description of special p -groups lead to an independent rediscovery of

Theorem (\approx Cheng, '82)

- ① \exists **subdirect product** $G \leq \prod_{i=1}^{d(Z(G))} H_i$ where H_i' cyclic. (Done by induction.)
- ② \exists **central product** $H_i = Z_i \curlywedge E_{i,1} \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge E_{i,\frac{1}{2}d(H_i/Z(H_i))} : Z_i \leq Z(H_i), E_{i,j}$ 2-gen'd, class 2.

(Recall central product: $H = K_1 \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge K_n$ if $H = K_1 \dots K_n$ and $[K_i, K_j] = 1$ for $i \neq j$.)

- \exists classification of these building blocks $E_{i,j}$, cf. [Ahmad–Magidin–Morse, '12] finite p case.
- $\log_p \#(\text{groups of order } p^n \text{ of class 2}) = \frac{2}{27}n^3 - \frac{12}{27}n^2$ [Higman '60]
- $\log_p \#(\text{groups of order } p^n) = \frac{2}{27}n^3 + O(n^{2/3})$ [Sims, '65]

Central product decomposition

Let G be a finite (ly generated) nilpotent group of class ≤ 2 (i.e. $G' \subseteq Z(G)$).

Previous counterexamples to **Q1**

- work for $G' \subseteq Z(G)$ cyclic
- can be extended to *all* finite 2-generated class ≤ 2 groups
- can be naturally combined using central products (on external tensor product of bundles)

This and the structural description of special p -groups lead to an independent rediscovery of

Theorem (\approx Cheng, '82)

- ① \exists **subdirect product** $G \leq \prod_{i=1}^{d(Z(G))} H_i$ where H_i' cyclic. (Done by induction.)
- ② \exists **central product** $H_i = Z_i \curlywedge E_{i,1} \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge E_{i, \frac{1}{2}d(H_i/Z(H_i))}$: $Z_i \leq Z(H_i)$, $E_{i,j}$ 2-gen'd, class 2.

(Recall central product: $H = K_1 \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge K_n$ if $H = K_1 \dots K_n$ and $[K_i, K_j] = 1$ for $i \neq j$.)

- \exists classification of these building blocks $E_{i,j}$, cf. [Ahmad–Magidin–Morse, '12] finite p case.
- $\log_p \#(\text{groups of order } p^n \text{ of class 2}) = \frac{2}{27}n^3 - \frac{12}{27}n^2$ [Higman '60]
- $\log_p \#(\text{groups of order } p^n) = \frac{2}{27}n^3 + O(n^{8/3})$ [Sims, '65]

Central product decomposition

Let G be a finite(ly generated) nilpotent group of class ≤ 2 (i.e. $G' \subseteq Z(G)$).

Previous counterexamples to **Q1**

- work for $G' \subseteq Z(G)$ cyclic
- can be extended to *all* finite 2-generated class ≤ 2 groups
- can be naturally combined using central products (on external tensor product of bundles)

This and the structural description of special p -groups lead to an independent rediscovery of

Theorem (\approx Cheng, '82)

- 1 \exists **subdirect product** $G \leq \prod_{i=1}^{d(Z(G))} H_i$ where H_i' cyclic. (Done by induction.)
- 2 \exists **central product** $H_i = Z_i \curlywedge E_{i,1} \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge E_{i, \frac{1}{2}d(H_i/Z(H_i))} : Z_i \leq Z(H_i), E_{i,j}$ 2-gen'd, class 2.

(Recall central product: $H = K_1 \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge K_n$ if $H = K_1 \dots K_n$ and $[K_i, K_j] = 1$ for $i \neq j$.)

- \exists classification of these building blocks $E_{i,j}$, cf. [Ahmad–Magidin–Morse, '12] finite p case.
- $\log_p \#(\text{groups of order } p^n \text{ of class 2}) = \frac{2}{27}n^3 - \frac{12}{27}n^2$ [Higman '60]
- $\log_p \#(\text{groups of order } p^n) = \frac{2}{27}n^3 + \mathcal{O}(n^{8/3})$ [Sims, '65]

Central product decomposition

Let G be a finite (ly generated) nilpotent group of class ≤ 2 (i.e. $G' \subseteq Z(G)$).

Previous counterexamples to **Q1**

- work for $G' \subseteq Z(G)$ cyclic
- can be extended to *all* finite 2-generated class ≤ 2 groups
- can be naturally combined using central products (on external tensor product of bundles)

This and the structural description of special p -groups lead to an independent rediscovery of

Theorem (\approx Cheng, '82)

- 1 \exists **subdirect product** $G \leq \prod_{i=1}^{d(Z(G))} H_i$ where H_i' cyclic. (Done by induction.)
- 2 \exists **central product** $H_i = Z_i \curlywedge E_{i,1} \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge E_{i, \frac{1}{2}d(H_i/Z(H_i))} : Z_i \leq Z(H_i), E_{i,j}$ 2-gen'd, class 2.

(Recall central product: $H = K_1 \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge K_n$ if $H = K_1 \dots K_n$ and $[K_i, K_j] = 1$ for $i \neq j$.)

- \exists classification of these building blocks $E_{i,j}$, cf. [Ahmad–Magidin–Morse, '12] finite p case.
- $\log_p \#(\text{groups of order } p^n \text{ of class 2}) = \frac{2}{27}n^3 - \frac{12}{27}n^2$ [Higman '60]
- $\log_p \#(\text{groups of order } p^n) = \frac{2}{27}n^3 + \mathcal{O}(n^{8/3})$ [Sims, '65]

Central product decomposition

Let G be a finite (ly generated) nilpotent group of class ≤ 2 (i.e. $G' \subseteq Z(G)$).

Previous counterexamples to **Q1**

- work for $G' \subseteq Z(G)$ cyclic
- can be extended to *all* finite 2-generated class ≤ 2 groups
- can be naturally combined using central products (on external tensor product of bundles)

This and the structural description of special p -groups lead to an independent rediscovery of

Theorem (\approx Cheng, '82)

- 1 \exists **subdirect product** $G \leq \prod_{i=1}^{d(Z(G))} H_i$ where H_i' cyclic. (Done by induction.)
- 2 \exists **central product** $H_i = Z_i \curlywedge E_{i,1} \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge E_{i, \frac{1}{2}d(H_i/Z(H_i))} : Z_i \leq Z(H_i), E_{i,j}$ 2-gen'd, class 2.

(Recall central product: $H = K_1 \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge K_n$ if $H = K_1 \dots K_n$ and $[K_i, K_j] = 1$ for $i \neq j$.)

- \exists classification of these building blocks $E_{i,j}$, cf. [Ahmad–Magidin–Morse, '12] finite p case.
- $\log_p \#(\text{groups of order } p^n \text{ of class 2}) = \frac{2}{27}n^3 - \frac{12}{27}n^2$ [Higman '60]
- $\log_p \#(\text{groups of order } p^n) = \frac{2}{27}n^3 + \mathcal{O}(n^{8/3})$ [Sims, '65]

Idea for the Decomposition Theorem

Our proof (of $\exists H = Z \curlywedge E_1 \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge E_t$): works for all orders (prime or not, odd or even, finite or infinite) and gives bounds to the ranks (needed for the Motivation)

- Consider the commutator map $[-, -] : H \times H \rightarrow H'$.
 - ① $[H', h] = [h, H'] = 1$
 - ② $[h, h] = 1$
 - ③ $[h_1 h_2, k] = [h_1, k]^{h_2} [h_2, k] = [h_1, k][h_2, k]$ (class 2)
 - ④ Similarly $[-, -]$ is a homomorphism in the other argument too
- Define \mathbb{Z} -modules: $M = H/H'$ and $C = H' \subseteq Z(H)$.
 $[-, -]$ descends to an alternating \mathbb{Z} -bilinear $\omega : M \times M \rightarrow C$, cf. symplectic vector spaces
- Done by an alternating version of Smith normal form (C is cyclic): $\exists d_1 | \dots | d_t \neq 0$ in C

$$[\omega] = W = -W^T \in C^{n \times n} \sim \text{diag} \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_Z, \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_1 \\ -d_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{E_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_t \\ -d_t & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{E_t} \right)$$



Idea for the Decomposition Theorem

Our proof (of $\exists H = Z \curlywedge E_1 \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge E_t$): works for all orders (prime or not, odd or even, finite or infinite) and gives bounds to the ranks (needed for the Motivation)

- Consider the commutator map $[-, -] : H \times H \rightarrow H'$.
 - ① $[H', h] = [h, H'] = 1$ [descends]
 - ② $[h, h] = 1$ [alternating]
 - ③ $[h_1 h_2, k] = [h_1, k]^{h_2} [h_2, k] = [h_1, k][h_2, k]$ (class 2) [linear in 1st argument]
 - ④ Similarly $[-, -]$ is a homomorphism in the other argument too [linear in 2nd argument]
- Define \mathbb{Z} -modules: $M = H/H'$ and $C = H' \subseteq Z(H)$.
 $[-, -]$ descends to an alternating \mathbb{Z} -bilinear $\omega : M \times M \rightarrow C$, cf. symplectic vector spaces
- Done by an alternating version of Smith normal form (C is cyclic): $\exists d_1 \mid \dots \mid d_t \neq 0$ in C

$$[\omega] = W = -W^T \in C^{n \times n} \sim \text{diag} \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_Z, \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_1 \\ -d_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{E_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_t \\ -d_t & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{E_t} \right)$$



Idea for the Decomposition Theorem

Our proof (of $\exists H = Z \curlywedge E_1 \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge E_t$): works for all orders (prime or not, odd or even, finite or infinite) and gives bounds to the ranks (needed for the Motivation)

- Consider the commutator map $[-, -] : H \times H \rightarrow H'$.
 - ① $[H', h] = [h, H'] = 1$ [descends]
 - ② $[h, h] = 1$ [alternating]
 - ③ $[h_1 h_2, k] = [h_1, k]^{h_2} [h_2, k] = [h_1, k][h_2, k]$ (class 2) [linear in 1st argument]
 - ④ Similarly $[-, -]$ is a homomorphism in the other argument too [linear in 2nd argument]
- Define \mathbb{Z} -modules: $M = H/H'$ and $C = H' \subseteq Z(H)$.
 $[-, -]$ descends to an alternating \mathbb{Z} -bilinear $\omega : M \times M \rightarrow C$, cf. symplectic vector spaces
- Done by an alternating version of Smith normal form (C is cyclic): $\exists d_1 \mid \dots \mid d_t \neq 0$ in C

$$[\omega] = W = -W^T \in C^{n \times n} \sim \text{diag} \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_Z, \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_1 \\ -d_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{E_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_t \\ -d_t & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{E_t} \right)$$



Idea for the Decomposition Theorem

Our proof (of $\exists H = Z \curlywedge E_1 \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge E_t$): works for all orders (prime or not, odd or even, finite or infinite) and gives bounds to the ranks (needed for the Motivation)

- Consider the commutator map $[-, -] : H \times H \rightarrow H'$.
 - ① $[H', h] = [h, H'] = 1$ [descends]
 - ② $[h, h] = 1$ [alternating]
 - ③ $[h_1 h_2, k] = [h_1, k]^{h_2} [h_2, k] = [h_1, k][h_2, k]$ (class 2) [linear in 1st argument]
 - ④ Similarly $[-, -]$ is a homomorphism in the other argument too [linear in 2nd argument]
- Define \mathbb{Z} -modules: $M = H/H'$ and $C = H' \subseteq Z(H)$.
 $[-, -]$ descends to an alternating \mathbb{Z} -bilinear $\omega : M \times M \rightarrow C$, cf. symplectic vector spaces
- Done by an alternating version of Smith normal form (C is cyclic): $\exists d_1 \mid \dots \mid d_t \neq 0$ in C

$$[\omega] = W = -W^T \in C^{n \times n} \sim \text{diag} \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_Z, \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_1 \\ -d_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{E_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_t \\ -d_t & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{E_t} \right)$$



Idea for the Decomposition Theorem

Our proof (of $\exists H = Z \curlywedge E_1 \curlywedge \dots \curlywedge E_t$): works for all orders (prime or not, odd or even, finite or infinite) and gives bounds to the ranks (needed for the Motivation)

- Consider the commutator map $[-, -] : H \times H \rightarrow H'$.
 - ① $[H', h] = [h, H'] = 1$ [descends]
 - ② $[h, h] = 1$ [alternating]
 - ③ $[h_1 h_2, k] = [h_1, k]^{h_2} [h_2, k] = [h_1, k][h_2, k]$ (class 2) [linear in 1st argument]
 - ④ Similarly $[-, -]$ is a homomorphism in the other argument too [linear in 2nd argument]
- Define \mathbb{Z} -modules: $M = H/H'$ and $C = H' \subseteq Z(H)$.
 $[-, -]$ descends to an alternating \mathbb{Z} -bilinear $\omega : M \times M \rightarrow C$, cf. symplectic vector spaces
- Done by an alternating version of Smith normal form (C is cyclic): $\exists d_1 \mid \dots \mid d_t \neq 0$ in C

$$[\omega] = W = -W^T \in C^{n \times n} \sim \text{diag} \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_Z, \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_1 \\ -d_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{E_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_t \\ -d_t & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{E_t} \right)$$



Embedding to concrete Heisenberg groups

Corollary of Theorem: one can construct a certain action of G on invertible sheaves.

Mumford ('66) classified finite subgroups of these automorphisms using matrix groups giving

Corollary (cf. Magidin (98) for more general, less concrete statement)

\exists 'multiplication' $\mu_G : A \times B \rightarrow \hat{C}$ between (bounded rank) abelian groups: $G \hookrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & \hat{C} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Algebraic geometry and Mumford's classification can be avoided from proof above:

- Theorem part 1: may assume G' is cyclic.
- Theorem part 2: $\hat{M} = G'/Z(G')$ has (a complex) $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ -module structure, ω non-degenerate.
- \exists decomposition $\hat{M} = A + iA$. Set $B = iA$, $\mu_G = \omega|_{A \times B}$ (for some suitable $\hat{C} \supseteq G'$). \square

How to get the realising actions of G from Motivation?

- \hat{M} also has $\hat{C}[i]$ -module structure
- \exists 'Hermitian form' h (over a commutative ring) on \hat{M} with $\Im h = \omega$
- \exists natural geometric space associated to h on which $G \subseteq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & \hat{C} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ acts

Embedding to concrete Heisenberg groups

Corollary of Theorem: one can construct a certain action of G on invertible sheaves.

Mumford ('66) classified finite subgroups of these automorphisms using matrix groups giving

Corollary (cf. Magidin (98) for more general, less concrete statement)

\exists 'multiplication' $\mu_G : A \times B \rightarrow \hat{C}$ between (bounded rank) abelian groups: $G \hookrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & \hat{C} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Algebraic geometry and Mumford's classification can be avoided from proof above:

- Theorem part 1: may assume G' is cyclic.
- Theorem part 2: $\hat{M} = G/Z(G)$ has (a complex) $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ -module structure, ω non-degenerate
- \exists decomposition $\hat{M} = A + iA$. Set $B = iA$, $\mu_G = \omega|_{A \times B}$ (for some suitable $\hat{C} \supseteq G'$). \square

How to get the realising actions of G from Motivation?

- \hat{M} also has $\hat{C}[i]$ -module structure
- \exists 'Hermitian form' h (over a commutative ring) on \hat{M} with $\Im h = \omega$
- \exists natural geometric space associated to h on which $G \subseteq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & \hat{C} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ acts

Embedding to concrete Heisenberg groups

Corollary of Theorem: one can construct a certain action of G on invertible sheaves.

Mumford ('66) classified finite subgroups of these automorphisms using matrix groups giving

Corollary (cf. Magidin (98) for more general, less concrete statement)

\exists 'multiplication' $\mu_G : A \times B \rightarrow \hat{C}$ between (bounded rank) abelian groups: $G \hookrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & \hat{C} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Algebraic geometry and Mumford's classification can be avoided from proof above:

- Theorem part 1: may assume G' is cyclic.
- Theorem part 2: $\hat{M} = G/Z(G)$ has (a complex) $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ -module structure, ω non-degenerate
- \exists decomposition $\hat{M} = A + iA$. Set $B = iA$, $\mu_G = \omega|_{A \times B}$ (for some suitable $\hat{C} \supseteq G'$). \square

How to get the realising actions of G from Motivation?

- \hat{M} also has $\hat{C}[i]$ -module structure
- \exists 'Hermitian form' h (over a commutative ring) on \hat{M} with $\Im h = \omega$
- \exists natural geometric space associated to h on which $G \subseteq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & \hat{C} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ acts

Embedding to concrete Heisenberg groups

Corollary of Theorem: one can construct a certain action of G on invertible sheaves.

Mumford ('66) classified finite subgroups of these automorphisms using matrix groups giving

Corollary (cf. Magidin (98) for more general, less concrete statement)

\exists 'multiplication' $\mu_G : A \times B \rightarrow \hat{C}$ between (bounded rank) abelian groups: $G \hookrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & \hat{C} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Algebraic geometry and Mumford's classification can be avoided from proof above:

- Theorem part 1: may assume G' is cyclic.
- Theorem part 2: $\hat{M} = G/Z(G)$ has (a complex) $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ -module structure, ω non-degenerate
- \exists decomposition $\hat{M} = A + iA$. Set $B = iA$, $\mu_G = \omega|_{A \times B}$ (for some suitable $\hat{C} \supseteq G'$). \square

How to get the realising actions of G from Motivation?

- \hat{M} also has $\hat{C}[i]$ -module structure
- \exists 'Hermitian form' h (over a commutative ring) on \hat{M} with $\Im h = \omega$
- \exists natural geometric space associated to h on which $G \subseteq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & \hat{C} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ acts

Embedding to concrete Heisenberg groups

Corollary of Theorem: one can construct a certain action of G on invertible sheaves.

Mumford ('66) classified finite subgroups of these automorphisms using matrix groups giving

Corollary (cf. Magidin (98) for more general, less concrete statement)

\exists 'multiplication' $\mu_G : A \times B \rightarrow \hat{C}$ between (bounded rank) abelian groups: $G \hookrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & \hat{C} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Algebraic geometry and Mumford's classification can be avoided from proof above:

- Theorem part 1: may assume G' is cyclic.
- Theorem part 2: $\hat{M} = G/Z(G)$ has (a complex) $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ -module structure, ω non-degenerate
- \exists decomposition $\hat{M} = A + iA$. Set $B = iA$, $\mu_G = \omega|_{A \times B}$ (for some suitable $\hat{C} \supseteq G'$). \square

How to get the realising actions of G from Motivation?

- \hat{M} also has $\hat{C}[i]$ -module structure
- \exists 'Hermitian form' h (over a commutative ring) on \hat{M} with $\Im h = \omega$
- \exists natural geometric space associated to h on which $G \subseteq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & \hat{C} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ acts

Embedding to concrete Heisenberg groups

Corollary of Theorem: one can construct a certain action of G on invertible sheaves.
Mumford ('66) classified finite subgroups of these automorphisms using matrix groups giving

Corollary (cf. Magidin (98) for more general, less concrete statement)

\exists 'multiplication' $\mu_G : A \times B \rightarrow \hat{C}$ between (bounded rank) abelian groups: $G \hookrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & \hat{C} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Algebraic geometry and Mumford's classification can be avoided from proof above:

- Theorem part 1: may assume G' is cyclic.
- Theorem part 2: $\hat{M} = G/Z(G)$ has (a complex) $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ -module structure, ω non-degenerate
- \exists decomposition $\hat{M} = A + iA$. Set $B = iA$, $\mu_G = \omega|_{A \times B}$ (for some suitable $\hat{C} \supseteq G'$). \square

How to get the realising actions of G from Motivation?

- \hat{M} also has $\hat{C}[i]$ -module structure
- \exists 'Hermitian form' h (over a commutative ring) on \hat{M} with $\Im h = \omega$
- \exists natural geometric space associated to h on which $G \subseteq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & \hat{C} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ acts

Embedding to concrete Heisenberg groups

Corollary of Theorem: one can construct a certain action of G on invertible sheaves.
Mumford ('66) classified finite subgroups of these automorphisms using matrix groups giving

Corollary (cf. Magidin (98) for more general, less concrete statement)

\exists 'multiplication' $\mu_G : A \times B \rightarrow \hat{C}$ between (bounded rank) abelian groups: $G \hookrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & \hat{C} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Algebraic geometry and Mumford's classification can be avoided from proof above:

- Theorem part 1: may assume G' is cyclic.
- Theorem part 2: $\hat{M} = G/Z(G)$ has (a complex) $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ -module structure, ω non-degenerate
- \exists decomposition $\hat{M} = A + iA$. Set $B = iA$, $\mu_G = \omega|_{A \times B}$ (for some suitable $\hat{C} \supseteq G'$). \square

How to get the realising actions of G from Motivation?

- \hat{M} also has $\hat{C}[i]$ -module structure
- \exists 'Hermitian form' h (over a commutative ring) on \hat{M} with $\Im h = \omega$
- \exists natural geometric space associated to h on which $G \subseteq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & \hat{C} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ acts

Embedding to concrete Heisenberg groups

Corollary of Theorem: one can construct a certain action of G on invertible sheaves.
Mumford ('66) classified finite subgroups of these automorphisms using matrix groups giving

Corollary (cf. Magidin (98) for more general, less concrete statement)

\exists 'multiplication' $\mu_G : A \times B \rightarrow \hat{C}$ between (bounded rank) abelian groups: $G \hookrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & \hat{C} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Algebraic geometry and Mumford's classification can be avoided from proof above:

- Theorem part 1: may assume G' is cyclic.
- Theorem part 2: $\hat{M} = G/Z(G)$ has (a complex) $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ -module structure, ω non-degenerate
- \exists decomposition $\hat{M} = A + iA$. Set $B = iA$, $\mu_G = \omega|_{A \times B}$ (for some suitable $\hat{C} \supseteq G'$). \square

How to get the realising actions of G from Motivation?

- \hat{M} also has $\hat{C}[i]$ -module structure
- \exists 'Hermitian form' h (over a commutative ring) on \hat{M} with $\Im h = \omega$
- \exists natural geometric space associated to h on which $G \subseteq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & \hat{C} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ acts

Embedding to concrete Heisenberg groups

Corollary of Theorem: one can construct a certain action of G on invertible sheaves.
Mumford ('66) classified finite subgroups of these automorphisms using matrix groups giving

Corollary (cf. Magidin (98) for more general, less concrete statement)

\exists 'multiplication' $\mu_G : A \times B \rightarrow \hat{C}$ between (bounded rank) abelian groups: $G \hookrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & \hat{C} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Algebraic geometry and Mumford's classification can be avoided from proof above:

- Theorem part 1: may assume G' is cyclic.
- Theorem part 2: $\hat{M} = G/Z(G)$ has (a complex) $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ -module structure, ω non-degenerate
- \exists decomposition $\hat{M} = A + iA$. Set $B = iA$, $\mu_G = \omega|_{A \times B}$ (for some suitable $\hat{C} \supseteq G'$). \square

How to get the realising actions of G from Motivation?

- \hat{M} also has $\hat{C}[i]$ -module structure
- \exists 'Hermitian form' h (over a commutative ring) on \hat{M} with $\Im h = \omega$
- \exists natural geometric space associated to h on which $G \subseteq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & \hat{C} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ acts

Embedding to concrete Heisenberg groups

Corollary of Theorem: one can construct a certain action of G on invertible sheaves.
Mumford ('66) classified finite subgroups of these automorphisms using matrix groups giving

Corollary (cf. Magidin (98) for more general, less concrete statement)

\exists 'multiplication' $\mu_G : A \times B \rightarrow \hat{C}$ between (bounded rank) abelian groups: $G \hookrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & \hat{C} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Algebraic geometry and Mumford's classification can be avoided from proof above:

- Theorem part 1: may assume G' is cyclic.
- Theorem part 2: $\hat{M} = G/Z(G)$ has (a complex) $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ -module structure, ω non-degenerate
- \exists decomposition $\hat{M} = A + iA$. Set $B = iA$, $\mu_G = \omega|_{A \times B}$ (for some suitable $\hat{C} \supseteq G'$). \square

How to get the realising actions of G from Motivation?

- \hat{M} also has $\hat{C}[i]$ -module structure
- \exists 'Hermitian form' h (over a commutative ring) on \hat{M} with $\Im h = \omega$
- \exists natural geometric space associated to h on which $G \subseteq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A & \hat{C} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ acts

Summary

Finite class ≤ 2 nilpotent groups

- 1 is basically the largest family that can act simultaneously on a variety birationally.
- 2 admit a structural decomposition using 2-generated groups via central/subdirect products.
- 3 embed to concrete unitriangular matrix groups (facilitating computations, applications).

Thank you for your attention!

Selected bibliography

- [1] Dávid R Szabó. "Jordan Type Problems via Class 2 Nilpotent and Twisted Heisenberg Groups". PhD thesis. Central European University, 2021.
- [2] Balázs Csikós, László Pyber, and Endre Szabó. *Finite subgroups of the homeomorphism group of a compact topological manifold are almost nilpotent*. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2204.13375. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.13375>.
- [3] Attila Guld. *Finite subgroups of the birational automorphism group are 'almost' nilpotent of class at most two*. 2020. arXiv: 2004.11715 [math.AG].