# Uniqueness of Landau levels and their analogs with higher Chern numbers

### Bruno Mera (arXiv:2304.00866—Joint work with Tomoki Ozawa)

Advanced Institute for Materials Research (WPI-AIMR), Tohoku University Instituto de Telecomunicações Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa

Geometric and analytic aspects of the QHE

Les Diablerets, 8-12th May, 2023







1/38



Bloch bands and quantum geometry

Kähler bands

Ideal Kähler bands/Ideal flatbands

Flat Kähler bands

# Bloch bands and quantum geometry

Consider the real-space lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Consider the real-space lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  is the reciprocal (dual) momentum-space lattice.

Consider the real-space lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  is the reciprocal (dual) momentum-space lattice.

Definition (Bloch wavefunction)

Consider the real-space lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  is the reciprocal (dual) momentum-space lattice.

### Definition (Bloch wavefunction)

A Bloch wavefunction in two dimensions is determined by a family of nonvanishing vectors  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a fixed Hilbert space, smoothly paramaterized by  $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , satisfying the following quasiperiodicity relation:

Consider the real-space lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  is the reciprocal (dual) momentum-space lattice.

### Definition (Bloch wavefunction)

A Bloch wavefunction in two dimensions is determined by a family of nonvanishing vectors  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a fixed Hilbert space, smoothly paramaterized by  $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , satisfying the following quasiperiodicity relation:

$$|u_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}\rangle = e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k})U_{\mathbf{G}}|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle, \text{ for all } \mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{Z}^2,$$
 (1)

Consider the real-space lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  is the reciprocal (dual) momentum-space lattice.

### Definition (Bloch wavefunction)

A Bloch wavefunction in two dimensions is determined by a family of nonvanishing vectors  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a fixed Hilbert space, smoothly paramaterized by  $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , satisfying the following quasiperiodicity relation:

$$|u_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}\rangle = e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k})U_{\mathbf{G}}|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle, \text{ for all } \mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{Z}^2,$$
 (1)

where  $(e_G)_{G \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$  is a system of multipliers for a line bundle  $L \to BZ^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $(U_G)_{G \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$  a unitary representation of the reciprocal lattice.



### Remark

*L* has a natural Hermitian inner product and a compatible connection

### Remark

*L* has a natural Hermitian inner product and a compatible connection

$$h(\mathbf{k}) := \frac{1}{\langle u_{\mathbf{k}} | u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle} \text{ and } A(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{\langle u_{\mathbf{k}} | d | u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{\langle u_{\mathbf{k}} | u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle},$$
(2)

### Remark

L has a natural Hermitian inner product and a compatible connection

$$h(\mathbf{k}) := \frac{1}{\langle u_{\mathbf{k}} | u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle} \text{ and } A(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{\langle u_{\mathbf{k}} | d | u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{\langle u_{\mathbf{k}} | u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle},$$
(2)

with  $d \log h = \overline{A} + A$ ; i.e. L is an Hermitian line bundle with connection.

### Remark

L has a natural Hermitian inner product and a compatible connection

$$h(\mathbf{k}) := \frac{1}{\langle u_{\mathbf{k}} | u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle} \text{ and } A(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{\langle u_{\mathbf{k}} | d | u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{\langle u_{\mathbf{k}} | u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle},$$
(2)

with  $d \log h = \overline{A} + A$ ; i.e. *L* is an Hermitian line bundle with connection. Note that  $A(\mathbf{k})$  is the opposite of the Berry connection.

Definition (Equivalence of Bloch wavefunctions)

### Definition (Equivalence of Bloch wavefunctions)

Two families  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ ,  $|u'_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ , in the same given Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , are said to determine equivalent Bloch wavefunctions if there exists a smooth function  $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^*$  such that  $|u'_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = |u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle g(\mathbf{k})$ .

### Definition (Equivalence of Bloch wavefunctions)

Two families  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ ,  $|u'_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ , in the same given Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , are said to determine equivalent Bloch wavefunctions if there exists a smooth function  $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^*$  such that  $|u'_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = |u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle g(\mathbf{k})$ .

### Remark

### Definition (Equivalence of Bloch wavefunctions)

Two families  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ ,  $|u'_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ , in the same given Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , are said to determine equivalent Bloch wavefunctions if there exists a smooth function  $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^*$  such that  $|u'_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = |u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle g(\mathbf{k})$ .

### Remark

The transformation  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle \mapsto |u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle g(\mathbf{k})$  induces the transformations  $e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k}) \mapsto e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k}) (g(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G})g^{-1}(\mathbf{k}))$  which produces isomorphic line bundles.

Perhaps a weaker, more general, definition of equivalence would be:

Perhaps a weaker, more general, definition of equivalence would be:

Definition (Equivalence of Bloch wavefunctions)

Perhaps a weaker, more general, definition of equivalence would be:

Definition (Equivalence of Bloch wavefunctions)

Two families  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ ,  $|u'_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ , in the same given Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , are said to determine equivalent Bloch wavefunctions if there exists a smooth function  $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^*$  and a constant unitary operator  $U \in U(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $|u'_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = (U|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle)g(\mathbf{k})$ .

Perhaps a weaker, more general, definition of equivalence would be:

Definition (Equivalence of Bloch wavefunctions)

Two families  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ ,  $|u'_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ , in the same given Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , are said to determine equivalent Bloch wavefunctions if there exists a smooth function  $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^*$  and a constant unitary operator  $U \in U(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $|u'_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = (U|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle)g(\mathbf{k})$ .

Remark

Perhaps a weaker, more general, definition of equivalence would be:

Definition (Equivalence of Bloch wavefunctions)

Two families  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ ,  $|u'_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ , in the same given Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , are said to determine equivalent Bloch wavefunctions if there exists a smooth function  $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^*$  and a constant unitary operator  $U \in U(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $|u'_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = (U|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle)g(\mathbf{k})$ .

### Remark

The unitary operator U intertwines the unitary reps of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ :  $U'_{\mathbf{G}}U = UU_{\mathbf{G}}, \forall \mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$ 

# Characters

<ロト < 団ト < 巨ト < 巨ト < 巨ト 三 の Q (C) 8 / 38

### Characters

► Since U<sub>G</sub> determines a unitary rep of Z<sup>2</sup> we can split it into irreps.

### Characters

- ► Since U<sub>G</sub> determines a unitary rep of Z<sup>2</sup> we can split it into irreps.
- Unitary irreps of the reciprocal lattice, χ : Z<sup>2</sup> → U(1), are labelled by points r in the real unit cell, under the identification r ~ r + R with R ∈ Z<sup>2</sup>: χ(G) = e<sup>-2πiG·r</sup>.

### Characters

- ► Since U<sub>G</sub> determines a unitary rep of Z<sup>2</sup> we can split it into irreps.
- Unitary irreps of the reciprocal lattice, χ : Z<sup>2</sup> → U(1), are labelled by points r in the real unit cell, under the identification r ~ r + R with R ∈ Z<sup>2</sup>: χ(G) = e<sup>-2πiG·r</sup>.

▶ Then 
$$\mathcal{H} \cong \bigoplus_{\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$$
, where  $U_{\mathbf{G}}|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}} = \chi(\mathbf{G}) = e^{-2\pi i \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$ .

### Characters

- ► Since U<sub>G</sub> determines a unitary rep of Z<sup>2</sup> we can split it into irreps.
- Unitary irreps of the reciprocal lattice, χ : Z<sup>2</sup> → U(1), are labelled by points r in the real unit cell, under the identification r ~ r + R with R ∈ Z<sup>2</sup>: χ(G) = e<sup>-2πiG·r</sup>.

▶ Then 
$$\mathcal{H} \cong \bigoplus_{\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$$
, where  $U_{\mathbf{G}}|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}} = \chi(\mathbf{G}) = e^{-2\pi i \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$ .

### Remark

### Characters

- ► Since U<sub>G</sub> determines a unitary rep of Z<sup>2</sup> we can split it into irreps.
- Unitary irreps of the reciprocal lattice, χ : Z<sup>2</sup> → U(1), are labelled by points r in the real unit cell, under the identification r ~ r + R with R ∈ Z<sup>2</sup>: χ(G) = e<sup>-2πiG·r</sup>.

• Then 
$$\mathcal{H} \cong \bigoplus_{\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$$
, where  $U_{\mathbf{G}}|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}} = \chi(\mathbf{G}) = e^{-2\pi i \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$ .

### Remark

The direct sum is over a collection of points  $\mathbf{r} = (x, y) \in u.c.$ 

### Characters

- ► Since U<sub>G</sub> determines a unitary rep of Z<sup>2</sup> we can split it into irreps.
- Unitary irreps of the reciprocal lattice, χ : Z<sup>2</sup> → U(1), are labelled by points r in the real unit cell, under the identification r ~ r + R with R ∈ Z<sup>2</sup>: χ(G) = e<sup>-2πiG·r</sup>.

• Then 
$$\mathcal{H} \cong \bigoplus_{\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$$
, where  $U_{\mathbf{G}}|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}} = \chi(\mathbf{G}) = e^{-2\pi i \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$ 

### Remark

The direct sum is over a collection of points  $\mathbf{r} = (x, y) \in u.c.$ . This collection can be the whole unit cell, in which case the direct sum has to be replaced by the more general direct integral  $\mathcal{H} \cong \int^{\oplus} d^2 \mathbf{r} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}.$ 

Quasiperiodicity condition

Quasiperiodicity condition

The component  $u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$  of  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$  satisfies

### Quasiperiodicity condition

The component  $u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$  of  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$  satisfies

$$u_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{r}) = e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k})\chi(\mathbf{G})u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$$
  
=  $e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k})e^{-2\pi i \mathbf{G}\cdot\mathbf{r}}u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}).$  (3)

Coefficients determine smooth sections of line bundles  $L_r \rightarrow BZ^2$ 

Coefficients determine smooth sections of line bundles  $L_r \to \mathsf{BZ}^2$ 

Fix an o.n. basis for  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$ , say  $\{|\alpha, \mathbf{r}\rangle\}_{\alpha=1,2,\dots}$ , and write

Coefficients determine smooth sections of line bundles  $L_r \to \mathsf{BZ}^2$ 

Fix an o.n. basis for  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$ , say  $\{|\alpha, \mathbf{r}\rangle\}_{\alpha=1,2,\dots}$ , and write

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}} \ni u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k}) |\alpha,\mathbf{r}\rangle.$$
(4)
Coefficients determine smooth sections of line bundles  $L_r \rightarrow \mathsf{BZ}^2$ 

Fix an o.n. basis for  $\mathcal{H}_{r}$ , say  $\{|\alpha, \mathbf{r}\rangle\}_{\alpha=1,2,\dots}$ , and write

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}} \ni u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k}) |\alpha,\mathbf{r}\rangle.$$
(4)

▶ The  $f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}$ 's define functions  $f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfying

Coefficients determine smooth sections of line bundles  $L_{\rm r} \rightarrow {\rm BZ}^2$ 

Fix an o.n. basis for  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$ , say  $\{|\alpha, \mathbf{r}\rangle\}_{\alpha=1,2,\dots}$ , and write

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}} \ni u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k}) |\alpha,\mathbf{r}\rangle.$$
(4)

▶ The  $f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}$ 's define functions  $f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfying

$$f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) = e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k})\chi(\mathbf{G})f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k}), \ \alpha = 1, 2, \dots,$$
 (5)

Coefficients determine smooth sections of line bundles  $L_r \rightarrow \mathsf{BZ}^2$ 

Fix an o.n. basis for  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$ , say  $\{|\alpha, \mathbf{r}\rangle\}_{\alpha=1,2,\dots}$ , and write

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}} \ni u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k}) |\alpha,\mathbf{r}\rangle.$$
(4)

▶ The  $f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}$ 's define functions  $f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfying

$$f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) = e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k})\chi(\mathbf{G})f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k}), \ \alpha = 1, 2, \dots,$$
(5)

and so determine sections of a line bundle  $L_r \rightarrow BZ^2$  whose multipliers are  $e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k})\chi(\mathbf{G})$ .

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Coefficients determine smooth sections of line bundles  $L_r \rightarrow \mathsf{BZ}^2$ 

Fix an o.n. basis for  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$ , say  $\{|\alpha, \mathbf{r}\rangle\}_{\alpha=1,2,\dots}$ , and write

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}} \ni u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k}) |\alpha,\mathbf{r}\rangle.$$
(4)

▶ The  $f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}$ 's define functions  $f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfying

$$f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) = e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k})\chi(\mathbf{G})f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k}), \ \alpha = 1, 2, \dots,$$
(5)

and so determine sections of a line bundle  $L_r \rightarrow BZ^2$  whose multipliers are  $e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k})\chi(\mathbf{G})$ .

► For each r occurring in the decomposition H = ⊕<sub>r</sub> H<sub>r</sub> we have one such line bundle.

Geometric interpretation

### Geometric interpretation

The positions **r** in the unit cell determine characters  $\chi(\mathbf{G}) = e^{-2\pi i \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$ , defining flat line bundles

### Geometric interpretation

The positions **r** in the unit cell determine characters  $\chi(\mathbf{G}) = e^{-2\pi i \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$ , defining flat line bundles

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times_{\chi} \mathbb{C} \to \mathsf{B}\mathsf{Z}^2,\tag{6}$$

### Geometric interpretation

The positions **r** in the unit cell determine characters  $\chi(\mathbf{G}) = e^{-2\pi i \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$ , defining flat line bundles

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times_{\chi} \mathbb{C} \to \mathsf{B}\mathsf{Z}^2,\tag{6}$$

which twist the original bundle L as

#### Geometric interpretation

The positions **r** in the unit cell determine characters  $\chi(\mathbf{G}) = e^{-2\pi i \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$ , defining flat line bundles

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times_{\chi} \mathbb{C} \to \mathsf{B}\mathsf{Z}^2,\tag{6}$$

which twist the original bundle L as

$$L_{\mathbf{r}} = L \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}} \to \mathsf{BZ}^2. \tag{7}$$

### Geometric interpretation

The positions **r** in the unit cell determine characters  $\chi(\mathbf{G}) = e^{-2\pi i \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$ , defining flat line bundles

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times_{\chi} \mathbb{C} \to \mathsf{B}\mathsf{Z}^2,\tag{6}$$

which twist the original bundle L as

$$L_{\mathbf{r}} = L \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}} \to \mathsf{BZ}^2. \tag{7}$$

The  $u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ 's know of the spatial structure of the unit cell through the holonomy on loops  $\mathbf{k}(t) \mapsto \mathbf{k} + t\mathbf{G}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ :  $\chi^{-1}(\mathbf{G})$ .



Figure: Geometric interpretation of the spatial structure of Bloch wavefunctions.

▶ The assignment  $\mathbf{k} \mapsto |u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle \in \mathcal{H} - \{0\}$  determines a map  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}$  which uniquely defines the Bloch wavefunction.

- The assignment k → |u<sub>k</sub>⟩ ∈ H {0} determines a map f : ℝ<sup>2</sup> → ℙH which uniquely defines the Bloch wavefunction.
- The space PH is a (possibly infinite dimensional) Kähler manifold with respect to the Fubini-Study Kähler structure—(PH, ω<sub>FS</sub>) (g<sub>FS</sub> = ω(·, J<sub>FS</sub>·)).

- The assignment k → |u<sub>k</sub>⟩ ∈ H {0} determines a map f : ℝ<sup>2</sup> → ℙH which uniquely defines the Bloch wavefunction.
- The space PH is a (possibly infinite dimensional) Kähler manifold with respect to the Fubini-Study Kähler structure—(PH, ω<sub>FS</sub>) (g<sub>FS</sub> = ω(·, J<sub>FS</sub>·)).
- Over PH we have the Hermitian holomorphic line bundle known as the tautological line bundle.

- The assignment k → |u<sub>k</sub>⟩ ∈ H {0} determines a map f : ℝ<sup>2</sup> → ℙH which uniquely defines the Bloch wavefunction.
- The space PH is a (possibly infinite dimensional) Kähler manifold with respect to the Fubini-Study Kähler structure—(PH, ω<sub>FS</sub>) (g<sub>FS</sub> = ω(·, J<sub>FS</sub>·)).
- Over  $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}$  we have the Hermitian holomorphic line bundle known as the tautological line bundle. Its Chern connection has curvature  $2i\omega_{FS}$ .

Definition (Berry curvature and quantum metric)

<ロト < 回 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト 目 の Q (C 14/38

#### Definition (Berry curvature and quantum metric)

The Berry curvature F and quantum metric g of the Bloch wavefunction determined by  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$  are, respectively,

#### Definition (Berry curvature and quantum metric)

The Berry curvature F and quantum metric g of the Bloch wavefunction determined by  $|u_k\rangle$  are, respectively,

$$F := f^*(2i\omega_{FS}) \text{ and } g := f^*g_{FS}.$$
(8)

Proposition (Quantum geometry in the Brillouin zone)

The tensors  $F \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $g \in \operatorname{Symm}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  go down to the Brillouin zone  $\mathsf{BZ}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ .

#### Proposition (Quantum geometry in the Brillouin zone)

The tensors  $F \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $g \in \operatorname{Symm}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  go down to the Brillouin zone  $\mathsf{BZ}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ . The tensors F and g only depend on the Bloch wavefunction and not the particular representative.

### Proposition (Quantum geometry in the Brillouin zone)

The tensors  $F \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $g \in \operatorname{Symm}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  go down to the Brillouin zone  $\mathsf{BZ}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ . The tensors F and g only depend on the Bloch wavefunction and not the particular representative.

#### Proof.

Since  $|u_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}\rangle = U_{\mathbf{G}}|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k})$ , then for  $\phi_{\mathbf{G}}: \mathbf{k} \mapsto \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}$ ,  $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , we have

#### Proposition (Quantum geometry in the Brillouin zone)

The tensors  $F \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $g \in \operatorname{Symm}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  go down to the Brillouin zone  $\mathsf{BZ}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ . The tensors F and g only depend on the Bloch wavefunction and not the particular representative.

#### Proof.

Since  $|u_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}\rangle = U_{\mathbf{G}}|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k})$ , then for  $\phi_{\mathbf{G}}: \mathbf{k} \mapsto \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}$ ,  $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , we have

$$f \circ \phi_{\mathbf{G}} = U_{\mathbf{G}} \circ f, \tag{9}$$

### Proposition (Quantum geometry in the Brillouin zone)

The tensors  $F \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $g \in \operatorname{Symm}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  go down to the Brillouin zone  $\mathsf{BZ}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ . The tensors F and g only depend on the Bloch wavefunction and not the particular representative.

#### Proof.

Since  $|u_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}\rangle = U_{\mathbf{G}}|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k})$ , then for  $\phi_{\mathbf{G}}: \mathbf{k} \mapsto \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}$ ,  $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , we have

$$f \circ \phi_{\mathbf{G}} = U_{\mathbf{G}} \circ f, \tag{9}$$

where in the above formula  $U_{\mathbf{G}} : \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}$  denotes the induced map. Now  $U_{\mathbf{G}} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}, \omega_{FS})$  as a Kähler manifold so  $\phi_{\mathbf{G}}^*F = F$  and  $\phi_{\mathbf{G}}^*g = g$  for all  $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ .

### Proposition (Quantum geometry in the Brillouin zone)

The tensors  $F \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $g \in \operatorname{Symm}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  go down to the Brillouin zone  $\mathsf{BZ}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ . The tensors F and g only depend on the Bloch wavefunction and not the particular representative.

#### Proof.

Since  $|u_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}\rangle = U_{\mathbf{G}}|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k})$ , then for  $\phi_{\mathbf{G}}: \mathbf{k} \mapsto \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}$ ,  $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , we have

$$f \circ \phi_{\mathbf{G}} = U_{\mathbf{G}} \circ f, \tag{9}$$

where in the above formula  $U_{\mathbf{G}} : \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}$  denotes the induced map. Now  $U_{\mathbf{G}} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}, \omega_{FS})$  as a Kähler manifold so  $\phi_{\mathbf{G}}^*F = F$ and  $\phi_{\mathbf{G}}^*g = g$  for all  $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . The second part follows from the equivalence of representatives being done through elements of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}, \omega_{FS})$ .

Definition (Kähler band)

#### Definition (Kähler band)

A Kähler band is determined by a Bloch wavefunction with the property that  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}$  is a holomorphic immersion with respect to a complex structure J that is invariant under reciprocal lattice translations ( $\phi_{\mathbf{G}}^* J = J$  for all  $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ ).

#### Definition (Kähler band)

A Kähler band is determined by a Bloch wavefunction with the property that  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}$  is a holomorphic immersion with respect to a complex structure J that is invariant under reciprocal lattice translations ( $\phi_{\mathbf{G}}^* J = J$  for all  $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ ).

#### Remark

#### Definition (Kähler band)

A Kähler band is determined by a Bloch wavefunction with the property that  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}$  is a holomorphic immersion with respect to a complex structure J that is invariant under reciprocal lattice translations ( $\phi_{\mathbf{G}}^* J = J$  for all  $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ ).

#### Remark

For a Kähler band  $(f^*\omega_{FS}, f^*g_{FS}, J)$  determines a Kähler structure in the Brillouin zone BZ<sup>2</sup>, hence the adjective Kähler.

# Ideal Kähler bands/Ideal flatbands

### Ideal Kähler bands

### Definition (Ideal Kähler bands)

### Definition (Ideal Kähler bands)

Ideal Kähler bands, also known as ideal flat bands, are Kähler bands with respect to a translation invariant complex structure  $J_{\tau}$ , determined by a modular parameter  $\tau \in \mathbb{H} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} : Im(\tau) > 0\}$ (complex coordinate  $z_{\tau} = k_x + \tau k_y$ ).

Holomorphicity condition

#### Holomorphicity condition

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{\tau}} |u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle - \frac{\langle u_{\mathbf{k}} | \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{\tau}} | u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{\langle u_{\mathbf{k}} | u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle} |u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = 0, \qquad (10)$$

Holomorphicity condition

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{\tau}} |u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle - \frac{\langle u_{\mathbf{k}} | \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{\tau}} | u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{\langle u_{\mathbf{k}} | u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle} |u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = 0, \qquad (10)$$

or, in an appropriate holomorphic gauge,

Holomorphicity condition

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{\tau}} |u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle - \frac{\langle u_{\mathbf{k}} | \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{\tau}} | u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{\langle u_{\mathbf{k}} | u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle} |u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = 0, \qquad (10)$$

or, in an appropriate holomorphic gauge,

$$rac{\partial}{\partialar{z}_{ au}}|u_{\mathbf{k}}
angle=0.$$
 (11)
#### Holomorphicity condition

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{\tau}} |u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle - \frac{\langle u_{\mathbf{k}} | \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{\tau}} | u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{\langle u_{\mathbf{k}} | u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle} |u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = 0, \qquad (10)$$

or, in an appropriate holomorphic gauge,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{\tau}} |u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = 0. \tag{11}$$

We can then assume holomorphic multipliers  $e_{\gamma}(z_{\tau})$  with  $\gamma \in \Lambda_{\tau} = \mathbb{Z} + \tau \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ , so  $L \to \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau}$  and, in fact, all the  $L_{\mathbf{r}} \to \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau}$  are Hermitian holomorphic line bundles.

Holomorphic line bundles over complex tori are always isomorphic to holomorphic line bundles whose holomorphic sections are described by suitable theta functions.

Holomorphic line bundles over complex tori are always isomorphic to holomorphic line bundles whose holomorphic sections are described by suitable theta functions. Recall:

Holomorphic line bundles over complex tori are always isomorphic to holomorphic line bundles whose holomorphic sections are described by suitable theta functions. Recall:

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} (z,\tau) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\pi\tau(n+a)^2 + 2\pi i(n+a)(z+b)}, \ a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(12)

Holomorphic line bundles over complex tori are always isomorphic to holomorphic line bundles whose holomorphic sections are described by suitable theta functions. Recall:

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} (z,\tau) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\pi\tau(n+a)^2 + 2\pi i(n+a)(z+b)}, \ a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(12)

▶ We may assume after multiplying  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}
angle$  by  $g(\mathbf{k}) \in \mathbb{C}^*$  that

Holomorphic line bundles over complex tori are always isomorphic to holomorphic line bundles whose holomorphic sections are described by suitable theta functions. Recall:

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} (z,\tau) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\pi\tau(n+a)^2 + 2\pi i(n+a)(z+b)}, \ a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(12)

• We may assume after multiplying  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$  by  $g(\mathbf{k}) \in \mathbb{C}^*$  that  $e_{\gamma}(z_{\tau}) = e^{-i\pi C \tau n^2 - 2\pi i n C z_{\tau}}$ , for  $\gamma = m + n\tau \in \Lambda_{\tau}$ , (13)

Holomorphic line bundles over complex tori are always isomorphic to holomorphic line bundles whose holomorphic sections are described by suitable theta functions. Recall:

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} (z,\tau) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\pi\tau(n+a)^2 + 2\pi i(n+a)(z+b)}, \ a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(12)

▶ We may assume after multiplying  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}
angle$  by  $g(\mathbf{k}) \in \mathbb{C}^*$  that

$$e_{\gamma}(z_{\tau})=e^{-i\pi \mathcal{C} au n^2-2\pi in \mathcal{C} z_{ au}}, ext{ for } \gamma=m+n au\in\Lambda_{ au},$$
 (13)

 $C = \deg(L_{\mathbf{r}}) = \dim H^0(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau}, L_{\mathbf{r}})$  is the Chern number associated with the Bloch wavefunction  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ .

Holomorphic line bundles over complex tori are always isomorphic to holomorphic line bundles whose holomorphic sections are described by suitable theta functions. Recall:

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} (z,\tau) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\pi\tau(n+a)^2 + 2\pi i(n+a)(z+b)}, \ a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(12)

 $\blacktriangleright$  We may assume after multiplying  $|u_{f k}
angle$  by  $g({f k})\in {\Bbb C}^*$  that

$$e_{\gamma}(z_{\tau})=e^{-i\pi \mathcal{C} au n^2-2\pi in \mathcal{C} z_{ au}}, ext{ for } \gamma=m+n au\in\Lambda_{ au},$$
 (13)

 $C = \deg(L_{\mathbf{r}}) = \dim H^0(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau}, L_{\mathbf{r}})$  is the Chern number associated with the Bloch wavefunction  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ .

Then

$$f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\beta=0}^{\mathcal{C}-1} a_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{r}) \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\beta}{\mathcal{C}} - \frac{y}{\mathcal{C}} \\ x \end{bmatrix} (\mathcal{C}z_{\tau}, \mathcal{C}\tau), \ \alpha = 1, 2, \dots$$

Structure of ideal Kähler bands

#### Structure of ideal Kähler bands

For an ideal Kähler band with flat complex structure determined by  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  and Chern number C, we have  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = \int_{\mathbf{u.c.}}^{\oplus} d^2\mathbf{r} \ u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ , with

#### Structure of ideal Kähler bands

For an ideal Kähler band with flat complex structure determined by  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  and Chern number C, we have  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = \int_{\mathbf{u.c.}}^{\oplus} d^2\mathbf{r} \ u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ , with

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k}) |\alpha,\mathbf{r}\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}},$$
(15)

#### Structure of ideal Kähler bands

For an ideal Kähler band with flat complex structure determined by  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  and Chern number C, we have  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = \int_{\mathbf{u.c.}}^{\oplus} d^2\mathbf{r} \ u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ , with

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k}) |\alpha,\mathbf{r}\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}},$$
(15)

and

#### Structure of ideal Kähler bands

For an ideal Kähler band with flat complex structure determined by  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  and Chern number C, we have  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = \int_{\mathbf{u.c.}}^{\oplus} d^2\mathbf{r} \ u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ , with

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k}) |\alpha,\mathbf{r}\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}},$$
(15)

and

$$f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\beta=0}^{\mathcal{C}-1} a_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{r}) \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\beta}{\mathcal{C}} - \frac{y}{\mathcal{C}} \\ x \end{bmatrix} (\mathcal{C}z, \mathcal{C}\tau), \ \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (16)$$

#### Structure of ideal Kähler bands

For an ideal Kähler band with flat complex structure determined by  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  and Chern number C, we have  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = \int_{\mathbf{u.c.}}^{\oplus} d^2\mathbf{r} \ u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ , with

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k}) |\alpha,\mathbf{r}\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}},$$
(15)

and

$$f_{\alpha,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\beta=0}^{\mathcal{C}-1} a_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{r}) \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\beta}{\mathcal{C}} - \frac{y}{\mathcal{C}} \\ x \end{bmatrix} (\mathcal{C}z, \mathcal{C}\tau), \ \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (16)$$

where  $a_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{r})$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, ..., \dim \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$ ,  $\beta = 0, ..., C - 1$ , depend on the particular ideal Kähler band (also on the particular choice of basis  $\{|\alpha, \mathbf{r}\rangle\}$  chosen for the  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$ 's).

## Flat Kähler bands

### Flat Kähler bands

#### Definition (Flat Kähler bands)

### Flat Kähler bands

#### Definition (Flat Kähler bands)

Flat Kähler bands are Kähler bands for which the quantum geometry is translation-invariant.

Consequences of the definition

#### Consequences of the definition

For every  $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , we have a diffeomorphism of BZ<sup>2</sup> given by  $\phi_{\mathbf{q}} : \mathbf{k} \mapsto \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q} \mod \mathbb{Z}^2$ .

#### Consequences of the definition

For every q ∈ ℝ<sup>2</sup>, we have a diffeomorphism of BZ<sup>2</sup> given by φ<sub>q</sub> : k → k + q mod Z<sup>2</sup>. Translation-invariance of the quantum geometry means

#### Consequences of the definition

For every q ∈ ℝ<sup>2</sup>, we have a diffeomorphism of BZ<sup>2</sup> given by φ<sub>q</sub> : k → k + q mod Z<sup>2</sup>. Translation-invariance of the quantum geometry means

$$\phi^*_{\mathbf{q}} g = g \text{ and } \phi^*_{\mathbf{q}} F = F, ext{ for all } \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^2$$
 (17)

#### Consequences of the definition

For every q ∈ ℝ<sup>2</sup>, we have a diffeomorphism of BZ<sup>2</sup> given by φ<sub>q</sub> : k → k + q mod Z<sup>2</sup>. Translation-invariance of the quantum geometry means

$$\phi^*_{\mathbf{q}} g = g \text{ and } \phi^*_{\mathbf{q}} F = F, \text{ for all } \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^2$$
 (17)

 g = f<sup>\*</sup>g<sub>FS</sub>, and φ<sub>q</sub> can be associated with an isometry of g<sub>FS</sub> which then lifts to H to act as a unitary or anti-unitary operator U<sub>q</sub>

#### Consequences of the definition

For every q ∈ ℝ<sup>2</sup>, we have a diffeomorphism of BZ<sup>2</sup> given by φ<sub>q</sub> : k → k + q mod Z<sup>2</sup>. Translation-invariance of the quantum geometry means

$$\phi^*_{\mathbf{q}} g = g ext{ and } \phi^*_{\mathbf{q}} F = F, ext{ for all } \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^2$$
 (17)

 g = f<sup>\*</sup>g<sub>FS</sub>, and φ<sub>q</sub> can be associated with an isometry of g<sub>FS</sub> which then lifts to H to act as a unitary or anti-unitary operator U<sub>q</sub>—Wigner's theorem: quantum symmetries (isometries of the Fubini-Study metric) are represented by unitary or anti-unitary operators in the Hilbert space.

#### Consequences of the definition

For every q ∈ ℝ<sup>2</sup>, we have a diffeomorphism of BZ<sup>2</sup> given by φ<sub>q</sub> : k → k + q mod Z<sup>2</sup>. Translation-invariance of the quantum geometry means

$$\phi^*_{\mathbf{q}} g = g \text{ and } \phi^*_{\mathbf{q}} F = F, \text{ for all } \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^2$$
 (17)

 g = f<sup>\*</sup>g<sub>FS</sub>, and φ<sub>q</sub> can be associated with an isometry of g<sub>FS</sub> which then lifts to H to act as a unitary or anti-unitary operator U<sub>q</sub>—Wigner's theorem: quantum symmetries (isometries of the Fubini-Study metric) are represented by unitary or anti-unitary operators in the Hilbert space.

Since an anti-unitary operator would change the sign of F it follows that U<sub>q</sub> is unitary.

Heisenberg groups

<ロト < 回 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト 目 の Q (C 26 / 38

#### Heisenberg groups

The lifted unitaries form a projective unitary representation of R<sup>2</sup>:

#### Heisenberg groups

The lifted unitaries form a projective unitary representation of R<sup>2</sup>:

$$U_{\mathbf{k}_1}U_{\mathbf{k}_2} = U_{\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{k}_2} \underbrace{\psi(\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2)}^{\in \mathrm{U}(1)}.$$
 (18)

Heisenberg groups (cont.)

#### Heisenberg groups (cont.)

Projective unitary representations of R<sup>2</sup> form unitary representations of central extensions G of R<sup>2</sup> by U(1):

#### Heisenberg groups (cont.)

Projective unitary representations of R<sup>2</sup> form unitary representations of central extensions G of R<sup>2</sup> by U(1):

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(1) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow 0.$$
 (19)

#### Heisenberg groups (cont.)

Projective unitary representations of R<sup>2</sup> form unitary representations of central extensions G of R<sup>2</sup> by U(1):

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(1) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow 0.$$
 (19)

As a set:

#### Heisenberg groups (cont.)

Projective unitary representations of R<sup>2</sup> form unitary representations of central extensions G of R<sup>2</sup> by U(1):

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(1) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow 0.$$
 (19)

• As a set:  $G = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ 

#### Heisenberg groups (cont.)

Projective unitary representations of R<sup>2</sup> form unitary representations of central extensions G of R<sup>2</sup> by U(1):

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(1) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow 0.$$
 (19)

• As a set: 
$$G = \mathbb{R}^2 imes \mathrm{U}(1)$$

Product law:

#### Heisenberg groups (cont.)

Projective unitary representations of R<sup>2</sup> form unitary representations of central extensions G of R<sup>2</sup> by U(1):

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(1) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow 0.$$
 (19)

Heisenberg groups (cont.)

#### Heisenberg groups (cont.)

Central extensions of ℝ<sup>2</sup> by U(1) are determined, up to isomorphism, by the *commutator* s(k<sub>1</sub>, k<sub>2</sub>) = ψ(k<sub>1</sub>, k<sub>2</sub>)/ψ(k<sub>2</sub>, k<sub>1</sub>) = e<sup>iω(k<sub>1</sub>, k<sub>2</sub>)</sup>, for ω ∈ Alt<sup>2</sup>(ℝ<sup>2</sup>).
### Heisenberg groups (cont.)

Central extensions of R<sup>2</sup> by U(1) are determined, up to isomorphism, by the *commutator* s(k<sub>1</sub>, k<sub>2</sub>) = ψ(k<sub>1</sub>, k<sub>2</sub>)/ψ(k<sub>2</sub>, k<sub>1</sub>) = e<sup>iω(k<sub>1</sub>, k<sub>2</sub>)</sup>, for ω ∈ Alt<sup>2</sup>(R<sup>2</sup>).
 ω ∈ Alt<sup>2</sup>(R<sup>2</sup>) means

### Heisenberg groups (cont.)

Central extensions of R<sup>2</sup> by U(1) are determined, up to isomorphism, by the *commutator* s(k<sub>1</sub>, k<sub>2</sub>) = ψ(k<sub>1</sub>, k<sub>2</sub>)/ψ(k<sub>2</sub>, k<sub>1</sub>) = e<sup>iω(k<sub>1</sub>, k<sub>2</sub>)</sup>, for ω ∈ Alt<sup>2</sup>(R<sup>2</sup>).
 ω ∈ Alt<sup>2</sup>(R<sup>2</sup>) means

$$\begin{split} \omega(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) &= D\mathbf{k}_1^t \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{k}_2 \\ &= D\left(k_{1,x}k_{2,y} - k_{1,y}k_{2,x}\right), \text{for some } D \in \mathbb{R}. \end{split}$$
(20)

### Heisenberg groups (cont.)

Central extensions of R<sup>2</sup> by U(1) are determined, up to isomorphism, by the *commutator* s(k<sub>1</sub>, k<sub>2</sub>) = ψ(k<sub>1</sub>, k<sub>2</sub>)/ψ(k<sub>2</sub>, k<sub>1</sub>) = e<sup>iω(k<sub>1</sub>, k<sub>2</sub>)</sup>, for ω ∈ Alt<sup>2</sup>(R<sup>2</sup>).
 ω ∈ Alt<sup>2</sup>(R<sup>2</sup>) means

$$\begin{split} \omega(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) &= D\mathbf{k}_1^t \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{k}_2 \\ &= D\left(k_{1,x}k_{2,y} - k_{1,y}k_{2,x}\right), \text{for some } D \in \mathbb{R}. \end{split}$$
(20)

• If  $D \neq 0$ , then G is called a *Heisenberg group*.

Heisenberg groups (cont.)

### Heisenberg groups (cont.)

Once a concrete realization of \u03c6 for a given s, giving rise to a group G, all other realizations differ by an exact cochain:

### Heisenberg groups (cont.)

Once a concrete realization of \u03c6 for a given s, giving rise to a group G, all other realizations differ by an exact cochain:

$$\psi'(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1) = \psi(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \frac{g(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)}{g(\mathbf{k}_1)g(\mathbf{k}_2)},$$
(21)

### Heisenberg groups (cont.)

Once a concrete realization of \u03c6 for a given s, giving rise to a group G, all other realizations differ by an exact cochain:

$$\psi'(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1) = \psi(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \frac{g(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)}{g(\mathbf{k}_1)g(\mathbf{k}_2)},$$
(21)

for  $g: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathrm{U}(1)$ , which gives the isomorphism of central extensions.

### Heisenberg groups (cont.)

Once a concrete realization of \u03c6 for a given s, giving rise to a group G, all other realizations differ by an exact cochain:

$$\psi'(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1) = \psi(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \frac{g(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)}{g(\mathbf{k}_1)g(\mathbf{k}_2)},$$
(21)

for  $g: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathrm{U}(1)$ , which gives the isomorphism of central extensions.

A concrete realization is provided by setting

### Heisenberg groups (cont.)

Once a concrete realization of \u03c6 for a given s, giving rise to a group G, all other realizations differ by an exact cochain:

$$\psi'(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1) = \psi(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \frac{g(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)}{g(\mathbf{k}_1)g(\mathbf{k}_2)},$$
(21)

for  $g: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathrm{U}(1)$ , which gives the isomorphism of central extensions.

A concrete realization is provided by setting

$$\psi(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = e^{-iDk_{1,y}k_{2,x}}$$
(22)

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

### Heisenberg groups (cont.)

Once a concrete realization of \u03c6 for a given s, giving rise to a group G, all other realizations differ by an exact cochain:

$$\psi'(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1) = \psi(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \frac{g(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)}{g(\mathbf{k}_1)g(\mathbf{k}_2)},$$
(21)

for  $g: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathrm{U}(1)$ , which gives the isomorphism of central extensions.

A concrete realization is provided by setting

$$\psi(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = e^{-iDk_{1,y}k_{2,x}}$$
(22)

Note that for D = 0 this essentially says that  $G = \mathbb{R}^2 \times U(1)$  as a group.

#### Theorem (Stone-von Neumann)

The Heisenberg group G has a unique, up to isomorphism, unitary irreducible representation  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , for which U(1) acts as  $(0, \lambda) \cdot \psi = \lambda \cdot \psi$ ,  $(0, \lambda) \in U(1) \subset G$ , with

#### Theorem (Stone-von Neumann)

The Heisenberg group G has a unique, up to isomorphism, unitary irreducible representation  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , for which U(1) acts as  $(0, \lambda) \cdot \psi = \lambda \cdot \psi$ ,  $(0, \lambda) \in U(1) \subset G$ , with

$$(\mathbf{k},\lambda)\cdot\psi(q):=\lambda U_{\mathbf{k}}\psi(q):=\lambda e^{-iDk_{x}(q+k_{y})}\psi(q+k_{y}),\qquad(23)$$

#### Theorem (Stone-von Neumann)

The Heisenberg group G has a unique, up to isomorphism, unitary irreducible representation  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , for which U(1) acts as  $(0, \lambda) \cdot \psi = \lambda \cdot \psi$ ,  $(0, \lambda) \in U(1) \subset G$ , with

$$(\mathbf{k},\lambda)\cdot\psi(q):=\lambda U_{\mathbf{k}}\psi(q):=\lambda e^{-iDk_{x}(q+k_{y})}\psi(q+k_{y}),\qquad(23)$$

which is the standard Hilbert space of a particle moving in one dimension with coordinate q for which the momentum is  $p = \frac{1}{iD} \frac{\partial}{\partial q}$ , with  $[q, p] = \frac{i}{D}$ .

The Bloch wavefunction

### The Bloch wavefunction

We build a translation-invariant Bloch wavefunction as

### The Bloch wavefunction

We build a translation-invariant Bloch wavefunction as

$$|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = U_{\mathbf{k}}|u_{0}\rangle. \tag{24}$$

### The Bloch wavefunction

We build a translation-invariant Bloch wavefunction as

$$|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = U_{\mathbf{k}}|u_{0}\rangle. \tag{24}$$

But recall that we have a holomorphicity constraint.

### The Bloch wavefunction

We build a translation-invariant Bloch wavefunction as

$$|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = U_{\mathbf{k}}|u_{0}\rangle. \tag{24}$$

But recall that we have a holomorphicity constraint. To understand how to deal with that we write

### The Bloch wavefunction

We build a translation-invariant Bloch wavefunction as

$$|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = U_{\mathbf{k}}|u_{0}\rangle. \tag{24}$$

But recall that we have a holomorphicity constraint. To understand how to deal with that we write

$$U_{\mathbf{k}} = e^{iDk_{y}p}e^{-iDk_{x}x} = e^{iD(k_{y}p-k_{x}q)}e^{\frac{i}{2}Dk_{x}k_{y}}$$
$$= e^{i\frac{D}{2}k_{x}k_{y}}e^{-\frac{1}{4\tau_{2}D}|z_{\tau}|^{2}}e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2D\tau_{2}}}z_{\tau}a_{\tau}^{\dagger}}e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2D\tau_{2}}}\bar{z}_{\tau}a_{\tau}}, \qquad (25)$$

### The Bloch wavefunction

We build a translation-invariant Bloch wavefunction as

$$|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = U_{\mathbf{k}}|u_{0}\rangle. \tag{24}$$

But recall that we have a holomorphicity constraint. To understand how to deal with that we write

$$U_{\mathbf{k}} = e^{iDk_{y}p}e^{-iDk_{x}x} = e^{iD(k_{y}p-k_{x}q)}e^{\frac{i}{2}Dk_{x}k_{y}}$$
$$= e^{i\frac{D}{2}k_{x}k_{y}}e^{-\frac{1}{4\tau_{2}D}|z_{\tau}|^{2}}e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2D\tau_{2}}}z_{\tau}a_{\tau}^{\dagger}}e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2D\tau_{2}}}\bar{z}_{\tau}a_{\tau}}, \qquad (25)$$

with

<ロ><一><一><一><一><一><一><一</td>31/38

### The Bloch wavefunction

We build a translation-invariant Bloch wavefunction as

$$|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = U_{\mathbf{k}}|u_{0}\rangle. \tag{24}$$

But recall that we have a holomorphicity constraint. To understand how to deal with that we write

$$U_{\mathbf{k}} = e^{iDk_{y}p}e^{-iDk_{x}x} = e^{iD(k_{y}p-k_{x}q)}e^{\frac{i}{2}Dk_{x}k_{y}}$$
$$= e^{i\frac{D}{2}k_{x}k_{y}}e^{-\frac{1}{4\tau_{2}D}|z_{\tau}|^{2}}e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2D\tau_{2}}}z_{\tau}a_{\tau}^{\dagger}}e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2D\tau_{2}}}\bar{z}_{\tau}a_{\tau}}, \qquad (25)$$
with  $a_{\tau} := \sqrt{\frac{D}{2\tau_{2}}}(\tau q + p)$  and  $a_{\tau}^{\dagger} := \sqrt{\frac{D}{2\tau_{2}}}(\bar{\tau}q + p),$ 

### The Bloch wavefunction

We build a translation-invariant Bloch wavefunction as

$$|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = U_{\mathbf{k}}|u_{0}\rangle. \tag{24}$$

But recall that we have a holomorphicity constraint. To understand how to deal with that we write

$$U_{\mathbf{k}} = e^{iDk_{y}p}e^{-iDk_{x}x} = e^{iD(k_{y}p-k_{x}q)}e^{\frac{i}{2}Dk_{x}k_{y}}$$
$$= e^{i\frac{D}{2}k_{x}k_{y}}e^{-\frac{1}{4\tau_{2}D}|z_{\tau}|^{2}}e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2D\tau_{2}}}z_{\tau}a_{\tau}^{\dagger}}e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2D\tau_{2}}}\bar{z}_{\tau}a_{\tau}}, \qquad (25)$$

with  $a_{\tau} := \sqrt{\frac{D}{2\tau_2}} (\tau q + p)$  and  $a_{\tau}^{\dagger} := \sqrt{\frac{D}{2\tau_2}} (\bar{\tau} q + p)$ , which satisfy the canonical commutation relations  $[a_{\tau}, a_{\tau}^{\dagger}] = 1$ .

The Bloch wavefunction (cont.)

### The Bloch wavefunction (cont.)

To satisfy the holomorphicity condition we need

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{\tau}} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2D\tau_2}} \bar{z}_{\tau} a_{\tau}} |u_0\rangle \right) = 0 \iff a_{\tau} |u_0\rangle = 0, \qquad (26)$$

## The Bloch wavefunction (cont.)

To satisfy the holomorphicity condition we need

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{\tau}} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2D\tau_2}} \bar{z}_{\tau} a_{\tau}} | u_0 \rangle \right) = 0 \iff a_{\tau} | u_0 \rangle = 0, \qquad (26)$$

Explicitly

## The Bloch wavefunction (cont.)

To satisfy the holomorphicity condition we need

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{\tau}} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2D\tau_2}} \bar{z}_{\tau} a_{\tau}} | u_0 \rangle \right) = 0 \iff a_{\tau} | u_0 \rangle = 0, \qquad (26)$$

Explicitly

$$(-iD\tau q) u_0(q) = \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial q}(q) \implies u_0(q) = Ae^{-\frac{iD}{2}\tau q^2}, \ A \in \mathbb{C},$$
(27)

### The Bloch wavefunction (cont.)

To satisfy the holomorphicity condition we need

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{\tau}} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2D\tau_2}} \bar{z}_{\tau} a_{\tau}} | u_0 \rangle \right) = 0 \iff a_{\tau} | u_0 \rangle = 0, \qquad (26)$$

Explicitly

$$(-iD\tau q) u_0(q) = \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial q}(q) \implies u_0(q) = Ae^{-\frac{iD}{2}\tau q^2}, \ A \in \mathbb{C},$$
(27)

for  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  iff D < 0.

## The Bloch wavefunction (cont.)

To satisfy the holomorphicity condition we need

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{\tau}} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2D\tau_2}} \bar{z}_{\tau} a_{\tau}} | u_0 \rangle \right) = 0 \iff a_{\tau} | u_0 \rangle = 0, \qquad (26)$$

Explicitly

$$(-iD\tau q) u_0(q) = \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial q}(q) \implies u_0(q) = Ae^{-\frac{iD}{2}\tau q^2}, \ A \in \mathbb{C},$$
(27)

for  $au \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  iff D < 0.

• Thus  $|u_{\bf k}
angle = U_{\bf k}|u_0
angle$ ,  $|u_0
angle$  the vacuum of the bosonic mode  $a_{ au}$ 

## The Bloch wavefunction (cont.)

To satisfy the holomorphicity condition we need

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{\tau}} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2D\tau_2}} \bar{z}_{\tau} a_{\tau}} | u_0 \rangle \right) = 0 \iff a_{\tau} | u_0 \rangle = 0, \qquad (26)$$

Explicitly

$$(-iD\tau q) u_0(q) = \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial q}(q) \implies u_0(q) = Ae^{-\frac{iD}{2}\tau q^2}, \ A \in \mathbb{C},$$
(27)

for  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  iff D < 0.

• Thus  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = U_{\mathbf{k}}|u_{0}\rangle$ ,  $|u_{0}\rangle$  the vacuum of the bosonic mode  $a_{\tau}$ 

## The Bloch wavefunction (cont.)

To satisfy the holomorphicity condition we need

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{\tau}} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2D\tau_2}} \bar{z}_{\tau} a_{\tau}} | u_0 \rangle \right) = 0 \iff a_{\tau} | u_0 \rangle = 0, \qquad (26)$$

Explicitly

$$(-iD\tau q) u_0(q) = \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial q}(q) \implies u_0(q) = Ae^{-\frac{iD}{2}\tau q^2}, \ A \in \mathbb{C},$$
(27)

for  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  iff D < 0.

► Thus  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = U_{\mathbf{k}}|u_0\rangle$ ,  $|u_0\rangle$  the vacuum of the bosonic mode  $a_{\tau}$  $\implies |u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$  is a bosonic coherent state.

Quantization of D

## Quantization of D

Because  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$  must determine a line bundle over BZ<sup>2</sup>, *D* is constrained.

## Quantization of D

Because  $|u_{\bf k}\rangle$  must determine a line bundle over BZ<sup>2</sup>, *D* is constrained. Indeed,

## Quantization of D

Because  $|u_{\bf k}\rangle$  must determine a line bundle over BZ², D is constrained. Indeed,

$$|u_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}\rangle = U_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}|u_0\rangle = U_{\mathbf{G}}\psi^{-1}(\mathbf{G},\mathbf{k})U_{\mathbf{k}}|u_0\rangle = U_{\mathbf{G}}\psi^{-1}(\mathbf{G},\mathbf{k})|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$$
  
=  $e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k})U_{\mathbf{G}}|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle,$  (28)

## Quantization of D

Because  $|u_{\bf k}\rangle$  must determine a line bundle over BZ², D is constrained. Indeed,

$$|u_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}\rangle = U_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}|u_0\rangle = U_{\mathbf{G}}\psi^{-1}(\mathbf{G},\mathbf{k})U_{\mathbf{k}}|u_0\rangle = U_{\mathbf{G}}\psi^{-1}(\mathbf{G},\mathbf{k})|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$$
  
=  $e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k})U_{\mathbf{G}}|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle,$  (28)

and we identify

### Quantization of D

Because  $|u_{\bf k}\rangle$  must determine a line bundle over BZ², D is constrained. Indeed,

$$|u_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}\rangle = U_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}|u_0\rangle = U_{\mathbf{G}}\psi^{-1}(\mathbf{G},\mathbf{k})U_{\mathbf{k}}|u_0\rangle = U_{\mathbf{G}}\psi^{-1}(\mathbf{G},\mathbf{k})|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$$
$$= e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k})U_{\mathbf{G}}|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle, \qquad (28)$$

and we identify

$$e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k}) := \psi^{-1}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{k}) = e^{iDnkx}, ext{ with } \mathbf{G} = (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$
 (29)
### Quantization of D

Because  $|u_{\bf k}\rangle$  must determine a line bundle over BZ<sup>2</sup>, *D* is constrained. Indeed,

$$|u_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}\rangle = U_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}|u_0\rangle = U_{\mathbf{G}}\psi^{-1}(\mathbf{G},\mathbf{k})U_{\mathbf{k}}|u_0\rangle = U_{\mathbf{G}}\psi^{-1}(\mathbf{G},\mathbf{k})|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$$
$$= e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k})U_{\mathbf{G}}|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle, \qquad (28)$$

and we identify

$$e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k}) := \psi^{-1}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{k}) = e^{iDnkx}$$
, with  $\mathbf{G} = (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . (29)

A connection and curvature consistent with this system of multipliers is

#### Quantization of D

Because  $|u_{\bf k}\rangle$  must determine a line bundle over BZ<sup>2</sup>, *D* is constrained. Indeed,

$$|u_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}\rangle = U_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}|u_0\rangle = U_{\mathbf{G}}\psi^{-1}(\mathbf{G},\mathbf{k})U_{\mathbf{k}}|u_0\rangle = U_{\mathbf{G}}\psi^{-1}(\mathbf{G},\mathbf{k})|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$$
$$= e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k})U_{\mathbf{G}}|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle, \qquad (28)$$

and we identify

$$e_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k}) := \psi^{-1}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{k}) = e^{iDnkx}, \text{ with } \mathbf{G} = (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$
 (29)

A connection and curvature consistent with this system of multipliers is

$$A = -iDk_y dk_x \implies F = dA = iDdk_x \wedge dk_y \implies D = -2\pi C.$$
(30)

Explicit form of  $u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ 

### Explicit form of $u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$

The explicit form of the wavefunction is obtained by decomposing  $L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \int_{u.c.}^{\oplus} d^2 \mathbf{r} \ \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$ , the decomposition being determined by the *Bloch-Zak* transform

#### Explicit form of $u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$

The explicit form of the wavefunction is obtained by decomposing  $L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \int_{u.c.}^{\oplus} d^2 \mathbf{r} \ \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$ , the decomposition being determined by the *Bloch-Zak* transform

$$L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \ni f \mapsto f_{\mathbf{r}} = \sum_{\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} (U_{\mathbf{G}}f) e^{2\pi i \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}}.$$
 (31)

#### Explicit form of $u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$

The explicit form of the wavefunction is obtained by decomposing  $L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \int_{u.c.}^{\oplus} d^2 \mathbf{r} \ \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$ , the decomposition being determined by the *Bloch-Zak* transform

$$L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \ni f \mapsto f_{\mathbf{r}} = \sum_{\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} (U_{\mathbf{G}}f) e^{2\pi i \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}}.$$
 (31)

Here  $f_r$  determines a square-integrable section of a Hilbert bundle over the unit cell torus  $\mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ , whose fiber over r is  $\mathcal{H}_r$ , which is a space spanned by  $\mathcal C$  independent distributions,

#### Explicit form of $u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$

The explicit form of the wavefunction is obtained by decomposing  $L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \int_{u.c.}^{\oplus} d^2 \mathbf{r} \ \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$ , the decomposition being determined by the *Bloch-Zak* transform

$$L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \ni f \mapsto f_{\mathbf{r}} = \sum_{\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} \left( U_{\mathbf{G}} f \right) e^{2\pi i \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}}.$$
 (31)

Here  $f_r$  determines a square-integrable section of a Hilbert bundle over the unit cell torus  $\mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ , whose fiber over  $\mathbf{r}$  is  $\mathcal{H}_r$ , which is a space spanned by  $\mathcal{C}$  independent distributions,

$$\delta_{\alpha}^{\mathbf{r}}(q) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(\mathcal{C}q + x - \alpha - \mathcal{C}p) e^{-2\pi i \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}} y(-x + \alpha + \mathcal{C}p)}, \qquad (32)$$

#### Explicit form of $u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$

The explicit form of the wavefunction is obtained by decomposing  $L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \int_{u.c.}^{\oplus} d^2 \mathbf{r} \ \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$ , the decomposition being determined by the *Bloch-Zak* transform

$$L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \ni f \mapsto f_{\mathbf{r}} = \sum_{\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} (U_{\mathbf{G}}f) e^{2\pi i \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}}.$$
 (31)

Here  $f_r$  determines a square-integrable section of a Hilbert bundle over the unit cell torus  $\mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ , whose fiber over  $\mathbf{r}$  is  $\mathcal{H}_r$ , which is a space spanned by  $\mathcal{C}$  independent distributions,

$$\delta_{\alpha}^{\mathbf{r}}(q) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(\mathcal{C}q + x - \alpha - \mathcal{C}p) e^{-2\pi i \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}} y(-x + \alpha + \mathcal{C}p)}, \qquad (32)$$

 $\alpha = 0, \dots, C - 1$ , and isomorphic (through a coherent-state transform) to  $H^0(\mathsf{BZ}^2, L_r)$ .

Explicit form of  $u_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k})$  (cont.)

### Explicit form of $u_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k})$ (cont.)

Applying the Bloch-Zak transform to  $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$  reveals that, in the global (multi-valued) frame field of  $\mathcal{H}$  determined by the  $\delta_{\alpha}$ 's

#### Explicit form of $u_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k})$ (cont.)

Applying the Bloch-Zak transform to  $|u_{\bf k}\rangle$  reveals that, in the global (multi-valued) frame field of  $\mathcal{H}$  determined by the  $\delta_{\alpha}$ 's

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \left(\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{y}{c} \\ x \end{bmatrix} (\mathcal{C}z, \mathcal{C}\tau), \dots, \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathcal{C}-1}{c} - \frac{y}{c} \\ x \end{bmatrix} (\mathcal{C}z, \mathcal{C}\tau)\right),$$
(33)

#### Explicit form of $u_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{k})$ (cont.)

Applying the Bloch-Zak transform to  $|u_{\bf k}\rangle$  reveals that, in the global (multi-valued) frame field of  $\mathcal{H}$  determined by the  $\delta_{\alpha}$ 's

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \left(\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{y}{c} \\ x \end{bmatrix} (\mathcal{C}z, \mathcal{C}\tau), \dots, \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathcal{C}-1}{c} - \frac{y}{c} \\ x \end{bmatrix} (\mathcal{C}z, \mathcal{C}\tau)\right),$$
(33)

which for C = 1 coincides with the LLL Bloch wavefunction and for C > 1 determines the so-called color-entangled Landau level type wavefunctions.

The unirrep of the Heisenberg group is unique up to unitary isomorphism.

The unirrep of the Heisenberg group is unique up to unitary isomorphism. The isomorphism will be an intertwiner, and, in particular, it will preserve the quantum number r.

The unirrep of the Heisenberg group is unique up to unitary isomorphism. The isomorphism will be an intertwiner, and, in particular, it will preserve the quantum number r. In practise, this means that we can do real space U(C)-gauge transformations:

The unirrep of the Heisenberg group is unique up to unitary isomorphism. The isomorphism will be an intertwiner, and, in particular, it will preserve the quantum number r. In practise, this means that we can do real space U(C)-gauge transformations:

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \mapsto S(\mathbf{r})u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}), \ S(\mathbf{r}) \in \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{C}).$$
 (34)

The unirrep of the Heisenberg group is unique up to unitary isomorphism. The isomorphism will be an intertwiner, and, in particular, it will preserve the quantum number r. In practise, this means that we can do real space U(C)-gauge transformations:

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \mapsto S(\mathbf{r})u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}), \ S(\mathbf{r}) \in \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{C}).$$
 (34)

Besides that, we are free to perform momentum space C\*-gauge transformations:

The unirrep of the Heisenberg group is unique up to unitary isomorphism. The isomorphism will be an intertwiner, and, in particular, it will preserve the quantum number r. In practise, this means that we can do real space U(C)-gauge transformations:

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \mapsto S(\mathbf{r})u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}), \ S(\mathbf{r}) \in \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{C}).$$
 (34)

Besides that, we are free to perform momentum space C\*-gauge transformations:

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \mapsto g(\mathbf{k})u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}), \ g(\mathbf{k}) \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$
 (35)

# Summary

► Upon fixing a flat complex structure in the Brillouin zone, parametrized by \(\tau\) ∈ \(\mathbb{H}\), and fixing a Chern number \(\mathcal{C}\), flat K\"ahler bands are unique. Thank you!

<ロト < 回 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 の Q (C 38 / 38