MC analysis with Rivet

Analysis prototyping, preservation & re-interpretation

Andy Buckley,
University of Glasgow

UCL/SJTU Rivet—Contur workshop
1-2 Mar 2022

University of Glasgow

What is Rivet?

- **The "LHC standard" MC analysis toolkit**
- **More broadly a project to preserve the logic of HEP data analyses and further expt-pheno collaboration**
- **Code-wise, a C++ core and Python tools**
  - Fiducial / generator-independence emphasis
  - Integration with HepData
  - Transparent weight-stream handling
  - 1000+ analyses!
- **Central to a community of analysis reinterpretation tools, linking experiment to theory**
- **But why? Event loops are easy…**





Because of this:



We want to avoid physicists needing to repeatedly rediscover graph algorithms, conventions, pitfalls, physical/debug distinctions, …

Lessons learned



- **A simple/obvious idea, with surprising impact:**
  - Reproducing a key plot (or not) is *powerful*
    - ⇒ *understand physics, communicate issues, improve MCs*
  - A *common language* for phenomenology and experiment
- **But…**
  - "Obvious" to use partons, bosons, etc. direct from the event graph
  - But frequently unphysical & depend on approximations. May not even exist!
  - Scalability of many analyses to new MCs means avoiding gen-dependence
    - ⇒ predict "real" observables, from well-defined final states
- **Standardisation: boring but important**
  - (physical) event format conventions, statuses, PDG particle numbering, weights…
- **Scalability**
  - Lots of expensive operations are repeated: sharing calculations is essential

The result

- **Rivet v3 structure**
  arXiv:1912.05451
- Streamlined set of tools from analysis coding to event processing to plotting (and other applications)
- And a key gateway to connect data analysis to theory (and back again)



Analysis coding in Rivet

- A simple example of some Rivet user code:

```
// Define jets
FinalState fs(Cuts::abseta < 4.9);
FastJets jetfs(fs, FastJets::ANTIKT, 0.4,
               JetAlg::Muons::NONE, JetAlg::Invisibles::NONE);
declare(jetfs, "jets");


// Retrieve and filter jets
Jets jets = apply<FastJets>(event, "jets").jetsByPt(Cuts::pT > 30*GeV);
Jets bjets = filter_select(jets, [](const Jet& jet) {
    return  jet.bTagged(Cuts::pT > 5*GeV && Cuts::abseta < 2.5);
});


// Record properties
if (!bjets.empty()) hist_bjpt->fill(bjets[0].pT()/GeV);
```

The state we're in

- **Version 3.1.0 (2019) → 1000+ analyses!**

  A steady 50/yr flow of analysis submissions, plus a deluge of (mainly identified-hadron) routines from Herwig

  ⇒ **v3.1.5 in Nov 2021**



- **Official support from the (LHC) experiments is crucial**

  preservation = standard part of "how we science", but still imperfect! We monitor coverage:

- **"New" features since the v1 vision:**

  systematics multiweights, "perfect merging", heavy ions, detector smearing functions, analysis options

Rivet analysis coverage (no searches, no heavy ion)

Rivet analyses exist for 845/4241 papers = 20%. 153 priority analyses required.

Total number of Inspire papers scanned = 7280, at 2020-07-02

Breakdown by identified experiment (in development):

Key	ALICE	ATLAS	CMS	LHCb	Forward	HERA	$e^+e^-(\geq 12$ GeV)	$e^+e^-(\leq 12$ GeV)
Rivet wanted (total):	72	111	126	183	43	461	765	647
Rivet REALLY wanted:	17	42	61	9	0	13	1	3
Rivet provided:	**14**/86 = **16%**	**135**/246 = **55%**	**77**/203 = **38%**	**13**/196 = **7%**	**8**/51 = **16%**	**9**/470 = **2%**	**166**/931 = **18%**	**344**/991 = **35%**

Show greylist | Show blacklist

ALICE | ATLAS | CMS | LHCb | Forward | HERA | $e^+e^-(\geq 12$ GeV) | $e^+e^-(\leq 12$ GeV) | Tevatron | RHIC | SPS | Other

ATLAS: Measurement of the $t\bar{t}$ production cross-section in the lepton+jets channel at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS experiment
Inspire ID: 1802524 arXiv ID: 2006.13076 Report IDs: CERN-EP-2020-096
Links: Inspire arXiv

ATLAS: Measurements of top-quark pair single- and double-differential cross-sections in the all-hadronic channel in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using th
Inspire ID: 1801434 arXiv ID: 2006.09274 Report IDs: CERN-EP-2020-063
Links: Inspire CDS arXiv

ATLAS: Measurements of the Higgs boson inclusive and differential fiducial cross sections in the 4ℓ decay channel at $\sqrt{s}$ = 13 TeV
Inspire ID: 1790439 arXiv ID: 2004.03969 Report IDs: CERN-EP-2020-035
Links: Inspire CDS arXiv HepData ATLAS_2020_I1790439

ATLAS: Measurement of the Lund jet plane using charged particles in 13 TeV proton-proton collisions with the ATLAS detector
Inspire ID: 1790256 arXiv ID: 2004.03540 Report IDs: CERN-EP-2020-030
Links: Inspire DOI/journal CDS arXiv HepData ATLAS_2020_I1790256

ATLAS: Measurements of the production cross-section for a Z boson in association with b-jets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS
Inspire ID: 1788444 arXiv ID: 2003.11960 Report IDs: CERN-EP-2020-022
Links: Inspire CDS arXiv

ATLAS: Measurement of isolated-photon plus two-jet production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector
Inspire ID: 1772071 arXiv ID: 1912.09866 Report IDs: CERN-EP-2019-210
Links: Inspire CDS arXiv

ATLAS: A measurement of soft-drop jet observables in pp collisions with the ATLAS detector at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

Applications: from tuning to…

***First* "killer app": huge pre-LHC soft-QCD uncertainties:**

- **Tuning required Rivet analyses from expt**
- **Feed in to underlying event, pile-up, etc. modelling**
  - Better tunes ⇒ better analysis, better results
  - Impact: LEP and Tevatron analyses published for ~10 years suddenly got used! And cited…
    - ⇒ ATLAS tunes, CMS tunes, eigentunes
    - ⇒ Rapid responses to preliminary data
  - Model development: matching & merging, addition of energy evolution & colour-reconnection to Herwig, …
- Recently, also use of Rivet's large analysis collection for *BSM (see Contur) & Higgs*
  - Uptake still growing, e.g. in CMS









Multiweights and re-entry

- **MC weight vectors allow expression of increasingly complex theory uncertainties.** But a burden for analysis chains: have to propagate and correctly combine O(200) weight streams!
- **Rivet 3: complex automatic handling of weights**
  ~invisible to users: data objects *look* like histograms etc. but are secretly multiplexed
- **Can now re-call finalisation to combine runs:**
  RAW histogram stage preserves pre-finalize objects
  ⇒ "re-entrant" perfect rivet-merge-ing
  Key for e.g. *pA/pp or W/Z* ratios, + BSM recasting
- **Data types are important:** glimpses of a fully coherent separation of semantics from presentation



MC systematics bands via multiweights

ATLAS MC studies have been a significant driver of this feature *(thanks to Chris Gutschow)*







- Weight-naming standardisation ~~underway~~ concluding!

Detector emulation (but please unfold by preference!)

- **Detector smearing built on Rivet's projection system — for reco-level analyses**
  - developed based on Gambit ColliderBit experience: no need for "full fast-sim"



  - like Delphes, but more flexible & can be *analysis-specific* ⇒ MA5 "SFS" mode
  - flexibility allows e.g. "tuned" jet-substructure smearing, systematics studies, …



Rivet and BSM-search recasting

- **Rivet's main emphasis *isn't* BSM direct searches, but there's no reason not to**
  - lots of experiment experience and support
  - efficient scaling-up to hundreds of analyses, with distinct phase-space specific detector/efficiency functions
- **Can we do for BSM preservation what we did for measurement analyses?**
  - Hasn't been a major focus: mechanisms are useful anyway, experiments (at least ATLAS) have focused on home-grown solutions
  - But maybe a resurgence of interest… 👍



Les Houches 2019 CMS soft-lepton recasting-tools comparison

BSM from “Standard Model”

- **Not being focused on *direct* searches doesn’t mean no interest in BSM!**
- **Particle-level measurements *can* achieve high model-independence**
  - Careful definition of fiducial cross-section
  - Control distributions of “hidden variables” which are cut on
  - Reduce model sensitivity in unfolding
- **Rivet used directly in e.g.**
  - TopFitter top quark EFT fits;
  - at core of ATLAS *VH* EFT fits;
  - being integrated into Gambit global fits; and…
- **Contur is the workshop focus**
  - Inject signal to “SM” measurements: if it’d be statistically distinct, the model is eliminated
  - Rivet gives huge coverage from “many angles”: views on most BSM signatures
  - More to come in following talks & tutorials. But just one highlight:

Try doing this with full-sim recast…

- Contur VLQ review requested a scan of realistic multiplets:

  7 multiplets, each with 3 generational couplings, each with 4 W/H/Z-couplings,

  300 points per scan, x 30,000 events ⇒ 750M events!







The future of Rivet

- **Vision: Rivet as a standard for "truth-level" observables, across collider physics**
  - ➢ Already used this way inside CMSSW truth definitions 
- Not just standalone, but as a library in pheno & experiment frameworks, too: leverage analysis collection, standardise MC-observable definitions, seamless systematics handling, etc.
- At its core: a physics-oriented system for physicists to **compare MC predictions to one another and to data, on many simultaneous observables, in myriad ways**

We don't *know* all the use-cases yet.

Getting Rivet

Getting & using Rivet

Lightweight analysis preservation is valuable… and easy to start

As either a “user” or analysis author, the barrier is lower than ever: we recommend using our Docker images to get started

Ideal for student projects!

Tutorials available from the Rivet website, a walkthrough in the R3 paper

Imitation the highest form of flattery ⇒ copy an existing analysis!



Getting & using Rivet

Lightweight analysis preservation is valuable… and easy to start

As either a “user” or analysis author, the barrier is lower than ever: we recommend using our Docker images to get started

Ideal for student projects!

Tutorials available from the Rivet website, a walkthrough in the R3 paper

Imitation the highest form of flattery ⇒ copy an existing analysis!



Getting & using Rivet

Lightweight analysis preservation is valuable… and easy to start

As either a “user” or analysis author, the barrier is lower than ever: we recommend using our Docker images to get started

Ideal for student projects!

Tutorials available from the Rivet website, a walkthrough in the R3 paper

Imitation the highest form of flattery ⇒ copy an existing analysis!

Getting & using Rivet

Lightweight analysis preservation is valuable… and easy to start

As either a “user” or analysis author, the barrier is lower than ever: we recommend using our Docker images to get started

Ideal for student projects!

Tutorials available from the Rivet website, a walkthrough in the R3 paper

Imitation the highest form of flattery ⇒ copy an existing analysis!



Getting & using Rivet

Lightweight analysis preservation is valuable… and easy to start

As either a “user” or analysis author, the barrier is lower than ever: we recommend using our Docker images to get started

Ideal for student projects!

Tutorials available from the Rivet website, a walkthrough in the R3 paper

Imitation the highest form of flattery ⇒ copy an existing analysis!

Getting & using Rivet

Lightweight analysis preservation is valuable… and easy to start

As either a “user” or analysis author, the barrier is lower than ever: we recommend using our Docker images to get started

Ideal for student projects!

Tutorials available from the Rivet website, a walkthrough in the R3 paper

Imitation the highest form of flattery ⇒ copy an existing analysis!

Getting & using Rivet

Lightweight analysis preservation is valuable… and easy to start

As either a “user” or analysis author, the barrier is lower than ever: we recommend using our Docker images to get started

Ideal for student projects!

Tutorials available from the Rivet website, a walkthrough in the R3 paper

Imitation the highest form of flattery ⇒ copy an existing analysis!

Getting & using Rivet

Lightweight analysis preservation is valuable… and easy to start

As either a “user” or analysis author, the barrier is lower than ever: we recommend using our Docker images to get started

Ideal for student projects!

Tutorials available from the Rivet website, a walkthrough in the R3 paper

Imitation the highest form of flattery ⇒ copy an existing analysis!

Getting & using Rivet

Lightweight analysis preservation is valuable… and easy to start

As either a “user” or analysis author, the barrier is lower than ever: we recommend using our Docker images to get started

Ideal for student projects!

Tutorials available from the Rivet website, a walkthrough in the R3 paper

Imitation the highest form of flattery ⇒ copy an existing analysis!



Getting & using Rivet

Lightweight analysis preservation is valuable… and easy to start

As either a “user” or analysis author, the barrier is lower than ever: we recommend using our Docker images to get started

Ideal for student projects!

Tutorials available from the Rivet website, a walkthrough in the R3 paper

Imitation the highest form of flattery ⇒ copy an existing analysis!



Getting & using Rivet

Lightweight analysis preservation is valuable… and easy to start

As either a “user” or analysis author, the barrier is lower than ever: we recommend using our Docker images to get started

Ideal for student projects!

Tutorials available from the Rivet website, a walkthrough in the R3 paper

Imitation the highest form of flattery ⇒ copy an existing analysis!



Getting & using Rivet

Lightweight analysis preservation is valuable… and easy to start

As either a “user” or analysis author, the barrier is lower than ever: we recommend using our Docker images to get started

Ideal for student projects!

Tutorials available from the Rivet website, a walkthrough in the R3 paper

Imitation the highest form of flattery ⇒ copy an existing analysis!



Getting & using Rivet

Lightweight analysis preservation is valuable… and easy to start

As either a “user” or analysis author, the barrier is lower than ever: we recommend using our Docker images to get started

Ideal for student projects!

Tutorials available from the Rivet website, a walkthrough in the R3 paper

Imitation the highest form of flattery ⇒ copy an existing analysis!

Getting & using Rivet

Lightweight analysis preservation is valuable… and easy to start

As either a “user” or analysis author, the barrier is lower than ever: we recommend using our Docker images to get started

Ideal for student projects!

Tutorials available from the Rivet website, a walkthrough in the R3 paper

Imitation the highest form of flattery ⇒ copy an existing analysis!



Getting & using Rivet

Lightweight analysis preservation is valuable… and easy to start

As either a “user” or analysis author, the barrier is lower than ever: we recommend using our Docker images to get started

Ideal for student projects!

Tutorials available from the Rivet website, a walkthrough in the R3 paper

Imitation the highest form of flattery ⇒ copy an existing analysis!



Backup slides

MC generation

- **MC generation is where theory meets experiment**
  - The fundamental *pp, pA, AA* collision, *sans* detector
- **Components of an "exclusive" event-generator chain:**
  - QFT matrix element sampling at fixed-order in QCD
  - *Dressed* with approximate collinear splitting functions, iterated in factorised Markov-chain "parton showers"
  - FS parton evolution terminated at Q ~ 1 GeV: phenomenological hadronisation modelling
  - Mixed with multiple partonic interaction modelling
  - Finally particle decays, and other niceties
- **Modern HEP is hostage to shower MCs!**
  - The main mechanism for translating theory to experimental signatures, from QCD to BSM
  - Generally very complex modelling and output





From HZTool to Rivet

- **The idea of preserving experimental analyses for MC validation was born out of HZTOOL**
  - HERA (H1 and ZEUS) DIS and photoproduction
  - Probing low-*x*, semi-perturbative physics:
    DIS with Q^2 ~ 4 GeV^2; jet p_T ~ 5 GeV; diffraction
  - Many "state of the art" models only in MCs
  - Much confusion about comparing like-with-like between generators, experiments, and analyses
  - HZTool (Fortran) for cross-experiment comparisons of similar measurements modulo cut differences
- **Direct line to Rivet, 10 years later: "HZ mark two"**
  - UK e-science funding; adopted by EU MCnet network



Future Physics at HERA

Workshop, DESY Hamburg, Sept. 95 to Sept. 96

Aim: Study of future physics potentials at HERA in collider and fixed target modes, including high luminosity, polarized beams and nuclei.

Proceedings of the Workshop

Old home page and workshop meetings

Working Groups:

- Structure Functions
- Electroweak Physics
- Beyond the Standard Model
- Heavy Quark Production and Decay
- Jets and High E_T Phenomena
- Diffractive Hard Scattering
- Polarized Protons and Electrons
- Light and Heavy Nuclei in HERA
- HERA Upgrades and Impacts on Experiments

Organizing Committee:
Gunnar Ingelman, Uppsala/DESY (Chairman)
Albert De Roeck, DESY
Robert Klanner, DESY

Secretary:
Ms. H. Haertel
DESY-FH1K
Notkestrasse 85
D-22603 Hamburg
Phone: +49-40-8998-3105
Fax: +49-40-8998-3093

Email: heraws96@mail.desy.de

Advisory Committee:
W.Buchmüller, J.Feltesse, A.Levy,
H.Schröder, J.van den Brand, A.Wagner

If you are using mosaic, click here.



Figure 1: *The transverse momenta dN/dp_T (a) and the 'seagull' plot $\langle P_T^2 \rangle \times x_F$ (b) of single particles in the positive hemisphere of the hadronic center of mass. The transverse energy flow $dE_T/d\eta$ in a low (c) and high (d) x and Q^2 bin. The transverse energy-energy correlations for $x > 10^{-3}$ (e) and $x < 10^{-3}$ (f).*

Designing Rivet

- **Ease of use**
  - **Big emphasis on “more physics, less noise”!**
  - Minimal boilerplate analysis code, HepData sync
  - Event loop and histogramming basically familiar
  - Tools to avoid having to touch the raw event graph
- **Embeddable**
  - OO C++ library, Python wrapper, sane user scripts
  - Generator independence: communication via HepMC
    - Note HepMC3 HI-support efforts
  - Analysis routines factorised: loaded as “plugins”
- **Efficient**
  - Avoid recomputations via “projection” caching system
- **Physical**
  - **Measurements primarily from final-state particles only**



Event-generator tuning

Event generators all have dirty secrets. Usually non-perturbative ones… O(30+) parameters

- **First systematic hadron collider "tunes" of PYTHIA6 by Rick Field for CDF ~ 2001**
  - Tune A, Tune D, Tune DW, etc. etc.
- **Limited datasets, variation by hand**
  - Rivet and its analyses were a game-changer
  - You only know a model is incapable when you've scanned its whole param space… and then the argument is over
- **The "Professor" tunes, 2008; and…**





Physically safe analysis methods

Avoiding unstandardised event-graph features was pragmatic, but led to some genuine physical insights:

- **refining the "fiducial" idea, defining *unfolding targets***
- **Hadronisation as a "decoherence barrier"**
  use the natural dividing line between the quantum-interfering hard process & semi-classical decays: ~ no tempting partons!
- **Bringing truth tagging closer to reco**
  first releases used *b*-ancestry of jet constituents to set HF labels: too inclusive! ⇒ *associate* the hard-fragmenting, weakly-decaying *B*
- **Promptness/directness tests**
  don't identify a particle "from the hard process"; do it backward. Label as *indirect* via recursive checks for hadron parentage
- **Dressed leptons**
  we now primarily *dress* truth leptons with their photon halo



Practical tasks and challenges

- **Tasks:**
  - Extension of HepData and other community infrastructure for ever-more precise data. Even our compressed data format is struggling with the volume of analyses and data
    GSoC+follow-up on generalised binned containers, static/dynamic object distinction, and multiweight-oriented data formats (HDF5)
  - Improved, modernised visualisation and exploration
    ⇒ matplotlib GSoC+follow-up to make public
  - Preserving MVAs: BDT and NN in vanilla C++? Or avoid?
- **Challenges:**
  - So much progress has happened at/because of in-person developer workshops ⇒ Covid had a big impact. Events in Dec 2020 and more recently have re-invigorated developments
  - Need to find ways to continue this without MCnet funding…



Heavy-ion physics preservation

- "Adding heavy-ion support" sounds trivial!
- Actually nuanced ⇒ lots of structural impacts
  - HI observables often require centrality-fraction calibration curves: we need a 2-pass run.
  - Flow observables, event/event correlations… all centrality-binned!
  - Swappable definitions: few HI generators are general-purpose enough to do "everything"
- All supported "out of the box" since v3
  - Paper: https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.10737
  - Core development tool for Pythia/Angantyr: authors and ALICE (etc.) collaborators providing analyses
- HI experience ⇒ updated *pp* primary particle defns





HI community engagement!

- **Great "spontaneous" engagement from within HI. Several productive workshops**



- HepData, Rivet
- Better ex/ph communication
- Faster model/data comparisons
- Addressing issues with formats and incomplete models
- Undergrad army!
- https://indico.cern.ch/event/1022351/

Also for EIC: *ep*/DIS/photoproduction

- **Recent pushes to include more *ep* analyses**
  - Remember Rivet's origins in HERA HZTool?
  - 2 analyses and DIS boosted frames since the beginning
  - Older attempt to port HZTool analyses to Rivet flopped: ~little interest → semi-useful RivetHZTool package
  - Now changing, largely due to EIC
- **8+2 new HERA routines in v3.1.5**
  - Supplied via preservation effort by Andrii Verbytskyi
  - More to come…
- **18 more from DESY summer students**
  - 2019 Rivet/DESY preservation workshop: refinements in fiducial machinery for DIS
  - Rivet-based preservation programme in summer 2021
  - Coming soon… v3.1.6 in ~Feb 2022?

Rivet, RivetHZTool and HERA

A validation effort for coding HERA measurements for Rivet

Muhammad Ibrahim Abdulhamid[8], Andrea Achilleos, Giorgia Bonomelli, Aryan Borkar[14], Madhav Chithirasreemadam, Maksim Davydov[16], Keila Moral Figueroa, Alejandro B. Galván, Susie Kim, Kritsanon Koenno[illegible], Luca Marsili[13], Ariadna León Quirós, Narmin Malimova, Jacob Shannon, Suraj Singh[14], Can Süslü[12], Natapon Trakulphorm, Danielle Wilson [illegible], Wenting Zhang

A. Bermudez Martinez[2], A. Buckley[9], C. Bierlich[15], J. M. Butterworth[3], L.I. Estevez Banos[2], C. Gütschow[11], H. Jung[2], M. Mendizabal[2], S. Taheri Monfared[2], S. Plätzer[10], S. Schmitt, P. van Mechelen[4], Q. Wang[2,7], G. Watt[17], M. Wing[11], H. Yang[2,7]



More prelim HERA *ep* outputs

Analyses on everything from jet rates, to event shapes, E_T flow, *K* rates, *b* production, *D* and inclusive fragmentation, …













Contur

HT Louie Corpe

- **Contur is "just" a wrapper on Rivet**
  - Ok, not just! You need to know which analyses are "safe". Another reason for emphasis on final-states and *no cheating*
  - In absence of unambiguous BSM, make zeroth-order assumption that data = SM
  - Can be improved with high-precision SM theory predictions & uncertainties
  - Signal-injection ⇒ care with e.g. ratios & profiles… cf. Rivet "perfect merging"
  - Group analyses in stats-orthogonal "pools". Use (expected) most-constraining element in the pool for setting limits — use correlations when possible to make "bigger" elements
  -



Contur BSM example

❖ **Vector-like quarks** [SciPost Phys. 9, 069 (2020)]

- Popular generic class of SM extensions, with new quark partners: $B^{-1/3}$, $T^{2/3}$, $X^{5/3}$, $Y^{-4/3}$
- Couple to SM via usual quark EM & strong couplings, but
  B,T: interact with *W*, *Z* or *H* via modified weak coupling
  X, Y: interact only with *W* via modified weak coupling: $X \to Wt$, $Y \to Wb$ always
- LHC searches mostly for 3rd gen, strong pair-production only!
- 4 masses, 1 overall coupling κ,
  3 generational couplings ζ, 3 branching ratios ξ
  ⇒ rich collider phenomenology!

$$\mathcal{L} = \kappa_B \left[\sqrt{\frac{\zeta_i \xi^B_W}{\Gamma^0_W}} \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} [\bar{B}_{L/R} W^-_\mu \gamma^\mu u^i_{L/R}] + \sqrt{\frac{\zeta_i \xi^B_Z}{\Gamma^0_Z}} \frac{g}{2c_W} [\bar{B}_{L/R} Z_\mu \gamma^\mu d^i_{L/R}] - \sqrt{\frac{\zeta_i \xi^B_H}{\Gamma^0_H}} \frac{M_B}{v} [\bar{B}_{R/L} H d^i_{L/R}] \right]$$
$$+ \kappa_T \left[\sqrt{\frac{\zeta_i \xi^T_W}{\Gamma^0_W}} \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} [\bar{T}_{L/R} W^+_\mu \gamma^\mu d^i_{L/R}] + \sqrt{\frac{\zeta_i \xi^T_Z}{\Gamma^0_Z}} \frac{g}{2c_W} [\bar{T}_{L/R} Z_\mu \gamma^\mu u^i_{L/R}] - \sqrt{\frac{\zeta_i \xi^T_H}{\Gamma^0_H}} \frac{M_T}{v} [\bar{T}_{R/L} H u^i_{L/R}] \right]$$
$$+ \kappa_X \left[\sqrt{\frac{\zeta_i}{\Gamma^0_W}} \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} [\bar{X}_{L/R} W^+_\mu \gamma^\mu u^i_{L/R}] \right] + \kappa_Y \left[\sqrt{\frac{\zeta_i}{\Gamma^0_W}} \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} [\bar{Y}_{L/R} W^-_\mu \gamma^\mu d^i_{L/R}] \right] + \text{h.c.},$$



VLQ pheno with Contur: 1st gen

- Even pair-production has κ-dependence via weak production initiated by valence quarks
- Weak *Qq* single-VLQ production can dominate over pair-prod!
- Different *W:Z:H* BFs for *T, B* activate different analysis pools “automatically” due to Rivet coverage
- *WW* diboson mostly dominates, thanks to *W* and *H* decay channels
- “Injection” of ℓ+MET+jet events here from an unfolded VBF *control region*!

Pair-prod cross-sections



(a) T coupling to u, d only (b) T coupling to c, s only (c) T coupling to t, b only



Exclusions complementary to non-collider limits

VLQ pheno with Contur: 3rd gen

- In pure T, B pair-production mode, diboson and ℓ+MET+jet "SM" analyses ~cover or complement direct searches wonderfully
- In general, for *W:Z:H* = 0:1:0, *Tq* and *Xq* production killed by tiny top-quark PDF: pairs at low-m_Q, *Yq* at high-mass. Decays always have a *W* (directly or via $T \to t\,Z$) ⇒ℓ+MET pool always dominates
- Rivet+Contur "SM" routines give powerful sensitivity to VLQs, even far from the benchmark search modes



Generalising to 4 VLQs, still strong exclusions

More realistic models… [singlets]

- Review requested a scan of realistic multiplets: 7 multiplets, each with 3 generational couplings, each with 4 W/H/Z-couplings, 300 points per scan, x 30,000 events!
- **~No problem! 1 month later…**



More realistic models… [doublets]

- Review requested a scan of realistic multiplets: 7 multiplets, each with 3 generational couplings, each with 4 W/H/Z-couplings, 300 points per scan, x 30,000 events!







More realistic models… [triplets]

- Review requested a scan of realistic multiplets: 7 multiplets, each with 3 generational couplings, each with 4 W/H/Z-couplings, 300 points per scan, x 30,000 events!







Speed is *good!*

Harder, faster, stronger… moar BSM

- Now extending beyond 1D and 2D grids:
  - ➢ Rivet (and Herwig) as a function
  - ➢ Embed into adaptive scans
  - ➢ Higher param dimensionalities
  - ➢ Including beyond colliders, e.g. Gambit
- Rivet as a tool to probe new-observable sensitivity, e.g. in EFT models (TopFitter)
- Bootstrapping for victory: estimating statistical and systematic correlations (with SModelS, MadAnalysis5)