Spin-induced scalarization and magnetic fields

Lorenzo Annulli - CIDMA, University of Aveiro

XV Black Holes Workshop ISCTE - University Institute of Lisbon, December 2022



Phys.Lett.B 832 (2022) 137227 In collaboration with Carlos Herdeiro and Eugen Radu

Astrophysical magnetic fields

```
Magnetars' collapse remnant BHs? [Anderson+,1970]
BHs neutrally charged? [Gibbons,1975] - [Blandford+,1977]
Sources in radio-relics [Kempner+,2003] and around BHs, e.g. Sgr A* [Mori+,2013] and M87* [EHT,2021]
Accretion disks and magnetized plasma? [Lee+,2007]
```

Challenging the universality of the Kerr hypothesis

BHs from ~ 1 to $10^{10}~M_{\odot}$, and all spins really well described by the Kerr metric?

Kerr hypothesis violating models? New scales, hence mass/spin range of non-Kerrness

Example? Spontaneous scalarization [Damour+,1993]

In electrovacuum GR the Melvin Universe can scalarize [Brihaye+,2021]

Theory

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[R - F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla\phi\right)^2 + \frac{\eta}{4} \mathfrak{f}(\phi)\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{GB}} \right]$$

Nomenclature

GB⁺ scalarization: the instability is promoted by regions wherein the GB is (sufficiently) positive.

- Schwarzschild BHs scalarize if $M/\sqrt{\eta}$ is small enough [Silva+,2017,Doneva+,2017,Antoniou+,2017]
- Adding spin quenches it [Cunha+,2019,Collodel+,2019]
- GB⁻ scalarization: when the GB is *negative*.
 - For Kerr this occurs for dimensionless spin j > 0.5 ("spin-induced" [Dima+,2020])

A strong magnetic field in the vicinity of a spinning BH enhancing GB⁻ for j < 0.5?

Melvin

$$ds^{2} = \Lambda^{2} \left(-dt^{2} + d\rho^{2} + dz^{2} \right) + \Lambda^{-2} \rho^{2} d\varphi^{2}, \ \Lambda = 1 + (1/4) B^{2} \rho^{2}$$

Schwarzschild-Melvin

$$ds^{2} = \Lambda^{2}(-fdt^{2} + f^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\theta^{2}) + \Lambda^{-2}r^{2}\sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2}, \ \Lambda = 1 + (1/4)B^{2}r^{2}\sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2}$$

Kerr-Newman Melvin

$$ds^{2} = H\left[-fdt^{2} + R^{2}\left(\frac{dr^{2}}{\Delta} + d\theta^{2}\right)\right] + \frac{\sum \sin^{2}\theta}{HR^{2}}\left(d\varphi - \omega dt\right)^{2}$$
$$A_{\mu} = (\Phi_{0} - \omega\Phi_{3}, 0, 0, \Phi_{3})$$

[Wald,1974] - [Ernst,1976] - [Gibbons+,2013]

Spontaneous scalarization and necessary conditions

Any electrovacuum GR solution is a solution

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \phi} \left(\phi = 0 \right) = 0$$

Tachyonic perturbations exist in regions where $\mathcal{R}_{\rm GB} < 0$ if

$$\eta < 0, \ \frac{\partial^2 \mathfrak{f}}{\partial \phi^2} \left(\phi = 0 \right) > 0$$

Simplest model

$$f(\phi) = \phi^2/2 \Longrightarrow \left(\Box - \mu_{\text{eff}}^2\right) \phi = 0$$
 (linear perturbations)

where

$$\mu_{\rm eff}^2 = -\frac{\eta}{4} \mathcal{R}_{\rm GB}$$



A geometrical interpretation



Spontaneous scalarization as a mechanism that leads to new field configurations

Objects solely formed by magnetic fields might undergo a *magnetic-induced* scalarization

In EMsGB BHs tend to be more stable once magnetic fields are included (at least poloidal ones)

A thorough analysis of scalar perturbations?