Higgs Boson properties and couplings Snowmass EF01 report Caterina Vernieri, Sally Dawson

April 20, 2022 **ECFA WG1 Workshop**









Higgs and the exploration of the EF

- The Standard Model is not a complete theory •
 - Gravity, neutrino mass, dark matter ...
- The Higgs boson is a **potential window to probe** • physics Beyond the Standard Model
 - Searches for additional scalars
 - (Interplay with) Precision measurements of the • **Higgs boson properties**
 - Higgs Global fits •







Higgs physics at the HL-LHC



Particle mass [GeV]

Caterina Vernieri

ECFA WG1 · April 20, 2022

ATLAS+CMS HL-LHC 2022 study

The High Luminosity era of LHC will dramatically expand the physics reach for Higgs physics:

- 2-5% precision for most of Higgs couplings
- Larger uncertainties on Z_y and charm
- <50% on the self-coupling
- **Higgs width 5%**



Higgs physics at the HL-LHC



Particle mass [GeV]

Caterina Vernieri

ATLAS+CMS HL-LHC 2022 study

The High Luminosity era of LHC will dramatically expand the physics reach for Higgs physics:

- 2-5% precision for most of Higgs couplings
- Larger uncertainties on Zy and charm
- <50% on the self-coupling
- Higgs width 5%

To be updated: based on the new studies for Snowmass





Caterina Vernieri







Caterina Vernieri





Why leptons?

- Initial state well defined (& polarization) \implies High-precision measurements •
- ullet





Higgs bosons appear in 1 in 100 events \Rightarrow Clean experimental environment and trigger-less readout







Higgs at e+e-



Caterina Vernieri

- ZH is dominant at **250 GeV**
- Above 500 GeV
 - Hvv dominates
 - ttH opens up
 - HH production accessible with ZHH





Which collider?

LEPTON COLLIDERS

- Circular e+e- (CEPC, FCC-ee)
 - 90-350 GeV
 - strongly limited by synchrotron radiation above 350–400 GeV
- Linear $e+e-(ILC, CLIC, C^3)$
 - 250 GeV > 1 TeV
 - Reach higher energies, and can use polarized beams
- µ+µ-
 - 3-30 TeV

HADRON COLLIDERS

• **75-200 TeV** (FCC-hh)







- What do we want to learn on top of what HL-LHC will deliver? •
- - As we gain knowledge, how do we prioritize the Higgs measurements?
 - Which energy to target ?
 - require > 500 GeV
 - LC approach is to start at 250 GeV and then ~500 GeV is it enough?
 - Which measurement are to be prioritized after the 250 GeV run?
 - How relevant is to have polarized beams?

Exploring the complementarity between e^+e^- and LHC will lead to the most precise measurements Timelines matter - ideally the next machine will minimize the gap with HL-LHC, also:

• top-Yukawa, HH, extended Higgs sector with more generic Yukawa coupling scenarios will



Snowmass EF01/2 report

EF012-whitereport

Sally Dawson Adrey Korytov Patrick Meade Isobel Ojalvo Caterina Vernieri

March 2022

Introduction

Why Higgs is great $\mathbf{2}$

DRAF"I'!

- Centrality of Higgs in SM 2.1
- Questions the Higgs leaves us $\mathbf{2.2}$
- Status report of what we know for sure and what's $\mathbf{2.3}$ missing experimentally (self couplings, light yukawas, "unitarization")
- 2.4 New types of measurements vs increased precision (e.g. differential)
- What BSM Higgs physics can influence $\mathbf{2.5}$

Models of BSM Higgs $\mathbf{2.6}$

Models addressing physics questions vs exploring Higgs like possibilities/property modifications

- EFT
- Higgs portal
- Singlet
- Doublet
- Higgs importance in larger frameworks?
- Naturalness, Compositeness

Caterina Vernieri

SLAC

- Mass, width, spin measurements 3
- Update on theory calculations of Higgs rates $\mathbf{4}$
- **Impact of differential measurements** 5
- Higgs coupling measurements with emphasis 6 on light Yukawas
- **CP** violating Higgs coupling measurements
- Update on prospects for observing HH 8
- Update on new analysis techniques for Higgs 9 physics and what they mean
- How coupling measurements and HH impact 10understanding of EFTs
- Why go beyond the SM? 11
- The big motivations for BSM Higgs 12
- Some models showing complementarity of di-13rect searches and precision measurements: Singlet, 2HDM, ?
- Targets for precision based on models $\mathbf{14}$
- Detector/accelerator requirements to observe 15new physics

Expanding 1:





^{- -} How do we go further, energy, luminosity, clean environment (snowmass options, connect to AF, IF)

Higgs couplings at future colliders

- Future colliders under consideration will improve with respect to the HL-LHC the understanding of the Higgs boson couplings - 1-5%
 - Coupling to charm quark could be measured with an accuracy of ~1% in future e+emachines
 - Couplings to $\mu/\gamma/Z\gamma$ benefit the most from the large dataset available at HL-LHC
 - At low energy top-Higgs coupling is not accessible at future lepton colliders

arXiv:1910.11775, arXiv:1905.03764







Higgs couplings at future colliders

- Future colliders under consideration will improve with respect to the HL-LHC the understanding of the Higgs boson couplings - 1-5%
 - **Coupling to charm** quark could be measured with an accuracy of ~1% in future e+emachines
 - **Couplings to \mu/\gamma/Z\gamma** benefit the most from the large dataset available at HL-LHC
 - At low energy top-Higgs coupling is not accessible at future lepton colliders

To be updated: new studies for Snowmass

arXiv:1910.11775, arXiv:1905.03764







ECFA WG1 · April 20, 2022



New from HL-LHC



YR projections based on analyses of partial Run 2 dataset Full Run 2 measurements have drastically improved previous results We need to update our HL-LHC projections

Uncertainty (%)

Updated Higgs couplings for ILC

| | II | LC250 | ILC500 | | IL | LC100 | |
|-----------------|------|----------|--------|----------|---------------------|-------|--|
| coupling | full | no BSM | full | no BSM | full | no | |
| hZZ | 0.49 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.20 | 0.34 | 0 | |
| hWW | 0.48 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.20 | 0.34 | 0 | |
| hbb | 0.99 | 0.80 | 0.58 | 0.43 | 0.47 | 0 | |
| h	au	au | 1.1 | 0.95 | 0.75 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0 | |
| hgg | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.96 | 0.91 | 0.67 | 0 | |
| hcc | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.79 | 0 | |
| $h\gamma\gamma$ | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0 | |
| $h\gamma Z$ | 8.9 | 8.9 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 6 | |
| $h\mu\mu$ | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3 | |
| htt | | | 6.3 | 6.3 | 1.0 | 1 | |
| hhh | | | 20 | 20 | 10 | | |
| Γ_{tot} | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.70 | 1.4 | 0 | |
| Γ_{inv} | 0.36 | | 0.32 | | 0.32 | | |

Note C³ would run at 550 GeV, a factor 2 *improvement to the top-Yukawa coupling (*)*



ECFA WG1 · April 20, 2022



Updated Higgs couplings for ILC

| | II | LC250 | ILC500 | | IL | C10 |
|-----------------|------|----------|--------|----------|---------------------|-----|
| coupling | full | no BSM | full | no BSM | full | no |
| hZZ | 0.49 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.20 | 0.34 | 0 |
| hWW | 0.48 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.20 | 0.34 | 0 |
| hbb | 0.99 | 0.80 | 0.58 | 0.43 | 0.47 | 0 |
| h	au	au | 1.1 | 0.95 | 0.75 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0 |
| hgg | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.96 | 0.91 | 0.67 | 0 |
| hcc | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.79 | 0 |
| $h\gamma\gamma$ | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0 |
| $h\gamma Z$ | 8.9 | 8.9 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.4 | (|
| $h\mu\mu$ | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3 |
| htt | | | 6.3 | 6.3 | 1.0 |] |
| hhh | | | 20 | 20 | 10 | |
| Γ_{tot} | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.70 | 1.4 | 0 |
| Γ_{inv} | 0.36 | | 0.32 | | 0.32 | |

Note C³ would run at 550 GeV, a factor 2 *improvement to the top-Yukawa coupling (*)*

Combined limit of Ks < 6.74 at 95% CL with 900/fb at 250 GeV

Caterina Vernieri



ECFA WG1 · April 20, 2022



One note on polarization

- There are extensive comparisons between the FCC plan and the C³/ILC runs that show they are rather compatible to study the Higgs Boson
- When analyzing Higgs couplings with SMEFT, 2 ak polarized running is essentially equivalent to 5 abunpolarized running.
 - Electron polarization is essential for this
 - There is almost no difference in the expectation and without **positron polarization**.
 - more cross-checks of systematic errors.
 - relevant at high energy (> TeV) where the me • important cross sections are initiated from e

arXiv:1708.08912 arXiv:1801.02840 SLAC (

| | | 2/ab-250 | +4/ab-500 | 5/ab-250 | + 1.5/ab |
|---------------------|------------------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| C-ee | coupling | pol. | pol. | unpol. | unpo |
| | HZZ | 0.50 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.34 |
| | HWW | 0.50 | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.35 |
| b-1 of | Hbb | 0.99 | 0.59 | 0.72 | 0.62 |
| | H	au	au | 1.1 | 0.75 | 0.81 | 0.71 |
| -1 OT | Hgg | 1.6 | 0.96 | 1.1 | 0.96 |
| | Hcc | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| | $H\gamma\gamma$ | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| • • • • • • • • • • | $H\gamma Z$ | 9.1 | 6.6 | 9.5 | 8.1 |
| n with | $H\mu\mu$ | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| | Htt | - | 6.3 | - | - |
| | HHH | - | 27 | - | - |
| ost | Γ_{tot} | 2.3 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| | Γ_{inv} | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0.30 |
| $e^{-1}e^{+1}R$ | Γ_{other} | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.94 |
| | | | | | |









Higgs couplings at the muon collider



Caterina Vernieri

arXiv:2203.07261

ECFA WG1 · April 20, 2022





Higgs-electron Yukawa

- **<u>Electron</u>** Yukawa at FCC-ee with a dedicated 4 years run at the Higgs mass
 - **κ**_e < 1.6 at 95% CL





EF Workshop Restart - September 3, 2021





CP properties

- Goal is to sharpen theoretical expectations / models (see summary from <u>Andrei Gritsan</u>)
 - · Connect to broader EFT and distinguish between linear and quadratic effects in the observables
- CP measurement using the **T Yukawa coupling** at e+e- at 250 GeV with a precision of 75 mrad
 - Higher energy stages, at a TeV or higher, can be used to measure CP effects in the HZZ coupling by studying the ZZ-fusion Higgs production process (to be followed up)
- Higgs-top CP-structure via the tth production at the HL-LHC, FCC-hh and muon colliders.

| Bounds on α at 95% CL ($\kappa_t = 1$) | Channel | Collider | Luminosity |
|---|--|------------------------------|----------------------|
| $ lpha \lesssim 36^\circ \ [1]$ | dileptonic $t\bar{t}(h \rightarrow b\bar{b})$ | HL-LHC | $3 { m ~ab^{-1}}$ |
| $ lpha \lesssim 25^\circ$ [2] | $t\bar{t}(h ightarrow \gamma \gamma)$ combination | HL-LHC | $3 { m ~ab^{-1}}$ |
| $ lpha \lesssim 3^\circ$ [1] | dileptonic $t\bar{t}(h \rightarrow b\bar{b})$ | $100 { m TeV} { m FCC}$ | 30 ab^{-1} |
| $ lpha \lesssim 9^\circ$ [3] | semileptonic $t\bar{t}(h \to b\bar{b})$ | $10 \text{ TeV } \mu^+\mu^-$ | $10 {\rm ~ab^{-1}}$ |
| $ lpha \lesssim 3^\circ$ [3] | semileptonic $t\bar{t}(h \rightarrow b\bar{b})$ | $30 \text{ TeV } \mu^+\mu^-$ | $10 {\rm ~ab^{-1}}$ |



The Higgs self-coupling at future colliders

- CMS & ATLAS projections have been updated
 - New combination can be done ? •
- New since the YR, **FCC-hh** : 2.9-5.5% depending on the systematic assumptions (arXiv:2004.03505)
- **Muon collider** 25% (6%) at 3 (10) TeV •

| | 3 TeV μ -coll. L \approx 1 ab ⁻¹ | 10 TeV μ -coll. L= 10 ab ⁻¹ | 14 TeV μ -coll. L \approx 20 ab ⁻¹ | 30 TeV μ -coll. L= 90 ab ⁻¹ |
|------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| | | 68% prob. inte | erval | |
| $\delta\kappa_\lambda$ | [-0.27,0.35] ∪ [0.85,0.94] →[-0.15,0.16] (2× L) | [-0.035, 0.037] | [-0.024, 0.025] | [-0.011, 0.012] |



| collider | single-H | HH | combined |
|----------------------|----------|-----|----------|
| HL-LHC | 100-200% | 50% | 50% |
| CEPC ₂₄₀ | 49% | | 49% |
| $C^{3}ILC_{250}$ | 49% | — | 49% |
| $C^{3}ILC_{500}$ | 38% | 27% | 22% |
| ILC ₁₀₀₀ | 36% | 10% | 10% |
| CLIC ₃₈₀ | 50% | — | 50% |
| $CLIC_{1500}$ | 49% | 36% | 29% |
| CLIC ₃₀₀₀ | 49% | 9% | 9% |
| FCC-ee | 33% | — | 33% |
| FCC-ee (4 IPs) | 24% | — | 24% |
| HE-LHC | - | 15% | 15% |
| FCC-hh | - | 5% | 5% |

These values are combined with an independent determination of the self-coupling with uncertainty 50% from the HL-LHC.



Higgs production at large p_T

- European Strategy Studies focused on inclusive measurements : new opportunities for measurements of the Higgs couplings at large Q²
 - BSM effects often grow with energy •
 - Clear impact on the extraction of EFT constraints via • correlations among different processes and kinematical regimes
- Also this helps mitigating systematic uncertainties and ٠ maximizes the robustness of the results
 - i.e. pile-up rejection and trigger capabilities •
- Few **examples**:

۲

•

- VH at large invariant mass (double differential distributions • sometime needed to restore BSM/SM interference)
 - Probing the HWW coupling at high Q^2 in pp \rightarrow WH at large • mass or in VBF is complementary to measure $BR(H \rightarrow WW)$
- off-shell $gg \rightarrow H^* \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I$ •
- Higgs + high-p_T jet

ATLAS+CMS HL-LHC 2022 study





New result in VH to bb and H to $\tau\tau$





Challenges and Opportunities for Higgs Physics

- Why is exploring the Higgs important?
 - 1. It is a fundamental part of the SM
 - 2. In the SM, most everything except the Higgs mass is predicted, so we can make precise comparisons.
- Are existing theory calculations sufficient for the comparisons? How accurately do we need to measure? •
- What do we learn from precision measurements of Higgs properties?
 - Precision is window to high scales. How to make the connection? How important are specific models? in SMEFT framework, $\delta \kappa \sim v^2/M^2$
- Higgs is window to high scale physics
 - Future e+e- colliders give increasingly precise measurements of Higgs couplings • Can we quantify the complementarity with direct searches at high energy machines? This should be studied by exploring the complementarity between HL-LHC and future colliders •
- - (accounting for their different timelines).
- Does EWSB work the way we think it does? •
 - How to best explain the importance of measuring triple Higgs coupling? ٠ We can quantify how well different machines can do....but we need to explain what various target
 - measurements imply
 - How to connect precision of measurement with reach for new particles in models that predict • deviations on the self-coupling?









Summary plots/tables

- Higgs couplings:
 - Include updated list of machines (muon collider, C³ are recent developments) and their parameters (including timelines)
 - Re-visit some of the assumptions (i.e. flavor..) since the ESG
 - Summary of latest theory cross sections (distributions too if available) •
 - New Global fits
- Some example maps of new physics phase space to constraints on EFT • operators
 - Plots that demonstrate in creative ways the BSM sensitivities of • various measurements
- New physics benchmarks for resonant and non-resonant HH that we • could use for interpretations as the precision on the self-coupling improves















Extra

Higgs physics at the HL-LHC

NEW!



ATLAS+CMS HL-LHC 2022 study



NEW!





H to strange coupling

- Exploring ZH with Z going to leptons or neutrinos •







ECFA WG1 · April 20, 2022



BSM interpretation?



Caterina Vernieri



Extending the sensitivity beyond LHC to 2HDM

A spontaneous flavour violating (SFV) 2HDM allows for large couplings of additional Higgs to strange/light quarks while suppressing flavourchanging neutral currents





The inverse problem

- Progress on how to map BSM models to SMEFT constraints •
 - •



Caterina Vernieri



Include complete 1-loop matching for other models, more NLO effects in fits, and more distributions

ECFA WG1 · April 20, 2022



The inverse problem

- Progress on how to map BSM models to SMEFT constraints •
 - •





Include complete 1-loop matching for other models, more NLO effects in fits, and more distributions

ECFA WG1 · April 20, 2022



Model independent bounds on new physics

| Coupling (2σ) | HL-LHC | LHeC | HE- | LHC | | ILC | | | CLIC | C | CEPC | FCO | C-e |
|--------------------------------|--------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|
| Unitarity Bound | | | S2 | S2' | 250 | 500 | 1000 | 380 | 1500 | 3000 | | 240 | 36 |
| $2\delta\kappa_V ~[\%]$ | 3.0 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 0.58 | 0.46 | 0.44 | 1.0 | 0.32 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.40 | 0.3 |
| $\Lambda_V ~({ m TeV})$ | 6.0 | 9 | 6.4 | 7.7 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 10 | 18 | 22 | 20 | 16 | 18 |
| $2\kappa_g \ [\%]$ | 4.6 | 7.2 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 4.6 | 1.94 | 1.32 | 5.0 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 2. |
| $\Lambda_g ~({ m TeV})$ | 51 | 41 | 56 | 70 | 51 | 78 | 95 | 49 | 68 | 81 | 63 | 59 | 77 |
| $2\kappa_\gamma~[\%]$ | 3.8 | 15.2 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 13.4 | 6.8 | 3.8 | 196 | 10 | 4.4 | 7.4 | 9.4 | 7. |
| $\Lambda_{\gamma} ~({ m TeV})$ | 120 | 61 | 130 | 150 | 65 | 92 | 120 | 17 | 76 | 110 | 88 | 78 | 86 |
| $2\kappa_{Z\gamma}$ [%] | 20 | _ | 11.4 | 7.6 | 198 | 172 | 170 | 240 | 30 | 13.8 | 16.4 | 162 | 15 |
| $\Lambda_{Z\gamma}$ (TeV) | 34 | _ | 45 | 55 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 28 | 41 | 37 | 12 | 12 |
| $2\delta\kappa_t \ [\%]$ | 6.6 | _ | 5.6 | 3.4 | _ | 13.8 | 3.2 | - | _ | 5.4 | _ | _ | _ |
| $\Lambda_t ~({\rm TeV})$ | 13 | — | 14 | 18 | _ | 9 | 19 | - | _ | 14 | _ | _ | _ |
| $2\delta\kappa_b \ [\%]$ | 7.2 | 4.2 | 6.4 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 1.16 | 0.96 | 3.8 | 0.92 | 0.74 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 1.3 |
| $\Lambda_b ~({ m TeV})$ | 80 | 100 | 85 | 100 | 110 | 200 | 220 | 110 | 220 | 250 | 140 | 130 | 18 |
| $2\delta\kappa_{\mu}$ [%] | 9.2 | _ | 5.0 | 3.4 | 30 | 18.8 | 12.4 | 640 | 26 | 11.6 | 17.8 | 20 | 17 |
| $\Lambda_{\mu} \ ({ m TeV})$ | 590 | _ | 800 | 970 | 320 | 410 | 510 | 70 | 350 | 520 | 420 | 400 | 42 |
| $2\delta\kappa_{	au}$ [%] | 3.8 | 6.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 1.40 | 1.14 | 6.0 | 2.6 | 1.76 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 1.4 |
| $\Lambda_{	au}$ (TeV) | 220 | 170 | 250 | 290 | 220 | 370 | 410 | 180 | 270 | 330 | 270 | 260 | 36 |
| $2\delta\kappa_h$ [%] | 94 | _ | 40 | 40 | 58 | 54 | 20 | 92 | 72 | 22 | 34 | 38 | 38 |
| Λ_h (TeV) | 15 | _ | 23 | 23 | 19 | 19 | 32 | 15 | 17 | 30 | 25 | 23 | 23 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Caterina Vernieri

arXiv:2203.09512



ECFA WG1 · April 20, 2022



Higgs & (SM?)EFT

•

- We will be working closely together with EF04 within the SMEFT framework:
 - theoretical constraints (positivity, analyticity)
 - More combined Higgs and top analysis •
 - 1. effects of top dipoles or 4 fermion ops. with tops
 - (particularly relevant for low-energy colliders below ttH threshold)
 - Include differential observables •
 - Explore more flavor scenarios (and make connection with flavor data) •
- SMEFT is a baseline, how we account for specific assumptions and model-dependency? •
 - Complementarity with new physics searches •

Caterina Vernieri (SLAC)

EF01 · Open questions and new ideas · July 22, 2020

see also C. Grojean's talk



Estimate EFT uncertainties (NLO, dim-8 effects, linear vs quadratic...), new physics in backgrounds,

2. constraints on top EW couplings from their NLO effects in Higgs and diboson processes

Wish list for the global fit

Inputs: Higgs @ HL-LHC

| HL-LHC | 3 ab-1 @ 14 TeV ATLAS+CMS (S2) | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|--|--|--|--|
| prod. | ggH | VBF | WH | ZH | ttH | | | | |
| σ | - | - | - | - | | | | | |
| σxBR _{bb} | 19.1 | - | 8.3 | 4.6 | 10.2 | | | | |
| σxBRcc | - | - | - | - | - | | | | |
| σxBR _{gg} | - | - | - | - | - | | | | |
| σxBRzz | 2.5 | 9.5 | 32.1 | 58.3 | 15.2 | | | | |
| σxBR _{ww} | 2.5 | 5.5 | 9.9 | 12.8 | 6.6 | | | | |
| σxBRττ | 4.5 | 3.9 | - | - | 10.2 | | | | |
| σxBR _{γγ} | 2.5 | 7.9 | 9.9 | 13.2 | 5.9 | | | | |
| σxBR _{γz} | 24.4 | 51.2 | - | - | - | | | | |
| σxBR _{µµ} | 11.1 | 30.7 | - | - | - | | | | |
| σxBR _{inv} . | - | 2.5 | - | - | - | | | | |
| m _H | 10-20MeV | | | | | | | | |

wishlist: correlation matrix; differential x-section is not included now, but can be considered if available

<u>EF04 link</u>

Caterina Vernieri

ECFA WG1 · April 20, 2022

in unit of %





Accuracy vs. Luminosity



ECFA WG1 · April 20, 2022

arXiv:1506.07830



