

Emulating 2-body Decaying Dark Matter with Neural Networks and Cosmological Observables

Jozef Bucko

Institute for Computational Science

University of Zurich

Aurel Schneider Sambit K. Giri

25.05.2022 33rd Rencontres de Blois



University of Zurich^{ॻz}

Institute for Computational Science

Motivation

Douspis (2nd World Summit or Exploring the Dark Side of the Universe)

- Nature of DM still unknown
- Cosmological tensions (σ_8 , H_0)
- \bullet Minimal extension to ΛCDM
- 2-body decaying dark matter (2bDDM) reduces clustering (namely) at small scales \rightarrow increase of σ_8 to compensate for it \rightarrow reduce σ_8 tension
- Detectability 2bDDM changes mass distribution - weak lensing can be sensitive to this effect





→ MAP + PJ-HPD CI ·· •··· M-H	IPD CI nominal			
KiDS-1000 3 × 2pt	.			
Cosmic shear + GGL				
Cosmic shear + galaxy clustering				
Cosmic shear				
Galaxy clustering	····			
Planck 2018 TTTEEE+lowE				
BOSS+KV450 (Tröster et al. 2020)				
DES Y1 3 \times 2pt (DES Collaboration 2018)				
KV450 (Hildebrandt et al. 2020)				
HSC pseudo- C_{ℓ} (Hikage et al. 2019)				
HSC \mathcal{E}_{+} (Hamana et al. 2020)				
	0.70 0.75 0.80 0.85			
$S_8 \equiv \sigma_8 \sqrt{\Omega_{\rm m}/0.3}$				



Strategy





Model

Model parameters Decay rate Γ Velocity kicks v_k Fraction of DDM f



 $_k/c \ll 1$

 $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{M^2} \right)$

Background - full:

$$\dot{\rho}_{\rm DDM} + 3\mathcal{H}\rho_{\rm DDM} = -a\Gamma\rho_{\rm DDM}$$
$$\dot{\rho}_{\rm SDM} + 3\mathcal{H}\rho_{\rm SDM} = a\Gamma\frac{M^2 + m^2}{2M^2}\rho_{\rm DDM}$$
$$\dot{\rho}_{\rm DR} + 4\mathcal{H}\rho_{\rm DR} = a\Gamma\frac{M^2 - m^2}{2M^2}\rho_{\rm DDM}$$

Background - approximated:

 $\dot{\rho}_{\rm DDM} + 3\mathcal{H}\rho_{\rm DDM} = -a\Gamma\rho_{\rm DDM}$ $\dot{\rho}_{\rm SDM} + 3\mathcal{H}\rho_{\rm SDM} = a\Gamma\rho_{\rm DDM} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ $\dot{\rho}_{\rm DR} + 4\mathcal{H}\rho_{\rm DR} = a\Gamma\rho_{\rm DDM} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$

25.05.2022 33rd Rencontres de Blois



N-body simulations



SDM DDM DR

$$v_k \sim pc$$
 m $m = (1-p)M$ M M M

Pkdgrav3 implementation: Potter et al. 2017

- Background unchanged
- Probabilistic assignment of velocity kicks to the particles
- Power spectra measured $z \in [0, 2.35]$

Model parameters	s:	
Decay rate:	Γ	$(0 - 0.074) \mathrm{Gyr}^{-1}$
Velocity kicks:	v_k	(0 - 5000) km/s
Fraction of DDM:	f	(0 - 1)



Fast prediction: 2-body DDM emulator

Sitzmann et al. 2019





Fast prediction: - emulation performance:

Training (SIREN network)

On average, up to 1% mismatch at all scales







University of

Institute for Computational Science





Cosmic Shear analysis: decaying DDM, KiDS-1000 and Planck 2018



Asgari et al. 2021

Planck collaboration 2018



Cosmic Shear analysis: decaying DDM, KiDS-1000 and Planck2018



25.05.2022 <u>33rd Rencontres de Blois</u>



Comparison with Simon et al. 2022

<u>Simon et al. 2022</u>

$DCDM \rightarrow WDM + DR$					
Parameter	w/ EFTofBOSS w/ EFTofBOSS + S_8				
$\log_{10}(\Gamma/[\mathrm{Gyr}^{-1}])$	unconstrained (-2.98)	$2.21(-2.08)^{+1.5}_{-0.6}$			
$\log_{10}(\varepsilon)$	unconstrained (-3.84)	$-2.30(-1.92)^{+0.84}_{-1.10}$			

This work:

$$\log(\Gamma \,[\mathrm{Gyr}^{-1}]) = -2.01^{+0.28}_{-0.11}$$

 $\log(\varepsilon) > -2.07$

(due to the prior limitations)



Constraints on 1-body decays

	Prelimi			
1bDDM	τ	f	inary	
KiDS-1000	>204 Gyr	<0.63		
Planck 2018	>575 Gyr	<0.61		
Combined	>468 Gyr	<0.60		



Thank you!



Parameter domain choice for 2-body decays (Γ, v_k)



Wang & Zentner 2012