

# 0 Example: BDF/SHiP magnetic muon shield optimization with ML

1

ARIES WP6 APEC & iFAST WP5.2 PAF jBSW22, Valencia, 29 March – 1 April 2022



### SHiP experimental setup

- Physics cased based on 2x10<sup>20</sup> protons on target (5 years of nominal operation)
  - → Signal yields from >10<sup>18</sup> D mesons, >10<sup>16</sup>  $\tau$ , >10<sup>21</sup> photons (>100 MeV)
- Dual detector system
  - 1. Search for HS decays ("HS detector")
  - 2. Neutrino physics and search for LDM recoil signatures ("SND")





Signal(mass, coupling)  $\propto N_p \times \iiint_{min}^{max} f$ (Production angle, Decay opening angle, Lifetime)  $d\phi \, d\alpha \, d\tau$ → Distribution for production angle, decay opening angle, lifetime depend on physics model and mass

Background suppression is combined effect of upstream shielding  $\otimes$  detector

- → Optimisation of geometry in terms of signal vs background is matter of choice of working point
- → Re-optimisation involves shortening the muon shield at the cost of somewhat higher muon rates
- → CDS detector rate limitation came from the use of emulsion film in SND

ARIES WP6 APEC & iFAST WP5.2 PAF jBSW22, Valencia, 29 March – 1 April 2022



## Muon background

[N/1GeV/c] 06

10<sup>8</sup>

10



all muons >1 GeV/c cutoff

charm beauty

pions and kaons low mass  $\rightarrow \mu\mu$ 

μμ pair production

- Beam-induced background flux
  - O(10<sup>11</sup>) muons (>1 GeV/c) per spill of 4x10<sup>13</sup> protons
  - 4.5×10<sup>18</sup> neutrinos and 3x10<sup>18</sup> anti-neutrinos in acceptance in 2×10<sup>20</sup> proton on target



R. Jacobsson



ARIES WP6 APEC & iFAST WP5.2 PAF jBSW22, Valencia, 29 March – 1 April 2022



# Muon shield (free-standing)

Narrow spaces for coil  $\rightarrow$  limit coil current-turn and power dissipation (air cooling) ۲

> 1.6 1.6 1.6

> 1.5

1.5

1.4

1.3 1.3 1.28

→ Use of grain-oriented (GO) steel, sheets of 0.3-0.5 mm





- Technology studies produce realistic field maps for simulation  $\odot$
- ➔ Assembly of GO steel
  - Investigation of welding followed by annealing
  - Welding of 5cm (150 sheets) blocks looks feasible
  - Requires large vacuum chamber



ARIES WP6 APEC & iFAST WP5.2 PAF jBSW22, Valencia, 29 March – 1 April 2022

6

1300 tonnes



## Muon shield (free-standing part)

- Optimization of field configuration by Machine Learning with a sample of muons simulated with PYTHIA/GEANT
  - Assumptions: 1.7 T average field in core
    6 magnets of 5m length
    - 10cm space between magnetic regions
  - Whole setup described by 56 parameters
  - Bayesian optimization procedure
- Current loss function

$$f(W,\chi_{\mu}) = \begin{cases} 10^8 \ if \ W > 3kt \\ 1 + e^{10 \times (W - W_0)/W_0} \times \left[1 + \sum_{\mu} \chi_{\mu}(x_{\mu})\right] \end{cases}$$

- W weight of the muon shield
- $W_0$  weight of the baseline
- $\chi_{\mu}$  weighted position of muon  $\mu$  passing sensitive plane at position  $x_{\mu}$
- ➔ Optimization produces an idealistic field map



gb = gradient boosted decision trees rf = random forests

- Penalise muons entering the acceptance
- Length optimised implicitly via the weight
- Weight cut-off as regularisatio



## Sounds easy

- Simulating one spill of 4E13 protons = month of CPU with 1600 cores
- Bayesian optimisation does not scale well for high-dimensional problems.
  - Computing model imposes additional constraints.
  - Make up to 100 guesses at once (with 16 nodes parallelising every function evaluation)
  - Use scikit-optimize implementation of Bayesian optimisation (DOI 10.5281/zenodo.1170575)
  - Use Gaussian processes and random forests as surrogate models.
  - Reduce muon sample by factor ~40 to speed up evaluation and even out coverage of phase space: 18 million beam-induced muons

8



### Example of the result



• Muon flux "bow wave" determines ultimate envelope for the fiducial volume

ARIES WP6 APEC & iFAST WP5.2 PAF jBSW22, Valencia, 29 March – 1 April 2022

R. Jacobsson

9

### Example of results

Typical muon paths in current shield for different energy ranges





- Muons impinging on decay volume: 5.8x10<sup>4</sup> / spill
- Reconstructed muons in spectrometer 3x10<sup>4</sup> / spill
- 2.1x10<sup>8</sup> muon DIS interactions in decay volume wall in 2x10<sup>20</sup> protons on target

→ Rate of muons in spectrometer come from wrong muon charge/magnet polarity, large angle scattering and magnet inefficient regions (coils, structural etc)



Radiation protection...



