### Introduction to QCD



### 50 Years of Quantum Chromodynamics

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

### Paul Hoyer University of Helsinki

### Midsummer School in QCD Saariselkä, 24 June – 6 July 2024

F. Gross et al., Eur.Phys.J.C 83 (2023) 1125 [2212.11107] (636 p.)

UCLA conference in September 2023 https://indico.cern.ch/event/1276932/overview



### The Standard Model SU(3) x SU(2)<sub>L</sub> x U(1)

How this Lagrangian? Confinement Chiral symmetry breaking Duality

Abundance of data



Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

Why this Lagrangian? Higgs field V–A interaction Masses, Mixings

Electroweak

Few hints of BSM in data









### Quantum Chromodynamics

History



### Particle discoveries (< 1964)



http://fafnir.phyast.pitt.edu/particles/conuni5.html





http://fafnir.phyast.pitt.edu/particles/conuni5.html

Particle discoveries (1964 - 2012)







patrick.koppenburg@cern.ch 2023-08-16







### **1950s – 1960**

- Field theory abandoned for strong interactions
- All hadrons equally "fundamental", strictly phenomenological
- No attempt at dynamics (no Hamiltonian)
- Long distances & low energies (and **momentum transfer**)

**R L Jaffe MIT September 11, 2023**  **50 Years of QCD** 

### Quarks Confinement QCD

### 1972

1972

- Field theory resurrected!
- All hadrons emergent
- Lagrangian dynamics
- Short distances & high energies

PH







### Quantum Chromodynamics

**Basic features** 



### The QCD Fields

- Quarks have three "colors" B = 1, 2, 3 or B = red, blue, green There are six quark flavors f = u, d, s, c, b, t, each with mass  $m_f$  $\psi_f^B(x)$ 
  - Gluons have eight colors a = $A^{a}_{\mu}(x)$
  - $2t_a$

 $F^a_{\mu\nu}(x) = \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu - g f_{abc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu$ 

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

### $\mathscr{L}_{QCD}(x) = \sum_{f} \overline{\psi}_{f}^{B} \left( i\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu} - gA_{\mu}^{a}t_{a}^{BC}\gamma^{\mu} - m_{f} \right) \psi_{f}^{C} - \frac{1}{4}F_{a}^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}^{a}$

1, 2, ..., 8 
$$\alpha_s = \frac{g^2}{4\pi}$$
 QCD couplin

3x3 "Gell-Mann" color matrices (analogous to the 2x2 Pauli matrices)

Gluon field strength with self-coupling  $f_{abc}$  are the SU(3) structure constants







Invariant under  $\psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\gamma_5}\psi(x)$  (global) chiral transformation when  $m_f = 0$ .

A chiral transformation changes parity and implies parity doubling: Every hadron should have an identical partner with opposite parity.

Parity degeneracy is not observed in data, even though  $m_u, m_d \ll \Lambda_{OCD}$ .

Hence chiral symmetry must be spontaneously broken: The chiral symmetry of  $\mathscr{L}_{OCD}(x)$  is not a symmetry of the physical states.

Symmetry of  $\mathscr{L}_{OCD}(x)$  constrains pion interactions: Chiral perturbation theory.

Chiral symmetry

 $\mathscr{L}_{QCD}(x) = \sum_{f} \overline{\psi}_{f}^{B} \left( i\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu} - gA_{\mu}^{a} t_{a}^{BC}\gamma^{\mu} - m_{f} \right) \psi_{f}^{C} - \frac{1}{4} F_{a}^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^{a}$ 

- This implies (nearly) massless Goldstone bosons: The  $\pi^{\pm,0}$  with  $m_{\pi} \ll m_p$ .



### SU(3) local gauge invariance

$$\psi^A(x) \to U^{AB}(x)\psi^B(x)$$
  $U(x)$ 

$$A^a_\mu(x) t_a \to U(x) A^a_\mu(x) t_a U^{\dagger}(x) - \frac{i}{g}$$

 $F^a_{\mu\nu}t_a \rightarrow U(x)F^a_{\mu\nu}t_a(x)U^{\dagger}(x)$ 

### All physical (measurable) quantities have to be gauge invariant

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

- A gauge transformation U(x) transforms the quark and gluon fields at each x = (t, x):
  - $U^{\dagger}(x) = 1$ , det U(x) = 1,  $3 \times 3$
  - $-U(x)\partial_{\mu}U^{\dagger}(x)$
  - Color electric and magnetic fields transform
  - Gauge fixing:  $\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu}(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0$  (Feynman gauge),  $A^{0}(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0$  (temporal gauge), ...





11

### Uniqueness of gauge theory Lagrangians

The form of  $\mathscr{L}(x)$  is determined by locality in x and Gauge symmetry, *ie.*, U(1) for QED and SU(3) for QCD

Photon and gluon mass terms  $\frac{1}{2}m^2A^{\mu}A_{\mu}$  are not gauge invariant, hence m = 0:

 $m_{\gamma} < 10^{-18} \, \mathrm{eV}$  $m_{g} = 0$  (but confined)

 $m_{W,Z} \neq 0$  (gauge invariance spontaneously broken by Higgs)

- Relativistic invariance:  $x^{\mu} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} x^{\nu} + c^{\mu}$  (Poincaré = Lorentz + Translations)
- Renormalizability: Regularisation of loop integrals without new couplings



### Universality of QCD coupling

$$\mathscr{L}_{QCD}(x) = \sum_{f} \overline{\psi}_{f}^{B} (i\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu} - gA_{\mu}^{a} t_{a}^{BC}\gamma^{\mu} - m_{f})\psi_{f}^{C} - \frac{1}{4}F_{a}^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}^{a}$$
$$g \neq g_{f} \quad \text{All quarks couple to gluons with th}$$

 $e_u = \frac{2}{3}$ ,  $e_d = -\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $e_e = -1$  coupling is not universal for U(1) theories

The proton charge is  $e_p = 2e_u + e_d = 2$ Data:  $|e_p + e_e| < 1.0 \cdot 10^{-21}$ 

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

- ne same g
- Not so in QED:  $g \rightarrow e_f e$  electric charge  $\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \simeq \frac{1}{137}$

$$2 \cdot \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{3} = 1 = -e_e$$
 Accidentally?

- Quark and lepton charges seem related!
- The symmetry between leptons and quarks hints at physics Beyond the SM: BSM





### Strong CP violation?

"Naturality":  $\mathscr{L}$  should have all allowed terms, with coefficients of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ 

 $\mathscr{L}_{QCD} \to \mathscr{L}_{QCD} + \theta \frac{g^2}{64\pi^2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_a^{\mu\nu} F_a^{\rho\sigma}$  gauge and Poincaré invariant

 $\theta \neq 0$  breaks CP symmetry. Neutron electric dipole moment:  $\theta < 10^{-10}$ Peccei and Quinn (1977):  $\theta = 0$  if there are "axion" particles.



But maybe there is no CP violation, even if  $\theta \neq 0$ ?

Issue related to boundary conditions:  $A^{\mu}_{a}(x \to \infty)$ 

- Peccei and Quinn, PRL 38, 1440 (1977)

- Ai, Cruz, Garbrecht and Tamarit, Phys. Lett. B 822 (2021), 136616
- Confinement?







14

 $\mathscr{L}_{OED}(x) = \sum_{l} \overline{\psi}_{l} (i\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu} - e_{l}eA_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu} - m_{l})\psi_{l} - \frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}$ 

The lepton masses  $m_l = m_e, m_u, m_\tau$  determine the scales of QED.

For Muonium  $(\mu^- p): m_e \to m_u$ 

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

Physical scales in QED

*E.g.*, for the Hydrogen atom  $(e^-p)$ : Binding energy:  $E_B \simeq \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 m_e$ Radius:  $1/r_H \simeq \alpha m_{\rho}$ )



### Physical scales in QCD

$$\mathscr{L}_{QCD}(x) = \sum_{f} \overline{\psi}_{f} (i \partial_{\mu} \gamma^{\mu})$$

The *u* and *d* quarks masses:

are small compared to the scale of the proton (*uud*):

QCD has a "confinement scale"  $\Lambda_{OCD}$  of  $\mathcal{O}(1 \text{ fm}^{-1} \simeq 200 \text{ MeV})$ 

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

$$(gA_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}-m_{f})\psi_{f}-\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}$$

- The quark masses  $m_f = m_u, m_d, m_s, m_c, m_b, m_t$  provide physical scales.
  - $m_{\mu} \simeq 2.16 \text{ MeV}$
  - $m_d \simeq 4.67 \text{ MeV}$ 
    - $m_p \simeq 938 \,\mathrm{MeV}$  $1/r_p \simeq 238 \,\mathrm{MeV}$
    - - that is not in  $\mathscr{L}_{OCD}$





17

18 / 32



### Quantum Chromodynamics

Observables

18

### From the QCD Lagrangian to observables

$$S_{QCD} = \int d^4x \, \mathscr{L}_{QCD}(x) \qquad \text{is th}$$
It refers

The expectation value of any functional  $\bigcirc$  of the gluon and quark fields [*E.g.*, for a quark propagating from  $x_1$  to  $x_2$ :  $\mathcal{O} = \psi(x_2)\overline{\psi}(x_1)$ ] is given by  $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \mathcal{O}(A, \overline{\psi}, \psi) \mathcal{O} \exp(i S_{QCD})$  $\mathscr{D}(A, \overline{\psi}, \psi)$  integrates over the values of  $A(x), \overline{\psi}(x), \psi(x)$  at all spacetime points x

There are two main methods to evaluate  $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$ : Lattice QCD and Peturbation Theory

he gauge and Poincaré invariant Action. equires a boundary condition:  $A_a^{\mu}(x \to \infty)$ 

- Functional integral of QFT *c.f.*: Path integral in QM
- Thus: An infinite number of integrals!









finite number of integrals: Do them numerically

Wilson discretization preserves exact gauge invariance. Poincaré invariance is restored in the continuum limit

To avoid cancellations in the functional integral, go to Euclidean space:  $t \rightarrow i \tau$  :  $\exp(iS) \rightarrow \exp(-S)$ 

Allows to determine static quantities (masses, form factors) Confirms confinement and breaking of chiral invariance

Scattering and decays challenging: Require Minkowski space (real time t)

# Lattice QCD In a finite, discrete space-time (lattice) there is a



20

R. Soualah (2008)



Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

**BMW** Collaboration, Science 322 (2008) 1224 [0906.3599]

### Hadron masses from Lattice QCD

Results have been confirmed by other lattice calculations

A. S. Kronfeld, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 62 (2012) 265 [1203.1204]





### The QCD lattice view of confinement



Suggests a linear confinement potential:  $V_C(r) = c r$ Recalls "Bag model":  $\mathscr{L}_{bag} = (\mathscr{L}_{QCD} - B) \theta(bag)$ A. Chodos, et al., Phys. Rev. D9 (1974) 3471  $\Lambda_{OCD}$  scale can arise from a boundary condition on the gluon field

Numerical simulations show the emergence of a color string between quarks. F. Gross, et al., Eur.Phys.J.C 83 (2023) 1125 [2212.11107]

> Bag pressure L Perturbative vacuum



 $n^{2s+1}\ell_J J^{PC} \mathbf{I} = 1$  $u\bar{d}, \ \bar{u}d,$  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(d\bar{d} - u\bar{u})$  $0^{-+} \pi$  $1^{1}S_{0}$  $1^{3}S_{1}$  $1^{--} \rho(770)$  $1^{3}P_{0}$  $0^{++}$   $a_0(1450)$  $1^{1}P_{1}$  $1^{+-}$   $b_1(1235)$  $1^{3}P_{1}$  $1^{++} a_1(1260)$  $1^{3}P_{2}$  $2^{++}$   $a_2(1320)$  $1^{3}D_{1}$  $1^{--} \rho(1700)$  $1^{1}D_{2}$  $2^{-+}$   $\pi_2(1670)$  $1^{3}D_{3}$  $3^{--} \rho_3(1690)$  $1^{3}F_{4}$  $4^{++}$   $a_4(1970)$  $1^{3}G_{5}$  $5^{--}$   $\rho_5(2350)$  $2^{1}S_{0}$  $0^{-+}$   $\pi(1300)$  $2^{3}S_{1}$  $1^{--} \rho(1450)$ Expected:  $2^{3}P_{1}$  $1^{++} a_1(1640)$  $2^{3}P_{2}$  $2^{++}$   $a_2(1700)$  $2^{1}D_{2}$  $\pi_2(1880)$  $2^{-+}$  $3^1S_0$  $\pi(1800)$  $0^{-+}$ 

Particle Data Group

### The unexpected success of the Quark Model<sup>23</sup>

- Mesons are  $q\bar{q}$ , baryons are qqq + nuclei ( ~ molecules)
- No gluons or sea quarks required by quantum numbers
- Large excitation energies: Strong binding
- Mystery: Why does the strong
  - field not create g,  $q\bar{q}$ ?



 $m_{\mu} \simeq 2.16 \text{ MeV}$  $m_d \simeq 4.67 \text{ MeV}$ 

















### Quarkonia are like atoms with confinement



### Charmonia ( $c\bar{c}$ ) and bottomonia (bb)

 $m_c, m_b \gg \Lambda_{OCD}$  Quarkonia have confined, non-relativistic heavy quarks

 $V' \simeq 0.18 \text{ GeV}^2, \ \alpha_s \simeq 0.39$ 

Successfully described by Schrödinger equation, with phenomenological "Cornell potential"  $V(r) = V'r - \frac{4}{3}\frac{\alpha_s}{r}$ 

Decays calculated perturbatively:  $\Gamma[J/\psi \rightarrow ggg] \propto \alpha_{\rm s}^3$ 

E. Eichten et al, Phys. Rev. **D21** (1980) 203, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80** (2008) 1161

Confinement does not require large  $\alpha_s$  !



https://indico.pnp.ustc.edu.cn/event/91/contributions/6657/attachments/1856/3047/STCF-Workshop 20240113.pptx







### Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

### Lattice QCD agrees with the Cornell potential



### Bound states are omitted in QFT textbooks

A.S. Blum, Stud. Hist. Phil. Sci. B60 (2017) 46 [2011.05908]: THE STATE IS NOT ABOLISHED, IT WITHERS AWAY: HOW QUANTUM FIELD THEORY BECAME A THEORY OF SCATTERING

"Learning quantum field theory (QFT) for the first time, after first learning quantum mechanics (QM), one is (or maybe, rather, I was) struck by the change of emphasis: The notion of the quantum state, which plays such an essential role in QM, from the stationary states of the Bohr atom, over the Schrödinger equation to the interpretation debates over measurement and collapse, seems to fade from view when doing QFT."





### Quantum Chromodynamics

Perturbative methods



### The Scattering matrix

Determine the generator of time translations (Hamiltonian):  $\mathscr{L}_{OCD} \to H_{OCD}$ 

 $H = H_0 + gH_{int}$  where  $H_0$  is the free part, of  $O(g^0)$ 

Use the free state basis (Interaction Picture):  $H_0 | \psi, \overline{\psi}, A; t \rangle_0 = E_0 | \psi, \overline{\psi}, A; t \rangle_0$  $\left[ -i \right] \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} dt \, g H_{int}(t) \right] \Big\} \left[ i, t \to -\infty \right]_{0}$ 

$$S_{fi} = {}_0\langle f, t \to \infty | \left\{ \operatorname{Texp} \right| \right.$$

The initial and final states i, f at  $t = \pm \infty$  are free, as required for scattering

 $S_{fi}$  can, at each order in g, be pictured in terms of Feynman diagrams







### Feynman diagrams

Each order in g is Poincaré invariant

Gauge invariance is not explicit: The propagation  $A_a^{\mu}(x_1) \rightarrow A_b^{\nu}(x_2)$  depends on the gauge at  $x_1, x_2$ 

 $S_{fi}$  must not depend on the choice of gauge, at any order of g.

Lattice QCD and the perturbative S-matrix are complementary

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

1024px-Fevnmann-diagram-gluon-radiation.svg.p



- $S_{fi}$  has no bound state poles (at finite order in g): Expansion in free propagators

ttps://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/thumb/0/08/Feynman...on-radiation.svg/1024px-Feynmann-diagram-gluon-radiation.svg.png



QED predictions are based on expansions in powers of  $\alpha$ . Yet the series diverges for any  $\alpha$  (zero radius of convergence)

Physics for  $\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi} < 0$  is very different: The S-matrix is not unitary (*e* is imaginary). Unlike charges repel:  $V = -\alpha/r > 0$ 

The perturbative expansion is believed to be an asymptotic series, which starts to diverge after some finite number (~  $1/\alpha$  ?) of terms.

QCD perturbation theory is similar to that of QED, but  $\alpha_{c} > \alpha$ .

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

The perturbative expansion diverges

F. Dyson, Phys. Rev. 85, 631 (1952)

Asymptotic series: Y. Meurice, hep-th/0608097







are added. E.g., C<sub>4</sub> includes 891 QED diagrams of the following type:



A precision measurement of the electron magnetic moment gives:  $\alpha^{-1} = 137.035999166(15)$ Fan et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 130 (2023) 071801

### The electron magnetic moment g in the SM G. Gabrielse,<sup>1</sup> D. Hanneke,<sup>1</sup> T. Kinoshita,<sup>2</sup> M. Nio,<sup>3</sup> and B. Odom<sup>1,\*</sup>

Quantum electrodynamics (QED) predicts a relationship between the dimensionless magnetic moment Tl of the electron (g) and the fine structure constant (a). A new measurement of g using a one-electron quantum cyclotron, together with  $\frac{2}{2}$  QED calculation ninvolving 891 eighth-order Feynman diagrams, determine  $\alpha^{-1} = 137.035\,999\,710\,(96)\,[0.70\,\text{ppb}]$ . The uncertainties are 10 times smaller than those of The QE nearest rival methods that include atom-recoil measurements. Comparisons of measured and calculated g The QE of the stringently, and see a whore internal electron structure.  $\mu$ ,  $\tau$  and QCD, W, Z











S. D. Drell and H. R. Pagels, Phys. Rev. 140 (1965) B397

### Feynman's challenge

In his report to the 12th Solvay Congress (1961) on "The Present Status of Quantum Electrodynamics" (QED), Feynman called for more insight and physical intuition in QED calculations. To quote from a particularly relevant passage: "It seems that very little physical intuition has yet been developed in this subject. In nearly every case we are reduced to computing exactly the coefficient of some specific term. We have no way to get a general idea of the result to be expected. To make my view clearer, consider, for example, the anomalous electron moment,  $(g - 2)/2 = \alpha/2\pi - 0.328 \alpha^2/\pi^2$ . We have no physical picture by which we can easily see that the correction is roughly  $\alpha/2\pi$ , in fact, we do not even know why the sign is positive (other than by computing it). In another field we would not be content with the calculation of the second-order term to three significant figures without enough understanding to get a rational estimate of the order of magnitude of the third. We have been computing terms like a blind man exploring a new room, but soon we must develop some concept of this room as a whole, and to have some general idea of what is contained in it. As a specific challenge, is there any method of computing the anomalous moment of the electron which, on first rough approximation, gives a fair approximation to the  $\alpha$  term and a crude one to  $\alpha^2$ ; and when improved, increases the accuracy of the  $\alpha^2$  term, yielding a rough estimate to  $\alpha^3$  and beyond?"











Pesking and Schroeder: An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory



Loop integral diverges as  $k^{\mu} \rightarrow \infty$ : Becomes a pointlike interaction

$$i\Pi_2^{\mu\nu}(q) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \quad \mu \underbrace{\sim}_q \underbrace{e_0}_{q}$$

### Running of the QED coupling $\alpha$ (I)







### Summing the geometric series



makes the coupling "run":



Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

- $\alpha_{eff}(q^2)$  increases with  $-q^2$  in QED, as one probes
- shorter distances, closer to the infinite bare charge  $e_0$ .







### ) OUT Algebrahe running of $\alpha_s$ in QCD

Surprise: In QCD the effective coupling decreases with  $-q^2 \equiv Q^2$ 



The gluon loop diagram contributes with opposite sign compared to the fermion loop. Gross, Politzer, Wilczek (1973); Nobel 2004

## $\alpha_{s}(Q^{2}) = \frac{12m}{(33 - 2n_{f})\log(Q^{2}/\Lambda^{2})}$

"Asymptotic freedom"

### The $Q^2$ -dependence of $\alpha_s$ has been verified experimentally, with

 $\Lambda \simeq 200 \,\mathrm{MeV} \simeq 1 \,\mathrm{fm}^{-1}$ 








The origin of anti-screening

Due to the coupling of the instantaneous Coulomb gluons to transverse gluons in the vacuum

Yu. Dokshitzer, hep-ph/0306287

Note: Gauge theories have instantaneous interactions, arising from the gauge-dependent  $A^0$  and  $A_L$  fields!





37

### The running of $\alpha_{\rm c}(Q^2)$



Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

#### Measurements of $\alpha_{s}$ in various processes, and in Lattice QCD

#### Particle Data Group, 2023







#### Infrared singularities in QED

The O( $\alpha$ ) Born term for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  is regular, and given by the Feynman rules:

At order  $\alpha^2$  there is an infrared singularity in the loop integral for  $k \rightarrow 0$ :

The two fermion denominators  $\propto k$ :

 $(p_1 - k)^2 - m_{\mu}^2 = -2p_1 \cdot k + k^2 \propto k$ 

The photon denominator  $x_{2p} = k_{2p} = k_{2p$ 

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024





### There are no exclusive amplitudes for charged particles

Gauge invariance dictates that amplitudes with external charged particles vanish:

This is because the amplitude must be invariant under local U(1) gauge transformations. Multiplying one of the external fermions by  $U = \rho i\pi = -1$  we get  $A \to -A$ . fermions by  $U = e^{i\pi} = -1$  we get  $A \to -A$ .

 $(p_1 - k)^2 - m_{\mu}^2 = -2p_1 \cdot k + k^2 \propto k$ Two charged particles at different positions *x*, *y* must be connected by a gauge field exponential to be gauge invariant:

 $\bar{\psi}(y) \exp\left(ie \int_{x}^{y} dz_{\nu} A^{\nu}(z)\right)$ 

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

- $A(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-) = 0$



 $\int_{0} \frac{d^4k}{k^4}$ 

$$\psi(x)$$

The photon (gauge) field serves as a connection, which "informs" about the choice of gauge at each point in space.







### Before Quild Theorem

As a consequence of the unitarity of the scattering matrix: the total cross section may be expressed in terms of the imaginary part of the forward elastic amplitude:

$$\sigma_{tot}(S) \stackrel{\dagger}{=} \sum_{X} \int d\Phi_{X}^{\sigma_{tot}(S)} I_{X_{X}}^{\Sigma^{2}} \int \frac{d\Phi_{X} M_{X}}{\sqrt{s}} |_{Im}^{2} I_{m}^{M}[M_{el}(\Theta = 0)]$$

 $L = \bar{\psi}_{q}^{i}(i\gamma^{\mu'})$ 

<sup>J</sup>) $(D_{\mu})_{ij} \psi_q^j - m_q \bar{\psi}_q^i \psi_{qi} - F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu}$ QED and QCD satisfy unitarity at each order of  $\alpha$  (non-trivial!) Unitarity holds also for the physical hadron states

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

 $S S^{\dagger} = 1$ 

Nonlinear in M !



Completeness sum on the rhs.



41



At  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$  the IR singular contributions to the imaginary part cancel. The cancellations are between different final states!

The  $\gamma_T^* \rightarrow \gamma_T^*$  amplitude is regular because it is gauge invariant.

There are no free, "bare" charged particles.

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024



- Finite cross sections include (arbitrarily soft,  $k \rightarrow 0$ ) photons.



#### Collinear singularity in QED

The cross section for collinearly emitted, high energy photons is also enhanced

$$(p+k)^2 - m^2 = 2p \cdot k = 2|\mathbf{k}| \left(\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2}\right)^2$$
$$\propto 1 - \cos\theta - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1$$

$$\sigma \sim \alpha \int^{1} d\cos\theta \frac{1}{1 - \cos\theta + m^2/2\mathbf{p}^2}$$

#### Also this collinear logarithm is cancelled by the virtual correction in $\sigma_{tot}$

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024





We need not sum over all final states as in  $\sigma_{tot}$ , only around the singular regions with soft and collinear photons

If the detector is insensitive to photons with  $k < k_{det}$ , any measurement will include soft photons:

 $\sigma_{meas} = \sigma [e(p) \to e(p)] + \sigma [e(p) \to e(p-k) + \gamma(k)]_{k < k_{det}}$ 

$$\sigma_{meas} = \sigma_0 \left[ 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \log\left(\frac{q^2}{m_e^2}\right) \log\left(\frac{q^2}{k_{det}^2}\right) \right]$$

$$= \sigma_0 \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \log\left(\frac{q^2}{m_e^2}\right) \log\left(\frac{1}{m_e^2}\right)\right]$$

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

#### Sudakov form factor

Keeping the initial electron off-shell,  $p^2 - m_e^2 = q^2$ , regularizes the singularities:

e

 $\left[\frac{2}{2}\right] + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$  Summing to all orders:

Sudakov form factor vanishes faster than any power ( $q^2 \gg m_{\rho}^2$ )





### Quantum Chromodynamics

Hard scattering



#### Infrared Safe observables

#### An observable is infrared safe if it is insensitive to

SOFT radiation: change the value of the observable

# **COLLINEAR** radiation: the value of the observable

QCD perturbation theory is reliable only at large virtualities,  $|q^2| \gg \Lambda_{OCD}^2$ , which excludes the IR and collinear singularities: The calculation is "IR Safe".

Adding any number of infinitely soft particles should not

Splitting an existing particle up into two comoving particles each with half the original momentum should not change

P. Skands



 $\frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \to q, \bar{q}, g)}{\sigma(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-)} = 3\left(\sum_{q} e_q^2\right) \left[1 + \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{\pi} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n \left(\frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{\pi}\right)^n\right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda^4}{Q^4}\right)$ 

 $c_2 = 1.9857 - 0.1152 n_f$ 

 $c_3 = -6.63694 - 1.20013 n_f - 0.00518 n_f^2 - 1.240 \eta$ 

 $\eta = \left(\sum_{q} e_q\right)^2 / \left(3\sum_{q} e_q^2\right)$ 

The perturbative expression for  $\sigma_{tot}(e^+e^- \rightarrow q, \bar{q}, g)$  is infrared safe and may thus be compared with data on  $\sigma_{tot}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$ 

QCD result for  $\sigma_{tot}(e^+e^- \rightarrow q, \bar{q}, g)$ 

# $c_4 = -156.61 + 18.775 n_f - 0.7974 n_f^2 + 0.0215 n_f^3 - (17.828 - 0.575 n_f)\eta$

pdg (2023)

47









#### Time evolution in $e+e- \rightarrow of hadrons$

Final state evolves in time  $\tau$  with decreasing virtuality and thus decreasing energy uncertainty  $\Delta E$ 

#### $\Delta \tau \Delta E \gtrsim \hbar$

Evolution is unitary: Measured cross section in energy interval E<sub>CM</sub>  $\pm \Delta E$  must average to (parton) cross section at  $\tau \sim 1/\Delta E$ 

The perturbative evolution is imprinted on the hadrons (duality)



49

#### **QCD** Factorization in Hard Inclusive Processes



Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

### $\sigma(A + B \rightarrow C + X) = f_{a/A}(x_A)f_{b/B}(x_B)$ $\times \hat{\sigma}(a + b \rightarrow c + d) h_{C/c}(z_C)$ $\times \left[ 1 + \mathcal{O}(1/p_T^2) \right]$

• One active parton in each hadron • No interactions with spectators • Hard subprocess  $\hat{\sigma}$  is perturbative









Paul Hoyer Saariselkä

#### Jet production in hadron collisions





Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

The QCD Lagrangian is verified by data on hard scattering

Measurement of the quark and gluon color charges

S. Kluth, hep-ex/0603011





#### Gluon vs. Quark jets

دی کی<sup>35</sup>

30

25

20

15

10

5

☆

₽.

Ο

The PQCD splittings of gluon and quark jets gives a multiplicity ratio

$$\frac{C_A}{C_F} = \frac{9}{4} = 2.25$$

Hadron multiplicities in  $e^+e^$ data gave

- $C_A/C_F = 2.246 \pm 0.062$  (stat.)
- $\pm 0.080$  (syst.)  $\pm 0.095$  (theo.)

Local Parton-Hadron duality!

Yu. Dokshitzer, hep-ph/0306287

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

P. Abreu et al. / Physics Letters B 449 (1999) 383–400







### Dynamics of DIS: $e + p \rightarrow e + X$

Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS) was the key to discovering quarks as physical, pointlike constituents of the proton (SLAC, 1969)







Target rest frame:  $p = (m, 0), p_e = (E_e, 0, 0, -E_e)$ 

For  $Q^2 = -q^2$  and  $q^0 = v$  both large and

$$x_B = \frac{Q^2}{2p \cdot q} = \frac{Q^2}{2m\nu}$$
 fixed (Bjorken

the transverse resolution is  $r_{\perp} \sim 1/q_{\perp} \sim 1/Q$ 

The probability to hit a single parton is  $\sim \Lambda_{OCD}^2/Q^2$ hence  $\sigma_{\text{DIS}} \sim 1/Q^2$  (dimensional scaling)

Probability to hit two partons is  $\sigma_{HT} \sim \Lambda_{OCD}^4 / Q^4$  (higher twist contribution)





Note:  $\nu \propto Q^2$ n limit)

 $r_{\perp} \sim 0.1 \text{ fm}$ *e.g.*,  $O^2 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2$ 



Through a small rotation  $\theta \sim 1/Q$  align q along the negative z -axis  $q = (q^0, q^x, q^y, q^z) = (\nu, 0, 0, -\sqrt{\nu^2 + Q^2})$ Define:  $q^{\pm} \equiv q^0 \pm q^z$  Then  $q = (q^+, q^-, \mathbf{q}_{\perp}) \simeq \left(-\frac{Q^2}{2\mu}, 2\nu, \mathbf{0}\right)$  $r^+ \sim 1/q^- \sim 1/v \rightarrow 0$  The photon probes the proton at an instant of Light-Front (LF) time,  $r^+ = t + z \approx 0$  $r \sim 1/q^+ \sim 2\nu/Q^2 = 1/mx_B$  "Ioffe length" The resolution is finite in  $r^{-} = t - z$ . Note: Since  $t \approx -z$ , the resolution in z is  $1/2mx_B$ 



 $x_B = 0.1 \implies \Delta z = 1 \text{ fm}$ 



### The Handbag

According to the optical theorem, the inclusive cross section is given by the discontinuity (imaginary part) of the handbag (forward) amplitude:

$$\sum_{X} |T(\gamma^* + p \to X)|^2 =$$

The scaling (leading twist) contribution to  $\sigma_{DIS}$  arises when the same quark is hit in the amplitude and (amplitude)\*.

The photon vertices are separated by the finite resolution distance  $r^- \sim 1/mx_B$ 

 $r^+ \sim 1/\nu \sim 1/Q^2$  and  $r_\perp \sim 1/Q$ 

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

 $= \operatorname{Disc} T(\gamma^* + p \to \gamma^* + p)$ 





#### Parton distribution with rescattering

Soft rescattering of the struck parton on the color field of the spectators gives rise to the "gauge link" in the matrix element that defines the gauge invariant parton distribution

$$f_{q/N}(x_B, Q^2) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int dr^- e^{-imx_B x^-/2} \langle N(p) | \bar{q}(r^-) \gamma^+ W[r^-, 0] q(0) | N(p) \rangle \Big|_{r_1}$$
  
where the gauge link  $W[r^-, 0] \equiv \Pr \exp \left[ \frac{ig}{2} \int_0^{r^-} dx^- A^+(x^-) \right]$ 

– The gauge link ensures gauge invariance of the matrix element Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024



arises from rescattering of the struck quark on the color field of target spectators - Only instantaneous Coulomb exchange  $A^+ = A^0 + A^z$  (specific to gauge theory)





#### The two views of DIS

### $p_{\rho}^{z} < 0: q^{+} = -mx_{B}$ time $\rightarrow$ qe quark: $p_q^+ > 0$

#### Virtual photon scatters on a target quark $\sigma_{DIS} \sim quark$ probability in the target "Infinite momentum frame"

The two views are related by a rotation of 180°, but rotations are not kinematic (explicit) symmetries on the Light Front.

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

The LF time  $(x^+)$  development in DIS depends on the electron beam direction:



Virtual photon splits into a  $q\bar{q}$  pair.  $\sigma_{\text{DIS}} \sim \sigma(q\bar{q})$  in the target "Target rest frame"





### Shadowing in DIS for nuclear targets



Requires DIS to be coherent on more than one nucleon in nucleus A Longitudinal resolution of  $\gamma^*$ :

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

 $\geq 2 \, \mathrm{fm}$ implies:  $x_R \lesssim 0.05$  $2m_N x_B$ 





#### Rescattering on several nucleons

"Infinite momentum frame"

The two views are related by a rotation of 180°, but rotations are not kinematic (explicit) symmetries on the Light Front.

### Shadowing: the two views of DIS $p_{e}^{z} > 0$ time e qA

#### $q\bar{q}$ absorbed on front surface of A

"Target rest frame"





### Quantum Chromodynamics

Soft scattering



Three processes related by crossing symmetry:  $s \ge 4m_p^2; t, u \le 0$ *s*-channel:  $pp \rightarrow pp$  $t \ge 4m_p^2; \ s, u \le 0$ *t*-channel:  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p\bar{p}$  $u \ge 4m_p^2; s, t \le 0$ *u*-channel:  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ Lorentz invariant Mandelstam variables s, t and u have distinct values for the three scattering processes.

The same scattering amplitude A(s,t) describes all three processes (crossing symmetry)

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024







## Analytic continuation of $pp \rightarrow pp$ Im s s-channel $4m_{p}^{2}$ Re s *u*-channel path of analytic continuation

- Keeping t < 0 fixed, we may analytically continue the amplitude A(s,t) from the  $pp \rightarrow pp$  region, where  $\text{Im}(s) = +i\varepsilon$
- to the  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p\bar{p}$  region, where  $\text{Im}(s) = -i\varepsilon$

This requires an exact knowledge of A(s,t) for a finite range of s.

Crossing symmetry is an exact property of QFT's.





Unitarity:  $\sigma_{tot}(pp) = \frac{\text{ImA}(s, t = 0)}{s} < -\frac{1}{s}$ 

Yes, but  $t = M^2 - iM\Gamma$  is not in the physical region of the s-channel. Pole contribution is finite, and can be canceled by other terms.

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024



Violated by resonances with spin  $\geq 2$  ?





Assuming  $A(s \to +\infty, t) = \beta(t) e^{i\phi} s^{\alpha}$  we may analytically continue

to  $A(s \to -\infty + i\varepsilon, t) = \beta(t) e^{i\phi} e^{i\pi\alpha} (-s)^{\alpha}$  along the large semicircle.

For combinations that are (anti)symmetric under  $s \to u$ , *i.e.*,  $A(pp \to pp) \pm A(p\bar{p} \to p\bar{p})$  get the "Regge" phases:  $\phi = -\pi \alpha/2$  or  $\pi(1-\alpha)/2$ 

LHC data: Up to log's:  $\sigma_{tot}(pp) \simeq \sigma_{tot}(p\bar{p}) \propto s^0$ 

Hence  $\alpha_P(t=0) \simeq 1$ 

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024



(C = +1) should be dominantly imaginary







Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024







 $\sigma_{tot}, \sigma_{inel}$ and  $\sigma_{el}$  at high







### Photon exchange dominates at small $|t|in pp \rightarrow pp$









Real part of  $A(pp \rightarrow pp, t = 0)$  is small



70



Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 062003 (2021)

Odderon:  $\sigma(pp \rightarrow pp) - \sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow p\bar{p})$ 

Search for an exchange with  $\alpha \simeq 1$  and odd charge conjugation: The Odderon

Odderon exchange implies  $\sigma(pp \to pp) - \sigma(p\bar{p} \to p\bar{p}) \neq 0$ at LHC energies.







### Linear Regge trajectories $\alpha(t)$

For  $s \to \infty$  the  $\pi^- p \to \pi^0 n$  amplitude is dominated by  $\rho$  Regge exchange in the t-channel:

 $A(\pi^- p \to \pi^0 n) = \beta(t) \, i \, e^{-i\pi\alpha_\rho(t)/2} \, s^{\alpha_\rho(t)}$ 

At particle poles  $t = m^2 > 0$  the *s*-dependence is determined by the pole residues to be  $\propto s^J$ , where J is the spin of the resonance. E.g.,  $\alpha_{\rho}(m_{\rho}^2) = 1$ .

In the physical scattering region ( $t \leq 0$ ),  $\alpha(t)$  can be determined from the s-dependence of the cross section.

The data on the resonances and the scattering agree on  $\alpha_0(t) \simeq 0.5 + 0.9 t$ 





72


### The $\rho$ Regge trajectory $\alpha_{\rho}(t)$



73



## Igi (1962); Dolen, Hornm Schmidt (1968)

Analogous duality phenomena seen in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow$  hadrons and in DIS,  $eN \rightarrow eX$ 

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

*Igi* (1962), *Dolen*, *Horn*, *Schmidt* (1968) Resonances in s-channel or Regge exchange in t-channel build  $\operatorname{Im} A(s, t)$ 

> W. Melnitchouk (2010) https://www.jlab.org/conferences/HiX2010/program.html











#### Analytic example: Dual amplitudes

In 1968, Veneziano found a simple analytic function with many of the properties required for scattering amplitudes, including duality. Lovelace applied this idea to the  $\pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  scattering amplitude

$$A(\pi^+\pi^- \to \pi^+\pi^-) = \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha_s)\,\Gamma(1-\alpha_t)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha_s-\alpha_t)}$$
$$\alpha_s \equiv \alpha(s) = \frac{1}{2} + s \qquad (\alpha' \equiv 1)$$

The amplitude has poles at  $\alpha = 1, 2, ...$ : the  $\varrho, \omega, f, ...$  resonances. The residues are polynomials of degree  $\alpha = n$  in  $\cos\Theta = 1 + 2t/s$ 

Thus the pole at  $\alpha_s = n$  is a superposition of bound states with J = 1, ..., n

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} A(s, t) = \Gamma(1 - \alpha_t)e^{-t}$$

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

Regge behavior



# $-i\pi \alpha_t s^{\alpha_t}$

G. Veneziano, Nuovo Cim. 57A (1968) 190 C. Lovelace, Phys. Lett. 28B (1968) 264







 $A(s,t) = \frac{R_n(\alpha_t)}{\alpha_s - n} + \dots$ 

#### Resonance contributions smeared over $\alpha_s \pm 0.5$ $(m_{\pi}=0)$

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024

The  $\pi+\pi- \rightarrow \pi+\pi-$  dual amplitude A(s,t)

Resonances vs Regge in forward scattering





#### The Veneziano model morphed into String Theory...



while duality in hadron physics is waiting for a QCD explanation

Paul Hoyer Saariselkä 2024





### Quantum Chromodynamics

Summary



#### Take-home messages

#### QCD is the theory of the strong interactions

Perturbative methods (Generalized) parton and hadronization distributions Cross sections ( $gg \rightarrow Higgs$ , BSM physics) Nuclear targets (shadowing, saturation) High temperature (quark-gluon plasma)

#### Lattice methods

Confinement and chiral symmetry breaking Hadron masses, form factors, ... Strong coupling  $\alpha_s$ 

New methods

**Experimental facilities** Theoretical developments

QCD is a remarkable theory, and much remains to be explored!

Theoretically self-consistent

Lagrangian verified by hard scattering data Soft features verified using lattice methods



### Perspective: The divisibility of matter

One has wondered since ancient times whether matter can be divided into smaller parts *ad infinitum*, or whether there is a smallest constituent.

Common sense suggest that these are the only possibilities, but Nature has provided other alternatives.

smallest constituents of a given substance, – yet they can be taken apart into electrons, protons and neutrons.

Democritus, ~ 400 BC; Vaisheshika school

- Quantum mechanics shows that atoms (or molecules) are the identical
- Hadron physics gives a new twist to this age-old puzzle: Quarks can be removed from the proton, but cannot be isolated. Relativity – the creation of matter from energy – is the new feature which makes this possible.
  - We are fortunate to be here to study and hopefully develop an understanding of – this essentially novel phenomenon!



