

Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia



LABORATÓRIO DE INSTRUMENTAÇÃO E FÍSICA EXPERIMENTAL DE PARTÍCULAS

BFKL and low-x physics Lecture 4 (29-06-2024)

Grigorios Chachamis, LIP Lisbon

Midsummer School in QCD 2024 Saariselkä, 24 June to 6 July 2024, Finland

Multiperipheral models, S-Matrix, Regge Theory, Bootstrap



Baliksky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipakov





EDITIONS FRONTIERES

QCD and Collider Physics

R.K. ELLIS, W.J. STIRLING AND B.R. WEBBER

CAMBRIDGE MONOGRAPHS ON PARTICLE PHYSICS, NUCLEAR PHYSICS AND COSMOLOGY

Foundations of Perturbative QCD

JOHN COLLINS

CAMBRIDGE MONOGRAPHS ON PARTICLE PHYSICS, NUCLEAR PHYSICS AND COSMOLOGY

32

V. Barone E. Predazzi

High-Energy Particle Diffraction

Quantum Chromodynamics and the Pomeron

Springer

CAMBRIDGE LECTURE NOTES IN PHYSICS

J. R. FORSHAW & D. A. ROSS



non-linear

- Jalilian Marian-Iancu-MacLerran-Weigert-Leonidov-Kovner (JIMWLK)
- Various "improved" versions

BEKL equation to higher orders

- We have seen the BFKL equation "derived" to leading logarithmic accuracy (LLA)
- The resummation of large logarithms (numerically large) was done by taking into account all terms of the form $(\alpha_s \ln s)^n$ (LLA)
- When we resum the next large logarithms (NLLA), we consider the Feynman diagrams that contribute term of the form $\alpha_s(\alpha_s \ln s)^n$. Corrections large!!
- What about the NNLLA BFKL?

NLO BEKL



Where to look for BFKL related effects

BFKL applicable in various scenarios?

- 1. Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS)?
- 2. Production of two hard jets well separated in rapidity?

3.Diffractive events?

Instead of two jets, one may have one jet and one hadron or even two hadrons.



Collinear factorization scheme

Collins Soper Sterman 1989

$$\sigma(s) = \sum_{i,j} \int dx_1 \, dx_2 \, f_i(x_1) \, f_j(x_2) \, \hat{\sigma}_{ij}(x_1 x_2 \hat{s})$$

• The partonic cross section is convoluted with the PDFs

$$rac{\partial f_iig(x,Q^2ig)}{\partial \logig(Q^2ig)} = \sum_j \int_x^1 rac{dz}{z} P_{j
ightarrow i}(z) f_jig(rac{x}{z},Q^2ig)$$



what is the difference?



Plot 1

Plot 2



Impact factors

• Impact factors are effective couplings of the BFKL gluon Green's function to the colliding projectiles

• They are process dependent objects

• One needs to calculate them at a certain order of the perturbative expansion, preferably the same one as that of the BFKL gluon Green's function.

• It is not an easy task to calculate impact factors to NLO.

k_T-factorization scheme (and hybrids)

 $\sigma(s) = \int rac{dx_1}{x_1} \, f_i(x_1) \, d^2 k_{2\perp} rac{dx_2}{x_2} \mathcal{F}(x_2,k_{2\perp}) \, \hat{\sigma}(x_1 x_2 s,\, k_{1\perp} o 0,\, k_{2\perp})$



BFKL Quiz

1. What does BFKL stand for in the context of QCD?

- A) Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov
- B) Bose-Fermi-Klein-Landau
- C) Beta-Fermi-Kinetic-Lagrange
- 2. What is the main focus of the BFKL equation?

- A) Resumming leading Logarithms of momentum transfer

- B) Resumming leading logarithms of energy
 - C) Calculating fermion masses

3. In which limit of QCD is BFKL primarily applicable?

- A) Low energy

- B) High energy
- C) Intermediate energy

4. What physical phenomenon does the BFKL Pomeron describe?

- A) Particle decay
- B) Rising cross-sections at high energies
 - C) Electromagnetic interactions

5. What does the BFKL equation predict about the gluon density at small x?

- A) Decrease
- B) Constant
- C) Increase

1. What type of interaction is primarily mediated by the BFKL Pomeron?

- A) Strong interaction
- B) Electromagnetic interaction
- C) Weak interaction
- 2. Which particles are involved in the exchanges described by the BFKL equation?
 - A) Photons
 - B) Gluons
 - C) W and Z bosons

3. What is a key feature of the BFKL equation compared to the DGLAP equations?

- A) It focuses on small x behavior.

- B) It deals with large Q^2 .

- C) It describes heavy quark production.

4. In BFKL physics, what does the term 'reggeization' refer to?

- A) The process of particle decay

– B) The modification of particle trajectories

- C) The quantization of gluon fields

5. What kind of approximation is commonly used in BFKL calculations?

- A) Low-energy approximation
- B) High-energy approximation
- C) Quasi-static approximation

1. What role does the strong coupling constant α_s play in the BFKL equation?

- A) It is negligible.

- B) It appears in the prefactor and affects the trajectory.

- C) It is irrelevant.

2. How does the BFKL approach treat transverse momentum?

- A) Ignores it.

- B) Resums logarithms related to it.

- C) Assumes it is constant.

3. What is the significance of the 'ladder diagrams' in BFKL calculations?

- A) They are used to describe weak interactions.

- B) They represent the exchanged gluons and reggeized gluons.

- C) They describe decay processes. 4. In BFKL physics, what is the 'rapidity gap'?

- A) A region in a detector where no particle production is observed, indicating a color-neutral exchange.

- B) A space where particles are dense for a wide gap

- C) An energy threshold.

5. What impact do higher-order corrections have on BFKL predictions?

- A) No impact.

– B) They refine predictions although they may introduce further <u>uncertainties</u>.

- C) They invalidate the model.

1. What is the connection between BFKL dynamics and the concept of saturation in QCD?

- A) They are unrelated.

- B) BFKL predicts unlimited growth, which saturation counters by balancing gluon density growth.

- C) Saturation decreases gluon density.

2. How do NLLA (Next-to-Leading Logarithmic Approximation) corrections impact BFKL predictions?

- A) They have negligible effect.

- B) They significantly alter the predicted growth rates of cross-sections.

- C) They eliminate all uncertainties.

3. What phenomenon does the BFKL equation fail to account for without saturation effects?

- A) Decrease in energy.

- B) Unbounded increase in gluon density.

- C) Mass of quarks.

4. Why are the NLLA corrections in BFKL considered large and significant?

- A) They only apply at low energies.

– B) They suggest substantial modifications to the leading-order predictions.

- C) They are irrelevant to practical calculations.

5. In the context of BFKL and saturation, what does the 'saturation scale' refer to?

- A) The minimum energy for saturation.

- B) The scale at which gluon recombination becomes significant, balancing density growth.

- C) A fixed momentum transfer value.









[figure by P. Skands]

The streetlight effect

LOOKING UNDER THE LAMPPOST



The near-side ridge effect

- The study of the Δη-Δφ correlation functions for proton-proton, protonion and ion-ion collisions, has drawn lots of attention in the last years. The correlation functions appear to have similar characteristics.
- Two "ridge-like structures", the important here is the enhancement on the near side, relative azimuthal angle Δφ ≈ 0, that extends over a wide range in relative pseudorapidity (|Δη| ≈ up to 4).
- That long-range near-side correlation is known as the "ridge".



CMS Collaboration, JHEP 09 (2010) 091

Correlation functions

- The two-particle correlation function is often defined in pseudorapidity and azimuthal space as $C(\Delta\eta,\Delta\phi)=rac{S(\Delta\eta,\Delta\phi)}{B(\Delta\eta,\Delta\phi)}$
- S denotes the signal distribution which is built with particle pairs from the same event. B stands for the background distribution which involves particle pairs taken from different events; $\Delta \eta = \eta_1 \eta_2$ and $\Delta \varphi = \varphi_1 \varphi_2$ are the pseudorapidity and azimuthal angle differences respectively between the particles with indices 1 and 2 which are labelling

the trigger and associate particles.

• event1 = (1,2,3,4), event2 = (i,ii,iii,iv):

signal —>pairs {(1,2), (1,3), (1,4), (2,3), (2,4), (3,4)}

background —> pairs {(1,i), (1,ii), (1,iii), (1,iv), (2,i), (2,ii), (2,iii), ...}

Correlation functions

The two-particle correlation function is often defined in pseudorapidity

and azimuthal space as $C(\Delta\eta,\Delta\phi)=rac{S(\Delta\eta,\Delta\phi)}{B(\Delta\eta,\Delta\phi)}$

$$egin{aligned} & ilde{
ho}(y,ec{p}_T) = rac{1}{\sigma_{ ext{in}}} rac{d^3\sigma}{d^3p} = rac{1}{\sigma_{ ext{in}}} rac{d^3\sigma}{dyd^2p_T} = rac{1}{2\sigma_{ ext{in}}} rac{d^3\sigma}{dyd\phi dp_T^2} \ & ilde{
ho}_2(y_1,ec{p}_{T1},y_2,ec{p}_{T2}) = rac{1}{\sigma_{ ext{in}}} rac{d^6\sigma}{d^3p_1d^3p_2} = rac{1}{\sigma_{ ext{in}}} rac{d^6\sigma}{dy_1d^2p_{T1}dy_2d^2p_{T2}} = rac{1}{4\sigma_{ ext{in}}} rac{d^6\sigma}{dy_1d\phi_1dp_{T1}^2dy_2d\phi_2dp_{T2}^2} \ &C(1,2) = rac{ ilde{
ho}_2(1,2)}{ ilde{
ho}(1) ilde{
ho}(2)} \quad C(\Delta y,\Delta \phi) = rac{s(\Delta y,\Delta \phi)}{b(\Delta y,\Delta \phi)} \end{aligned}$$





Angular correlations between the charged particles produced in pp collisions at ISR energies

<u>K. Eggert</u>, <u>H. Frenzel</u>, <u>W. Thomé</u>, <u>B. Betev</u>^{*}, <u>P. Darriulat</u>, <u>P. Dittmann</u>, <u>M. Holder</u>,
 <u>K.T. McDonald</u>, <u>T. Modis</u>, <u>H.G. Pugh</u>^{**}, <u>K. Tittel</u>, <u>I. Derado</u>, <u>V. Eckardt</u>, <u>H.J. Gebauer</u>,
 <u>R. Meinke</u>, <u>O.R. Sander</u>^{***}, <u>P. Seyboth</u>
 1975



Fig 5 The measured angular correlation functions, $C^{II}(\Delta \eta, \Delta \phi)$, in units of 10^{-3} For clarity, smooth curves have been drawn through the data which have typical error bars of $\pm 0.4 \times 10^{-3}$





Fig. 1. Two particle correlation function for d-Au (left) and Au-Au (right) central events at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV from STAR experiment [2].



Fig. 3. Schematic view of a collision between two identical spherical nuclei at impact parameter *b*, in the transverse plane z = 0 [32]. Matter is produced in the shaded overlap area, where the participant nucleons lie. Red arrows represent pressure gradients within the produced matter. Outgoing particles typically go in the same directions as pressure gradients. This results in an elliptic anisotropy of their distribution.



Measures of azimuthal anisotropy in high-energy collisions

Jean-Yves Ollitrault

Université Paris Saclay, CNRS, CEA, Institut de physique théorique, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

October 11, 2023



Fig. 5. Event display of a non-central Pb+Pb collision at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV in the transverse plane, seen by the CMS detector. The yellow lines are the charged-particle trajectories, and the green and blue shaded areas show the energy deposited in the electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters, respectively [40]. The red arrow indicates the probable direction of impact parameter, as inferred from the elliptical shape of the event.



Observation of Long-Range, Near-Side Angular Correlations in Proton-Proton Collisions at the LHC

The CMS Collaboration*



taken from

Observation of Long-Range, Near-Side Angular Correlations in Proton-Proton Collisions at the LHC

The CMS Collaboration*



taken from

Measurement of long-range near-side two-particle angular correlations in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration*



taken from https://arxiv.org/pdf/1510.03068.pdf

ATLAS measurements of the ridge in proton-proton collisions at 13 TeV

ATLAS has completed a preliminary measurement of two-particle correlations in 13 TeV proton-proton collisions at the LHC using data collected during a low-luminosity run in June 2015

24 July 2015 | By ATLAS Collaboration





(a) Neutral current deep inelastic scattering.

(b) Resolved photoproduction.



RECEIVED: June 24, 2021 REVISED: August 27, 2021 ACCEPTED: November 13, 2021 PUBLISHED: December 16, 2021

Azimuthal correlations in photoproduction and deep inelastic ep scattering at HERA



Figure 3. Two-particle correlation $C(\Delta \eta, \Delta \varphi)$ in (a) photoproduction and (b) NC DIS with $Q^2 > 20 \text{ GeV}^2$. The peak near the origin has been truncated for better visibility of the finer structures of the correlation. The plot has been symmetrised along $\Delta \eta$. No statistical or systematic uncertainties are shown.

is no indication of a double ridge, which was observed in high-multiplicity p + p and p + Pb

Measurements of Two-Particle Correlations in e^+e^- Collisions at 91 GeV with ALEPH Archived Data

Anthony Badea,¹ Austin Baty,¹ Paoti Chang,² Gian Michele Innocenti,¹ Marcello Maggi,³ Christopher McGinn,¹ Michael Peters,¹ Tzu-An Sheng,² Jesse Thaler,¹ and Yen-Jie Lee¹,^{*}

¹Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA ²National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan ³INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy (Dated: November 27, 2019)



FIG. 1: Two-particle correlation functions for events with the number of charged particle tracks in the event $N_{\rm trk} \geq 30$ in the lab coordinates (left) and thrust coordinates (right) analyses. The sharp near-side peaks arise from jet correlations and have been truncated to better illustrate the structure outside that region.

"In contrast to the results from high multiplicity pp, pA and AA collisions, where longrange correlations with large pseudorapidity gap are observed, no significant enhancement of long-range correlations is observed in e+e- collisions. The data are compared to generators that do not include additional finalstate interactions of the outgoing partons. The results are better described by the pythia and sherpa generators than herwig."



Figure 1: Two-particle per-trigger yield measured for charged track pairs with $1 < p_{T,trig} < 2 \text{GeV}/c$ and $1 < p_{T,assoc} < 2 \text{GeV}/c$ within the multiplicity range $32 < N_{ch} \leq 37$. The jet fragmentation peak has been truncated to ensure a better visibility of the long-range structure. The right panel shows the zero-suppressed projection to $\Delta \varphi$ overlaid with $F(\Delta \varphi)$ (red line) and the area in which the ridge yield is extracted (shaded area). The blue and purple lines represent the second and third harmonic terms of $F(\Delta \varphi)$.

Insight into particle production mechanisms via angular correlations of identified particles in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV



Insight into particle production mechanisms via angular correlations of identified particles in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV





"In particular, the baryon–baryon (antibaryon–antibaryon) pairs show a considerable depletion called anticorrelation"

Observation of enhanced long-range elliptic anisotropies inside high-multiplicity jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=$ 13 TeV





The near-side ridge effect

- There are two mainstream mechanisms (and many others) that give an explanation of the ridge effect in small systems (proton-proton and proton-ion collisions)
- The "domain structure of the target" and the "glasma graph approach" initial state mechanism within the Color Glass Condensate effective field theory (CGC).
- The viscous relativistic hydrodynamics —final state mechanism— strong final state evolution described by hydrodynamics. Collectivity that implies a strongly coupled quark gluon plasma (QGP) that flows.

The near-side ridge effect

- The study of two-particle correlations is a powerful tool in exploring the underlying mechanisms of particle production.
- How do ridge-like structures emerge in perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) in multiparticle production?
- Up to which degree can the ridge effect in protonproton collisions be explained by the first principles of QCD and how does it generalize to heavy ion collisions?

one step between: from particles to minijets (partons)

First principles pQCD?

- The study of particle-particle angular correlations is an essential tool to probe the relevant dynamics that governs the strong force.
- The interpretation of the ridge in proton-proton collisions is a huge challenge but at the same time an opportunity to probe QCD in unique ways.
- Various attempts so far to give a full account of the cause behind the ridge have not succeeded.
- It could be that novel phenomena or even new physics are behind the correct interpretation of the long-range correlations, however, this possibility cannot be seriously explored before we fully understand the role of QCD. In particular, the role of perturbative QCD. First principles QCD, that is, avoiding any modelling to the extend that such an effort is feasible, needs to be confronted with the ridge effect on the basis of Monte Carlo simulations against the correlation distributions that are available from the experimental data.

partonic min pT = 1 GeVminijet min pT = 1 Gev, R = 0.5



Partons

Minijets

partonic min pT = 5 GeV minijet min pT = 5 Gev, R = 0.5



Partons

Minijets

partonic min pT = 20 GeV # of minijets > 10 minijet min pT = 5 Gev, R = 0.5



partonic min pT = 20 GeV # of minijets > 10 minijet min pT = 5 Gev, R = 0.5 1 < ptForward/ptBackward < 1.5 (and reverse) 4 < max ΔY < 9.4





Partons

Minijets

BFKLex simulations – pp

partonic min pT = 5 GeV minijet min pT = 5 Gev, R = 0.5



Minijets

BFKLex simulations – pp

partonic min pT = 5 GeV minijet min pT = 10 Gev, R = 0.5



Back up

Two-particle $\Delta \eta \Delta \phi$ angular correlations



PT1 PT2

- p particle momentum;
- $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ polar angle;
- η pseudorapidity:

$$\eta = -\ln|\mathrm{tg}\frac{\theta}{2}|$$

 p_{T} - transverse momentum; arphi - azimuthal angle;

Fig. A. Zaborowska



Angular Correlation Functions





CERN Seminar September 21 2010

