

# Update of machine-protection checklists for intensity ramp-up and cruise

*C. Wiesner, C. Hernalsteens, J. Uythoven, D. Wollmann* Many thanks to all the system experts and rMPP members for their contribution!

March 18<sup>th</sup>, 2022, 220<sup>th</sup> Machine Protection Panel (MPP)

### **Outline**

- Overview of machine protection checklists
- Intensity checklists: contents and systems covered
- Intensity checklist tasks: review and update
- Conclusions





# **Overview of machine protection checklists**

### MPP tree in OP checklist tool

 Used to follow the re-commissioning of the machine-protection systems (→ see presentation by C. Hernalsteens, this MPP)

### Checklists for intensity ramp-up\*

- Intensity ramp-up based on stepwise increase of stored energy and number of injected bunches: Steps at 3/12 - 75 - 300 - 600 - 900 - 1200 - 1800 - 2400 - 2700 bunches\*\*
- For each intensity step: monitor behavior during at least 3 fills and 20h stable beams, and verify correct functioning of machine-protection systems via checklist
- Checklist filled by system experts and checked by rMPP before advancing to the next intensity step

### Checklists for scrubbing

- Intermediate scrubbing checklist after ~300 bunches, final checklist at the end of scrubbing
- Checklist for intensity cruise:
  - Issued during the Run every ~8 weeks (e.g., between TS) to check behaviour of machine-protection systems
- Final checklists documented in EDMS in the <u>rMPP tree</u>

\*Intensity ramp-up discussed in the 217th MPP and 10th "Evian" workshop

\*\*exact number of bunches depend on agreed filling schemes and beams

template

same

the

use

checklists

All intensity

# **Intensity checklists: contents**

### • The intensity checklists include:

- Overview of checklist period, including bunch pattern, fill number, issues encountered
- Overview of all fills in the period, including main beam parameters, MPS dump cause and MPS expert comment
- Statistics of dump causes
- Check tasks for the machine-protection relevant systems

Check list period						
Bun	nch pattern / intensity	25ns_109_108_0_0_32-8b4ebpi_MD3270; 25ns_1227b_1214_1054_1102_144bpi_14inj; 25ns_157b_2b_2_0_0_48bpi_MD3263_MD4;				
		25ns_158b_158_0_0_48bpi_MD3263_MD4_V2; 25ns_2556b_2544_2215_2332_144bpi_20injV3; 25ns_26b_26_9_12_12bpi_4inj_MD3294;				
		25ns_300b_288_178_190_96bpi_4inj; 25ns_37b_0_0_0_12bpi; 25ns_3b_2_0_0_BTFMD4-2018; 25ns_603b_590_524_542_48bpi_17inj;				
		25ns_75b_62_32_62_12bpi_9inj; MD2484_8b4e_1812b_96bpi; Single_10b_0_0_0_Instabilities; Single_12b_9_1_5_BSRT_2018_pilot;				
		Single_14b_6_0_0_ADTPickupMD; Single_20b_0_0_0_Instabilities; Single_2b+13p_1_1_1_1bpi15inj; Single_3b_1_1_1_1nc; Single_3b_2_2_2;				
		Single_3b_2_2_2_with_probes; Single_7b_1_1_1_5ncPilots2cNom; Multi_525ns_152_150_0_0_8bpi_19inj;				
		Multi_525ns_152_150_0_0_8bpi_19inj_V2				
Star	irt date	22-SEP-2018 00.02.29.692000				
End	d data	31-OCT-2018 06.44.42.892000				
Fill	numbers	7195-7394				
Con	mment	73 fills including MDs at top energy				
Nex	xt intensity	Intensity ramp-up after TS3 with lons				

#### Example: intensity-cruise checklist TS2-TS3 2018



### Intensity checklists: systems covered

System	Responsible persons			
Magnet powering (MP3)	Arjan Verweij, Zinur Charifoulline			
Interlocks	Ivan Romera Ramirez, Jan Uythoven			
RF	Wolfgang Hofle, Andy Butterworth			
Beam Instrumentation	Belen Salvachua Ferrando, Christos Zamantzas			
Collimation	Stefano Redaelli, Roderik Bruce, Frederik Van Der Veken			
Operation, orbit and feedbacks	Jorg Wenninger, Matteo Solfaroli Camillocci			
Beam dump	Yann Dutheil, Chiara Bracco			
Injection	Yann Dutheil, Chiara Bracco			
Heating of Equipment	Benoit Salvant (Giovanni Iadarola)			

E-group <u>LHC-Intensity-Checklist@cern.ch</u> has been updated accordingly.



# **Reviewed checklist tasks (1/6)**

Magnet powering (MP3)	Beam, powering interlocks and post mortem			
No magnet quench after beam dump in RQ4.R/L6.	No unexplained IPOC failure in Post Mortem for FMCM.			
No unexplained quench or powering event in a circuit.	No unexplained IPOC failure in Post Mortem for PIC.			
No problems with loss of QPS_OK for main circuits	No unexplained IPOC failure in Post Mortem for BIC.			
following injection process.	No unexplained IPOC failure in Post Mortem for SMP.			
No unexplained firing of quench heaters.	No unexplained CIBDS trigger.			
No un-validated change to the magnet circuit protection	No unexplained false beam dump from any of the Beam /			
system	Powering Interlock Systems.			
No un-validated configuration change detected in the	No near misses from any of the Beam / Powering Interlock			
QPS configuration management system	Systems.			
No magnet quench due to too high BLM thresholds	No failure of BIS pre-operational check.			
In case of quench: redundancy between QPS and	No failure of SMP pre-operational check.			
detection of losses (via BLMs or BCCM) due to orbit	No unexplained PM event with intensities > 8 nominal bunches			
changes caused by the decay of the magnetic field, as	No unexplained PM event above 450 GeV.			
expected.	No un-explained glitches of the Setup Beam Flag (SBF).			



# **Reviewed checklist tasks (2/6)**

#### Beam dump

Asynchronous dumps understood? Protection worked correctly?

Parasitic asynchronous dump data (particles in abort gap during every fill) show no loss of protection.

BPM IP6 (interlock BPM) working correctly during first beam with higher intensity and different bunch pattern.

No positioning errors on TCSP/TCDQ.

No settings or thresholds mistakes/wrong sequences/unexplained faults on TCSP/TCDQ.

Loss leakage to the critical elements downstream of the TCDQ are below predefined reference values.

No unexplained MKD, MKB kicker, TSU or BETS faults.

No potentially dangerous XPOC or IPOC failure on MKD or MKB.

No unexplained synchronization problem with TSU.

Pressure and temperature rise in TDE block within tolerances.

Requalification passed OK at 450 GeV and 6.5 TeV with pilot in case of any important component exchange.

Valid set of simulated asynchronous beam dumps (Injection, flat top, squeezed separated, colliding) performed.



# **Reviewed checklist tasks (3/6)**

RF	Beam Instrumentation
Temperatures in all HOMs	BLM Internal sanity checks results must be true.
during all fills with the current	Rise time (10% to 90%) of RS01 fast losses triggering a beam dump must be larger
intensity ok.	than 200 us (no unknown fast loss scenario observed)
Power levels in all HOMs	No unexplained BLM check failures.
during all fills with the current	BLM system modification (ECRs) have to be agreed on, EDMS: notified persons
intensity ok.	signature is needed.
	No non-conformities in the energy transmission to the BLM crates.
	BSRA functioning and abort gap population always properly monitored
	Change of BLM thresholds

#### **BLM** threshold changes in the current period:



# **Reviewed checklist tasks (4/6)**

#### Collimation

Valid set of betatron loss maps (hor/ver at Inj., flat top, squeezed separated, colliding) done in last 3 months.

Valid set of off-momentum loss maps (pos./neg. at Inj., flat top, squeezed separated, colliding) done in last 3 months.

Loss maps for re-qualification after technical stop did not show unexpected losses distributions.

No observation of abnormal cleaning efficiency.

No observation of abnormal passive protection.

Collimators at agreed positions during cycle.

Correct LSA positions, thresholds, limits, warning levels.

Orbit monitoring at TCSPs and TCTPs operational, no unexplained offset changes observed.

No unexplained beam dumps due to collimators.

No beam dumps from collimator temperatures.

XRPs at agreed positions during cycle.

XRPs: Correct LSA positions, thresholds, limits, warning levels.

No unexplained beam dumps due to XRPs.

No spurious interlock by DOROS BPMs



# **Reviewed checklist tasks (5/6)**

Operation, orbit and feedbacks	Injection		
OFB operational status	Injection protection devices at agreed positions during cycle.		
QFB operational status	Correct LSA positions, thresholds, limits, warning levels.		
Global orbit in tolerance at 450 GeV (< 0.2 mm	Injection oscillations within tolerance for all injections.		
rms)	No unexplained large beam loss on TCDIs.		
Global orbit in tolerance in stable beams (< 0.2 mm	Expected losses for the beam to be injected at least 30 % below		
rms)	threshold level.		
Orbit IR3/IR7 collimators within ± 0.2 mm at 450	Line has been re-steered successfully if losses have been too high.		
GeV	No issues in injection procedure, settings or tolerances.		
Orbit IR3/IR7 collimators within $\pm$ 0.2 mm in stable	Orbit in injection region in tolerance wrt reference (tolerance <0.5		
beams	mm).		
Orbit at TCTs in tolerance in stable beams (≤ 1	Resetting of TL trajectories, TCDIs and optics done when needed.		
sigma in IR1/5, ≤ 3 sigma in IR2/8)	No increased rate of MKI flashovers.		
No spurious interlock by PC interlock	No increased rate of MKI switch erratics or missing.		
Luminosity levelling	No unexplained MKI vacuum or temperature activity.		
	No machine-protection related injection system hardware failures.		



## **Reviewed checklist tasks (6/6)**

Heating of Equipment
Heating of ATLAS-ALFA
Heating of ATLAS-AFP
Heating of BSRT and prototype BSRT tank
Heating of Collimators (TCP)
Heating of Collimators (TCS)
Heating of Collimators (TCTP)
Heating of Collimators (TCDQ)
Heating of Collimators (TCL)
Heating of Crystal Goniometers (TCPC)
Heating of BGV
Heating of MKD
Heating of MKI
Heating of TDIS
Heating of beam screen and instrumented PIMS
Heating of ALICE beam pipe
Heating of TOTEM/CT-PPS and neighbouring vacuum
Heating of LHCb VELO and SMOG2
Vacuum at LHCb VELO and SMOG2
Heating of CMS pipe
No unexplained heating of other equipment observed.
Variation of bunch length within the usual range.
Variation of beam spectrum within the usual range.
No additional non-conformities in vacuum observed (RF-fingers, etc.)



### **Review and update of checklist tasks: summary**

### • Checklists tasks have been reviewed by system & (r)MPP experts

- ~85 tasks confirmed
- ~15 tasks added or modified/clarified
- Latest excel template can be found <u>here</u>
- Many thanks to all the people who contributed!
- For the checklist validation in 2022, shared excel files will be used (as in Run 2)
  - Development of web-based tool was put on hold due to resource situation in BE-CSS



# Conclusions

- Checklists used to verify correct functioning of machine-protection systems for LHC intensity ramp-up, intensity cruise and scrubbing
- Machine-protection relevant systems covered by the checklist: magnet powering, interlocks, RF, beam instrumentation, operation, orbit, feedbacks, injection, beam dumping system, heating of equipment
- Checklists tasks have been reviewed by system & (r)MPP experts: ~85 tasks confirmed, ~15 tasks added or modified, e.g.:
  - "No unexplained IPOC failure in Post Mortem for SMP"
  - "No unexplained CIBDS trigger"
  - "Luminosity Levelling"
- New checklist template ready to be used
- Many thanks to all experts for reviewing the required tasks and for performing the checks!



Thank you for your attention!



home.cern

### **Example: fill overview**

Dump time	Fill #	Energy [MeV]	Intensity B1 [1e10]	Intensity B2 [1e10]	Stable Beams [hours]	Fill Luminosity [nb^-1]	MPS Dump Cause	Mps Expert Comment	Filling Scheme
								MD3205 (Beam screen shielding from QH discharge investigation),	
								quenched C28L5 at 11 kA with QPS expert tool. 3 nominal bunches per	
								beam. Clean dump. Slightly asymmetric loss pattern in P6 (higher losses	
31-OCT-2018 06.44.42.892000	7394	6499080	31	. 31	(	0	0 MD	from B2). Orbit change < 100 um due to quench heater acting on the beam	. Single_3b_2_2_2
								Quench triggered in MB C28L5 with QPS test mode at 5822 A (~3.4 TeV)	
								during the ramp.	
								3 nominal bunches.	
31-OCT-2018 01.36.36.402000	7393	3458280	31	. 31			0 MD	Clean dump	Single_3b_2_2_2
								Programmed dump of fill with 300b after Tails-scraping MD and vacuum	
31-OCT-2018 00.05.24.921923	7392	6499080	2194	2370	(	)	0 EOF	bump test in IR7. Clean dump.	25ns_300b_288_178_190_96bpi_4inj
								Probe beam dumped by losses due to MD: Replicating HL-LHC DA. Losses in	
30-OCT-2018 17.29.45.292000	7391	6499080	0	C	(	)	0 MD	BLMQI.03R1.B1 (0.3 Gy/s). Otherwise clean dump.	Single_10b_0_0_0Instabilities
30-OCT-2018 09.43.45.533000	7390	6499080	20	21	(		0 EOF	Programmed dump of fill with 3b for BTF MD.	25ns_3b_2_0_0_BTFMD4-2018
								Programmed dump of fill with 158b for LRBB-compensation MD. Clean	
29-OCT-2018 15.29.05.384000	7386	6498960	1498	1487	(		0 EOF	dump.	25ns_158b_158_0_0_48bpi_MD3263_MD4_V2
								Programmed dump of fill with 157b for LRBB-compensation MD. Clean	
29-OCT-2018 10.21.29.694000	7385	6499080	1729	18	(	0	0 EOF	dump.	25ns_157b_2b_2_0_0_48bpi_MD3263_MD4
								Programmed dump of fill with 14b for MD4143 (Noise studies with new	
28-OCT-2018 14.46.02.252000	7377	6499080	244	258	(		0 EOF	ADT pickup electronics). Clean dump.	Single_14b_6_0_0_ADTPickupMD
								Pilot beam dumped due to losses in MD2186 (New method to measure	
28-OCT-2018 08.18.03.649907	7375	6499080	1	. 3	(	)	0 MD	margins between IP6 absorbers and TCTs/triplets).	Single_3b_2_2_2_with_probes
								Programmed dump of fill with 20b for impedance MD3318, to refill for	
27-OCT-2018 19.23.15.736023	7369	6499080	27	26			0 EOF	MD4505/4506. Clean dump.	Single_20b_0_0_Instabilities

intensity-cruise checklist TS2-TS3 2018



### **Example: dump causes**

Dump statistics			
Dump caused by	# of dumps		
Programmed dump (EOF)	38		
Fault of BPM IR6 (BPM IR6)			
Fault of LBDS (LBDS)			
Operator fault (OP)	7		
Controlles fault (CO)			
Orbit excursions (Orbit)	1		
Fault of Orbit feedback (FB1)			
Fault of Tune feedback (FB2)			
Beam losses (Beam loss)			
Fault of BPM system (BPM)			
Electrical network glitch (EL Net)			
Water fault (Water)			
Fault of BLM system (BLM)	1		
Fault of SIS (SIS)			
Machine Protection test (MPS test)	5		
Fault of Cryogenic system (Cryogenic)			
Fault of QPS (QPS)	6		
Fault of Collimation control (Coll Sys)			
Wrong collimator positions (Coll Ad)	1		
Fault of BCM (BCM)			
Experiments (EXP)	2		
Fault of vaccum system (VAC)			
Fault of BIS (BIC)			
Fault of PIC (PIC)			
Fault of FMCM (FMCM)			
Power converter fault (PC)	3		

intensity-cruise checklist TS2-TS3 2018



# **MPP proposal for intensity ramp-up 2022**

- Continue successful strategy applied during Run 2
- Stepwise increase of stored energy and number of injected bunches: Use 3/12 - 75 - 300 - 600 - 900 - 1200 - 1800 - 2400 - 2700 bunches\*

Establish cycle MP dominated Intensity dominated

- For each intensity step: monitor behavior during at least 3 fills and 20h stable beams, and validate via checklist
- Keep bunch intensity to ~1.15x10<sup>11</sup> ppb during intensity ramp-up. Then, gently increase bunch intensity (e.g., in steps of 0.05x10<sup>11</sup>) up to 1.4x10<sup>11</sup> ppb, depending on machine behaviour and available bunch intensity
- Use of luminosity levelling already during the intensity ramp-up
- Insertion of TOTEM/CT-PPS, AFP, ALFA roman pots to agreed settings before the first luminosity levelling step for all fills at each intensity step
- Scrubbing: Verify heating of critical elements before going to next intensity step. Intermediate scrubbing checklist
  after ~300 bunches (RF power, heating, ...), final checklist at the end of scrubbing
- During the Run: issue Cruise Checklist every ~8 weeks (e.g., between TS) to check behaviour of MP systems

\*exact number of bunches will depend on agreed filling schemes and beams

#### Discussed at the 217th MPP

