


HEPTech Review

Survey Responses

5th April 2022

Q1. Do you think the Mission Statement reflects your understanding of HEPTEch?




Q2 If no, what do you think is missing?

Answered: 6 Skipped: 5

#	RESPONSES
1	I think that HEPTEch is so much more than the mission indicates. There could be some more "concreteness" i the mission.
2	I would add the aim of having joint projects, Horizon Europe proposals. HepTECH could help build eligible consortiums.
3	Focus on support large scales facilities with technology transfer and business development.
4	common addressing target groups, general awareness raising about HEP for user/partner communities, shared development projects (HEurope, etc)
5	I selected "no" just to fill in this comment. The Mission Statement is correct and good, but its implementation is difficult. The major KT offices of the big countries contrinuting to the CERN is out of HEPTEch since years, and there should be some reason behind their choice. Nobody tried to understand why France and Spain and Germany, apart GSI which is not a CERN partner financing experiments, left HEPTEch. It is difficult to find some common interests among partners with different KT structures and dimensions. I don't have a solution, but one thing that i feel is missed is the knowledge of how the different KTs work, their different structures. Also interactions among various members of the community are very rare. Another issue is that the role of CERN not always is clear, the consortium tends to be CERN-centric.
6	Dissemination & diffusion of technical activities related to HEP (probably it is implicit in the previous review)

Q3 Do you think that our name "High Energy Physics Network" (HEPTech) describes us or should we change our name to be more encompassing, to include other Physics-related Large Scale Facility research infrastructures e.g. Low energy Physics labs, Photonics etc?




Q4 If no, what would you suggest we expand the name to include?

#	RESPONSES
1	Services, network, value proposition, impact, a better definition of the common denominator.
2	Involving photonics is a definitely good idea.
3	Should include reference to large scale physics facilities.
4	Large Scale Research Infrastructures
5	Opening to larger collaborations should be good, but typically they have different interests, sometime not overlapping at all, sometime opposite. If they are in competition, for example for getting larger budget from Europe, any collaboration will be difficult. We should stand on what is the mission of CERN.
6	Opening to other activities than HEP can be too broad and difficult to manage
7	Physics Science Network

Q5: Rank the following HEPTech offerings in order of importance to you and your organisation

- Data shown is the weighted average ranking of HEPTech offerings.
- Offerings shown here with the largest (i.e. most important) ranking is the most preferred choice



Q6 Are there any other activities or opportunities that HEPTech could provide in addition to the above?

#	RESPONSES
1	General branding of HEP a.o.
2	Sharing networks to support members to licence technology